	1	CASE NUMBER:	BC429385
	2	CASE NAME:	TRUST COMPANY OF THE WEST VS. JEFFREY
	3		GUNDLACH, ET AL
	4	LOS ANGELES,	THURSDAY, JULY 28, 2011
	5	CALIFORNIA	
	6	DEPARTMENT 322	HON. CARL J. WEST, JUDGE
	7	APPEARANCES:	(AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)
	8	REPORTER:	WENDY OILLATAGUERRE, CSR #10978
	9	TIME:	8:00 A.M.
59:42	10		
	11	THE COURT: GO	OD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN.
	12		
	13	(ALL COUNSEL RESPONDE	D "GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.")
	14		
11:56	15	THE COURT: GO	OD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE'RE
	16	OUT OF THE PRESENCE O	F THE JURY.
	17	ALL RIG	HT. WE HAD ONE MATTER TO TAKE UP RELATED
	18	TO THE TESTIMONY OF R	ACHEL CODY.
	19	MR. MAD	ISON, I HAVE READ THE PAPERS THAT WERE
12:28	20	FILED BY THE DEFENDAN	TS. I DID NOT RECEIVE ANYTHING FROM YOU,
	21	BUT YOU CAN TELL ME.	
	22	MR. MADISON:	WE DID HAND UP A BRIEF, 15 OR 20 MINUTES
	23	AGO, TO YOUR COURTROO	M ASSISTANT, YOUR HONOR. BUT I CAN
	24	ADDRESS THE ISSUES.	I MEAN, IT WOULD BE GOOD IF YOU HAD IT IN
12:46	25	FRONT OF YOU, BECAUSE	THERE ARE A COUPLE OF EXHIBITS.
	26	THE COURT: DI	D YOU GIVE IT TO MS. PIEDRA OR TO
	27	MR. SABALBURO?	
	28	MR. MADISON:	ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.

	1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I HAVE IT IN FRONT OF ME,
	2	MR. MADISON.
	3	MR. MADISON: WELL, YOUR HONOR, WHEN WE WENT BACK AND
	4	LOOKED AT THE MOTIONS IN LIMINE AND FIRST OF ALL, THEY
13:46	5	WEREN'T MOTIONS, THEY WERE JUST THE JOINT STATEMENTS. I MEAN,
	6	THE COURT WAS ONLY PROVIDED A COUPLE OF PARAGRAPHS BY EACH
	7	SIDE ON THIS ISSUE, AND THE FOCUS WAS ON THREE LINES FROM ONE
	8	OF THE CHATS FROM DECEMBER.
	9	THE COURT: RIGHT.
14:03	10	MR. MADISON: AND REMEMBER YESTERDAY, I DESCRIBED HOW
	11	WE ACTUALLY HAVE THREE DIFFERENT CATEGORIES OF CHATS.
	12	THE COURT: YEAH.
	13	MR. MADISON: AND I CAN MAKE A DETAILED PROFFER, IF YOU
	14	WOULD LIKE. BUT LET ME JUST SHOW ONE CHAT, IF I COULD, TO
14:16	15	YOUR HONOR, AND YOU WILL SEE, I THINK, WHAT OUR POINT IS.
	16	IF I COULD HAVE CODY
	17	THE COURT: IS THIS ONE OF THE EXHIBITS YOU'VE GIVEN ME
	18	HERE, OR
	19	MR. MADISON: NO, YOUR HONOR, THOSE ARE TRANSCRIPTS.
14:26	20	THE COURT: I HAVE IT IN FRONT OF ME.
	21	MR. MADISON: HERE'S THE CHAT THAT WAS NOT THE SUBJECT
	22	OF THE MOTION IN LIMINE/JOINT STATEMENT AT ALL. IT'S FROM
	23	FEBRUARY OF 2009.
	24	AND REMEMBER, THE DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE CLAIM
14:40	25	THAT THEIR PLANS TO LAUNCH A NEW FIRM AROSE LATER IN THE YEAR,
	26	AFTER MR. STERN RETURNED TO TCW AND WAS OUT TO GET
	27	MR. GUNDLACH. THIS CHAT DISPROVES THAT KEY ASSERTION IN THIS
	28	LAWSUIT.

	1	NOW, MS. CODY WAS SEATED ON THE TRADING FLOOR,
	2	THE 16TH FLOOR, JUST FEET FROM MR. GUNDLACH, MR. SANTA ANA AND
	3	MR. MAYBERRY, THREE OF THE FOUR INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS, AS YOU
	4	KNOW. SO HERE ON FEBRUARY 11TH, SHE WRITES TO A COWORKER WHO
15:23	5	ACTUALLY SITS RIGHT NEXT TO HER.
	6	AND WHAT YOU WILL SEE IN THIS CASE, YOUR HONOR,
	7	IS THAT THESE PEOPLE WOULD SIT AT THEIR WORK STATIONS, BUT
	8	THEY WOULD COMMUNICATE ELECTRONICALLY, EVEN THOUGH THEY WERE
	9	JUST FEET AWAY FROM ONE ANOTHER. THE MILIEU THERE IS LIKE A
15:38	10	COURTROOM. IT'S LIKE A SERIES OF TABLES. IF I COULD APPROACH
	11	WITH AN EXHIBIT THAT SHOWS US THAT.
	12	CAN YOU SEE THAT, YOUR HONOR?
	13	THE COURT: IT'S GOING TO FALL THIS WAY IN JUST A
	14	MOMENT.
16:05	15	MR. MADISON: ONE MINUTE. LET ME JUST HOLD IT, IF I
	16	COULD.
	17	AND SO MS. CODY WAS SEATED RIGHT HERE, AT A LONG
	18	TABLE WITH COMPUTER WORK STATIONS. MR. GUNDLACH WAS MAYBE
	19	EIGHT FEET AWAY FROM HER, MR. SANTA ANA WAS FOUR FEET AWAY
16:22	20	FROM HER, MR. MAYBERRY WAS SIX FEET AWAY FROM HER.
	21	THE COURT: MR. MADISON?
	22	MR. MADISON: YES.
	23	THE COURT: THE BOTTOM LINE IS, THESE CHATS APPEAR TO
	24	BE, YOU KNOW, KIND OF COMMENTS ABOUT THERE MAY BE RUMORS SHE'S
16:36	25	HEARD. IT MAY BE CONVERSATIONS SHE'S OVERHEARD. YOU MAY
	26	INQUIRE OF HER REGARDING SPECIFIC STATEMENTS MADE BY PARTIES
	27	THAT COULD BE CONSIDERED AS ADMISSIONS, BUT YOU MAY NOT USE
	28	THESE CHATS, ABSENT SOME FURTHER EVIDENCE SHOWING THAT THERE'S

	1	A FOUNDATION THAT THEY ARE OTHER THAN RANK HEARSAY OR RUMOR,
	2	WHICH IS WHAT THEY APPEAR TO BE.
	3	I'VE MADE THE RULINGS. I MADE A RULING ON 7/5.
	4	ALBEIT ON THE JOINT STATEMENT, THOSE WERE RULINGS, I SAID THAT
17:08	5	SUBJECT TO AN OFFER OF PROOF.
	6	YOU MAY NOT INQUIRE ABOUT THE SPECIFIC CHAT OR
	7	PUBLISH IT TO THE JURY, ABSENT SOME FOUNDATIONAL BASIS TO
	8	ESTABLISH THAT MS. CODY HAS CREDIBLE INFORMATION, THAT SHE
	9	HEARD FROM A PARTY. THE FACT THAT SHE OBSERVED ONGOING
17:30	10	MEETINGS AT DIFFERENT TIMES ISN'T ENOUGH. AND THAT SEEMS TO
	11	PERVADE THIS WHOLE LINE OF CHATS.
	12	AND I WOULD SAY FURTHER, THAT IT APPEARS THAT
	13	THERE'S SUBSTANTIAL ADDITIONAL DIRECT EVIDENCE THAT YOU WILL
	14	PRESENT. AND AT THAT LEVEL, THIS BECOMES CUMULATIVE. AND I'M
17:49	15	NOT GOING TO CHANCE LETTING SOMETHING IN THAT IS REALLY
	16	APPEARS VERY SPECULATIVE.
	17	MR. MADISON: WELL, YOUR HONOR, IF I COULD, LET ME JUST
	18	MAKE THE PROFFER NOW, THEN, BECAUSE THE FOUNDATION IS HERE,
	19	MS. CODY SAYS THIS IS RACHEL CODY KEEP IT SORT OF QUIET,
18:04	20	BUT THEY TALK ABOUT IT ON THE DESK ALL THE TIME, SO IT'S NOT
	21	LIKE WE CAN'T OVERHEAR.
	22	AND IF WE GO TO THE NEXT
	23	THE COURT: SO YOU COME UP WITH A SPECIFIC
	24	CONVERSATION, AND WE WON'T USE THE CHAT. SHE CAN SAY,
18:19	25	MR. GUNDLACH SAID X, OR MR. MAYBERRY SAID Y, AND I HEARD IT ON
	26	THIS DATE AT THIS TIME.
	27	MR. MADISON: THERE ARE TWO PROBLEMS WITH THAT, YOUR
	28	HONOR. NUMBER ONE, SHE IS A CO-CONSPIRATOR. SHE IS WITH THE

	1	DEFENDANTS. SHE WORKS AT DOUBLELINE. SHE IS ALIGNED WITH THE
	2	DEFENDANTS.
	3	SO WHEN I TOOK HER DEPOSITION, SHE SAID, WELL, I
	4	DON'T REMEMBER THAT. AND IF I DID SAY ANY OF THAT, IT WAS ALL
18:45	5	A LIE; WHICH IS FINE.
	6	BUT, YOUR HONOR, THE JURY NEEDS TO SEE THIS
	7	EVIDENCE TO ASSESS THAT.
	8	THE COURT: I DON'T THINK SHE SAID IT WAS ALL A LIE.
	9	WHAT I SAW WAS, SHE SAID, I DON'T RECALL, OR IT
18:58	10	MAY HAVE BEEN A RUMOR.
	11	IT'S JUST TOO SPECULATIVE. THESE CHATS ARE NOT
	12	FROM PEOPLE THAT ARE AT THE HEART OF THIS LAWSUIT. YOU HAVE
	13	HARD EVIDENCE OF A NUMBER OF THINGS THAT OCCURRED THROUGHOUT
	14	AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME, DIRECT EVIDENCE; AND THAT IS THE
19:14	15	EVIDENCE YOU SHOULD PUT ON.
	16	MR. MADISON: BUT, YOUR HONOR, AGAIN, THIS GOES TO A
	17	KEY ISSUE IN THE CASE, BECAUSE THIS IS BACK IN FEBRUARY, AT A
	18	TIME WHEN THE DEFENDANTS WILL TESTIFY THEY DID NOT HATCH THESE
	19	PLANS. AND THIS IS CRITICAL, BECAUSE OF THE ISSUE ABOUT WHEN
19:29	20	MR. STERN CAME BACK AND WHAT THE PARTY'S MOTIVATIONS WERE.
	21	THIS IS WHAT THE WHOLE LAWSUIT IS GOING TO BE ABOUT.
	22	AND I HAVE A WRITING FROM ONE OF THE DEFENDANT'S
	23	COLLEAGUES. AND HERE, YOUR HONOR, YOU NOTICE SHE SAYS, YOU
	24	WILL NOTICE NOW, WHEN JEG THAT'S MR. GUNDLACH, AND CSA
19:49	25	THAT'S MR. SANTA ANA, INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS. AND JOE
	26	ANOTHER ONE OF THE SENIORS, NOW AT DOUBLELINE, TALK, I NOTICE
	27	THINGS ALL THE TIME. AND SHE GOES ON IN THIS CHAT, IN
	28	WRITING, TO DESCRIBE THE EXACT PLANS THAT THEY WERE CARRYING

	1	OUT.
	2	THE COURT: THAT'S THE BALANCE OF WHAT YOU ARE
	3	OFFERING?
	4	MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR.
20:09	5	THE COURT: THESE ARE COWORKERS ON THE FLOOR.
	6	OBVIOUSLY, THEY TALK ALL THE TIME.
	7	MR. MADISON: RIGHT.
	8	THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW IF THIS IS HER SPECULATION, IF
	9	RUMORS ARE FLYING; BUT THIS CASE IS NOT GOING TO BE BUILT ON
20:19	10	SPECULATION AND RUMORS.
	11	MR. MADISON: WELL, IT'S OUR POSITION THAT IT'S NOT
	12	SPECULATION. THIS IS CERTAINLY IS AN ISSUE THAT GOES TO
	13	WEIGHT
	14	THE COURT: NO, IT GOES TO ADMISSIBILITY. AND I'M NOT
20:30	15	GOING TO ADMIT IT UNLESS THERE'S SOMETHING MORE TO JUSTIFY
	16	YOUR USE OF THESE CHATS.
	17	YOU MAY INQUIRE OF MS. CODY, AND IF YOU CAN LAY
	18	THE FOUNDATION, THEN WE CAN GO FROM THERE, BUT IF SHE DOESN'T
	19	HAVE A SPECIFIC RECOLLECTION OF HAVING HEARD AN ADMISSION OR A
20:49	20	STATEMENT BY A PARTY, THEN IT'S RANK HEARSAY. AND IF ALL OF
	21	THESE RUMORS AND THESE CHATS CAME FROM THE OFFICE GOSSIP, IT'S
	22	NOT ADMISSIBLE.
	23	MR. MADISON: WELL, I UNDERSTAND THAT, YOUR HONOR.
	24	BUT I THINK THE QUESTION IS, WHEN SHE SAYS IN
21:06	25	THESE VERY CHATS, WHICH IF WE STOP AND THINK ABOUT IT IS
	26	REMARKABLE, THAT SHE ACTUALLY LAID THE FOUNDATION HERSELF IN
	27	THE CHATS SHE SAYS, I HEAR THESE DEFENDANTS. SHE DIDN'T
	28	KNOW THEY'D BE DEFENDANTS. I HEAR THEM TALK, AND HERE'S WHAT

	4	
	1	THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT. WE'RE GOING TO FORM A NEW FIRM.
	2	WE'RE GOING TO PULL OUT. WE'RE GOING TO SOCK IT TO TCW.
	3	WE'RE ALL GOING TO GO. WE'RE GOING TO STEAL THE WHOLE
	4	BUSINESS.
21:31	5	THERE'S NO GROUND UNDER THE LAW TO EXCLUDE THAT
	6	SMOKING GUN EVIDENCE. NOW I WILL ASK HER
	7	THE COURT: THERE IS, MR. MADISON. AND IT IS
	8	SPECULATIVE. AND I DON'T SEE WHERE "I HEAR THEM TALKING,"
	9	ISN'T ENOUGH TO OVERCOME A HEARSAY EXCEPTION.
21:50	10	MR. MADISON: YES.
	11	THE COURT: AND TO KNOW WHO'S TALKING, WHAT SHE'S
	12	HEARING, WHETHER IT'S JUST A RUMOR OR NOT. SO THAT'S THE
	13	PROBLEM YOU HAVE.
	14	I DON'T THINK IT'S A REAL PROBLEM. I THINK YOU
21:59	15	ARE MAKING MORE OUT OF IT THAN YOU NEED TO. BUT AT THE END OF
	16	THE DAY, IF YOU DON'T HAVE AN ADEQUATE FOUNDATION, YOU ARE NOT
	17	BRINGING THESE CHATS IN.
	18	MR. MADISON: I UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR.
	19	AND OBVIOUSLY, WHEN I SAID THERE'S NO GROUND; IF
22:12	20	YOU SAY THERE'S A GROUND, THERE'S A GROUND.
	21	BUT MY POINT, YOUR HONOR, IS I INTEND TO CALL
	22	MS. CODY TODAY, AND TO ASK HER ABOUT THE FACTS THAT SHE WROTE
	23	ABOUT.
	24	AND I KNOW WHAT SHE'S GOING TO SAY, BECAUSE I
22:27	25	TOOK HER DEPOSITION. SHE'S GOING TO DENY IT. SHE'S GOING TO
	26	MINIMIZE IT. SHE'S GOING TO CLAIM IT WAS ALL PUFFERY, AND
	27	THAT SHE WAS GOING TO TRY TO MAKE HERSELF SELF-IMPORTANT.
	28	AND AT THAT POINT, UNDER THE EVIDENCE CODE, I'M

1 ENTITLED TO IMPEACH HER WITH THESE WRITTEN STATEMENTS TO THE 2 CONTRARY. AND THE JURY SHOULD BE THE ONES TO DECIDE, WAS SHE 3 JUST PUFFING OR WASN'T SHE. THE COURT: THE OTHER ISSUE THAT YOU HAVE THERE, AND THAT BRINGS IN THE 352 ARGUMENT, IS THAT YOU ARE SEEKING TO 5 22:52 IMPEACH YOUR OWN BUSINESS, ALBEIT AN ADVERSE WITNESS, 7 ARGUABLY; BUT YOU CAN'T DO IT. 8 AND THE IMPEACHMENT OF HER CREDIBILITY, IN MY 9 VIEW, IS A COLLATERAL ISSUE WHICH RISKS -- YOU SAY YOU ARE NOT 10 OFFERING IT FOR THE TRUTH OF THE MATTER, YOU ARE OFFERING THIS 23:09 11 AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS, NOT JUST TO IMPEACH MS. CODY, AND 12 THAT'S WHY I'M NOT ALLOWING IT. I THINK THE RISK OF THE JURY 13 TAKING THAT EVIDENCE FOR NOT IMPEACHMENT PURPOSES, OR TO GO TO THE CREDIBILITY OF MS. CODY, IS VERY HIGH. AND THAT'S A RISK 14 15 23:29 THAT I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD BE TAKING. 16 MR. MADISON: THERE SHOULD BE NO AMBIGUITY ABOUT THIS. 17 MS. CODY WAS TELLING THE TRUTH HERE. I'M NOT IMPEACHING HER IN THE SENSE THAT I WANT TO SHOW THAT SHE'S A DISHONEST 18 19 PERSON. SHE IS, GIVEN HER SWORN TESTIMONY NOW, DENYING THESE 20 FACTS. 23:46 BUT I WANT TO PROVE THE FACTS AS THEY WERE 21 22 OCCURRING AND EXISTING AT THE TIME. 23 AND YOU KNOW, THERE'S ANOTHER WHOLE GROUND HERE, 2.4 YOUR HONOR, WHICH IS, THE DEFENDANTS CHALLENGE MR. STERN'S 23:58 25 MOTIVATIONS, WHEN HE DID COME ON THE SCENE IN THE SUMMER, AS 26 BEING SOMEHOW DRIVEN. 27 MR. BRIAN TOLD THE JURY YESTERDAY, MR. STERN 28 HATED MR. GUNDLACH; THAT'S WHAT THIS CASE IS ABOUT. MR. STERN

	1	ULTIMATELY WAS HEARING THESE SAME SORTS OF THINGS. AND THE
	2	FACT THAT THEY WERE EMANATING FROM THE GROUP THEMSELVES, BACK
	3	IN FEBRUARY, IS IMPORTANT EVIDENCE THAT CORROBORATES THAT, THE
	4	FACT THAT THEY WERE HAVING THESE CONVERSATIONS.
24:26	5	I MEAN, THESE ARE VERBAL ACTS. WHEN YOU HAVE
	6	THIS GROUP HAVING THE CONVERSATIONS ABOUT LEAVING,
	7	INDEPENDENTLY OF THE FACT THAT IT SHOWS THEIR INTENT TO LEAVE,
	8	WHICH IT DOES, IT'S ALSO AN INDEPENDENTLY RELEVANT FACT THAT
	9	THEY WERE EVEN HAVING THESE CONVERSATIONS BACK IN FEBRUARY.
24:44	10	AND WE HAVE A LIMITED AMOUNT OF TIME IN THIS
	11	CASE, YOUR HONOR.
	12	THE COURT: I KNOW. WE HAVE A LIMITED AMOUNT OF TIME
	13	THIS MORNING, TOO. AND WE'RE MOVING RIGHT ALONG.
	14	MR. MADISON: I UNDERSTAND.
24:52	15	BUT WE THINK THE JURY SHOULD HEAR ALL THE FACTS,
	16	AND LET THE DEFENSE ARGUE, NO, THIS WAS PUFFERY, THIS WAS
	17	SPECULATION.
	18	THE TRUTH IS, AT THE END OF THE CASE, WE WILL
	19	ALL SEE HOW THIS LINES UP PERFECTLY WITH ALL OF THE
25:05	20	CORROBORATING EVIDENCE. BUT THAT'S NOT A REASON TO NOT
	21	EXCLUDE VERY IMPORTANT WRITTEN EVIDENCE AT THE TIME. IT'S
	22	VERY RARE WHEN A CO-CONSPIRATOR WRITES DOWN WHAT SHE'S
	23	DOING
	24	THE COURT: MR. MADISON, I GOT YOUR POINT.
25:15	25	MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR.
	26	THE COURT: MR. HELM, DO YOU WANT TO BE HEARD ON THIS
	27	OR MR. BRIAN?
	28	MR. HELM: YOUR HONOR, I THINK WE'VE ALL ARGUED IT

1 BEFORE, IN THE MOTION IN LIMINE. THESE CHATS ARE THE SWIRLING 2 MIASMA OF GOSSIP AND RUMOR AND SPECULATION. AND THERE MAY BE 3 A TIDBIT OF SOMETHING THAT SHE HEARD OR THAT SHE SAW, BUT YOU CAN'T SEPARATE OUT WHAT IS FACT, WHAT IS FICTION, WHAT IS SIMPLY SPECULATION. 5 25:39 I MEAN, WE KNOW, FOR EXAMPLE, IN THE CHAT IN --ON EXHIBIT 983, WHERE THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT THAT THERE WAS A 7 CENTURY CITY LEASE, AND WE'LL PROBABLY LEAVE AFTER THE BONUS, 8 9 HERE'S WHAT SHE'S SAYING: I SAID CENTURY CITY LEASE, 10 REFERRING TO THIS CHAT YESTERDAY, PROB MARCH AFTER BONUS, 25:59 11 THAT'S NOT TERRIBLE. AUTOBOT, WHICH I THINK IS MR. MAYBERRY, SAYS NO, THAT'S THAT JUST RUMORS. ME, RACHEL CODY, YEAH. 12 13 AUTOBOT, YEAH, PROBABLY. ME: YEAH, IT'S ALL RUMORS. SO IT'S IN THE DOCUMENTS THAT WHAT SHE'S TALKING 14 15 ABOUT ARE RUMORS. 26:18 16 AND ONE OF THE THINGS SHE SAID WAS THAT THEY HAD 17 SIGNED A LEASE. WELL, WE KNOW FROM THE FACTS THAT NO LEASE WAS EVER SIGNED. SO THAT'S ALL THIS IS. IT'S SPECULATION. 18 19 IT'S RUMOR. THERE'S NO FOUNDATION FOR IT. AND THAT'S NOT TO 20 MENTION THE FACT IT'S RANK HEARSAY. 26:33 THESE CHATS ARE OUT OF COURT STATEMENTS. HE'S 21 22 TRYING TO GET THEM IN. HE SAYS, BECAUSE I WANT TO ASK HER, 23 DID YOU MAKE THE STATEMENT, AND THEN IMPEACH HER WITH IT. 2.4 WELL, WHETHER SHE MADE THE STATEMENT ISN'T 26:46 25 RELEVANT TO ANYTHING. IT'S ONLY IF SHE HAD INFORMATION, 26 FACTUAL INFORMATION, THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE 27 PROPER TESTIMONY. BUT WHETHER, DID YOU MAKE THE STATEMENT, 28 THAT HAS NO BEARING IN THIS CASE, UNLESS THERE'S A FOUNDATION

	1	OF KNOWLEDGE, WHICH HASN'T BEEN LAID. SO IT'S HEARSAY. IT
	2	LACKS FOUNDATION. AND IT'S 352.
	3	MR. MADISON: YOUR HONOR, IF I COULD, JUST ON THAT ONE
	4	POINT.
27:06	5	THE COURT: ON WHAT ONE POINT?
	6	MR. MADISON: THE POINT THAT MR. HELM MADE ABOUT THE
	7	CHAT, WHERE THEY SAID IT'S ALL RUMORS.
	8	THE COURT: RIGHT.
	9	MR. MADISON: WHAT HE DIDN'T READ TO YOU IS THE PAGE
27:16	10	BEFORE, ON THAT SAME CHAT, WHERE MS. CODY SAYS, UH-OH, MAYBE
	11	THEY ARE TRACKING OUR CONVERSATIONS, E-MAILS. I TALKED TO
	12	FIFI ON BLOOMBERG CHAT YESTERDAY.
	13	AND MAYBERRY SAYS, WELL, I THINK OUR GMAILS ARE
	14	OKAY, BECAUSE THEY ARE SECURED. AND MS. CODY GOES ON TO SAY,
27:38	15	BUT BLOOMBERG CHAT, I SAID CENTURY CITY 10-YEAR LEASE PROBABLY
	16	MARCH, AFTER BONUS.
	17	THE COURT: WHO IS AUTOBOT?
	18	MR. MADISON: THAT'S MR. MAYBERRY, ONE OF THE
	19	DEFENDANTS.
27:54	20	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND.
	21	MR. MADISON: AND COULD WE GO DOWN TO THE PART, MIKE,
	22	WHERE IT SAYS, BUT BLOOMBERG CHAT, IT'S ABOUT MIDWAY THROUGH
	23	THE PAGE THERE.
	24	SO MS. CODY HERE IS WORRIED. SHE SAYS, I SAID
28:08	25	ON THE CHAT, CENTURY CITY 10-YEAR LEASE PROBABLY MARCH AFTER
	26	BONUS. THAT'S NOT TERRIBLE. I'M SURE OTHERS HAVE SAID
	27	SIMILAR. AND MR. MAYBERRY SAYS, NAH, THAT'S JUST RUMORS.
	28	IN OTHER WORDS, HE'S TRYING TO ASSURE MS. CODY

	1	THAT SHE DIDN'T MAKE A MISTAKE BY WRITING DOWN WHAT WAS GOING
	2	ON, BECAUSE THEY ARE WORRIED THAT SOMEONE IS WATCHING THEIR
	3	GMAILS.
	4	THE COURT: I ASKED YOU YESTERDAY, MR. MADISON, TO GIVE
28:34	5	ME THE CHATS THAT YOU WERE OFFERING.
	6	MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR.
	7	THE COURT: THE ONE INVOLVING MR. MAYBERRY, YOU MAY
	8	INQUIRE ABOUT HER, BECAUSE THAT IS HIS.
	9	THE ONES THAT HAVE NO REFERENCE, OR DON'T
28:47	10	INVOLVE A DEFENDANT, YOU NEED TO LAY A FOUNDATION. AND YOU
	11	MAY NOT DO THAT BY ASKING HER, DID YOU WRITE THIS OR DID YOU
	12	WRITE THAT. YOU MUST DO IT BY ESTABLISHING THAT SHE HAD
	13	KNOWLEDGE OF STATEMENTS MADE TO HER, OR IN HER PRESENCE, BY A
	14	PARTY.
29:05	15	MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR.
	16	THE COURT: AND IF YOU ESTABLISH THAT FOUNDATION, I'LL
	17	PERMIT IT.
	18	MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR.
	19	THE COURT: BUT YOU MAY NOT BRING IT IN SIDEWAYS. AND
29:14	20	YOU KNOW WHAT THE RULING IS.
	21	MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR.
	22	THE COURT: SO THAT'S WHERE WE ARE.
	23	MR. MADISON: JUST FOR FULL DISCLOSURE, THERE ARE OTHER
	24	CHATS WHERE SHE DESCRIBES HER OWN INTENTIONS.
29:23	25	THE COURT: HER OWN INTENTIONS HAVE NO RELEVANCE TO
	26	ANYTHING ISSUE IN THIS CASE.
	27	MR. MADISON: WELL, YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE THEY DO, IF
	28	HER INTENTION IS TO LEAVE TO JOIN MR. GUNDLACH'S FIRM.

	1	THE COURT: WELL, THAT'S WHY I ASKED YOU TO GIVE THEM
	2	TO ME.
	3	MR. MADISON: I BELIEVE WE DID, YOUR HONOR.
	4	MAY I SHOW THAT ONE, JUST BECAUSE I DON'T WANT
29:38	5	TO HAVE A MISUNDERSTANDING ABOUT THIS?
	6	THE COURT: WHICH ONE IS IT?
	7	MR. MADISON: WELL, ON
	8	THE COURT: YOU ARE SAYING THIS WAS WITH THIS FILING
	9	YOU GAVE ME THIS MORNING?
29:47	10	MR. MADISON: I THINK IT'S REFERENCED IN THE FILING.
	11	IT'S QUOTED THERE, YOUR HONOR. I CAN JUST PULL IT UP, IF I
	12	COULD.
	13	THE COURT: YEAH, PUT IT UP.
	14	MR. MADISON: PARDON?
29:54	15	THE COURT: LET'S SEE IT.
	16	MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR.
	17	LET'S GO TO 306, MIKE, IF WE COULD. IF WE COULD
	18	GO TO THE SECOND PAGE.
	19	CAN WE MAKE THAT ANY LARGER? CAN YOU SEE THAT,
30:14	20	YOUR HONOR?
	21	THE COURT: I SEE THE BLOWN-UP PART.
	22	MR. MADISON: SO THIS IS MS. CODY SPEAKING TO A FRIEND
	23	OF HERS WHO HAPPENS TO BE A BOND TRADER IN NEW YORK. AND SHE
	24	SAYS, I HAVE TO MOVE TOWARD THE WEST L.A. AREA, BECAUSE WE'RE
30:27	25	GOING TO BE MOVING JOBS.
	26	THIS IS BACK IN SEPTEMBER, YOUR HONOR, AFTER THE
	27	CONFRONTATIONAL MEETING BETWEEN MR. STERN AND MR. GUNDLACH, AT
	28	WHICH POINT MR. GUNDLACH FALSELY ASSURES MR. STERN, EVERYTHING

	1	IS FINE. WE'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO WORK THIS OUT. AND THEN
	2	THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THEY IMMEDIATELY START PLANNING THEIR
	3	NEW FIRM.
	4	SO HERE ON SEPTEMBER 21ST, MS. CODY SAYS, I HAVE
30:52	5	TO MOVE TO WEST L.A. WE'RE GOING TO BE MOVING JOBS. HER
	6	FRIEND SAYS, IS THAT DEF NOW DEFINITE NOW? SHE SAYS YEAH.
	7	SHE SAYS JANUARY 15TH. WHERE TO? CENTURY CITY OR SANTA
	8	MONICA. THE EXACT TWO PLACES THEY WERE LOOKING FOR OFFICE
	9	SPACE. OWN? GET? OR MERGING? OUR OWN.
31:11	10	THE COURT: BUT YOU STILL NEED A FOUNDATION FOR THAT.
	11	WHERE DID SHE GET THIS INFORMATION? AND IF IT DIDN'T COME
	12	FROM SOMEBODY THAT'S IN THIS CASE, AND SHE DOESN'T SAY IT,
	13	THEN I'M JUST NOT SURE WHERE THIS COMES FROM.
	14	MR. MADISON: WELL, HERE'S THE PROBLEM, YOUR HONOR. WE
31:26	15	KNOW WHERE IT COMES FROM. IT COMES FROM MR. GUNDLACH.
	16	BECAUSE IT'S HIS NEW FIRM, WE CAN CORROBORATE ALL OF THAT.
	17	BUT I DON'T HAVE A TRUTH SERUM I CAN GIVE
	18	MS. CODY. SHE'S GOING TO TRY TO DENY IT, BECAUSE SHE'S
	19	ALIGNED WITH THE DEFENDANTS; TO WHICH I SAY, THAT'S FINE.
31:39	20	THAT'S WHY WE HAVE TRIALS.
	21	LET'S LET THE JURY DECIDE WHETHER WHAT SHE WROTE
	22	TO A FRIEND AT THE TIME, WHEN SHE NEVER KNEW SHE'D BE SITTING
	23	IN A COURTROOM, WHETHER THAT WAS TRUE, OR WHAT SHE'S NOW GOING
	24	TO GET UP THERE AND SAY.
31:52	25	THE COURT: WELL, LET'S SEE WHAT SHE SAYS. YOU CAN LAY
	26	THE FOUNDATION, AND THEN WE'LL CONSIDER IT.
	27	MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
	28	THE COURT: THANK YOU.

	1	WE'LL BRING THE JURY IN. ARE WE ALL ARE THEY
	2	ALL HERE?
	3	THE CLERK: THEY ARE ALL HERE.
	4	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
	5	
	6	(AT 8:35 A.M. THE JURY ENTERED
	7	THE COURTROOM, AND THE FOLLOWING
	8	PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:)
	9	
35:34	10	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. IN THE
	11	TRUST COMPANY OF THE WEST VERSUS GUNDLACH MATTER, WE'RE SET TO
	12	COMMENCE OUR TRIAL TODAY.
	13	I'M GOING TO THANK ALL OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
	14	JURY THAT ARRIVED ON TIME. WE WILL WORK VERY HARD TO STAY ON
35:47	15	SCHEDULE WITH THIS.
	16	AT THIS POINT, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, I'M GOING
	17	TO READ TO YOU A FEW INTRODUCTORY INSTRUCTIONS THAT GIVE YOU A
	18	LITTLE BACKGROUND ON YOUR TASK AND HOW YOU SHOULD APPROACH IT.
	19	(READING):
36:00	20	YOU HAVE NOW BEEN SWORN AS JURORS
	21	IN THIS CASE. I WANT TO IMPRESS ON YOU
	22	THE SERIOUSNESS AND IMPORTANCE OF SERVING
	23	ON A JURY. TRIAL BY JURY IS A FUNDAMENTAL
	24	RIGHT IN CALIFORNIA. THE PARTIES HAVE A
36:14	25	RIGHT TO A JURY THAT IS SELECTED FAIRLY,
	26	THAT COMES TO THE CASE WITHOUT BIAS, AND
	27	WILL ATTEMPT TO REACH A VERDICT, BASED ON
	28	THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED.

	1	BEFORE WE BEGIN, I NEED TO EXPLAIN
	2	HOW YOU MUST CONDUCT YOURSELVES DURING THE
	3	TRIAL. DO NOT ALLOW ANYTHING THAT HAPPENS
	4	OUTSIDE THIS COURTROOM TO AFFECT YOUR
36:34	5	DECISION. DURING THE TRIAL, DO NOT TALK
	6	ABOUT THIS CASE TO THE PEOPLE INVOLVED IN
	7	IT, OR WITH ANYONE ELSE, INCLUDING FAMILY
	8	AND PERSONS LIVING IN YOUR HOUSEHOLDS,
	9	FRIENDS AND COWORKERS, SPIRITUAL LEADERS,
36:47	10	ADVISORS OR THERAPISTS.
	11	THIS PROHIBITION IS NOT LIMITED TO
	12	FACE-TO-FACE CONVERSATIONS. IT IS IT
	13	ALSO EXTENDS TO ALL FORMS OF ELECTRONIC
	14	COMMUNICATIONS. DO NOT USE ANY ELECTRONIC
37:01	15	DEVICE OR MEDIA, SUCH AS CELL PHONES,
	16	SMART PHONES, PDAS, COMPUTERS, THE
	17	INTERNET, ANY INTERNET SERVICE, ANY TEXTS
	18	OR INSTANT MESSAGING SERVICE, ANY INTERNET
	19	CHAT ROOM, BLOG OR WEBSITE, INCLUDING
37:17	20	SOCIAL NETWORKING WEBSITES OR ONLINE
	21	DIARIES, TO SEND OR RECEIVE ANY
	22	INFORMATION TO OR FROM ANYONE ABOUT THIS
	23	CASE, OR YOUR EXPERIENCE AS A JUROR,
	24	UNTIL AFTER YOU HAVE BEEN DISCHARGED
37:29	25	FROM YOUR JURY DUTY.
	26	YOU MAY SAY YOU ARE ON A JURY, AND
	27	HOW LONG THE TRIAL MAY TAKE, BUT THAT IS
	28	ALL. YOU MUST NOT EVEN TALK ABOUT THE

	1	CASE WITH OTHER JURORS, UNTIL I TELL YOU
	2	THAT IT IS TIME FOR YOU TO DECIDE THE
	3	CASE.
	4	DURING THE TRIAL, YOU MUST NOT
37:45	5	LISTEN TO ANYONE ELSE TALK ABOUT THE CASE
	6	OR THE PEOPLE INVOLVED IN THE CASE. YOU
	7	MUST AVOID ANY CONTACT WITH THE PARTIES,
	8	THE LAWYERS, THE WITNESSES, OR ANYONE ELSE
	9	WHO MAY HAVE A CONNECTION TO THE CASE.
37:59	10	IF ANYONE TRIES TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT
	11	THE CASE, TELL THAT PERSON THAT YOU CANNOT
	12	DISCUSS IT BECAUSE YOU ARE A JUROR. IF HE
	13	OR SHE KEEPS TALKING TO YOU, SIMPLY WALK
	14	AWAY, AND REPORT THE INCIDENT TO THE COURT
38:13	15	ATTENDANT AS SOON AS YOU CAN.
	16	AFTER THE TRIAL IS OVER, AND I HAVE
	17	RELEASED YOU FROM JURY DUTY, YOU MAY
	18	DISCUSS THE CASE WITH ANYONE, BUT YOU ARE
	19	NOT REQUIRED TO DO SO.
38:24	20	DURING THE TRIAL, DO NOT READ,
	21	LISTEN TO, OR WATCH ANY NEWS REPORTS ABOUT
	22	THIS CASE. THIS PROHIBITION EXTENDS TO THE
	23	USE OF THE INTERNET IN ANY WAY, INCLUDING
	24	READING ANY BLOG ABOUT THE CASE OR ABOUT
38:39	25	ANYONE INVOLVED IN THE CASE, OR USING ANY
	26	INTERNET MAPS OR MAPPING PROGRAMS OR ANY
	27	OTHER PROGRAMS OR DEVICE TO SEARCH FOR OR
	28	TO VIEW ANY PLACE DISCUSSED IN THE
		l l

	1	TESTIMONY.
	2	YOU MUST DECIDE THIS CASE BASED
	3	ONLY ON THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED IN THIS
	4	TRIAL AND THE INSTRUCTIONS OF LAW THAT I
38:57	5	WILL PROVIDE. NOTHING THAT YOU SEE,
	6	HEAR OR LEARN OUTSIDE THE COURTROOM IS
	7	EVIDENCE, UNLESS I SPECIFICALLY TELL YOU
	8	THAT IT IS.
	9	IF YOU RECEIVE ANY INFORMATION
39:08	10	ABOUT THIS CASE FROM ANY SOURCE OUTSIDE
	11	THE COURTROOM, PROMPTLY REPORT IT TO THE
	12	COURTROOM ATTENDANT.
	13	IT IS IMPORTANT THAT ALL OF THE
	14	JURORS SEE AND HEAR THE SAME EVIDENCE AT
39:21	15	THE SAME TIME. DO NOT DO ANY RESEARCH
	16	ON YOUR OWN OR AS A GROUP. DO NOT USE
	17	DICTIONARIES, THE INTERNET OR ANY
	18	REFERENCE MATERIALS. DO NOT
	19	INVESTIGATE THE CASE OR CONDUCT ANY
39:33	20	EXPERIMENTS. DO NOT CONTACT ANYONE TO
	21	ASSIST YOU, SUCH AS A FAMILY
	22	ACCOUNTANT, DOCTOR, OR LAWYER. DO NOT
	23	VISIT OR VIEW ANY SCENE OR ANY PLACE
	24	ABOUT WHICH THE TESTIMONY IS GIVEN.
39:46	25	IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOU KEEP AN
	26	OPEN MIND THROUGHOUT THE TRIAL.
	27	EVIDENCE CAN ONLY BE PRESENTED A PIECE
	28	AT A TIME.

	1	DO NOT FORM OR EXPRESS AN
	2	OPINION ABOUT THIS CASE WHILE THE TRIAL
	3	IS GOING ON. YOU MUST NOT DECIDE ON A
	4	VERDICT UNTIL AFTER YOU HAVE HEARD ALL
40:03	5	OF THE EVIDENCE AND HAVE DISCUSSED IT
	6	THOROUGHLY WITH YOUR FELLOW JURORS IN
	7	YOUR DELIBERATIONS.
	8	DO NOT CONCERN YOURSELF WITH THE
	9	REASONS FOR THE RULINGS THAT I MAKE
40:13	10	DURING THE COURSE OF THE TRIAL. DO NOT
	11	GUESS WHAT I MAY THINK YOUR VERDICT
	12	SHOULD BE FROM ANYTHING THAT I SAY OR
	13	DO. WHEN YOU BEGIN YOUR DELIBERATIONS,
	14	YOU MAY DISCUSS THE CASE ONLY IN THE JURY
40:26	15	ROOM, AND ONLY WHEN ALL JURORS ARE
	16	PRESENT. YOU MUST DECIDE WHAT THE FACTS
	17	ARE IN THIS CASE. AND I REPEAT, YOUR
	18	VERDICT MUST BE BASED ONLY ON THE EVIDENCE
	19	THAT YOU HEAR OR SEE IN THIS COURTROOM.
40:40	20	DO NOT LET ANY BIAS, SYMPATHY,
	21	PREJUDICE OR PUBLIC OPINION INFLUENCE
	22	YOUR VERDICT. AT THE END OF THE TRIAL I
	23	WILL EXPLAIN THE LAW THAT YOU MUST
	24	FOLLOW TO REACH YOUR VERDICT. YOU MUST
40:53	25	FOLLOW THAT LAW AS I STATE IT TO YOU,
	26	EVEN IF YOU DISAGREE WITH THE LAW.
	27	YOU HAVE BEEN OR WILL BE GIVEN
	28	NOTEBOOKS, AND YOU MAY TAKE NOTES DURING

	1	THE TRIAL. DO NOT TAKE THE NOTEBOOKS
	2	OUT OF THE COURTROOM OR JURY ROOM AT
	3	ANY TIME DURING THE TRIAL.
	4	YOU MAY TAKE YOUR NOTES INTO THE
41:09	5	JURY ROOM DURING YOUR DELIBERATIONS.
	6	YOU SHOULD USE YOUR NOTES ONLY TO
	7	REMIND YOURSELF OF WHAT HAPPENED DURING
	8	THE TRIAL. AND DO NOT LET YOUR NOTE
	9	TAKING INTERFERE WITH YOUR ABILITY TO
41:21	10	LISTEN CAREFULLY TO ALL OF THE
	11	TESTIMONY AND TO WATCH THE WITNESSES
	12	AS THEY TESTIFY. NOR SHOULD YOU ALLOW
	13	YOUR IMPRESSION OF A WITNESS OR OTHER
	14	EVIDENCE TO BE INFLUENCED BY WHETHER
41:33	15	OR NOT OTHER JURORS ARE TAKING NOTES.
	16	YOUR INDEPENDENT RECOLLECTION OF
	17	THE EVIDENCE SHOULD GOVERN YOUR VERDICT,
	18	AND YOU SHOULD NOT ALLOW YOURSELF TO BE
	19	INFLUENCED BY THE NOTES OF OTHER JURORS,
41:44	20	IF THOSE NOTES DIFFER WITH WHAT YOU
	21	REMEMBER.
	22	THE COURT REPORTER IS MAKING A
	23	RECORD OF EVERYTHING THAT IS SAID IN THE
	24	COURTROOM. IF, DURING YOUR DELIBERATIONS,
41:53	25	YOU HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT WHAT A WITNESS
	26	SAID, YOU SHOULD ASK FOR THE COURT
	27	REPORTER'S RECORDS TO BE READ TO YOU.
	28	YOU MUST ACCEPT THE COURT REPORTER'S
		<b>,</b>

	1	RECORD AS ACCURATE.
	2	AT THE END OF THE TRIAL, YOUR
	3	NOTES WILL BE COLLECTED AND DESTROYED.
	4	THERE ARE FIVE DEFENDANTS IN THIS
42:15	5	TRIAL. YOU WILL BE REQUIRED TO DECIDE
	6	THE CASE AS TO EACH DEFENDANT SEPARATELY,
	7	AS IF IT WERE A SEPARATE LAWSUIT. EACH
	8	DEFENDANT IS ENTITLED TO SEPARATE
	9	CONSIDERATION OF HIS OR HER OWN DEFENSES.
42:28	10	TWO CORPORATIONS THERE ARE TWO
	11	CORPORATIONS IN THIS LAWSUIT, TCW AND
	12	DOUBLELINE. EACH IS ENTITLED TO THE SAME
	13	FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TREATMENT THAT YOU
	14	WOULD GIVE AN INDIVIDUAL. YOU MUST
42:40	15	DECIDE THIS CASE WITH THE SAME FAIRNESS
	16	THAT YOU WOULD USE IF YOU WERE DECIDING
	17	THE CASE BETWEEN INDIVIDUALS.
	18	SWORN TESTIMONY, DOCUMENTS, OR
	19	ANYTHING ELSE MAY BE ADMITTED INTO
42:52	20	EVIDENCE. YOU MUST DECIDE WHAT FACTS
	21	ARE IN THE CASE FROM THE EVIDENCE YOU SEE
	22	OR HEAR DURING THE TRIAL. YOU MAY NOT
	23	CONSIDER AS EVIDENCE ANYTHING THAT YOU SEE
	24	OR HEAR WHEN COURT IS NOT IN SESSION, EVEN
43:05	25	SOMETHING DONE OR SAID BY ONE OF THE
	26	PARTIES, ATTORNEYS, OR WITNESSES.
	27	WHAT THE ATTORNEYS SAY DURING TRIAL
	28	IS NOT EVIDENCE. IN THEIR OPENING

	1	STATEMENTS AND CLOSING ARGUMENTS, THE
	2	ATTORNEYS WILL TALK TO YOU ABOUT THE
	3	EVIDENCE; AND IN THEIR CLOSING
	4	STATEMENTS, ABOUT THE LAW.
43:22	5	WHAT THE LAWYERS SAY MAY HELP YOU
	6	UNDERSTAND THE LAW AND THE EVIDENCE, BUT
	7	THEIR STATEMENTS AND ARGUMENTS ARE NOT
	8	EVIDENCE.
	9	THE ATTORNEYS' QUESTIONS ARE NOT
43:32	10	EVIDENCE. ONLY THE WITNESSES' ANSWERS
	11	ARE EVIDENCE. YOU SHOULD NOT THINK THAT
	12	SOMETHING IS TRUE JUST BECAUSE AN
	13	ATTORNEY'S QUESTION SUGGESTS THAT IT IS
	14	TRUE.
43:42	15	HOWEVER, THE ATTORNEYS FOR BOTH
	16	SIDES CAN AGREE THAT CERTAIN FACTS ARE
	17	TRUE. THIS AGREEMENT IS CALLED A
	18	STIPULATION. UPON STIPULATION, NO OTHER
	19	PROOF IS NEEDED, AND YOU MUST ACCEPT THE
43:55	20	FACTS AS TRUE FOR PURPOSES OF THE TRIAL.
	21	I WILL SPECIFICALLY INFORM YOU OF
	22	ANY STIPULATIONS.
	23	EACH SIDE HAS THE RIGHT TO OBJECT
	24	TO EVIDENCE OFFERED BY THE OTHER SIDE.
44:08	25	IF I DO NOT AGREE WITH THE OBJECTION, I
	26	WILL SAY OVERRULED. IF I OVERRULE AN
	27	OBJECTION, THE WITNESS WILL ANSWER, AND
	28	YOU MAY CONSIDER THE EVIDENCE.

	1	IF I AGREE WITH THE OBJECTION, I
	2	WILL SAY SUSTAINED. IF I SUSTAIN AN
	3	OBJECTION, YOU MUST IGNORE THE QUESTION.
	4	IF THE WITNESS DID NOT ANSWER, YOU MUST
44:24	5	NOT GUESS WHAT HE OR SHE MIGHT HAVE SAID,
	6	OR WHY I SUSTAINED THE OBJECTION.
	7	IF THE WITNESS HAS ALREADY
	8	ANSWERED, YOU MUST IGNORE THE ANSWER.
	9	AN ATTORNEY MAY ALSO MAKE A
44:37	10	MOTION TO STRIKE TESTIMONY THAT YOU HAVE
	11	HEARD. IF I GRANT SUCH A MOTION, YOU
	12	MUST TOTALLY DISREGARD THAT. YOU MUST
	13	TREAT IT AS THOUGH IT DID NOT EXIST.
	14	A WITNESS IS A PERSON WHO HAS
44:51	15	KNOWLEDGE RELATED TO THIS CASE. YOU
	16	WILL HAVE TO DECIDE WHETHER YOU BELIEVE
	17	EACH WITNESS, AND HOW IMPORTANT EACH
	18	WITNESS'S TESTIMONY IS TO THE CASE.
	19	YOU MAY BELIEVE ALL, PART OR NONE OF A
45:03	20	WITNESS' TESTIMONY.
	21	IN DECIDING WHETHER TO BELIEVE A
	22	WITNESS'S TESTIMONY, YOU MAY CONSIDER,
	23	AMONG OTHER FACTORS, THE FOLLOWING:
	24	HOW WELL DID THE WITNESS HEAR, SEE, OR
45:16	25	OTHERWISE SENSE WHAT HE OR SHE DESCRIBED
	26	IN COURT. HOW WELL DID THE WITNESS
	27	REMEMBER AND DESCRIBE WHAT HAPPENED?
	28	HOW DID THE WITNESS LOOK, ACT OR SPEAK

	1	WHILE TESTIFYING? AND DID THE WITNESS
	2	HAVE ANY REASON TO SAY SOMETHING THAT
	3	WAS NOT TRUE? DID THE WITNESS SHOW
	4	ANY BIAS OR PREJUDICE? DID THE WITNESS
45:37	5	HAVE A PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP WITH ANY
	6	OF THE PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE CASE?
	7	AND DOES THE WITNESS HAVE A PERSONAL
	8	STAKE IN HOW THIS CASE IS DECIDED?
	9	YOU MUST ALSO CONSIDER WHAT WAS
45:48	10	THE WITNESS'S ATTITUDE TOWARD THE CASE,
	11	OR TOWARD THE GIVING OF TESTIMONY.
	12	SOMETIMES A WITNESS MAY SAY SOMETHING
	13	THAT IS NOT CONSISTENT WITH SOMETHING
	14	ELSE HE OR SHE SAID. SOMETIMES
46:00	15	DIFFERENT WITNESSES WILL GIVE
	16	DIFFERENT VERSIONS OF WHAT HAPPENED.
	17	PEOPLE OFTEN FORGET THINGS AND MAKE
	18	MISTAKES IN WHAT THEY REMEMBER.
	19	ALSO, TWO PEOPLE, MAY SEE THE
46:14	20	SAME EVENT, BUT REMEMBER IT
	21	DIFFERENTLY. YOU MAY CONSIDER THESE
	22	DIFFERENCES, BUT DO NOT DECIDE THE
	23	TESTIMONY IS UNTRUE JUST BECAUSE IT
	24	DIFFERS FROM OTHER TESTIMONY.
46:23	25	HOWEVER, IF YOU DECIDE THAT A
	26	WITNESS HAS DELIBERATELY TESTIFIED
	27	UNTRUTHFULLY ABOUT SOMETHING IMPORTANT,
	28	YOU MAY CHOSE NOT TO BELIEVE ANYTHING

	1	THAT WITNESS SAYS. ON THE OTHER HAND,
	2	IF YOU THINK THAT THE WITNESS TESTIFIED
	3	UNTRUTHFULLY ABOUT SOME THINGS, BUT TOLD
	4	THE TRUTH ABOUT OTHERS, YOU MAY ACCEPT
46:42	5	THE PART YOU THINK IS TRUE AND IGNORE
	6	THE REST.
	7	DO NOT MAKE ANY DECISIONS SIMPLY
	8	BECAUSE THERE ARE MORE WITNESSES ON ONE
	9	SIDE THAN ON THE OTHER. IF YOU BELIEVE IT
46:51	10	IS TRUE, THE TESTIMONY OF A SINGLE WITNESS
	11	IS ENOUGH TO PROVE A FACT.
	12	YOU MUST NOT BE BIASED IN FAVOR OF
	13	OR AGAINST ANY WITNESS BECAUSE OF HIS OR
	14	HER DISABILITY, GENDER, RACE, RELIGION,
47:05	15	ETHNICITY, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, AGE,
	16	NATIONAL ORIGIN OR SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS.
	17	SOME TESTIMONY WILL BE GIVEN IN
	18	FRENCH. AN INTERPRETER WILL PROVIDE A
	19	TRANSLATION FOR YOU AT THE TIME THE
47:21	20	TESTIMONY IS GIVEN. YOU MUST RELY
	21	SOLELY ON THE TRANSLATION PROVIDED BY
	22	THE INTERPRETER, EVEN IF YOU UNDERSTAND
	23	THE LANGUAGE SPOKEN BY THE WITNESS.
	24	DO NOT RETRANSLATE ANY TESTIMONY
47:33	25	FOR OTHER JURORS. IF YOU BELIEVE THE
	26	COURT INTERPRETER TRANSLATED TESTIMONY
	27	INCORRECTLY, YOU SHOULD LET ME KNOW BY
	28	CONTACTING THE COURT ATTENDANT IN A
		l l

	_	
	1	WRITTEN NOTE AND GIVING IT TO THE
	2	ATTENDANT.
	3	AS ALTERNATE JURORS, YOU ARE
	4	BOUND BY THE SAME RULES THAT GOVERN
47:52	5	THE CONDUCT OF THE JURORS WHO ARE
	6	SITTING IN THE PANEL. YOU WILL
	7	OBSERVE THE SAME TRIAL AND SHOULD
	8	PAY ATTENTION TO ALL OF MY
	9	INSTRUCTIONS, JUST AS THOUGH YOU WERE
48:02	10	SITTING ON THE PANEL.
	11	SOMETIMES A JUROR NEEDS TO BE
	12	EXCUSED DURING TRIAL FOR ILLNESS OR
	13	FOR SOME OTHER REASON. IF THAT HAPPENS,
	14	AN ALTERNATE WILL BE SELECTED TO TAKE
48:12	15	THE JUROR'S PLACE.
	16	EACH ONE OF US HAS BIASES ABOUT
	17	OR CERTAIN PERCEPTIONS OR STEREOTYPES OF
	18	OTHER PEOPLE. WE MAY BE AWARE OF SOME
	19	OF OUR BIASES, THOUGH WE MAY NOT SHARE
48:27	20	THEM WITH OTHERS. WE MAY NOT BE FULLY
	21	AWARE OF SOME OF OUR OTHER BIASES.
	22	OUR BIASES OFTEN AFFECT HOW WE
	23	ACT FAVORABLY OR UNFAVORABLY TOWARD
	24	SOMEONE. BIASES CAN AFFECT OUR
48:41	25	THOUGHTS, HOW WE REMEMBER, WHAT WE
	26	SEE AND HEAR, WHOM WE BELIEVE OR
	27	DISBELIEVE, AND HOW WE MAKE IMPORTANT
	28	DECISIONS.
		· ·

	1	AS JURORS, YOU ARE BEING
	2	ASKED TO MAKE VERY IMPORTANT
	3	DECISIONS IN THIS CASE. YOU MUST
	4	NOT LET BIAS, PREJUDICE OR PUBLIC
48:56	5	OPINION INFLUENCE YOUR DECISION IN
	6	ANY WAY. YOUR VERDICT MUST BE
	7	BASED SOLELY ON THE EVIDENCE
	8	PRESENTED. YOU MUST CAREFULLY
	9	EVALUATE THE EVIDENCE AND RESIST
49:07	10	ANY URGE TO REACH A VERDICT THAT
	11	IS INFLUENCED BY BIAS FOR, OR
	12	AGAINST, ANY PARTY OR WITNESS.
	13	FROM TIME TO TIME DURING THE
	14	TRIAL, IT MAY BECOME NECESSARY FOR ME
49:22	15	TO TALK WITH THE ATTORNEYS OUT OF THE
	16	HEARING OF THE JURY, EITHER BY HAVING
	17	A CONFERENCE AT THE BENCH, WHEN THE
	18	JURY IS PRESENT IN THE COURTROOM, OR
	19	BY CALLING A RECESS TO DISCUSS MATTERS
49:32	20	OUTSIDE OF YOUR PRESENCE.
	21	THE PURPOSE OF THESE CONFERENCES
	22	IS NOT TO KEEP RELEVANT INFORMATION FROM
	23	YOU, BUT TO DECIDE HOW CERTAIN EVIDENCE
	24	IS TO BE TREATED UNDER THE RULES OF
49:42	25	EVIDENCE.
	26	DON'T BE CONCERNED ABOUT OUR
	27	DISCUSSIONS OR TRY TO GUESS WHAT IS BEING
	28	SAID. I MAY NOT ALWAYS GRANT AN

	1	ATTORNEY'S REQUEST FOR A CONFERENCE. DO
	2	NOT CONSIDER MY GRANTING OR DENYING A
	3	REQUEST FOR A CONFERENCE AS ANY
	4	INDICATION OF MY OPINION ABOUT THE CASE
50:01	5	OR MY VIEW OF THE EVIDENCE.
	6	AS EVIDENCE, IT CAN COME IN MANY
	7	FORMS. IT CAN COME IT CAN BE BY
	8	TESTIMONY ABOUT WHAT SOMEONE SAID OR
	9	HEARD OR SMELLED. IT CAN BE AN EXHIBIT
50:21	10	ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE. AND IT CAN BE
	11	SOMEONE'S OPINION. SOME EVIDENCE
	12	PROVES A FACT DIRECTLY, SUCH AS
	13	TESTIMONY OF A WITNESS WHO SAW A JET
	14	PLANE FLYING ACROSS THE SKY. SOME
50:34	15	EVIDENCE PROVES A FACT INDIRECTLY,
	16	SUCH AS TESTIMONY OF A WITNESS WHO
	17	SAW ONLY THE WHITE TRAIL THAT JET
	18	PLANES LEAVE.
	19	THIS INDIRECT EVIDENCE IS
50:44	20	SOMETIMES REFERRED TO AS CIRCUMSTANTIAL
	21	EVIDENCE. IN EITHER INSTANCE, THE
	22	WITNESS'S TESTIMONY IS EVIDENCE THAT A
	23	JET PLANE FLEW ACROSS THE SKY.
	24	AS FAR AS THE LAW IS CONCERNED,
50:56	25	IT MAKES NO DIFFERENCE WHETHER EVIDENCE
	26	IS DIRECT OR INDIRECT. YOU MAY CHOOSE
	27	TO BELIEVE OR DISBELIEVE EITHER KIND.
	28	WHETHER IT IS DIRECT OR INDIRECT, YOU
		ı

	1	COULD GIVE EVERY PIECE OF EVIDENCE
	2	WHATEVER WEIGHT YOU THINK IT DESERVES.
	3	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, THAT CONCLUDES THE
	4	PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTIONS.
51:16	5	AT THIS TIME, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE OPENING
	6	STATEMENTS. THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE LAWYERS IN THE
	7	CASE TO TELL YOU WHAT THEY BELIEVE THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW
	8	DURING THE COURSE OF THE TRIAL.
	9	AS I'VE INDICATED, THE LAWYERS' STATEMENTS ARE
51:27	10	NOT EVIDENCE, BUT THEY MAY PROVIDE YOU WITH A ROADMAP OR A
	11	GUIDE THAT WILL ASSIST YOU IN LISTENING TO THE EVIDENCE AND
	12	PUTTING THINGS IN PERSPECTIVE DURING THE COURSE OF THE TRIAL.
	13	MR. QUINN?
	14	MR. QUINN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
51:40	15	GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN.
	16	THE JURY: MORNING.
	17	MR. QUINN: AS YOU WILL REMEMBER FROM YESTERDAY, MY
	18	NAME IS JOHN QUINN. AND IN THIS TRIAL, I SPEAK FOR TRUST
	19	COMPANY OF THE WEST.
51:58	20	I INTRODUCED YESTERDAY, TRUST COMPANY OF THE
	21	WEST'S REPRESENTATIVE IN THIS TRIAL, RICHARD VILLA, WHO'S THE
	22	CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER.
	23	I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO INTRODUCE A COUPLE OF
	24	PEOPLE IN THE AUDIENCE WHO ARE IMPORTANT TO THIS CASE, AND WHO
52 <b>:</b> 12	25	WILL BE TESTIFYING. ONE IS MARC STERN. MARC, IF YOU WOULDN'T
	26	MIND STANDING.
	27	MARC IS THE CEO OF TRUST COMPANY OF THE WEST,
	28	AND HE WILL BE A WITNESS IN THIS TRIAL.

1 AND ALSO MICHAEL CAHILL. MICHAEL CAHILL IS A 2 CHIEF IN-HOUSE LAWYER AT TCW, AND I BELIEVE HE WILL BE A 3 WITNESS, ALSO. WE ALL KNOW IT'S AGAINST THE LAW TO STEAL. IT'S AGAINST THE LAW TO STEAL A CAR. IT'S AGAINST THE LAW TO STEAL 5 52:39 MONEY. AND IN CALIFORNIA IT'S AGAINST THE LAW TO STEAL 7 CERTAIN KINDS OF CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY INFORMATION 8 CALLED TRADE SECRETS. 9 THIS CASE IS ABOUT JEFFREY GUNDLACH, WHO IS 10 SITTING IN THE SECOND ROW ON THE RIGHT-HAND SIDE, AND HOW HE 53:00 11 AND OTHER DEFENDANTS, TOP TRUST COMPANY OF THE WEST EMPLOYEES, 12 TRIED TO STEAL AN ENTIRE BUSINESS FROM TCW, WORTH HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS, AND HOW THEY DID, IN FACT, STEAL MASSIVE 13 AMOUNTS OF CONFIDENTIAL PROPRIETARY TRADE SECRET INFORMATION 14 15 FROM TCW SO THAT THEY COULD OPEN THE COMPETING BUSINESS FOR 53:30 16 THEMSELVES AND DESTROY TCW. 17 THIS WAS AN INSIDE JOB. THESE WERE NOT -- THIS 18 ISN'T LIKE BURGLARS WHO BROKE INTO A COMPANY AND TOOK 19 SOMETHING. THESE PEOPLE WERE INSIDERS, ALL HIGHLY TRUSTED OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY. 20 53:52 MR. GUNDLACH WAS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF 21 22 DIRECTORS OF TCW, THE VERY TOP PEOPLE RESPONSIBLE FOR 23 GOVERNING THE COMPANY AND RESPONSIBLE FOR LOOKING OUT FOR THE 2.4 SHAREHOLDERS' INTERESTS. HE WAS ALSO PRESIDENT OF THE MOST 54:13 25 IMPORTANT TCW OPERATING COMPANY. AND HE HELD OTHER VERY 26 SENIOR POSITIONS. 27 HE WASN'T TREATED BADLY BY TCW. IN HIS LAST 28 YEAR AT TCW, RIGHT UP UNTIL THE TIME HE WAS PUT ON LEAVE, AND

1 THEN TERMINATED, IN DECEMBER OF 2009, IN THAT LAST YEAR, 2 MR. GUNDLACH WAS PAID \$40 MILLION. HE WAS FAR AND AWAY THE 3 HIGHEST PAID EMPLOYEE AT TCW. EVEN VERY SENIOR PEOPLE, OTHER VERY SENIOR PEOPLE, MADE NOWHERE NEAR WHAT MR. GUNDLACH MADE. HE HAD BEEN THE HIGHEST PAID PERSON AT THE COMPANY FOR SEVERAL 5 54:54 YEARS. BUT EVEN THOUGH HE WAS SO HIGHLY PAID, YOU ARE 7 8 GOING TO HEAR HE WAS BITTER, HE WAS UNHAPPY, HE WANTED MORE 9 POWER, HE WANTED MORE MONEY. HE WANTED TO BE CEO. HE WANTED 10 TO BE HEAD OF THE FIRM. 55:18 11 WE WILL PROVE TO YOU IN THIS TRIAL THAT THE 12 DEFENDANTS SECRETLY PLOTTED AMONGST THEMSELVES TO LEAVE 13 EN MASSE, TOGETHER, AT ONCE, TAKING AN ENTIRE BUSINESS WITH THEM, AND LEAVING TCW AND ITS CLIENTS IN THE LURCH. WE WILL 14 15 55:39 PROVE THAT THEY STOLE TRADE SECRETS AND CONFIDENTIAL 16 INFORMATION WHICH, IF YOU PRINTED IT ALL OUT AND STACKED IT 17 UP, WOULD BE HIGHER THAN TWO AND A HALF TIMES THE EMPIRE STATE 18 BUILDING, OVER ELEVEN TIMES THE SIZE OF THIS COURTHOUSE THAT 19 WE'RE IN NOW. WE WILL PROVE TO YOU THAT THESE HIGHLY PAID 56:04 20 PEOPLE, WHO HELD POSITIONS OF TRUST, WHO WERE TO BE LEADERS IN 21 THIS COMPANY, LEADERS OF THE 500 ROUGHLY 50 EMPLOYEES, THAT 22 23 THEY BREACHED THE DUTIES THEY OWED TO THE COMPANY, THE 2.4 FIDUCIARY DUTIES, THE DUTIES OF LOYALTY AND FIDELITY, AND THE 56:27 25 DUTY TO DISCLOSE TO TCW THEIR PLANS. THEY DID ALL THIS --26 THEY DID THESE THINGS WHILE THEY WERE BEING HIGHLY PAID, AND 27 WHILE THE PEOPLE AT TCW TRUSTED THEM. 28 AND THEN AFTERWARDS, WHEN THEY WERE CAUGHT, THEY

	1	IMMEDIATELY PUT THEIR SCHEME INTO ACTION AND SET UP
	2	DOUBLELINE. AT THAT TIME, THEY APPROACHED TCW CLIENTS WHO HAD
	3	CONTRACTS WITH TCW AND ATTEMPTED TO PERSUADE THEM TO BREAK
	4	THOSE CONTRACTS. THEY KNEW THEY HAD CONTRACTS, BECAUSE THEY
57:03	5	HAD DESIGNED THOSE CONTRACTS AND THOSE PROGRAMS; BUT IT DIDN'T
	6	MATTER TO THEM.
	7	AND THEIR WORK, ONE OF THE THINGS THEY DID,
	8	HARMED TCW TO THE TUNE OF HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS.
	9	NOW, YOU HAVE ALSO HEARD THAT MR. GUNDLACH IS
57:21	10	SUING TCW, AS WELL, ON AN ORAL CONTRACT ON WHICH YOU ARE GOING
	11	TO HEAR HE CLAIMS HUNDREDS OF MILLION OF DOLLARS.
	12	WE WILL PROVE TO YOU THAT TCW OWES MR. GUNDLACH
	13	NOTHING; THAT BACK IN 2007, HE WAS OFFERED A WRITTEN CONTRACT
	14	TO SIGN. IT WAS PREPARED, IT HAD A SIGNATURE LINE. ALL HE
57:46	15	HAD TO DO WAS SIGN IT.
	16	HE REFUSED. HE REFUSED BECAUSE HE WANTED TO BE
	17	A FREE AGENT. HE WANTED THE FLEXIBILITY TO SHOP THIS BUSINESS
	18	ELSEWHERE; AND AS A RESULT, HE WAS WHAT'S CALLED AN AT-WILL
	19	EMPLOYEE. THAT'S WHAT HE WANTED.
58:05	20	AND YOU WILL HEAR THAT MR. GUNDLACH TOLD PEOPLE
	21	SEVERAL TIMES HIMSELF THAT HE DID NOT HAVE A CONTRACT WITH
	22	TCW. AND WE'LL PROVE TO YOU THAT HE'S ONLY CLAIMING THAT HE
	23	HAD A CONTRACT NOW AS A WAY OF MAKING UP FOR ALL OF THE
	24	DAMAGES THAT TCW IS SEEKING IN THIS ACTION.
58:25	25	HE WANTS TO BE PAID FOR WORK HE NEVER DID UNDER
	26	A CONTRACT HE REFUSED TO SIGN. THAT'S WHAT WE'LL PROVE.
	27	SO LET ME STEP BACK NOW AND TELL YOU A LITTLE
	28	BIT ABOUT WHO THE PARTIES ARE IN THIS CASE, WHO TCW IS, WHO

1 THE DEFENDANTS ARE. NOW, TCW IS A LOCAL FIRM BASED HERE IN 2 DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES. IT WAS STARTED HERE IN LOS ANGELES IN 3 1971 BY AN ANGELENO BY THE NAME OF ROBERT DAY. TODAY IT HAS OVER 500 EMPLOYEES. IN 2001 IT WAS PURCHASED BY A FRENCH COMPANY. 5 59:01 YOU WILL HEAR THAT COMPANY, THE FULL NAME IS SOCIETE GENERALE. I'M SURE I BUTCHERED THE FRENCH NAME. THEY ARE SOMETIMES 8 REFERRED TO AS SOC-JEN. THE OWNERSHIP OF THE FIRM IS NOW ALSO 9 DIVIDED AMONG APPROXIMATELY 160 TCW EMPLOYEES. 10 WE'RE NOT ANY KIND OF BANK, INVESTMENT BANK OR 59:24 OTHERWISE. WE'RE NOT A MORTGAGE COMPANY. WE DON'T MAKE 11 12 MORTGAGE LOANS. WE'RE NOT MORTGAGE BROKERS. WE ARE IN THE 13 BUSINESS OF LOOKING AFTER THE INVESTMENT DOLLARS AND HARD-EARNED SAVINGS OF ORGANIZATIONS LIKE PENSION FUNDS, 14 15 UNIONS, COLLEGES, HOSPITALS, AND SOME INDIVIDUALS, AS WELL. 59:42 16 IT'S OUR JOB TO HELP THESE FOLKS TRY TO EARN EXTRA INCOME AT A 17 HIGHER RATE OF INTEREST, A HIGHER RETURN ON THEIR MONEY, SO 18 THAT THEY CAN, IN TURN, IN THE FUTURE, HAVE THE MONEY THEY 19 NEED TO TAKE CARE OF RETIREES, STUDENTS WHO WILL BE COMING TO 00:07 20 UNIVERSITIES, DECADES FROM NOW, FUTURE NEEDS. THEY COME TO US, THEY ENTRUST THEIR MONEY TO US, 21 22 TO INVEST IT, SO THAT WHEN THEY NEED IT, THAT MONEY WILL GROW 23 OVER TIME, AND THEY'LL HAVE THE MONEY THAT WILL GENERATE A 2.4 RETURN. 00:23 25 THEY INVEST THEIR MONEY TO GROW. THEY HAVE NO 26 CHOICE; THAT MONEY HAS TO GROW. WITH INFLATION, THIS MONEY IS 27 BEING SET ASIDE IN PENSIONS, WITH INFLATION, IF YOU CAN'T FIND 28 THE WAY TO GROW THE MONEY, THEN THE ACTUAL AMOUNT OF THE MONEY

1 IS GOING TO BE EATEN AWAY. UNIVERSITIES THAT HAVE TO PLAN 2 AHEAD FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS OF STUDENTS. 3 HOSPITALS THAT WILL SEE THE NEED TO EXPAND, AND THEY ARE GOING TO NEED THE MONEY IN THE FUTURE FOR NEW 5 FACILITIES, DIFFERENT -- ACTUALLY DIFFERENT TYPES OF 00:52 ORGANIZATIONS LIKE THAT. THEY NEED TO GROW THEIR MONEY. THEY COME TO PLACES LIKE TOW TO HELP THEM DO THAT. 7 8 WE'RE PROFESSIONALS AT INVESTING. THE CLIENTS 9 DECIDE ON EXACTLY WHAT TYPE OF INVESTMENTS WHEN THEY COME TO 10 US, AND WE HAVE A MENU OF CHOICES. THE CLIENTS DECIDE WHAT 01:11 11 TYPE OF INVESTMENTS THEY WANT THEIR MONEY TO GO INTO, AND TCW 12 PROVIDES THEM WITH OPTIONS. 13 BROADLY SPEAKING, YOU CAN DIVIDE INVESTMENTS 14 INTO ROUGHLY TWO TYPES OF INVESTMENTS; ON ONE HAND, STOCKS, AND ON THE OTHER HAND, BONDS. I'M SURE YOU ARE ALL FAMILIAR 15 01:27 16 WITH THESE. AND STOCKS ARE LIKE INTEREST IN A COMPANY LIKE 17 GOOGLE OR APPLE COMPUTER. YOU MIGHT BUY THE STOCK, AND HOPING OVER TIME, THAT IT WILL GROW. 18 19 BONDS CAN BE A BIT MORE COMPLICATED. A BOND IS 20 A KIND OF DEBT. IT'S A PROMISE TO PAY BACK MONEY WITH 01:45 21 INTEREST. AND WHEN YOU BUY A BOND, YOU GET WHAT'S CALLED A 22 FIXED INCOME. YOU GET A FIXED RETURN, BASICALLY, IT'S IN THE 23 FORMS OF INTEREST PAYMENTS ON THE BONDS. 2.4 YOU CAN BUY A BOND FROM THE GOVERNMENT OR 02:02 25 VARIOUS TYPES OF GOVERNMENT ENTITIES. TYPICALLY THOSE ARE AT 26 THE LOWEST INTEREST RATES. THE GOVERNMENTS, AT LEAST IN THE 27 PAST, HAVE ALWAYS BEEN PRETTY GOOD AT PAYING OFF THEIR BONDS; 28 AT LEAST IN THE PAST, PERCEIVED TO BE LESS RISK WITH

1 GOVERNMENT BONDS. YOU CAN ALSO BUY BONDS ISSUED BY BIG COMPANIES 2 3 LIKE BOEING OR GENERAL MOTORS, OR COMPANIES LIKE THAT, AND THAT WILL GIVE YOU A LITTLE BIT HIGHER RATE OF INTEREST. THIS CASE CONCERNED A VERY SPECIALIZED TYPE OF 5 02:30 BOND. IT'S CALLED A MORTGAGE-BACKED BOND, OR A MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITY. 7 8 MORTGAGE BONDS ARE BASED ON THEIR -- THEY ARE 9 BASED ON MORTGAGES THAT HAVE BEEN PACKAGED TOGETHER, PACKAGED 10 TOGETHER AND SOLD OFF BY THE BANKS, YOU KNOW, THAT GAVE THOSE 02:47 11 MORTGAGE LOANS, PACKAGED TOGETHER, AND THEN THE BONDS ARE 12 ISSUED, BASED ON THAT PACKAGE. 13 THE INVESTOR GETS A STEADY STREAM OF INCOME, BASED ON THE INTEREST ON THE MONTHLY MORTGAGE PAYMENTS FROM 14 15 03:04 THE MORTGAGES THAT ARE IN THAT PACKAGE. DO YOU SEE WHAT I'M 16 SAYING? 17 AND THIS KIND OF MORTGAGE BOND IS CALLED A 18 MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITY. THAT IS THE PART OF TCW'S BUSINESS, 19 PRIMARILY, THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE TALKING ABOUT HERE. 20 IN 2009, WHICH IS WHEN MOST OF THE EVENTS IN 03:19 THIS CASE TOOK PLACE, THE MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES BUSINESS 21 WAS THE BIGGEST PART OF TCW'S BUSINESS. IT WAS ROUGHLY OVER 22 23 HALF THE MONEY THAT TCW MANAGED. 2.4 AND BY THE WAY, YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR A TERM AUM, OR ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT. AND YOU WILL HEAR MILLIONS 03:36 25 26 OF DOLLARS. THOSE ARE INVESTORS' MONEY THAT'S BEEN ENTRUSTED 27 TO TCW. THAT'S NOT TCW'S MONEY. THAT'S MONEY THAT FOLKS HAVE GIVEN TO US TO INVEST. THAT'S AUM, OR ASSETS UNDER 28

MANAGEMENT.

1

2

3

5

8

9

28

BUT OVER HALF THE ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT THAT TCW HAD BACK IN 2009 WAS INVESTED IN THESE MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES, OR ALSO CALLED MBS. AND IT ACCOUNTED AT THAT TIME FOR ROUGHLY JUST ABOUT HALF THE COMPANY'S ENTIRE REVENUE; SO YOU CAN UNDERSTAND HOW IMPORTANT THIS BUSINESS WAS TO TCW.

IF YOU ARE SMART, AND IF YOU ARE CAREFUL, AND IF YOU KNOW WHAT YOU ARE DOING, YOU CAN GET A HIGHER RATE OF RETURN FOR YOUR CLIENTS WHO ENTRUST MONEY TO YOU WITH MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES, BUT YOU HAVE TO BE VERY, VERY CAREFUL. YOU REALLY HAVE TO KNOW WHAT YOU ARE DOING.

AN INVESTOR MIGHT BUY INTO A BOND WHERE THE UNDERLYING MORTGAGES, A LOT OF THEM MIGHT GO INTO DEFAULT OR FORECLOSURE. AND IF YOU DO THAT, IT COULD TURN OUT THAT THE BONDS COULD BE WORTHLESS.

SO HOW DOES TOW DECIDE WHAT BONDS TO BUY? REMEMBER, TCW DOESN'T MAKE THE MORTGAGE LOANS ITSELF. IT DOESN'T HAVE A RELATIONSHIP WITH THE BORROWERS, IT GETS IN AFTER THE FACT, AFTER THE MORTGAGE LOANS HAVE BEEN MADE. THOSE LOANS HAVE BEEN PUT TOGETHER IN A PACKAGE, AND THEN A BOND IS ISSUED, BASED ON THAT.

SO HOW DO INVESTMENT PROFESSIONALS AT TCW AND OTHER PLACES DECIDE WHICH ONES TO BUY? WHICH BONDS TO BUY, HOW DO YOU DECIDE?

THE KEY, IT TURNS OUT, IS GETTING THE RIGHT INFORMATION. AND TCW DEVELOPED SOME REALLY GREAT WAYS OF GETTING THE KIND OF INFORMATION THAT YOU NEED. IT DEVELOPED SOME VERY SPECIALIZED COMPUTER INTERROGATORIES TO ANALYZE

1 THESE PACKAGES OF LOANS. THIS ISN'T THE TYPE OF COMPUTER PROGRAM THAT YOU 2 3 CAN WALK INTO OFFICE DEPOT AND GET. IT'S HIGHLY SOPHISTICATED. THEY CAN LOOK DOWN INTO THE PACKAGES OF LOANS AND EVEN LOOK INTO THE INDIVIDUAL LOAN LEVEL. WHO IS THE 5 05:49 SERVICER? WHERE IS IT? GET INFORMATION ABOUT REAL ESTATE VALUES. IT'S VERY, VERY COMPLEX. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT 7 8 PACKAGES OF THOUSANDS OF LOANS. 9 THE DEFENDANTS WILL SAY THAT THERE'S NOTHING 10 REALLY SPECIAL ABOUT THESE ANALYTICS, THESE PROGRAMS THAT WERE 05:59 11 DEVELOPED AT TCW, THAT YOU CAN BUY THESE PROGRAMS OFF THE SHELF. THAT'S SIMPLY NOT TRUE. IT DOESN'T EXIST. WE'LL 12 13 PROVE THAT TO YOU. YOU'VE GOT THIS TYPE OF HIGHLY SPECIALIZED 14 15 COMPUTER CAPABILITY YOU'VE GOT TO BUILD YOURSELF. AND OVER A 06:15 16 PERIOD OF MANY YEARS, TCW HAD MANY SMART PEOPLE AND SPENT 17 MILLIONS OF DOLLARS TO DEVELOP THOSE PROGRAMS FOR ANALYZING 18 THESE PACKAGES OF LOANS THAT LAY UNDERNEATH THESE -- THAT 19 SUPPORTED THESE BONDS. IT BOUGHT INFORMATION, IT BUILT ITS 20 OWN RESEARCH, AND IT BUILT ITS -- AND WE CALL THIS CHECKCHECK. 06:37 SO YOU MAY HEAR THAT REFERRED TO IN THE CASE. 21 22 AND THAT'S WHAT WE'RE REALLY TALKING ABOUT. 23 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SOME HIGHLY COMPLEX COMPUTER PROGRAMS AND 2.4 CAPABILITIES THAT PERMIT THIS TYPE OF ANALYSIS. 06:53 25 IN FACT, THE FIRST WITNESS WHO WILL TAKE THE 26 STAND TODAY IS A MAN AT TCW BY THE NAME OF DAN KALE, AND HE'LL 27 TALK TO YOU A LITTLE ABOUT THESE PROGRAMS AND WHAT THEY DO, 28 AND AT WHAT EXPENSE AT TCW.

1 BUT THE POINT IS THAT BY USING THESE ANALYTICS, 2 TCW CAN GET MORE INFORMATION, MAKE GOOD DECISIONS ABOUT 3 MORTGAGE-BACKED BONDS, WHICH ONES TO BUY, AND WHAT -- AND MAKE DETERMINATIONS ABOUT WHAT WOULD BE A GOOD PRICE. AND ALSO, DO 5 THAT IN A QUICK WAY, DO IT IN A TIMELY WAY; BECAUSE THIS IS A 07:26 COMPETITIVE BUSINESS. THERE'S OTHER PEOPLE TRYING TO DO THE SAME THING. BUT IT'S A TREMENDOUS ADVANTAGE WHEN YOU HAVE 8 THIS TYPE OF INFORMATION. TREMENDOUS. BECAUSE MAKING THAT 9 VERY BEST DECISION -- THAT BEST INVESTMENT DECISION IS ALL 10 ABOUT HAVING THE RIGHT INFORMATION. 07:45 11 AND YOU ARE GOING TO LEARN THAT THE PROGRAM THAT 12 WAS DEVELOPED AT TCW FOR DOING THIS, THE TCW ANALYTICS, WERE 13 JUST ABOUT THE BEST IN THE WORLD. IT TOOK A LONG TIME TO DO THIS: TOOK A LOT OF PEOPLE'S EFFORTS, AND IT COST A LOT OF 14 15 08:00 MONEY. YOU CAN'T BUY THESE ANYWHERE. THIS IS LIKE THE RECIPE 16 FOR KENTUCKY FRIED CHICKEN. THESE WERE TCW TRADE SECRETS. 17 THEY ARE PROPRIETARY. 18 AND YOU ARE GOING TO LEARN THAT MR. GUNDLACH AND 19 THE OTHER DEFENDANTS STOLE IT. THEY STOLE TCW'S ANALYTICS, 20 THE ENTIRE SYSTEM. THEY STOLE IT AS PART OF A PLAN THAT THEY 08:22 21 HATCHED AND PROMOTED INSIDE TCW WHILE THEY WERE WORKING THERE, 22 TO SABOTAGE TCW, TO GET THEIR OWN BUSINESS UP AND RUNNING LIKE 23 THAT, SO THEY'D BE READY TO GO, AND TCW WOULD BE UNABLE TO 2.4 THEY BETRAYED TOW AND THE CONFIDENCE AND TRUST THAT 08:47 25 TCW PLACED IN THEM. 26

27

28

1 TYPES OF ORGANIZATIONS, CLIENTS, WHO ENTRUST THEIR MONEY TO 2 TCW, THE PENSION FUNDS, THE COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES, THE 3 UNIONS? AS YOU CAN IMAGINE, EACH ONE OF THESE ORGANIZATIONS HAS THEIR OWN PRIVATE INFORMATION, PRIVATE DATA 5 09:12 THAT THEY ENTRUST TO THEIR MONEY MANAGER. THIS INCLUDES 7 PRIVATE INFORMATION ABOUT THEMSELVES, THEIR CONTACT 8 INFORMATION, TAX INFORMATION, BANK ACCOUNT INFORMATION, THEIR 9 INVESTMENT HOLDINGS, THEIR CONTRACT TERMS, ALL THAT TYPE OF 10 09:29 DATA. 11 EACH OF THESE INSTITUTIONS HAVE THEIR OWN SPECIAL WAY OF INVESTING. THEIR OWN PREFERENCES. THEY HAVE 12 13 THEIR OWN PREFERENCES AND HISTORY ABOUT WHAT TYPES OF STOCKS AND BONDS AND INVESTMENTS THEY MAY LIKE TO MAKE. AND THEY 14 15 HAVE THEIR OWN VERY UNIQUE HISTORY AND WHAT THEIR HOLDINGS 09:50 16 ARE. THIS IS ALL VERY PRIVATE INFORMATION. AND OVER THE 17 YEARS, TCW DEVELOPED A VERY, VERY LARGE LIBRARY OF THIS TYPE OF INFORMATION ABOUT ITS CLIENTS. WE CALL THIS INFORMATION 18 19 CLIENT INFORMATION. 20 WE'RE NOT JUST TALKING HERE ABOUT A FEW PHONE 10:15 NUMBERS AND A HANDFUL OF CONTACTS. THIS IS A VAST QUANTITY OF 21 22 VERY, VERY DETAILED INFORMATION. IT'S VERY SECRET STUFF 23 BETWEEN TCW AND ITS CLIENTS, AND PEOPLE AT TCW, INCLUDING TOP 2.4 LEVEL EMPLOYEES, ARE TRAINED ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OF MAINTAINING CONFIDENTIALITY. AND THIS IS CONFIDENTIAL AND 10:35 25 26 PROPRIETARY INFORMATION. 27 AT TCW, IN THE HR DEPARTMENT, OTHER COMPLIANCE DEPARTMENTS, RUN TRAINING PROGRAMS. PEOPLE ARE TAUGHT THIS. 28

1 IT'S IN THE HANDBOOK. THERE'S NO SURPRISE. THERE'S ANNUAL 2 CERTIFICATIONS THAT EMPLOYEES HAVE TO SIGN SAYING, I 3 UNDERSTAND THIS IS PRIVATE. I NEED TO PROTECT THIS. AS YOU CAN IMAGINE, FOR A NEW FIRM JUST STARTING OUT, IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE FOR THEM TO GET TO KNOW CLIENTS 5 11:03 THAT WELL, TO GET ALL THAT TYPE OF DATA. BUT WHEN DEFENDANTS STARTED THEIR NEW BUSINESS, DOUBLELINE, THEY DIDN'T WANT TO DO ALL THE HARD WORK FOR 8 9 MONTHS AND MONTHS, TO REINTRODUCE THEMSELVES TO THESE 10 INSTITUTIONS; IN SOME CASES, INTRODUCE THEMSELVES FOR THE 11:21 FIRST TIME. THEY DIDN'T WANT TO WAIT MONTHS OR EVEN WEEKS; 11 THEY DIDN'T WANT TO WAIT A SINGLE DAY. 12 13 AND THEY DIDN'T HAVE TO, BECAUSE THEY STOLE ALL THAT PRIVATE CLIENT INFORMATION. ALL OF IT. THEY STOLE 14 15 INFORMATION ON OVER 24,000 CONTACTS, OVER 4,500 CLIENTS. NOT 11:40 16 JUST E-MAIL ADDRESSES, PHONE NUMBERS AND NAMES OF CONTACTS. 17 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT KEY CONTACTS, BANK ACCOUNTS, HOLDINGS INFORMATION, INVESTMENT HISTORY. ALL THAT PRIVATE INFORMATION 18 19 WAS TAKEN. EVERY PIECE OF THE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION THAT 12:07 20 CLIENTS HAD ENTRUSTED TO TCW WAS STOLEN, RIGHT DOWN TO THE NOTES OF PRIVATE MEETINGS THAT TCW HAD HAD WITH ITS CLIENTS. 21 22 MOST -- NOW UNDERSTAND, MOST OF THESE CLIENTS 23 THAT I'M TALKING ABOUT WERE PEOPLE THAT MR. GUNDLACH AND HIS 2.4 GROUP HAD NEVER EVEN MET. THEY WEREN'T CLIENTS OF THE 12:28 25 MORTGAGED-BACKED SECURITIES GROUP, CLIENTS OF OTHER PARTS OF 26 THE FIRM. THEY TOOK IT ALL, NOT JUST THEIR OWN CLIENTS, 27 PEOPLE WHO HAD NOT INVESTED WITH THEM BEFORE. THESE PEOPLE 28 WERE NOT MR. GUNDLACH AND HIS GROUP'S PARTNERS, THEY WERE

TCW'S PARTNERS.

2.4

12:59

13:22

13:40

13:58

14:19

BUT THEY DIDN'T CARE. THEY NEEDED THAT

INFORMATION FROM DAY ONE, IF THEY WERE GOING TO BE OPEN FOR

BUSINESS, AND SEAMLESSLY BE ABLE TO TELL THE WORLD, WE'RE

HERE, AND TCW CAN'T SERVICE THIS BUSINESS. THE

MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITY GROUP OF TCW IS GONE, WHO'S MANAGING

YOUR MONEY; BUT WE'RE HERE. WE'RE UP AND RUNNING.

IT WAS KEY TO THEIR PLAN THAT THEY HAVE IT ALL AND THEY'D BE ABLE TO BE OPEN FOR BUSINESS IMMEDIATELY. THEIR PLAN WAS TO LEAVE SUDDENLY, WITH LITTLE OR NO NOTICE THAT THEY WERE GOING. THAT WAS KEY, SO THAT THERE WOULD BE NO ONE AT TCW WHO COULD MANAGE THIS SPECIAL TYPE OF MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES BUSINESS.

TCW WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO COMPETE. THE PEOPLE WITH THIS EXPERTISE WOULD BE GONE. THEY'D BE ACROSS THE STREET. ALL THE BUSINESS WOULD GO TO DOUBLELINE, THEIR NEW COMPANY. THAT WAS THE PLAN.

AND THAT'S PART OF WHAT THIS TRIAL IS ABOUT:

DEFENDANTS STEALING DATA, MASSIVELY, COMPUTER PROGRAMS, THE

ANALYTICS, AND THE CONFIDENTIAL CLIENT INFORMATION, SO THAT

THEY COULD IMMEDIATELY BE IN BUSINESS. THE ANALYTICS, THAT

WOULD IMMEDIATELY PERMIT THEM TO ANALYZE AND TRADE THESE

MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES, AND THEY CAN TELL THE WORLD THAT

THEY DID, WE'VE REPLICATED THE SYSTEM, WE HAVE THE SYSTEM HERE

A DOUBLELINE, AND ALL THE CLIENT INFORMATION, AS WELL.

FOLKS, THEY DID THESE THINGS I'M DESCRIBING TO
YOU. THEY ALL DID THIS WHILE THEY WERE STILL AT TCW, HOLDING
TOP POSITIONS, BEING PAID VERY, VERY WELL. THEY PLOTTED THE

1 DESTRUCTION OF TCW. 2 AND YOU KNOW THEY ENLISTED OTHER TCW EMPLOYEES 3 TO HELP THEM, WITHOUT TELLING THEM -- WITHOUT TELLING THEM THAT THEY WERE BEING ENLISTED TO HELP DEVELOP A PLAN TO ATTACK 5 THEIR OWN EMPLOYER. THEY'D BETRAYED THE TRUST OF THEIR OWN 14:52 EMPLOYEES, AS YOU WILL HEAR. LET ME TALK A LITTLE BIT NOW ABOUT MR. GUNDLACH 7 8 AND THE OTHER INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS. 9 MR. GUNDLACH IS A VERY TALENTED BOND EXPERT, 10 BOND TRADER. THERE'S NO QUESTION ABOUT THAT. HE IS HIGHLY 15:13 11 SKILLED. AND HE HAS BEEN VERY SUCCESSFUL, AS YOU'VE HEARD; 12 BUT HE OWES A LOT OF IT, MOST OF IT, TO TCW. 13 MR. GUNDLACH WENT TO DARTMOUTH COLLEGE. HE WENT TO GRADUATE SCHOOL AT YALE. HE WAS WORKING AT A -- 25, 26 14 15 YEARS AGO BEFORE HE CAME TO TCW, HE WAS WORKING AT 15:44 16 TRANSAMERICA, AN INSURANCE COMPANY, AND HE SAW A TELEVISION SHOW. IT USED TO -- I DON'T THINK IT'S ON ANYMORE. SOME OF 17 18 YOU MAY REMEMBER IT, THE LIFESTYLES OF THE RICH AND FAMOUS, 19 ROBIN LEACH. HE SAW THIS SHOW AND SAID, THAT'S FOR ME. HOW 20 DO I GET THERE? 16:03 21 AND HE DID SOME RESEARCH AND SAID, INVESTMENT 22 BANKING IS THE BEST WAY TO GET REALLY RICH. AND HE WENT OUT 23 TO THE YELLOW PAGES. AND HE LOOKED FOR INVESTMENT BANKS. AND 2.4 I GUESS THE INVESTMENT BANKS -- THE GOLDMAN SACHS OF THE WORLD 16:20 25 DON'T LIST THEMSELVES IN THE YELLOW PAGES. BUT HE GOT 26 INVESTMENT ADVISORY FIRMS, AND HE SENT OUT A BUNCH OF LETTERS. 27 AND SOMEBODY TOLD HIM, HEY, AS LONG AS YOU ARE DOING THAT, 28 THERE'S A NICE FIRM IN LOS ANGELES YOU SHOULD CONTACT CALLED

	1	TCW. AND HE WROTE THAT LETTER TO TCW, TOO. AND LO AND	
	2	BEHOLD, HE GOT THE JOB INTERVIEW AT TCW, AND HE GOT A JOB.	
	3	HIS JOB, WHEN HE STARTED, HE WAS ASKED, DO YOU	
	4	WANT TO WORK IN EQUITIES OR DEBT? DO YOU WANT TO WORK IN	
16:49	5	STOCKS OR BONDS? HE SAYS, WHAT'S THAT? HE DIDN'T KNOW THE	
	6	DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A STOCK AND A BOND AT TCW.	
	7	OVER THE NEXT 24 YEARS HE CERTAINLY LEARNED, AND	
	8	WAS INCREDIBLY SUCCESSFUL WITH THE COMPANY. HE WORKED HIS WAY	
	9	UP FROM JUST MANAGING FUNDS IN THE FIELD TO BECOMING ONE OF	
17:11 10 THE VERY TOP LEADERS IN TCW AS A WHOLE. AI		THE VERY TOP LEADERS IN TCW AS A WHOLE. ALONG THE WAY, THERE	
	11	WERE SOME TIMES WHEN HE MADE SOME INVESTMENTS THAT DIDN'T TURN	
	12	OUT SO WELL. LAWSUITS HAPPEN.	
	13	MR. BRIAN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, 352.	
14		THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
17:30	15	GO AHEAD.	
	16	MR. QUINN: TCW, DURING THESE LAWSUITS, STOOD BY HIM,	
	17	DEFENDED HIM, PROVIDED HIM WITH A LAWYER.	
	18	BY DECEMBER 4, 2009, WHEN THIS CONSPIRACY WAS	
	19	DISRUPTED, AND WE CONFRONTED THESE PEOPLE ABOUT THEIR	
17:48	20	ACTIVITIES, AT THAT TIME, HE WAS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF	
	21	DIRECTORS OF THE WHOLE COMPANY, RESPONSIBLE TO THE	
	22	SHAREHOLDERS FOR THE SAFEKEEPING OF THE COMPANY'S ASSETS. HE	
	23	WAS THE PRESIDENT OF THE MOST IMPORTANT COMPANY, AND HE WAS	
	24	SOMETHING CALLED THE CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER, WHICH AT AN	
18:07	25	INVESTMENT COMPANY IS A REALLY BIG DEAL. HE WAS THE CHIEF	
	26	INVESTMENT OFFICER OF A COMPANY WHOSE SOLE BUSINESS WAS	
	27	INVESTING.	
	28	AND AS I TOLD YOU, THIS MORTGAGE-BACKED	

	1	SECURITIES GROUP THAT WORKED UNDER HIM WAS KEY TO THE WHOLE
	2	COMPANY'S BUSINESS. IT ACCOUNTED FOR 60 PERCENT OF THE ASSETS
	3	UNDER MANAGEMENT. AGAIN, THAT'S THE CLIENTS' MONEY, NOT TCW
	4	MONEY, AND ABOUT 50 PERCENT OF THE REVENUE.
18:36	5	NOW, AS A DIRECTOR, HE'S A FIDUCIARY. AND THAT
	6	MEANS, HE'S SUPPOSED TO TREAT THE COMPANY WITH SCRUPULOUS GOOD
	7	FAITH. HE OWES SPECIAL DUTIES THAT OTHER EMPLOYEES DON'T OWE
	8	TO THE COMPANY.
	9	IT MEANS THAT, CERTAINLY AT A MINIMUM, YOU ARE
18:55	10	NOT SUPPOSED TO BE DOING ANYTHING TO HARM THE COMPANY. YOU
	11	ARE NOT SUPPOSED TO DO ANYTHING WHICH CAUSES YOU TO PERSONALLY
	12	BENEFIT AT THE COMPANY'S EXPENSE, IF YOU ARE A FIDUCIARY.
	13	THIS IS BASIC. THESE ARE YOUR DUTIES.
	14	AND OBVIOUSLY, YOU SHOULDN'T BE STEALING THE
19:13	15	COMPANY'S TRADE SECRETS OR SECRETLY CREATING A COMPETITOR
	16	INSIDE TCW AND PLANNING TO LAUNCH THAT NEW BUSINESS IN A WAY
	17	THAT WILL BE DESTRUCTIVE. AND AS LONG AS HE WAS A FIDUCIARY,
	18	A TOP OFFICER, A PRESIDENT, CIO, CHIEF INVESTMENT
	19	OFFICER/DIRECTOR, HE HAD A DUTY TO DISCLOSE TO THE COMPANY ANY
19:39	20	ACTIVITIES HE WAS ENGAGED IN THAT MIGHT BE HARMFUL TO THE
	21	COMPANY. HE COULDN'T JUST KEEP HIS LIPS SEALED.
	22	LOWER LEVEL EMPLOYEES, DIFFERENT RULES APPLY.
	23	TOP GUY, DIFFERENT RULES APPLY.
	24	TCW, I TOLD YOU HE WAS TALENTED. HE WAS GOOD.
19:59	25	MADE MONEY FOR THE COMPANY. MADE MONEY FOR HIMSELF.
	26	AND TCW PROMOTED HIM. TCW MADE HIM THE PUBLIC
	27	FACE OF THE COMPANY. IT PUT HIM ON TELEVISION, MAGAZINES,
	28	WEBCASTS, COMPANY ORGANIZED CONFERENCES ALL AROUND THE UNITED

STATES, DIFFERENT CITIES, SOME ABROAD, TO FEATURE HIM, PUT HIM 1 2 ON PANELS THAT HE WOULD ORGANIZE. SPENT MILLIONS OF DOLLARS 3 PROMOTING MR. GUNDLACH AND THEY PAID HIM VERY, VERY WELL. THAT FIRST JOB, WHEN HE SHOWED UP, HE'D BEEN WORKING AT TRANSAMERICA AND AFTER SEEING THE ROBIN LEACH 5 20:31 SHOW -- HE WAS MAKING \$30,000 A YEAR IN 1985. BY 1999 HE WAS MAKING MORE THAN 10 MILLION A YEAR. HIS LAST FOUR YEARS HE 7 MADE MORE THAN 20 MILLION EVERY YEAR. HIS LAST YEAR, I TOLD 8 9 YOU, WASN'T A FULL YEAR. HE MADE OVER \$40 MILLION. IN THAT 10 YEAR IF YOU WORK IT OUT, TCW WAS PAYING HIM ABOUT \$20,000 AN 20:57 HOUR, BUT IT WASN'T ENOUGH. IT WASN'T ENOUGH. IN THAT SAME 11 YEAR, IN 2009, THAT'S WHEN THEY BEGAN TO STEAL. THAT'S WHEN 12 13 THEY PUT THIS PLAN IN ACTION. ANOTHER DEFENDANT IS A MAN BY THE NAME OF CHRIS 14 15 21:24 SANTA ANA. NOW, MR. SANTA ANA WAS ALSO AN OFFICER OF TCW. 16 WAS A MANAGING DIRECTOR, ONE OF THE HIGHEST POSITIONS, 17 ACTUALLY. AND HE WAS THE CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER OF THE 18 MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES GROUP, KEY GUY IN THAT GROUP. 19 WHILE HE WAS A MANAGING DIRECTOR, AT 20 MR. GUNDLACH'S DIRECTION, HE STOLE MASSIVE OUANTITIES OF TCW 21:41 PROPRIETARY DATA AND SOFTWARE AND DIRECTED HIS SUBORDINATES TO 21 22 DO THE SAME THING, ENLISTED OTHER PEOPLE, IN OTHER WORDS. HE 23 NOW WORKS AS CHIEF RISK OFFICER AT DOUBLELINE. 2.4 DEFENDANT BARBARA VANEVERY WAS ALSO AN OFFICER 22:03 25 AT TCW. SHE WAS A SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT. SHE HAD VERY 26 IMPORTANT JOB. WHILE SHE WAS A SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT AT TCW 27 SHE HELPED OTHER DEFENDANTS STEAL CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION 28 ABOUT TCW'S CLIENTS, YOU WILL HEAR, AND WORKED TO SET UP THEIR

OFFICES FOR DOUBLELINE, FOR THE BUSINESS THEY WERE PLANNING. 1 2 SHE NOW WORKS AT DOUBLELINE AS DIRECTOR OF COMMUNICATIONS. 3 DEFENDANT, JEFF MAYBERRY WAS ALSO AN OFFICER AT TCW, ALSO A FIDUCIARY. HE WAS A SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT. HE ASSISTED MR. SANTA ANA IN RUNNING THE MORTGAGED-BACKED 5 22:41 SECURITIES GROUP WHILE HE WAS A SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT. HE 7 PERSONALLY STOLE MASSIVE AMOUNTS OF PROPRIETARY DATA, TRADE 8 SECRET INFORMATION, AND HELPED OTHERS TO DO LIKEWISE. HE'S 9 NOW A DIRECTOR OF MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES ANALYTIC AT 10 DOUBLELINE. 23:03 11 LET ME BACK UP NOW, AND TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT 12 MR. GUNDLACH'S CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIP WITH TCW BECAUSE YOU 13 HAVE HEARD ABOUT THIS ORAL CONTRACT THAT'S WORTH HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. 14 15 THE FACTS ARE THE FOLLOWING: FROM 1989, ALL THE 23:19 16 WAY THROUGH 2007, 18 YEARS, MR. GUNDLACH HAD A SERIES OF 17 WRITTEN CONTRACTS WITH TCW, EACH OF THEM WOULD BE FOR A 18 DEFINED PERIOD OF TIME; THREE YEARS, FOUR YEARS, TWO YEARS, 19 WHATEVER. AND WHEN ONE CONTRACT WAS CLOSE TO LAPSING, HE 20 WOULD NEGOTIATE A NEW ONE. AND FOR 18 YEARS THAT'S HOW IT 23:38 WENT, ALWAYS HAD A WRITTEN AGREEMENT. 21 THE LAST ONE THAT HE SIGNED WAS IN 2003 AND IT 22 23 EXTENDED THROUGH THE END OF 2007; THAT'S WHEN IT WOULD EXPIRE. 2.4 AND AS THEY HAD IN THE PAST, IN MID 2007 MR. GUNDLACH AND TCW 23:58 25 BEGAN TALKING ABOUT A NEW CONTRACT. AND ON MAY 3RD, 2007 TCW'S GENERAL COUNSEL, MICHAEL CAHILL, SENT MR. CONTRACT (SIC) 26 27 A NEW DRAFT OF THE NEW CONTRACT PROVIDED HE WOULD WORK AT TCW UNTIL DECEMBER 31, 2011. IT WOULD EXPIRE AT THE END OF THIS 28

	1	YEAR. AND IT ALSO SAID, AS SOME OF THE PREVIOUS CONTRACTS HAD	
	2	SAID, HE COULD ONLY BE TERMINATED FOR GROSS MISCONDUCT. AND	
	3	THIS CONTRACT ALSO INCLUDED, AS AN EXHIBIT, AN ATTACHMENT TO	
	4	THE CONTRACT, A NEW COMPENSATION FORM, WHICH WOULD BE VERY	
24:36	5	FAVORABLE TO MR. GUNDLACH AND ALSO VERY FAVORABLE TO TCW. YOU	
	6	WILL SEE BOTH SIDES WERE HAPPY WITH THIS COMPENSATION FORM.	
	7	MR. CAHILL SENT IT TO MR. GUNDLACH; MR. GUNDLACH	
	8	DIDN'T SIGN IT; DIDN'T RESPOND AT ALL. SO ON MAY 20TH, ABOUT	
	9	THREE WEEKS LATER, MR. CAHILL SENT THE CONTRACT TO HIM AGAIN,	
24:58	10	HERE'S THE CONTRACT. IT'S GOT THE SIGNATURE LINE AT THE END,	
	11	AGAIN, IF YOU WANT TO CLOSE THIS UP.	
	12	THIS TIME MR. GUNDLACH GAVE HIM SOME COMMENTS,	
	13	SOME SUGGESTIONS, CHANGE IN LANGUAGE, THINGS LIKE THAT. HE	
	14	HAD SPOKEN TO SOMEONE AND GOTTEN SOME ADVICE. SO MR. CAHILL	
25:15	15	PREPARED A REVISED VERSION OF THAT CONTRACT AND SENT IT TO	
	16	MR. GUNDLACH ON JULY 7TH, 2007. YOU WILL SEE THAT DRAFT.	
	17	IT'S THE LAST DRAFT THAT WAS DONE. IT'S EXHIBIT 66.	
	18	THAT CONTRACT, LIKE ALL THE OTHERS, PROVIDED	
	19	THAT IF YOU WANT TO BE BOUND BY THIS, IF YOU WANT THIS	
25:36	20	CONTRACT, YOU NEED TO SIGN IT. IT SAYS IT'S BINDING UPON	
	21	EXECUTION. HE NEVER DID. MR. GUNDLACH WENT RADIO SILENT. HE	
	22	DIDN'T WANT TO BE LOCKED IN.	
	23	I MEAN, THE FACTS, THE EVIDENCE, THE HISTORY	
25:55	24	WILL SHOW WHY HE REFUSED TO SIGN THAT CONTRACT. THEY NOW SAID	
	25	THERE WAS AN ORAL AGREEMENT. BUT WHAT I'M TELLING YOU WILL BE	
	26	UNDISPUTED IN THE EVIDENCE. WE HAVE TO SIGN A WRITTEN	
	27	AGREEMENT. HE NEGOTIATED IT; DIDN'T SIGN IT.	
	28	WELL, EVEN THOUGH HE DIDN'T SIGN THE CONTRACT, I	

1 TOLD YOU IT HAD THIS NEW FAVORABLE COMPENSATION FORMULA AND HE 2 WANTED THAT FORMULA TO GO INTO EFFECT IMMEDIATELY, I THINK IN 3 JUNE OR JULY OF THAT YEAR, 2007. SO HE AND TCW AGREED THAT EVEN THOUGH HE HADN'T SIGNED THE CONTRACT, WE'LL PUT THAT NEW FORMULA INTO EFFECT. AND YOU WILL BE PAID ON THE BASIS OF 5 26:24 THAT FORMULA. AND HE WAS. THAT'S THE BASIS ON WHICH HE WAS 7 PAID. 8 AND YOU WILL -- YOU HEARD MR. BRIAN YESTERDAY 9 REFERRING TO, IN HIS COMMENTS, REFERRED TO E-MAILS SAYING, WE 10 HAVE A DEAL. THAT'S WHAT HE'S TALKING ABOUT. THE EVIDENCE 26:41 11 WILL BE THAT MR. BEYER, MR. SONNEBORN, THEN THE CEO AND THE 12 PRESIDENT OF THE COMPANY, AND MR. GUNDLACH, WERE HAPPY WITH 13 THAT COMPENSATION FORMULA. THERE WERE E-MAILS EXCHANGED. HAVE A DEAL ON THE COMPENSATION. AND THEY GO FORWARD ON THAT 14 15 26:59 BASIS. MR. GUNDLACH WANTED TO BE A FREE AGENT. HE WANTED 16 FLEXIBILITY. HIS TIMING SHOWS THAT. 17 THERE'S ANOTHER FIRM THAT DOES THIS WORK IN LOS 18 ANGELES. IT'S BASED IN PASADENA WHERE I LIVE CALLED WESTERN 19 ASSET MANAGEMENT COMPANY OR WAMCO. MR. GUNDLACH, STARTING IN 20 FEBRUARY 2009, STARTING NEGOTIATING WITH THEM ABOUT TAKING THE 27:24 ENTIRE BUSINESS FROM TCW TO WAMCO. DIDN'T ACT LIKE HE WAS 21 22 UNDER SOME CONTRACT TILL THE END OF 2011. HE KNEW EXACTLY 23 WHAT HE WAS DOING. HE WANTED TO BE A FREE AGENT. NOTHING 2.4 WRONG WITH THAT IN THE ABSTRACT, TO BE A FREE AGENT; THAT'S 27:45 25 NOT MY POINT. MY POINT IS HE DID NOT HAVE AN AGREEMENT, HE 26 DID NOT HAVE A CONTRACT. 27 THE CLEAREST EVIDENCE YOU ARE GOING TO SEE THAT

28

MR. GUNDLACH DID NOT HAVE A CONTRACT COMES FROM MR. GUNDLACH

	1	HIMSELF. AND WE'RE GOING TO SHOW YOU AN E-MAIL DATED AUGUST
	2	25TH, 2009. AUGUST 25TH, 2009 IS EXHIBIT 248. FOLKS, HE
	3	WROTE THIS BEFORE THERE WAS A LAWSUIT, BEFORE LAWYERS WERE
	4	INVOLVED. AND THERE WAS A LET ME GIVE YOU THE CONTEXT.
28:16	5	THERE WAS AN INQUIRY FROM AN INVESTMENT ADVISOR,
	6	A LOT OF TCW DEALS WITH A LOT OF INVESTMENT ADVISORS,
	7	PEOPLE WHO, THEMSELVES, HAVE CLIENTS. AND THEY ARE ADVISING
	8	OTHER CLIENTS. SO THE ADVISORS THEN COME TO US. AND THERE
	9	WAS AN INQUIRY FROM AN INVESTMENT ADVISOR WHO SAYS, WE'RE
28:35 10 REALLY CONCERNED ABOUT MR. GUNDLACH. IS HE GOING TO		REALLY CONCERNED ABOUT MR. GUNDLACH. IS HE GOING TO BE THERE?
	11	WHAT'S HIS CONTRACTUAL SITUATION AT TCW?
	12	SO SOMEBODY SENDS THIS E-MAIL IN TO SOMEBODY AT
	13	TCW ASKING WHAT IS MR. GUNDLACH'S CONTRACTUAL STATUS. THAT
	14	E-MAIL, IS FORWARDED TO MR. GUNDLACH ON AUGUST 25TH, 2009.
28:51	15	AND LET ME READ TO YOU, THE WORDS THAT MR. GUNDLACH WROTE AT
	16	THAT TIME IN RESPONSE. QUOTE, THE TRUTHFUL ANSWER IS THAT
	17	JEFFREY GUNDLACH IS NOT UNDER CONTRACT TO TCW.
	18	HE TOLD OTHERS THE SAME THING. HE DIDN'T HAVE A
	19	CONTRACT BECAUSE HE CHOSE NOT TO HAVE ONE. HE WANTED THE
29:12	20	FLEXIBILITY TO SEE IF HE COULD GET A BETTER DEAL AT THE
	21	WAMCO'S OF THE WORLD OR SOMEPLACE ELSE.
	22	NOW, I TOLD YOU THAT TCW HAD PROMOTED
	23	MR. GUNDLACH AS THE PUBLIC FACE OF TCW TO THE WORLD. HE MADE
	24	MORE AND MORE MONEY. HE WAS MORE AND MORE SUCCESSFUL.
29:35	25	DIFFERENT PEOPLE REACT TO THAT IN DIFFERENT WAYS. YOU WILL
	26	SEE THAT IN MR. GUNDLACH'S CASE. IT SEEMED TO GO TO HIS HEAD
	27	A LITTLE BIT.
	28	LET ME A FEW SMALL EXAMPLES. ON NOVEMBER

	1	13TH, 2008, A PERSON FROM TCW'S I.T. DEPARTMENT SENDS OUT A
	2	FORM NOTICE TO PEOPLE SAYING, I'M COMING TO LOOK AT YOUR
	3	COMPUTERS AND DO SOME STANDARD UPGRADES TO THE COMPUTERS.
	4	JUST A GUY TRYING TO DO HIS JOB IN THE I.T. DEPARTMENT.
30:05	5	MR. GUNDLACH WRITES BACK. THIS IS EXHIBIT 112. (READING):
	6	"WHO EXACTLY ARE YOU ANYWAY? CAN
	7	YOU PLEASE GIVE ME A CALL ON MONDAY SO I
	8	CAN UNDERSTAND WHAT THIS IS ALL ABOUT.
	9	AND WHEN YOU DO, I EXPECT YOU TO BE ABLE
30:19	10	TO EXPLAIN YOURSELF REGARDING THIS
	11	RATHER UNBELIEVABLY INCOMPETENT E-MAIL.
	12	PLEASE MAKE SURE YOU ARE PREPARED FOR
	13	THE CALL AS I DON'T HAVE TIME IN MY
	14	SCHEDULE FOR FOOLS."
30:32	15	ALL THE GUY WANTED TO DO WAS UPGRADE THE
	16	PROGRAMS ON HIS SOFTWARE.
	17	IN ITSELF, OKAY. NOT A BIG DEAL. YOU DON'T
	18	FIRE SOMEBODY FOR THAT FOR SURE. BUT HIS BEHAVIOR BECAME
	19	INCREASINGLY INSULTING TO OTHER PEOPLE IN THE COMPANY AND
30:51	20	ESPECIALLY TO OTHER DEPARTMENTS.
	21	NOW, LET ME DRAW A DISTINCTION. PEOPLE IN HIS
	22	OWN DEPARTMENT. HE HAD GREAT LOYALTY FROM THE PEOPLE IN HIS
	23	OWN DEPARTMENT, OR MOST OF THEM. AND YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR
	24	THAT THEY ARE VERY LOYAL TO HIM. BUT OTHER DEPARTMENTS HE SAW
31:09	25	AS COMPETITORS.
	26	ONE TIME THE MARKETING OR COMMUNICATIONS
	27	DEPARTMENT ASKED HIM, WE'RE DOING SOMETHING WE'RE UPDATING
	28	THE WEBSITE AND WE WANTED TO HAVE LITTLE VIDEOS FROM THE HEADS

1 OF THE DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS LIKE, EQUITIES OR LEVERAGE 2 FINANCE, OR WHATEVER, AND YOU FOR FIXED INCOME OR 3 MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES, SO THAT IF SOMEBODY GOES TO OUR WEBSITE THEY CAN CLICK AND SEE AN INTERVIEW WITH EACH OF THESE 5 PEOPLE. HE REFUSED TO DO IT BECAUSE HE SAID, PRECISELY, IT'S 31:31 TREATING THESE OTHER PEOPLE EQUAL TO ME. I WILL SHOW YOU THAT 7 E-MAIL IN THE TRIAL. 8 ANOTHER TIME, HE RECEIVED AN E-MAIL REMINDING 9 HIM -- AT TCW THEY HAVE AN ANNUAL FIRM RETREAT, AN OFFSITE 10 EVENT WHERE THE EMPLOYEES ALL GO TOGETHER AND YOU ARE 31:51 11 CONTACTED WHAT TYPE OF EVENTS DO YOU WANT TO PARTICIPATE IN, 12 THINGS LIKE THAT. AND HE GETS THIS E-MAIL, LIKE EVERYBODY 13 ELSE DOES, AND HE RESPONDED BY TELLING THE PERSON WHO'S ORGANIZING IT, YES, I WANT A HOTEL ROOM. I WOULD LIKE A SPOT 14 IN THE YOGA CLASS, BUT DON'T -- HIS WORDS -- DON'T SIGN ME UP 32:08 15 16 FOR ANY TEAM-BUILDING ACTIVITIES. I DON'T WANT TO -- I WON'T 17 PARTICIPATE IN ANY TEAM-BUILDING ACTIVITIES. PRESIDENT OF THE COMPANY? A LEADER? 18 19 AGAIN, DON'T MISUNDERSTAND. I'M NOT SAYING YOU 20 FIRE SOMEBODY FOR BEING LIKE THIS. I'M TRYING TO GIVE YOU AN 32:28 21 IDEA OF WHAT PEOPLE -- MR. STERN, WHO CAME BACK IN JUNE OF 2009, KIND OF WHAT THEY WERE DEALING WITH. SOME OF HIS 22 23 BEHAVIOR WAS KIND OF BIZARRE. AT ONE POINT HE TOLD MR. CAHILL 2.4 THAT HE WAS GOING TO BANKRUPT TCW. AND WITH MR. GUNDLACH IT 32:52 25 WAS SOMETIMES HARD TO TELL WAS HE KIDDING OR NOT KIDDING, 26 BECAUSE HE WOULD MAKE KIND OF OUTRAGEOUS STATEMENTS LIKE THAT. 27 HE TOLD THE HR DEPARTMENT HE REFUSED TO 28 PARTICIPATE IN THE SEXUAL HARASSMENT TRAINING. I'LL SHOW YOU

1 THAT E-MAIL. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS -- VERY, VERY IMPORTANT AT 2 A REGULATED INVESTMENT FIRM LIKE THIS. WE HAVE A COMPLIANCE 3 DEPARTMENT. YOU ARE GOING TO SEE AN E-MAIL WHERE HE SAYS, I'VE HAD IT. I'M NOT GOING TO COMPLETE THIS COMPLIANCE 5 TRAINING PROGRAM. 33:16 HE WOULD TELL -- HE UNDERMINED THE OTHER 7 DEPARTMENTS IN THE FIRM. THERE'S OTHER TYPES OF INVESTMENT, 8 AS I TOLD YOU. AND HE WOULD THEN TELL INVESTORS ON INVESTMENT 9 CALLS THEY SHOULD STAY AWAY FROM OTHER TYPES OF INVESTMENTS; 10 FROM EQUITIES, ALTERNATIVES, OR MEZZANINE INVESTMENTS, OTHER 33:32 11 DEPARTMENTS AT TCW, HE'S TELLING INVESTORS DON'T GO INTO 12 THESE. DON'T INVEST WITH MY COLLEAGUES. HE WOULD -- IN 13 MEETINGS HE WOULD BELITTLE THE OTHER DEPARTMENTS. THERE'S A WOMAN BY THE NAME OF DIANE JAFFEE WHO 14 15 IS HEAD OF ONE OF THE BIG EQUITY DEPARTMENTS AT TCW. 33:55 EOUITIES 16 BEING STOCKS AS OPPOSED TO BONDS. AND ONE DAY IN THE 17 EXECUTIVE LUNCHROOM HE SAID OUT LOUD IN FRONT OF EVERYBODY, 18 DIANE JAFFEE WAS THERE. HE SAID, WHEN ARE DIANE'S ASSETS 19 GOING TO GO TO ZERO? THERE WAS A TIME WHEN THE STOCK 20 MARKET -- THE EOUITIES WERE GOING DOWN, BUT THIS IS THE WAY HE 34:16 21 DEALT WITH PEOPLE. SUPPOSED TO BE A LEADER, BUT KIND OF 22 ATTACKING, UNDERMINING, BELITTLING, OTHER GROUPS IN THE FIRM. 23 HE WOULD GO TO MEETINGS AND HE'D MAKE A SHOW OF 2.4 DOING CROSSWORD PUZZLES. EVEN AT THE TOP MANAGEMENT 34:36 25 COMMITTEES MEETINGS TO SHOW HIS DISDAIN FOR WHAT WAS GOING ON. 26 AND YOU COULDN'T TELL WHETHER HE WAS GOING TO 27 COME TO A MEETING OR NOT, THAT WAS ANOTHER PROBLEM. HE DIDN'T 28 CARRY A CELL PHONE OR A BLACKBERRY, WHICH MIGHT BE A BLESSING,

1 BUT HE WOULDN'T CONFIRM THAT HE WOULD BE THERE OR NOT. IF HE 2 SHOWS UP, HE'S DOING CROSSWORD PUZZLES. 3 AT ONE MEETING, WITH THE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE, HE BECAME ENRAGED AT THE SUGGESTION THAT ANOTHER EXECUTIVE, A MR. CHAPUS, WOULD WORK ON A REAL ESTATE PROJECT. 5 35:03 SUGGESTION THAT SOMEBODY ELSE WOULD WORK ON A REAL ESTATE 7 PROJECT. HE GOT OUT OF HIS CHAIR, RED FACED, AND YOU WILL SEE 8 HE'S KIND OF A BIG -- HE'S A BIG MAN. AND ADVANCED TOWARDS 9 THE EXECUTIVE AND SHOUTED AT HIM THAT HE WAS THE ONLY ONE IN THE FIRM WHO UNDERSTOOD REAL ESTATE. NOBODY COULD BELIEVE 35:18 10 11 THIS. EVEN THERE WAS A FRENCH GUY BACK IN PARIS WHO WAS ON A VIDEO CONFERENCE. NOBODY COULD BELIEVE THIS KIND OF BEHAVIOR. 12 13 AGAIN, SOME OF THESE THINGS ARE GOING TO SEEM KIND OF SMALL, BUT I'M TRYING TO GIVE YOU A FLAVOR OF WHAT THE 14 15 SITUATION WAS LIKE. HE'D CALL HIMSELF THE POPE. YOU KNOW, 35:38 16 SOMEBODY WOULD SAY -- THERE WOULD BE -- A COMPANY WAS TRYING 17 TO GET A NEW INVESTMENT FROM A BIG COMPANY CALLED NOVARTIS AND 18 THEY WANT TO INVEST \$400 MILLION. AND SOMEBODY WOULD ASK HIM, 19 WOULD YOU MEET WITH THEM? AND HE WOULD SAY, THIS SOUNDS LIKE 20 TOO SMALL A GROUP TO HAVE AN AUDIENCE WITH THE POPE. 35:58 ANOTHER THING HE WOULD CALL HIMSELF WAS THE 21 GODFATHER. HE ASKED PEOPLE TO CALL HIMSELF THE GODFATHER. HE 22 23 HATED THE LEADERSHIP OF THE FIRM. HE BELITTLED THEM. 2.4 PRIOR TO MR. STERN, THE PRESIDENT OF THE FIRM 36:13 25 WAS A MR. SONNEBORN, BILL SONNEBORN. HE WILL TESTIFY HE WAS PRESIDENT UNTIL JULY OF 2008. AND THEN A BOB BEYER, WHO WAS 26 27 CEO UNTIL MAY OF 2009. SO YOU HAVE SONNEBORN AND BEYER.

28

SONNEBORN PRESIDENT, BEYER, CEO. SONNEBORN LEAVES BEFORE

1 BEYER. MR. GUNDLACH DIDN'T LIKE EITHER ONE OF THEM. YOU WILL 2 SEE E-MAILS. BELITTLED BOTH OF THEM. 3 MR. STERN COMES BACK TO THE COMPANY. HE HAD FIVE YEARS BEFORE BEEN THE NUMBER TWO MAN AT TCW. HE COMES BACK IN JUNE OF 2009 AND HE IS THE CEO. AND THE CHAIRMAN AND 5 36:49 FOUNDER THEN IS A BOB DAY. MR. GUNDLACH DIDN'T LIKE THEM 7 EITHER. BELITTLED THEM CONSTANTLY. CALLED THEM DUMB AND 8 DUMBER. 9 AGAIN, THESE ARE IN MANY RESPECTS SMALL THINGS. 10 AND HE WAS SO SUCCESSFUL AND HE MADE A LOT OF MONEY FOR TCW. 37:10 11 SO, I MEAN, THERE WAS A LOT OF REASON TO OVERLOOK THESE 12 THINGS, BECAUSE OF ALL THE GOOD THINGS, FRANKLY, THAT HE DID. 13 BUT MORE SERIOUSLY, HE BEGAN TO START THREATENING TO TAKE HIS MARBLES AND LEAVE. AND WE'RE TALKING AGAIN ABOUT HALF OF THE 14 15 BUSINESS OF THE COMPANY. 37:32 16 REMEMBER, HE HAD REFUSED TO SIGN A CONTRACT IN 17 2009. HE SAID HE WANTED TO BE A FREE AGENT. A LOT OF THE 18 PEOPLE, OVER 500 EMPLOYEES, WERE DEPENDENT ON THAT COMPANY. 19 AND THE PAYCHECK THEY GOT FROM THE COMPANY. AND THE COMPANY 20 TRUSTED MR. GUNDLACH TO LOOK AFTER THE COMPANY AND ITS 37:49 BUSINESS, BUT THERE STARTED TO BE UNCERTAINTY ABOUT WHETHER 21 22 MR. GUNDLACH WAS COMMITTED AND WHETHER HE WOULD BE AROUND. 23 ON ONE OCCASION ON THE TRADING FLOOR, HE WAIVED 2.4 AROUND A FEDERAL EXPRESS ENVELOPE IN FRONT OF OTHER PEOPLE IN 38:07 25 HIS GROUP CLAIMING HE HAD AN OFFER TO JOIN ANOTHER COMPANY, 26 WAMCO. AND WORD ABOUT THIS GOT TO MR. BEYER, THE MAN WHO 27 LEAVES AS PRESIDENT AND CEO IN MAY OF 2009. AND HE CONFRONTED 28 MR. GUNDLACH AND SAID, ARE YOU PLANNING TO LEAVE TO GO TO

1 WAMCO AND HE QUAINTLY SAYS, WELL, MAYBE I WILL. 2 AS YOU MIGHT UNDERSTAND, PEOPLE WERE NERVOUS 3 ABOUT WHETHER THIS KEY GUY WAS GOING TO BE AROUND. MR. GUNDLACH'S BEHAVIOR AND THE DIFFICULTY OF MANAGING MR. GUNDLACH WAS ONE OF THE FACTORS THAT CAUSED MR. BEYER TO 5 38:38 RESIGN AS CEO IN MAY OF 2009, AND HIS REPLACEMENT WAS 7 MR. STERN, WHO HAD PREVIOUSLY BEEN THE NUMBER TWO MAN FOR MANY YEARS. AND HE HAD LEFT THE DAY-TO-DAY BUSINESS AT THE FIRM 8 9 ABOUT FIVE YEARS EARLIER. SO HE COMES BACK WHEN MR. BEYER 10 SUDDENLY RESIGNS. AND MR. BEYER COMES BACK JUNE 1ST, 2009. 39:01 11 NOW, LET ME TELL YOU A LITTLE BIT ABOUT MR. STERN. AT THE TIME MR. STERN WAS 65 YEARS OLD. HE GREW 12 13 UP ON A VEGETABLE FARM, VERY POOR, IN NEW JERSEY. HE IS A VERY, VERY SUCCESSFUL BUSINESSMAN. AND AT THAT TIME HE WAS 14 15 QUITE WEALTHY. HE DIDN'T NEED TO BE CEO AT THAT TIME IN HIS 39:26 16 LIFE. HE LOVES HIS FAMILY, KIDS, GRAND KIDS. HE WASN'T 17 LOOKING -- HE DIDN'T NEED THE EGO SATISFACTION TO COME TO TCW AND BE CEO. MR. BEYER TOLD HIM, DON'T DO IT. DON'T TAKE THE 18 19 JOB. IT'S A HUGE HEADACHE. AND HE TOLD HIM, IF YOU TAKE IT 20 THE TOUGHEST PROBLEM YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE TO DEAL WITH IS 39:52 JEFFREY GUNDLACH. BUT MR. STERN TOOK THE JOB OUT OF THE SENSE 21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

40:11

SO THIS -- WHEN HE CAME BACK IN JUNE OF 2009, HE FACED A PRETTY SERIOUS SITUATION. THIS CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER OF AN INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT FIRM, DIRECTOR, PRESIDENT, BOARD MEMBER, WHO MANAGED OVER HALF OF THE ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT -- AGAIN, CLIENTS MONEY -- WAS BEING DISRUPTIVE,

OF LOYALTY TO THE COMPANY HE HAD WORKED FOR AND HELPED BUILD,

AND FOR THE EMPLOYEES THERE, WHOSE LIVELIHOOD DEPENDED ON IT.

1 ERRATIC, HOSTILE TO OTHER DEPARTMENTS THAT DIDN'T ANSWER 2 DIRECTLY TO HIM. AND THERE THE OUESTION WAS IS HE HERE FROM 3 ONE DAY TO THE NEXT? AND A LOT OF PEOPLE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR TOLD MR. STERN, MR. GUNDLACH'S GOT TO GO. HE'S A CANCER. THIS WAS AN ISSUE FROM THE VERY FIRST DAY MR. STERN CAME BACK. 5 40:47 IN FACT, EVEN BEFORE, AS HE'S PREPARING TO COME BACK, HE'S GETTING THIS ADVICE. 7 8 YOU ARE GOING TO SEE SOME NOTES FROM A MEETING 9

THAT MR. STERN PREPARED TO HAVE WITH THE FOUNDER ROBERT DAY,
WHEN HE CAME BACK TO DISCUSS ISSUES AND THINGS THAT HE THOUGHT
HE HAD TO ADDRESS. AND ONE OF THEM YOU WILL SEE IN THE NOTES
IS PROJECT G. AND YES, THAT'S MR. GUNDLACH, PROJECT G. AND
THE QUESTION IS: HOW DO YOU DEAL WITH THIS, WITH THE GUNDLACH
PROBLEM, SOMETHING EVERYBODY RECOGNIZED, THE UNCERTAINLY OF
HIS COMMITMENT TO THE BUSINESS; WHETHER HE COULD WORK
CONSTRUCTIVELY WITH THE OTHERS; WHETHER HALF THE BUSINESS
WOULD WALK OUT THE DOOR OVERNIGHT AND WHAT THAT WOULD MEAN.
WOULD HE HAVE FIND A REPLACEMENT OVERNIGHT, SOMEBODY ELSE WHO
COULD RUN THIS HIGHLY SPECIALIZED BUSINESS.

AND FROM THE TIME HE CAME BACK, MR. STERN ALSO HAD TO FACE THE POSSIBILITY THAT MR. GUNDLACH MIGHT DO SOMETHING SO OVER THE LINE THEY WOULD HAVE TO FIRE HIM. SO THOSE THINGS WERE ALL ON THE TABLE.

AND YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR THAT IN JUNE, JULY,

AUGUST, THAT SUMMER OF 2009 AFTER HE RETURNED ON JUNE 1, HE

LOOKED AT EVERY OPTION HE COULD. AND HE CAME UP WITH -- TRIED

TO COME UP WITH A CONTINGENCY PLAN ABOUT WHAT HE WOULD DO IF

MR. GUNDLACH CARRIED OUT ON HIS THREATS TO LEAVE, OR WHAT HE

10

11

12

13

14

15

41:09

41:27

1 WOULD DO IF MR. GUNDLACH DID SOMETHING SO OUTRAGEOUS THAT HE
2 HAD TO BE FIRED. HE LOOKED AT SEVERAL DIFFERENT OPTIONS.
3 ONE OPTION WAS, AND BY FAR AND AWAY HIS

ONE OPTION WAS, AND BY FAR AND AWAY HIS

PREFERRED, OPTION WAS TO BRING MR. GUNDLACH BACK INTO THE

FOLD. BECAUSE NO MATTER HOW YOU RAN THE NUMBERS MR. GUNDLACH

WAS EXTREMELY SUCCESSFUL, EXTREMELY PROFITABLE FOR THE FIRM.

NO MATTER HOW YOU RAN IT, HAVING MR. GUNDLACH THERE FROM A

FINANCIAL POINT OF VIEW, WAS BETTER THAN HAVING HIM GONE. YOU

COULDN'T JUSTIFY THIS BY ANY TYPE OF COST SAVINGS. YES, HE

WAS EXPENSIVE. IF YOU GOT RID OF HIM, YOU GOT RID OF HIS

SALARY, BUT YOU COULDN'T JUSTIFY THAT ON THAT BASIS. THE MORE

MONEY MR. GUNDLACH MADE -- HE MADE A LOT OF MONEY -- THE MORE

MONEY TCW MADE. HE WAS THAT SUCCESSFUL.

THE PROBLEM WAS MR. STERN TRIED TO REACH OUT TO MR. GUNDLACH. AND WHENEVER HE REACHED OUT TO HIM HE WAS MET ONLY WITH HOSTILITY. THE DAY THAT IT WAS ANNOUNCED THAT MR. STERN WOULD BE THE NEW CEO, HE'D BE COMING BACK AFTER FIVE YEARS AWAY, HE MET WITH MR. STERN AT MR. DAY'S HOUSE, THE FOUNDER. AND MR. GUNDLACH WAS THERE; OTHER PEOPLE WERE THERE; MR. STERN WAS THERE. AND MR. STERN OFFERED MR. GUNDLACH, SAID HOW WOULD YOU LIKE TO BE PRESIDENT? I'LL BE CEO. YOU BE PRESIDENT, JEFF. THE ANSWER WAS NO. HE SAID NO. THERE WILL BE NO DISPUTE ABOUT THAT.

YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR ABOUT A VERY IMPORTANT

MEETING THAT TAKES PLACE LATER, A FEW MONTHS LATER, ON

SEPTEMBER 3RD OF 2009. AND IN THAT MEETING, MR. -- IT'S KIND

OF A CONFRONTATIONAL MEETING. AND ONE OF THE IDEAS THAT WAS

PUT OUT THERE BY MR. STERN WAS, JEFFREY, WOULD YOU LIKE TO BE

2.4

43:49

1 CO-CEO WITH ME? MR. GUNDLACH'S RESPONSE, NOT WITH YOU. SO HE 2 DID TRY TO REACH OUT TO HIM. MR. GUNDLACH TOLD MR. STERN THAT 3 HE WOULD NEVER WORK FOR HIM. ON MAY 29TH, THE DAY THAT IT WAS ANNOUNCED THAT MR. STERN WAS COMING AS CEO, MR. GUNDLACH WROTE 5 AN E-MAIL TO DEFENDANT BARBARA VANEVERY WHERE HE SAID, I TOLD 44:29 STERN AND DAY THAT I MIGHT POSSIBLY BE ABLE TO WORK WITH THEM -- MIGHT POSSIBLY BE ABLE TO WORK WITH THEM -- BUT I 7 8 WOULDN'T WORK FOR THEM IN ANY WAY. 9 TOP GUY IN THE FIRM SAYING I'M NOT GOING TO 10 WORK -- I MIGHT POSSIBLY BE ABLE TO WORK WITH YOU, BUT NO WAY 44:50 11 AM I WORKING FOR YOU. YOU WILL SEE THAT E-MAIL. 12 YOU KNOW, MR. BRIAN MADE A STATEMENT YESTERDAY 13 THAT MARK STERN HATED JEFFREY GUNDLACH. NOT TRUE. MARK STERN 14 DID NOT HATE JEFFREY GUNDLACH. YOU WILL SEE THAT WHEN MR. GUNDLACH INSULTED MR. STERN, MR. STERN TURNED THE OTHER 15 45:14 CHEEK. HE TRIED TO MAKE PEACE WITH HIM. HE DIDN'T HAVE EGO 16 17 IN HIM. AT HIS AGE, WITH OTHER THINGS HE HAD TO DO, WANTED TO 18 DO IN HIS LIFE, HE DIDN'T NEED A BATTLE WITH JEFFREY GUNDLACH. 19 IN FACT, HE TOLD THE OWNERS OF THE FIRM AT ONE POINT, HE SAID, 20 LOOK, IF JEFFREY NEEDS ME TO BE THE SACRIFICIAL LAMB, I'LL 45:34 LEAVE. I DON'T NEED THIS. MR. STERN DID NOT HATE 21 22 MR. GUNDLACH. 23 ANOTHER OPTION THAT MR. STERN CONSIDERED WAS 2.4 TRYING TO REACH OUT TO MR. -- OTHER MEMBERS OF MR. GUNDLACH'S 45:56 25 TEAM TO TRY TO REESTABLISH RELATIONSHIPS WITH THEM. MR. STERN 26 HAD BEEN AT THE COMPANY BEFORE; HE KNEW SOME OF THESE PEOPLE. 27 THE IDEA IS IF MR. GUNDLACH'S GOING TO LEAVE, IF HE CARRIES

28

THROUGH ON HIS THREATS, IF WE HAVE TO FIRE HIM, MAYBE WE CAN

1 PERSUADE SOME OF THE TOP PEOPLE IN THAT DEPARTMENT TO STAY AND 2 RUN IT SO WE CAN STAY IN THIS MBS BUSINESS. AND WE'D HAVE 3 SOMEBODY EXPERIENCED TO RUN IT. AND IN PARTICULAR HE REACHED OUT TO A MAN NAMED PHIL BARACH. HE WAS THE NUMBER TWO MAN IN THE DEPARTMENT. 5 46:25 NUMBER TWO MAN. AND THIS WAS A MAN THAT MR. STERN HAD HAD A RELATIONSHIP WITH IN THE PAST FROM HIS PREVIOUS TIME AT THE 8 COMPANY. MR. STERN HAD KNOWN MR. BARACH, THE NUMBER TWO MAN, 9 FOR MORE THAN 15 YEARS. AND IN FACT, THERE HAD BEEN AN 10 EPISODE IN THE PAST WHERE THERE HAD BEEN A CHRISTMAS PARTY; 46:45 11 MR. BARACH HAD GOTTEN ILL AT THE PARTY; MR. STERN TOOK 12 MR. BARACH TO THE HOSPITAL; STAYED THERE UNTIL HE WAS OKAY; 13 AFTERWARDS MR. BARACH TOLD HIM, YOU TREATED ME LIKE A BROTHER AND WAS VERY GRATEFUL. SO SHORTLY BEFORE MR. STERN CAME BACK 14 15 47:04 ALSO ON MAY 29TH, HE CALLED MR. BARACH AND HE TOLD MR. BARACH 16 THAT HE'S VALUABLE. HE LOOKED FORWARD TO WORKING WITH HIM 17 AGAIN. AND INVITED HIM TO LUNCH, WHAT YOU'D EXPECT A CEO TO 18 DO, SOMEBODY WHO'S COMING BACK. 19 WHEN MR. GUNDLACH FOUND OUT ABOUT THAT CONTACT 20 WITH HIS NUMBER TWO MAN, HE DECLARED, THE WAR IS ON. 47:28 MR. GUNDLACH'S WORDS. THE EXACT WORDS HE USED IN AN E-MAIL TO 21 22 ANOTHER TCW INVESTMENT MANAGER WHERE, MORE IMPORTANTLY, STERN 23 AND DAY SPENT THE DAY, THE LATE AFTERNOON CALLING MY B TEAM TO 2.4 TRY TO SWEET TALK THEM. THEY HAVE WRITTEN US OFF AT LEAST IN 47:50 25 AN EXPLORATORY WAY. THEY ARE NOW TRYING TO MOUNT A COUNTEROFFENSIVE. THE WAR IS ON. THAT'S EXHIBIT 188. 26 27 WROTE THAT ON MAY 29TH, 2009. MR. STERN IS COMING BACK ON JUNE 1. THIS IS THE 28

1 DAY BEFORE. HE'S NOT EVEN BACK, AND ACCORDING TO MR. GUNDLACH 2 THE WAR IS ON, HE'S WRITING BEHIND HIS BACK. THE NOTE THAT HE 3 SAYS, THEY ARE MOUNTING A COUNTEROFFENSIVE. WHAT'S THE OFFENSIVE? TCW'S MOUNTING A COUNTEROFFENSIVE. BUT THINK 5 ABOUT THIS. NEW CEO COMING BACK DAY BEFORE, REACHES OUT TO A 48:22 MAN HE'S HAD A RELATIONSHIP WITH, HE'S KNOWN FOR 15 YEARS, AND 7 MR. GUNDLACH'S RESPONSE IS, THIS IS WAR. 8 ANOTHER OPTION THAT PROJECT G -- ANOTHER OPTION 9 THAT MR. STERN LOOKED INTO, WAS WHETHER THEY COULD BRING

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

48:45

49:04

49:21

49:39

SOMEBODY IN FROM OUTSIDE WHO DOES THIS SPECIALIZED KIND OF MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITY, WHO MIGHT BE THERE IN CASE MR. STERN LEFT -- TO SHORE UP THE MANAGEMENT THERE BECAUSE OF THE UNCERTAINTY OF THE SITUATION. SO HE COLLECTED INFORMATION ABOUT OTHER PORTFOLIO MANAGERS IN THE AREA WHO WORKED WITH THESE TYPES OF INVESTMENTS. AND ONE WAS A MAN BY THE NAME OF TAD RIVELLE, WHO WORKED FOR A LOCAL COMPANY CALLED METWEST. AND YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR A LOT ABOUT METWEST. BUT -- IT'S ANOTHER LOS ANGELES COMPANY. THEY ALSO WORK IN MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES. THEY HAD A GREAT RECORD. THERE'S AN INDUSTRY PUBLICATION CALLED MORNING STAR THAT HAS GIVEN THEM AN AWARD FOR FIXED INCOME MANAGER OF THE YEAR. THEY HAD BEEN NOMINATED FOR THAT FOR FOUR DIFFERENT TIMES, AND THEY ACTUALLY WON IT IN JANUARY OF 2006. SO MR. STERN HAD PEOPLE RESEARCH WHO ELSE IS OUT THERE, HAD A LIST OF NAMES, ONE NAME WAS MR. RIVELLE. THERE'S ALL COLLECTING INFORMATION. WHAT IF, POTENTIAL CONTINGENCY PLANS.

AND PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 3, THAT'S AN IMPORTANT MEETING I'M GOING TO TELL YOU ABOUT, DIDN'T MEET WITH

MR. RIVELLE. NO ACTION TAKEN. IT WAS ALL IN THE NATURE OF COLLECTING INFORMATION.

AGAIN, THERE WERE MANY PEOPLE WHO THOUGHT THAT

MR. GUNDLACH SHOULD BE REPLACED FROM THE VERY BEGINNING; THAT

HE WAS DESTABILIZING. THAT HE DIDN'T HAVE THE FIRM'S

INTERESTS AT HEART. THEY TOLD MR. STERN, YOU'VE GOT TO BITE

THE BULLET. IT'S GOING TO BE LIKE CUTTING OFF YOUR ARM. IT'S

GOING TO COST MONEY, BUT HE'S DESTRUCTIVE.

ONE MAN THAT'S A MAN -- HE WILL BE A WITNESS IN THIS CASE BY THE NAME OF GARY SHEDLIN. TCW HIRED CONSULTANTS TO TRY TO GIVE THEM SOME ADVICE ON A STRATEGIC DIRECTION FOR THE COMPANY. AND ONE OF THEM WAS A MR. SHEDLIN, WHO MET WITH MR. GUNDLACH ON JULY 17TH, 2009. AND YOU WILL HEAR FROM MR. SHEDLIN IN THIS TRIAL. IN THAT MEETING, MR. GUNDLACH TOLD MR. SHEDLIN THAT THE COMPANY SHOULD SIMPLY ELIMINATE THE OTHER DEPARTMENTS AND FOCUS ALL ITS RESOURCES ON HIS DEPARTMENT. HE SAID HE SHOULD BE THE CEO AND SHOULD ANSWER TO NO ONE. AND HE SAID THAT IF TCW'S SHAREHOLDERS TRIED TO SELL ANY OF ITS SHARES, HE WOULD BLOCK THE SALE BY TELLING INVESTORS THAT HE, JEFFREY GUNDLACH, WOULD LEAVE THE COMPANY AND WOULD DESTROY THE VALUE OF THE COMPANY IN THE PROCESS.

AS YOU MIGHT IMAGINE IT'S KIND OF A SURPRISING
THING FOR THIS CONSULTANT, MR. SHEDLIN, TO HEAR FROM SUCH A
TOP GUY IN THE COMPANY. MR. SHEDLIN WAS CONVINCED THAT
MR. GUNDLACH HAD BECOME A CANCER, AND THE ONLY WAY THE COMPANY
WAS GOING TO SURVIVE WAS IF THEY GOT RID OF HIM. AND HE TOLD
THAT TO MR. STERN AND HE BEGAN PUSHING, ALONG WITH OTHERS, FOR
MR. GUNDLACH'S TERMINATION.

2.4

53:18

WE'RE GOING TO SHOW YOU, FOR EXAMPLE, WE WILL SHOW YOU, SOME NOTES THAT A MAN BY THE NAME OF MICHAEL CONN, C-O-N-N, TOOK AT A MEETING AT THE END OF AUGUST. I THINK AUGUST 27TH, WHERE THE TERMINATION OF MR. GUNDLACH WAS DISCUSSED, THE POTENTIAL TERMINATION. SOMEONE EVEN SUGGESTED LANGUAGE FOR A PRESS RELEASE. AND THIS IS EXHIBIT 5224. BUT MR. STERN RESISTED. HE RESISTED. HE THOUGHT MR. GUNDLACH WAS TOO IMPORTANT. HE WAS ALWAYS HOPEFUL HE COULD WORK SOMETHING OUT; THOUGHT IT WOULD BE SIMPLY TOO COSTLY FOR THE FIRM.

IN THE MEANTIME THAT SUMMER, MR. GUNDLACH
CONTINUED TO PURSUE HIS OWN AGENDA OUTSIDE, WE NOW KNOW, WE
DIDN'T KNOW AT THE TIME. HE SAT DOWN WITH -- HE HAD SECRETLY
STARTED NEGOTIATING WITH THIS OTHER COMPANY, WAMCO IN
FEBRUARY, THAT'S MONTHS BEFORE MR. STERN EVEN COMES BACK ON
JUNE 1. AND THERE WAS TALK OF THIS AMONG HIS CIRCLE, HIS
GROUP ON THE TRADING FLOOR.

IN JULY, A MONTH AFTER MR. STERN STARTED,

MR. GUNDLACH SECRETLY TOLD WAMCO HE WANTED TO HAVE A DEAL TO

LEAVE TCW AND JOIN WAMCO WITHIN IN TWO WEEKS. YOU WILL SEE AN

E-MAIL REFLECTING THAT; THAT'S EXHIBIT 223, ALL THE GROUP, ALL

THE FEES, THE ENTIRE BUSINESS. AND IN HIS NEGOTIATIONS WITH

WAMCO, THEY USED CODE WORDS. ART WORK WAS MR. GUNDLACH;

GALLERY WAS HIS TEAM WHO WOULD COME WITH. AND THEY MODELED

THE BUSINESS THAT THEY WOULD CONVERT FROM TCW, CONVERT. THE

MODEL WAS, I THINK THEY SHOWED A MINIMUM OF \$175 MILLION WOULD

COME IN THE FIRST YEAR OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. BUT IT TURNED

OUT THAT MR. GUNDLACH COULD NOT NEGOTIATE A DEAL THAT WAS

SATISFACTORY TO HIM WITH WAMCO. SO MR. GUNDLACH THEN PURSUED

	1	ANOTHER OPTION.	
	2	WHILE HE WAS STILL A DIRECTOR, WHILE HE WAS A	
	3	SENIOR CORPORATE OFFICER AND LEADER HE DECIDED TO TAKE THAT	
	4	ENTIRE BUSINESS TCW HAD BUILT OVER THE YEARS, AND TAKE IT TO	
53:54	5	HIS OWN COMPANY WHICH HE HAD THEN PERCEIVED TO ORGANIZE. AND	
	6	THAT HE WOULD DO IT WHILE BEING PAID \$20,000 PER HOUR.	
	7	YOUR HONOR, MAY WE TAKE A SHORT BREAK?	
	8	THE COURT: YES. WHY DON'T WE WE'LL TAKE AN EARLY	
	9	MORNING BREAK SINCE WE'RE WITH THE OPENING STATEMENTS. LET'S	
54:13 10 TAKE 20 MINUTES. WE'LL COME BACK AT 10:15		TAKE 20 MINUTES. WE'LL COME BACK AT 10:15.	
	11	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, YOU MAY GO	
	12	OUT. THERE ARE A LOT OF PEOPLE HERE TODAY AND THE HALLS WILL	
	13	BE CROWDED. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO USE THE JURY ROOM, YOU MAY	
	14	COME INTO THE JURY ROOM AND TAKE YOUR BREAK. THERE ARE	
54:37 15		FACILITIES THERE, SO YOU HAVE YOUR OPTIONS, WHATEVER YOU	
	16	CHOOSE. IF YOU WANT TO GO DOWNSTAIRS AND GET SOMETHING,	
	17	THAT'S FINE, BUT IF YOU WANT TO COME RIGHT AROUND HERE	
	18	MR. SABALBURO WILL SHOW YOU.	
	19		
54:50	20	(THE CLERK AND THE COURT	
	21	CONFERRED SOTTO VOCE.)	
	22		
	23	THE COURT: OR THE CONFERENCE ROOM I UNDERSTAND IS SET	
	24	UP ACROSS THE HALL FOR YOU.	
	25		
	26	(AT 9:55 A.M. THE JURY EXITED THE COURTROOM.)	
	27		
	28	(RECESS TAKEN.)	

```
1
                        (THE NEXT PAGE NUMBER IS 401.)
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

```
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 1
 2
                    FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
 3
                                   HON. CARL J. WEST, JUDGE
     DEPARTMENT 322
 4
 5
     TRUST COMPANY OF THE WEST
 6
                                 PLAINTIFFS,)
 7
                                            ) NO. BC 429385
                     VS.
 8
     JEFFREY GUNDLACH ET AL,
 9
                                 DEFENDANTS.)
10
11
               REPORTER'S DAILY TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
                     JULY 28, 2011; 10:35 - 12:15
12
     APPEARANCES:
1.3
     FOR PLAINTIFF:
                          QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN
14
                           BY:
                                JOHN QUINN, ESQ.
                                ERIC J. EMANUEL, ESQ.
15
                                STEVEN MADISON, ESQ.
                                DAVID SERGENIAN, ESO.
16
                                KARA BORDEN, ESQ.
                                SUSAN ESTRICH, ESQ.
17
                                JOHN M. PIERCE, ESQ.
                                DOMINIC SURPRENANT, ESQ.
18
                                DIANE CAFFERATA HUTNYAN, ESQ.
                           865 SO. FIGUEROA STR. 10TH FLR.
19
                           LOS ANGELES, CA 90017
                           213.443.3000
20
                           JOHNQUINN@QUINNEMANUEL.COM
                           STEVENMADISON@QUINNEMANUEL.COM
21
                           DAVIDSERGENIAN@QUINNEMANUEL.COM
22
     FOR DEFENDANT:
                          MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
23
                           BY: BRAD D. BRIAN, ESQ.
                                MARK B. HELM, ESQ.
24
                                KEVIN S. ALLRED, ESQ.
                                LAURA D. SMOLOWE, ESQ.
25
                                ALLISON B. STEIN, ESQ.
                                JACOB S. KRIELKAMP, ESQ.
26
                                GREGORY J. WEINGART, ESQ.
                           355 SO. GRAND AVE. 35TH FLR.
2.7
                           LOS ANGELES, CA 90071
                           213.683.5113
                           BRAD.BRIAN@MTO.COM
28
                           MARK.HELM@MTO.COM
```

FOR DEFENDANT: KELLEY DRYE WHITE O'CONNOR BY: EDWARD E. WEIMAN, ESQ. 10100 SANTA MONICA BLVD. 23RD FLR. LOS ANGELES, CA 90067 310.712.6199 EWEIMAN@KELLEYDRYE.COM RAQUEL A. RODRIGUEZ, CSR NO. 9485 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 600 SOUTH COMMONWEALTH AVENUE DEPARTMENT 322 - 17TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90005 213.351.8610 

1	CASE NUMBER:	BC 429385
2	CASE NAME:	TCW VS. GUNDLACH
3	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	
4	DEPARTMENT 322	HON. CARL J. WEST, JUDGE
5	APPEARANCES:	(AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.)
6	REPORTER:	RAQUEL A. RODRIGUEZ, CSR
7	TIME:	10:15 A.M.
8	TIMD.	10.10 11.11.
9		0
10:18:5810		
10:18:5810	THE COURT ALL	DICHE IN THE TOW VEDCUC
	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IN THE TCW VERSUS	
12	GUNDLACH MATTER, WE'RE BACK IN SESSION.	
13	ALL MEMBERS OF OUR JURY ARE PRESENT,	
14	ARE ALL COUNSEL.	
MR. QUINN, YOU MAY CONTINUE.		, YOU MAY CONTINUE.
16		
17	PLAINTIFF OP	ENING STATEMENT +
18		
19		NK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
10:19:11 20	NOW WE COME TO THAT SEPTEMBER 3 MEETING	
21	I TOLD YOU ABOUT.	
22	THE MEETI	NG ON SEPTEMBER 3, 2009,
23	MATTERS REALLY CAME TO A	HEAD.
24	MR. STERN	WAS OUT OF TOWN. HE WAS ON
10:19:2525	VACATION IN COLORADO.	
26	AND MR. G	UNDLACH CALLED HIM AND SAID,
27	I'D LIKE TO HAVE A MEETI	NG.
28	AND THIS	NEVER HAPPENED BEFORE.

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ÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE WAS COMING ALL THE WAY FROM 10:20:3720 PARIS TO FIRE HIM. AND HE TOLD MR. STERN, YOU KNOW, IF I'M 21 FIRED, WE'RE ALL LEAVING TOGETHER. AND HE TURNED TO 22 23 THE OTHER PEOPLE IN THE ROOM, ALL OF WHOM HE 24 SUPERVISED, TOP PEOPLE IN THE DEPARTMENT, HE SAYS, IF I'M FIRED, OR IF I GO, HOW MANY OF YOU WILL GO WITH ME? 10:20:5325 26 THEY ALL RAISE THEIR HANDS. THIS IS 2.7 WHILE, HE'S A DIRECTOR. HE'S SUPPOSED TO BE A TOP 28 LEADER OF THE COMPANY. HE WANTED TO SEND A MESSAGE TO

1 MR. STERN. 2 THERE WILL BE EVIDENCE THAT THEY HAD MET 3 BEFORE. AND THEY PLOTTED THIS ABOUT THE MESSAGE THEY WANTED TO SEND TO MR. STERN. 10:21:19 5 EVEN THEN, THEY HAD A DIALOGUE: 6 MR. STERN, WHAT ARE YOUR ISSUES? HOW CAN WE ADDRESS 7 THEM? MR. GUNDLACH SAID, YOU KNOW, THERE NEED TO BE CHANGES HERE. 8 9 THAT'S WHEN MR. STERN SAID, WELL, WOULD YOU LIKE TO BE CO-CEO WITH ME? 10:21:34 10 AND MR. GUNDLACH SAID, NOT WITH YOU. 11 12 THEY TALKED ABOUT SOME GOVERNESS 13 CHANGES. THERE'S A MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE AT TCW. AND THE IDEA WAS COULD THEY HAVE MORE REPRESENTATIVES IN 14 MR. GUNDLACH'S GROUP SIT ON THIS MANAGEMENT COMMITMENT. 10:21:50 15 16 AND MR. STERN SAID, YES, THAT'S 17 SOMETHING WE CAN TALK ABOUT. 18 THEY SET UP ANOTHER MEETING WHERE THEY 19 WERE SUPPOSED TO PURSUE THESE ADDITIONAL IDEAS. 10:22:02 2 0 AND AFTER THAT MEETING, AFTER THAT 21 SEPTEMBER 3 MEETING, THAT VERY DAY, MR. GUNDLACH WENT 22 UP TO MR. STERN'S OFFICE, AND SAID TO HIM, MY GUYS 23 THINK I WAS A LITTLE ROUGH ON YOU. AND SHOOK HIS HAND 2.4 AND SAID, THAT WAS A GOOD MEETING. I THINK IT WAS PRODUCTIVE. I THINK WE CAN WORK THINGS OUT. 10:22:22 25 26 AND WE WILL SHOW YOU, WE WILL PROVE TO 2.7 YOU, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, THAT HE WAS JUST TRYING AT 28 THAT POINT TO BUY TIME. THAT HE HAD ALREADY SET HIS

- PLAN IN MOTION. AND HE WAS TRYING TO LULL MR. STERN 1 2 INTO THINKING THAT EVERYTHING WAS FINE. NOTHING WAS 3 UP. AND THERE WAS NO REASON FOR MR. STERN TO BE SUSPICIOUS. 10:22:47 5 WE WILL SHOW YOU AN E-MAIL EXCHANGE 6 BETWEEN MR. GUNDLACH AND THAT NO. 2 IN HIS DEPARTMENT, 7 MR. BARACH, JUST TWO WEEKS LATER, MR. BARACH HAD SPOKEN THAT DAY, SEPTEMBER 16TH, WITH A REPRESENTATIVE OF 8 9 SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE, A MAN NAMED JACOUES RIPOLL. IN THAT MEETING BETWEEN MR. BARACH AND 10:23:0910 11 MR. RIPOLL, MR. RIPOLL SAID NICE THINGS ABOUT 12 MR. BARACH, AND MR. STERN CAME INTO THE MEETING AND HAD 13 SHAKEN MR. BARACH'S HAND. AND WE WILL SHOW YOU THE E-MAIL WHERE 14 AFTER THAT MR. BARACH REPORTED TO MR. GUNDLACH THAT HE 10:23:23 15 16 HAD HAD THIS MEETING, AND MR. STERN HAD SHAKEN HIS HAND 17 AND THAT THEY HAD SAID NICE THINGS TO HIM. 18 AND MR. GUNDLACH RESPONDED IN THAT E-MAIL -- THIS IS EXHIBIT 296: PITIFUL, THE WHOLE 19 10:23:4020 THING IS JUST PITIFUL. YOU DESERVE BETTER. I DESERVE BETTER. WE DESERVE BETTER. IT'S REALLY AN EASY 21 22 DECISION NOW. 23 AND MR. BARACH RESPONDED: I AGREE. BUT 24 AT LEAST NOW WE HAVE THE LUXURY OF TIME TO PLAN AND 10:23:5925 PREPARE.
  - NOW, THERE'S SOMETHING CALLED A

    DEPOSITION THAT, IT'S PROBABLY THE DISCOVERY PROCESS

    WHERE YOU EXCHANGE INFORMATION BEFORE YOU COME TO

26

2.7

28

```
TRIAL. WE'RE ABLE TO HAVE WITNESSES COME IN AND
      1
      2
          PARTIES COME IN. THEY TAKE AN OATH TO TELL THE TRUTH.
      3
          IT'S THE SAME OATH THEY TAKE ON THE WITNESS STAND HERE.
                         THAT'S ALL TAKEN DOWN BY A COURT
10:24:21 5
         REPORTER. AND OFTEN IN THIS CASE, I THINK ALL OF THEM,
      6
         DEPOSITIONS WERE ALSO VIDEOTAPED. SO WE'LL BE ABLE TO
      7
         SHOW YOU VIDEOTAPE. THAT'S ALL DONE. WE DO THAT SO WE
          CAN SHOW IT TO YOU.
      8
      9
                         AND THE WITNESSES KNOW IT'S TO BE SHOWN
         TO YOU, TO THE JURY, WHO'S ULTIMATELY GOING TO SIT IN
10:24:32 10
     11
         THIS CASE.
     12
                         AND MR. GUNDLACH'S AT HIS DEPOSITION. I
     1.3
         PRESENTED HIM WITH THAT E-MAIL, WHERE THEY SAID: IT'S
     14
         AN EASY DECISION. NOW AT LEAST WE HAVE THE TIME AND
         LUXURY TO PLAN AND PREPARE.
10:24:4615
     16
                         YOU WILL SEE THIS TESTIMONY. I ASKED
     17
         MR. GUNDLACH, PLAN AND PREPARE FOR WHAT? WHAT'S AN
     18
         EASY DECISION? WHAT WERE YOU TALKING ABOUT?
                         HIS RESPONSE WAS, I DON'T REMEMBER.
     19
10:24:5820
                         YOU'LL HAVE A CHANCE, IT WILL BE YOUR
     21
         JOB TO DECIDE THE CREDIBILITY OF THE TESTIMONY. BUT IT
     22
         IS CLEAR -- IT WILL BE CLEAR FROM ALL THE EVIDENCE AND
     23
         WHAT WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO LEARN IN DISCOVERY BECAUSE WE
     2.4
         KNOW SO MUCH MORE NOW THAN BACK THEN.
                         WE DIDN'T KNOW IN THE SUMMER OF 2009
10:25:1325
     26
         ABOUT MR. GUNDLACH'S NEGOTIATIONS THAT SUMMER WITH
     2.7
         WAMCO. AND THERE ARE RUMORS ABOUT IT IN THE SPRING
     28
         BEFORE AND BACK EARLIER. THAT SUMMER WE DIDN'T KNOW
```

1 ABOUT THE CODE WORDS, ART GALLERY OR ARTWORK AND ART 2 GALLERY, CONVERTING. 175 MILLION.

3

6

7

8

11

12

1.3

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

2.4

26

2.7

28

10:26:3020

10:26:4325

10:26:1615

10:25:39 5

10:26:01 10

WILL BE CLEAR. IT IS CLEAR FROM THE RECORD WHAT IT WAS THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT. WHAT WAS THE EASY DECISION, AND WHAT THEY NOW HAD THE TIME TO PLAN AND PREPARE.

AND THAT WAS TO SET UP FROM WITHIN TCW, USING UNWITTING TCW EMPLOYEES, WHO DIDN'T KNOW THEY WERE BEING USED, TO SET UP A BUSINESS THAT HAD ITS OBJECT TO OPEN OVERNIGHT AND PUT TCW IN A POSITION WHERE IT WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO COMPETE.

THERE WOULD BE NOBODY THERE WHO COULD DO
THIS KIND OF WORK. THE THEFTS OF INFORMATION BEGAN
THAT DAY. SEPTEMBER 3, MR. GUNDLACH, ON THAT DAY, TOLD
MR. SANTA ANA TO STEAL EVERY BIT OF INFORMATION THAT
THEY WOULD NEED TO SET UP A FIRM TO PUT TCW OUT OF
BUSINESS.

OVER THE COURSE OF THE NEXT THREE

MONTHS, FROM SEPTEMBER 3 FORWARD, TO DECEMBER 4, WHEN

THEY WERE CONFRONTED ABOUT WHAT THEY'D BEEN DOING,

WORKING TOGETHER, DURING THOSE THREE MONTHS, WORKING

WITH OTHER DEFENDANTS AND OTHER TCW EMPLOYEES, THAT'S

EXACTLY WHAT MR. SANTA ANA DID. HE FOLLOWED

MR. GUNDLACH'S INSTRUCTIONS.

HE IMMEDIATELY, ON SEPTEMBER 3, HAD HIS SUBORDINATES BEGIN TO COLLECT AND COPY TCW'S

INFORMATION AND TO PREPARE FOR THIS SECRET WAR ON THE COMPANY THEY WERE THEN WORKING FOR. AND BEING PAID

1 FOR. 2 THE CASE OF MR. GUNDLACH, STILL, \$20,000 3 AN HOUR, THEY COLLECTED CLIENT LISTS. THEY BEGAN COLLECTING -- COPYING AND COLLECTING DOZENS OF VOLUMES 10:27:10 5 OF TRADE TICKETS. LET ME TELL YOU WHAT TRADE TICKETS 6 ARE AND WHY THEY'RE IMPORTANT. 7 TRADE TICKETS ARE A RECORD OF EVERY SINGLE TRANSACTION IN THAT FIXED INCOME GROUP, PURCHASE 8 AND SALE. ALL THE TRANSACTIONS OF THESE SECURITIES OVER A PERIOD OF MANY YEARS. 10:27:25 10 AND THE TESTIMONY WAS, WE KNEW THAT 11 12 MR. GUNDLACH HAD HAD THESE ALL COPIED AND HAD TAKEN 1.3 THEM OUT OF THE OFFICE AND TAKEN THEM TO A SPECIAL OFFICE THAT TCW HAD GOTTEN FOR HIM ON THE WEST SIDE OF 14 LOS ANGELES. 10:27:42 15 16 SOMETIMES -- HE LIVES OUT IN THE WEST 17 SIDE. HE DOESN'T LIKE DRIVING ALL THE WAY DOWNTOWN. 18 HE SAID HE'D LIKE TO HAVE AN OFFICE ON THE WEST SIDE, AND TCW LEASED SPACE THERE. HE TOOK DOZENS OF VOLUMES 19 10:27:5420 OF THE TRADE TICKETS. HE'D PUT THEM UNDER HIS ARM AND TAKE THEM OUT, HIM PERSONALLY, TWO AT A TIME. DAY 21 22 AFTER DAY. 23 I ASKED HIM AT DEPOSITION: 24 MR. GUNDLACH, WHY WERE YOU TAKING THESE TRADE TICKETS? WHY WERE YOU TAKING THESE RECORDS? 10:28:0825 26 HE ADMITTED HE DID IT. AND HE SAID: 2.7 WELL, I KIND OF LIKE LOOKING OVER THE OLD TRADES. IT

GAVE ME A GOOD FEELING. IT GAVE ME KIND OF -- A WEIRD

EMOTIONAL SATISFACTION TO LOOK AT THEM. 1 2 WELL, DON'T THINK THAT REALLY HOLDS 3 WATER. LET ME TELL YOU WHAT'S MORE IMPORTANT HERE. ΤF YOU'RE SETTING UP A NEW FIRM, THERE'S ACTUALLY RULES ON 10:28:35 5 WHETHER YOU CAN USE YOUR HISTORICAL TRACK RECORD. 6 YOU'VE SEEN PEOPLE QUOTE OUR TRACK 7 RECORD IS OVER THE LAST TEN YEARS OR FIVE YEARS, WE RETURN 13 PERCENT. 10 PERCENT. 9 PERCENT. 8 9 THAT'S OUR TRACK RECORD. IT'S IMPORTANT TO INVESTMENT ADVISORS TO BE ABLE TO TELL PEOPLE WHAT 10:28:47 10 THEIR TRACK RECORD WAS. AND THERE ARE RULES ON WHEN 11 12 YOU CAN USE YOUR TRACK RECORD AT A FORMER JOB. 13 AND THOSE RULES INCLUDE THAT YOU MUST HAVE THE UNDERLYING DATA. YOU MUST ACTUALLY HAVE THOSE 14 TRADE TICKETS, THE TRADE RECORDS, IN ORDER TO BE ABLE 10:29:05 15 16 TO TELL THE PUBLIC AND TO ADVERTISE THAT TRACK RECORD. 17 DO YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT I'M SAYING? 18 THEY HAD DONE AT TCW? 19 THEY WOULDN'T ADMIT TO IT, THAT THAT IS 10:29:2020 CLEARLY WHY THEY WERE TAKING THOSE OUT. AND WHY MR. GUNDLACH PERSONALLY TOOK THEM OUT TWO AT A TIME 21 22 UNDER HIS ARM. 23 THIS IS IN SEPTEMBER, EARLY ON, SO THEY 2.4 ALSO STARTED COLLECTING CLIENT CONTRACTS. THEY CAME UP WITH A LIST OF THE CRITICAL PEOPLE, WHO THEY THOUGHT 10:29:33 25 26 WERE CRITICAL TO THEIR OPERATION.

BY THE DAY -- BY DECEMBER 4, WHEN

MR. SANTA ANA WAS PUT ON, MR. SANTA ANA'S SITTING OVER

2.7

HERE, WHEN HE WAS CONFRONTED AND PUT ON ADMINISTRATIVE 1 2 LEAVE AND ULTIMATELY TERMINATED. THE COMPUTER RECORDS 3 SHOW HE HAD DOWNLOADED TRADE SECRET TCW INFORMATION ON 37 SEPARATE DAYS. EACH OF THESE DEFENDANTS PLAYED A 10:30:06 5 PART IN THAT THEFT. ON SEPTEMBER 4, MS. VAN EVERY TOLD 6 7 MR. GUNDLACH IN AN E-MAIL SHE OBTAINED A LIST OF ALL TCW'S INVESTORS. 8 9 THREE DAYS LATER, ON SEPTEMBER 7, MR. SANTA ANA COPIED VIRTUALLY ALL THE THOUSANDS OF 10:30:18 10 11 CLIENT AND PROSPECT CONTACTS TCW HAD ACCUMULATED OVER 12 THE COURSE OF DECADES ONTO AN EXTERNAL COMPUTER DRIVE. 1.3 SOMETHING THAT HE COULD TAKE. TAKE OUT OF THE 14 BUILDING. THIS DATA INCLUDES THOSE RECORDS OF 10:30:34 15 16 THOUSANDS OF CLIENTS AND PROSPECTS FOR WHOM 17 MR. SANTA ANA, MR. GUNDLACH, AND THEIR GROUP HAD NEVER 18 EVEN WORKED. 19 DEFENDANT JEFFREY MAYBERRY AND A 10:30:5120 FORMER -- AND OTHER FORMER TCW EMPLOYEES, INCLUDING 21 JIRAINDIRA PURUSHOTHAMAN, PRACTICE THAT. INDIAN NAME. 22 THEY CALL HIM JP, SO I'LL CALL HIM JP. 23 ANOTHER INDIVIDUAL BY THE NAME 24 CASEY MOORE, WHO WAS IN THE GROUP, COMPUTER PROGRAMMER. YOU'LL HEAR ABOUT HE ALSO WENT TO DOUBLELINE. THEY 10:31:0725

YOU'LL HEAR THAT TESTIMONY. BOTH

TAKING WAS NONPUBLIC AND CONFIDENTIAL.

ADMITTED UNDER OATH THEY KNEW THE INFORMATION THEY WERE

26

2.7

MR. SANTA ANA AND MR. MAYBERRY CONTINUED DOWNLOADING 1 2 TRADE SECRET INFORMATION THROUGH THEIR VERY LAST DAY AT 3 TCW, DECEMBER 4. GET THIS: THE LIST OF FILES THAT MR. MAYBERRY DOWNLOADED TO A FLASH DRIVE -- YOU KNOW, THAT'S ONE OF THOSE LITTLE PORTABLE DRIVES THAT YOU SEE 10:31:34 5 6 PEOPLE USE THAT FITS IN A POCKET, EASIER TO HIDE. 7 THE LIST OF FILES COMPUTER FILES THAT HE DOWNLOADED ON HIS LAST DAY ALONE IS 38 SINGLE-SPACE, 38 8 SINGLE-SPACE PAGES WHEN YOU PRINT IT OUT. THE LIST CONSISTS OF 1,900 SEPARATE COMPUTER FILES. 10:31:54 10 11 THE DEFENDANTS ALSO HIRED, AS I SAID, 12 TWO OF THE MORTGAGE BACKS SECURITIES GROUP PROGRAMMERS 1.3 AWAY FROM TCW, CASEY MOORE AND FAN ZHANG. THEY DOWNLOADED ALL THE SOFTWARE THEY WERE WORKING ON AT 14 TCW, FOR THESE ANALYTICS THAT I TALKED ABOUT, AND TOOK 10:32:15 15 16 THEM TO DOUBLELINE. 17 IN SHORT, THE DEFENDANTS, ALL THE 18 INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS, DID EXACTLY WHAT MR. GUNDLACH 19 TOLD THEM TO DO. THEY TOOK EVERY PIECE OF PROPRIETARY 10:32:3420 AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION THAT THEY NEEDED TO SET UP 21 A RIVAL COMPUTER, INCLUDING ALL THAT PRIVATE CLIENT 22 DATA. 23 MS. VANEVERY TOOK CHARGE OF OBTAINING 24 THE OFFICE SPACE FOR THEIR NEW COMPANY, NEGOTIATING A 10:32:4825 LEASE, SETTING UP THE OFFICE. AND SHE USED A TCW FORM 26 SHE GOT FROM THE LEGAL DEPARTMENT TO DRAFT A

CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT BETWEEN THEM AND THE

COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE BROKER, WHICH THEY USE A FIRM

2.7

CALLED STUDLEY IN ORDER TO KEEP THEIR DISCUSSIONS 1 2 SECRET. 3 THEY RETAINED ARCHITECTS. THEY RETAINED PROJECT MANAGERS. THEY GOT A TAX I.D. NUMBER. ALL THE THINGS THAT YOU WOULD NEED TO DO IN ORDER TO START A 10:33:12 5 6 COMPETING FIRM. 7 YOU'RE EVEN GOING TO SEE E-MAILS TALKING ABOUT WHERE THEY'RE GOING TO HANG THE ART IN THE SPACE, 8 IN PARTICULAR, A DONALD JUDD SCULPTURE. YOU'LL SEE 10:33:25 10 THAT. THEY WERE DISRUPTED. WE CAUGHT THEM AND 11 12 CONFRONTED THEM BEFORE THEY ACTUALLY SIGNED A LEASE. 1.3 BUT THERE'S NO QUESTION THEY HAD IDENTIFIED THE SPACE AND THEY WERE PLANNING OUT THAT SPACE. 1 4 YOU WILL HEAR IN LATE SEPTEMBER OR EARLY 10:33:3615 16 OCTOBER, ACCORDING TO GREG WARD, ANOTHER PERSON AT THE 17 TIME WAS PART OF THIS, MR. GUNDLACH TOOK MR. WARD INTO 18 A CONFERENCE ROOM AND SAID: THIS CONVERSATION DOESN'T LEAVE THIS ROOM. HE SAID: WE NEED TO GET REGISTERED. 19 10:33:5620 THIS KIND OF BUSINESS, YOU'RE REGISTERED. IT'S A HIGHLY REGULATED BUSINESS. 21 22 ON OCTOBER 23, MR. GUNDLACH HIRED A 23 MAJOR NEW YORK CITY LAW FIRM, CALLED WALDER, 24 WICKERSHAM & TAFT, TO WORK FOR HIS NEW COMPANY. THAT SAME DAY HE INCORPORATED THE NEW COMPANY IN DELAWARE. 10:34:1225 26 THEY INCORPORATED UNDER THE CODE NAME ABLE GRAPE 2.7 INITIALLY MAND IT NAMED ITS OFFICERS GUNDLACH,

VANEVERY, AND MR. SANTA ANA.

ON NOVEMBER 17TH, THE REAL ESTATE AGENT 1 2 CONFIRMED IN A LETTER TO DEFENDANT VANEVERY THAT THE 3 TARGET DATE FOR DOUBLELINE TO BEGIN OPERATING IN ITS NEW OFFICES WAS THE END OF MARCH 2010. 10:34:41 5 I THINK IT'S NO COINCIDENCE THAT BONUSES 6 ARE PAID IN FEBRUARY. AND IT CLEARLY WAS, STAY LONG 7 ENOUGH, GET EVERYTHING UP AND RUNNING, READY TO GO, COLLECT OUR BONUSES, AND WE'LL BE OPEN IN MARCH. 8 9 THE REAL ESTATE AGENT CONFIRMED. HIS EXACT WORDS WERE IN THE E-MAIL TO VANEVERY: I'M ALSO 10:34:5610 PROPOSING A PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE IF I'M ABLE TO GET 11 12 YOU IN BY THE END OF THE MARCH MANDATE. 1.3 IT'S MANDATORY THEY BE THERE. 14 EXHIBIT 441. THERE'S ALSO A PROJECT TIMELINE, SHOWS 10:35:11 15 16 THE SPACE ALL BEING BILLED OUT. YOU'LL SEE THAT THERE 17 ARE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT FINANCING. THEY WENT TO 18 GOLDMAN SACHS. THAT'S WHY THE NAME WAS ON THE WITNESS LIST. THEY WENT TO NEW YORK TO MEET WITH GOLDMAN SACHS 19 10:35:2620 AND TO SEE IF THEY'D BE INTERESTED IN HELPING RAISE 21 FINANCING OR WHETHER THEY WOULD BE INVESTORS. 22 GOLDMAN SACHS SAID? WAIT A SECOND. 23 THIS IS GOING TO BE MESSY. THIS IS NOT HOW WE DO 24 THINGS. IT NEEDS TO BE COMPLETELY TRANSPARENT TO TCW; OTHERWISE, YOU KNOW, GOLDMAN DOES NOT WANT TO BE 10:35:4025 26 INVOLVED IN THIS. 2.7 OF COURSE, DEFENDANTS DIDN'T -- AS A 28 RESULT OF THAT, DEFENDANTS DIDN'T DISCLOSE ANYTHING TO

```
TCW. THEY PREPARED A FULL, DETAILED BUSINESS PLAN,
      1
      2
          WHICH WE WILL SHOW YOU, THAT PROJECTED THAT THEY WOULD
          HAVE $48 BILLION UNDER MANAGEMENT. 48 BILLION WITHIN
      3
          NINE MONTHS. $750 MILLION IN INCOME OVER FIVE YEARS.
10:36:09 5
                         THIS BUSINESS PLAN IS HIGHLY DETAILED.
      6
          COSTS, IT'S ALL BASED ON INFORMATION CONCERNING, YOU
      7
          KNOW, SUBSCRIPTIONS, DATA REQUIRED SALARIES, TECHNOLOGY
          COST, AND THINGS THEY GOT FROM OTHER TCW EMPLOYEES
      8
          WITHOUT TELLING THEM, YOU KNOW, THEY WERE DOING THIS
         ACTUALLY TO DO A SNEAK ATTACK ON THEIR EMPLOYER.
10:36:2610
     11
                         THESE BUSINESS PLANS ARE EXHIBIT 950 AND
     12
          962.
     1.3
                         THEY ALSO HAVE AN EXTENSIVE TO-DO LIST.
          THINGS NEEDED TO BE DONE. ONE OF THE ITEMS WAS REBUILD
     14
         THE PROPRIETARY SYSTEMS SHOWING, OF COURSE, THEY WANTED
10:36:42 15
     16
          TO REBUILD THOSE PROPRIETARY SYSTEMS, THOSE TCW
     17
          ANALYTICS TAKEN -- YES, HAD TAKEN YEARS AND MILLIONS OF
     18
          DOLLARS.
     19
                         ALSO, WAS AN ITEM CALLED DECIDE ON THE
10:36:5820
          TECHNOLOGY SYSTEM. YOU'LL SEE THAT WAS CHECKED OFF
          BECAUSE THEY HAD -- THEY KNEW THEY WERE GOING TO BE
     21
     22
          USING THAT TCW SYSTEM.
     23
                         IT IDENTIFIES DOZENS OF SPECIFIC
     24
          EMPLOYEES THAT THEY PLAN TO TAKE WITH THEM TO
10:37:1025
         DOUBLELINE.
     26
                         AND YOU'LL HEAR ALONG THE WAY THEY GOT
     2.7
         KIND OF EXCITED ABOUT THE PROJECT THAT THEY WERE DOING
```

TOGETHER, AND MR. GUNDLACH, WHO YOU KNOW, AS I TOLD

YOU, DIDN'T WANT TO PARTICIPATE IN TEAM BUILDING 1 2 ACTIVITIES AT TCW, HE WENT TO TCW THAT FALL AND SAID: 3 YOU KNOW, I'D LIKE TO HAVE A TEAM-BUILDING ACTIVITY. I'D LIKE TO TAKE ME AND SIX MEMBERS OF MY GROUP TO MARFA, TEXAS, WHERE THERE'S A MODERN ART MUSEUM. AND 10:37:33 5 6 I'D LIKE TO CHARTER A JET AND GO FOR A COUPLE OF DAYS 7 AND TAKE THESE PEOPLE AS PART OF A TEAM-BUILDING 8 ACTIVITY. 9 AND HE GOT PERMISSION TO DO THAT. AND HE DID. AND HE TOOK THOSE PEOPLE IN HIS KEY GROUP. 10:37:4610 AND IT WAS A TEAM-BUILDING ACTIVITY. IT WAS A 11 12 TEAM-BUILDING ACTIVITY FOR DOUBLELINE. 1.3 AND HE CHITTED IT ALL IN TO TCW. TCW 14 PAID FOR THAT. MR. GUNDLACH HAD NEVER DONE ANYTHING LIKE THAT BEFORE. AND HE INVITED PEOPLE TO HIS -- OVER 10:38:00 15 16 TO HIS OFFICE TO SOME OF THE PEOPLE IN HIS GROUP TO 17 SMOKE HAVANA CIGARS, BOTTLES OF WINE WORTH HUNDREDS OF 18 MILLIONS OF DOLLARS -- HUNDREDS OF DOLLARS. HUNDREDS 19 OF DOLLARS. YOU GET CARRIED AWAY. 10:38:21 20 BUT HE WAS CEMENTING HIS GROUP, 21 CEMENTING LOYALTY, AND DOING ACTIVITIES LIKE THAT THAT 22 HE HADN'T DONE BEFORE. AND YOU'LL SEE THE EVIDENCE OF 23 THAT. 24 SO, WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO HEAR? WHAT ARE THE EXCUSES THAT YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR FROM 10:38:34 25 26 MR. BRIAN AND FROM THE DEFENDANTS? 2.7 THEY WILL TELL YOU THAT EVERYTHING THEY

DID, THEY DID ALL THIS, THESE ACTIVITIES, ONLY BECAUSE

THEY THOUGHT THEY WERE GOING TO BE FIRED BY MR. STERN. 1 2 IT WAS ALL DEFENSIVE. 3 YOU WILL SEE THAT THAT'S FALSE. THAT THAT'S NOT TRUE. I MEAN, FOR ONE THING, HE WAS TALKING ABOUT LEAVING CLEAR BACK IN FEBRUARY TO GO TO WAMCO. 10:38:59 5 6 THAT'S LONG BEFORE MR. STERN RETURNS ON JUNE 1. 7 YOU WILL ALSO SEE -- YOU'RE GOING TO SEE AN E-MAIL THAT SHOWS THEM ACTUALLY CONCOCTING THIS 8 DEFENSE TO SHOW TO YOU, BACK AT THE TIME. THERE'S AN E-MAIL DATED DECEMBER 9, 2010. THEY WROTE COMMENTING 10:39:1610 ON A PROPOSED PRESS STATEMENT. BY JANUARY 9, THIS IS 11 12 JANUARY 9, 2010, THEY'RE OUT OF TCW AT THAT POINT. 1.3 THEY'RE STARTING A NEW BUSINESS. AND THEY -- THERE'S A COMMENT THAT'S 14 WRITTEN ON A PROPOSED PRESS STATEMENT TO EXPLAIN WHY 10:39:35 15 16 THEY LEFT AND WHAT'S GOING ON. AND THEIR COMMENT SAID: 17 NEED TO RECONCILE THE STATEMENT THAT I NEVER CONSPIRED 18 TO LEAVE TCW WITH ANY SEPARATION I MIGHT HAVE 19 CONTEMPLATED. PERHAPS SAY: THIS CONTEMPLATION STARTED 10:39:5820 AFTER I HEARD RUMORS TCW WAS GOING TO FIRE ME. 21 PERHAPS SAY THAT TO THE PUBLIC, AND NOW 22 THEY'RE GOING TO PERHAPS SAY THAT TO YOU, THE JURORS. 23 PERHAPS SAY IT ALL STARTED AFTER I HEARD 24 RUMORS I WAS GOING TO BE FIRED. THAT'S EXHIBIT 764. IT'S A FABRICATION RIGHT BEFORE YOUR 10:40:1425 26 EYES. WHAT ELSE ARE YOU GOING TO HEAR? ANOTHER 2.7 EXCUSE?

THEY SAY: HEY, WE CAN WEAVE AND

COMPETE. THAT'S THE AMERICAN WAY. THAT'S APPLE PIE. 1 2 AND WE CAN ALSO PREPARE TO COMPETE BEFORE WE LEAVE. 3 AND WE HAVE NO QUARREL WITH EITHER OF THOSE THINGS. BUT THE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE IS GOING 10:40:35 5 TO BE VERY, VERY DIFFERENT. THAT WHILE THEY WERE IN 6 VERY TOP POSITIONS AT THE COMPANY, THEY SECRETLY EXECUTED ON A PLAN THAT HAD, AS ITS NECESSARY 7 CONSEQUENCE AND ITS INTENTION, TO INJURE THE COMPANY 8 THAT THEY OWED DUTIES OF LOYALTY AND GOOD FAITH TO. THAT IS SOMETHING DIFFERENT. AND THEY 10:40:53 10 11 DID IT USING COMPANY RESOURCES, AND THEY DID IT BY 12 STEALING. 1.3 YOU'RE ALSO GOING TO HEAR THAT -- WELL, WE ALSO INTENDED A NEGOTIATION, PEACEFUL DEPARTURE. 14 10:41:08 15 THERE WAS ALWAYS GOING TO BE A TIME WHEN THE TIME WAS 16 RIGHT. WE WERE GOING TO CONTACT MR. STERN AND WE WOULD 17 TELL HIM, YOU KNOW, WE'D LIKE TO AND DECIDED NOW WE 18 WOULD LIKE TO LEAVE. AND WE'D LIKE TO NEGOTIATE THE 19 TERMS UNDER WHICH WE WOULD LEAVE. 10:41:22 2 0 THERE WILL BE NO EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT 21 THAT. EVERY -- EVERYTHING THEY DID, ALL THE STEPS I 22 DESCRIBED TO YOU WERE DONE IN SECRET, AND THEY WERE DELIBERATELY DONE IN SECRET. THEY WEREN'T ABOVE BOARD. 23

THEY WANTED TO LEAVE SUDDENLY SO IT

THIS WASN'T THE VERY BEST SITUATION WHERE THEY CAME TO

MR. STERN -- OR ANYBODY ELSE AT TCW AND SAID, WE WANT

TO NEGOTIATE OUR DEPARTURE. THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN EASY

2.4

2.6

2.7

TO DO.

10:41:3925

WOULD LOOK TO TCW'S CLIENTS AND INVESTORS IN THIS VERY 1 2 SPECIALIZED TYPE OF MORTGAGE BACK SECURITY THAT TCW 3 COULD NO LONGER HANDLE THIS BUSINESS. BECAUSE THEY WERE ALL GONE. THAT WOULD 10:42:03 5 HAVE DRIVEN CLIENTS AWAY FROM TCW. PEOPLE WOULD BE 6 AFRAID. WHO'S THERE TO RUN THE BUSINESS? MR. GUNDLACH 7 AND HIS TEAM ARE GONE. TCW WOULD HAVE BEEN HELPLESS AT THAT 8 POINT TO COMPETE. AND THAT WAS THE WHOLE POINT. THAT'S HOW YOU CAPTURE IT ALL IF YOU SET IT UP IN A WAY 10:42:1610 THAT TCW IS A SITTING DUCK. SO THEY'RE PLANNING TO BE 11 12 EXECUTED OVERNIGHT, TO TCW'S SHOCK AND SURPRISE. 1.3 THEY HIRE EXPERT WITNESSES. WE HIRE EXPERT WITNESSES TOO. YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR EXPERT 14 TESTIMONY, FOLKS WHO COME IN HERE BECAUSE OF THEIR 10:42:32 15 16 BACKGROUND AND GIVE YOU OPINIONS. BOTH SIDES HAVE 17 THEM. 18 ONE OF THEIR EXPERTS, STEVE SAMSON, 19 ADMITTED THAT FOLKS AT -- THE DEFENDANTS WOULD HAVE 10:42:4620 BEEN IN A POSITION TO DICTATE TERMS TO TCW. HOW MUCH OF THE REVENUE THEY MIGHT BE PREPARED TO SHARE? 21 22 THEY'D BE IN A POSITION TO SAY: WE'RE 23 LEAVING. WE'RE PREPARED TO LEAVE THIS MUCH BEHIND. 2.4 IT'S TAKE IT OR LEAVE IT. A NEGOTIATED DEPARTURE IS, YOU'LL HEAR IN THE END, IT'S A BUZZ WORD FOR: THIS IS 10:43:0525 26 WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO, THIS IS WHEN WE'RE GOING TO DO

IT, AND THIS IS WHAT YOU CAN HAVE, AND YOU'VE GOT 24

HOURS TO ACCEPT OR NOT.

2.7

HALF THE BUSINESS, THE WHOLE COMPANY 1 2 GONE, 500-PLUS EMPLOYEES LOOKING UP TO THESE LEADERS, 3 FIDUCIARIES, RELYING ON THEM, TRUSTING THEM. THE IMPACT ON THE ENTIRE COMPANY AND ITS POTENTIAL SURVIVAL 10:43:32 5 WOULD HAVE BEEN DEVASTATING. 6 BUT THEY DIDN'T GET TO SPRING THEIR 7 TRICK. LET ME TELL YOU NOW HOW THAT HAPPENED. BECAUSE TCW FOUND OUT ABOUT IT. 8 9 NOW LET ME GO BACK TO THAT SEPTEMBER 3 MEETING. AND I TALKED TO YOU AND TOLD YOU THAT IT WAS 10:43:45 10 11 CLEAR THAT MR. GUNDLACH WANTED TO SEND A MESSAGE TO 12 MR. STERN. THAT MR. STERN COULDN'T TAKE ANY ACTION 1.3 REGARDING MR. GUNDLACH WITHOUT DAMAGING TCW. BECAUSE THEY WERE LOCKING ARMS. 14 AND THEN HE WENT UP, I TOLD YOU, TO 10:44:01 15 16 MR. STERN'S OFFICE, SHOOK HANDS AND SAID: ALL IS WELL. 17 THAT SAME DAY THAT THEY STARTED TO COLLECT INFORMATION. 18 WELL, MARK STERN IS NOBODY'S FOOL. HE 19 WASN'T FOOLED, FOOLED BY MR. GUNDLACH'S ASSURANCES, 10:44:1820 EVEN THOUGH HE RESISTED ALL ALONG. PEOPLE TOLD HIM THIS MAN'S A -- HAS CANCER. HE NEEDS TO LEAVE. HE NOW 21 22 REALIZED THE PROBLEMS WERE WORSE WITH MR. GUNDLACH THAN 23 EVEN HE REALIZED. 24 HE NOW REALIZED THAT THERE WAS NO CHANCE THAT HE WOULD BE ABLE TO KEEP MR. BARACH OR OTHER 10:44:34 25 2.6 PEOPLE IN THAT DEPARTMENT TO RUN THE BUSINESS IF 2.7 MR. GUNDLACH LEFT OVERNIGHT. HE SUSPECTED THEY WERE UP TO SOMETHING. DIDN'T KNOW ALL THE DETAILS AT THAT 28

1 POINT.
2
3 GOING ON.

10:44:57 5

10:45:14 10

6

7

8

11

12

1.3

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

2.4

26

2.7

28

10:45:4620

10:46:0125

10:45:34 15

BUT HE THOUGHT PERHAPS SOMETHING WAS GOING ON, AND THEIR PLANS WERE MORE ADVANCED THAN THEY WERE LETTING ON OR HE HAD ANY REASON TO SUSPECT.

SO THIS IS WHAT MR. STERN DID. HE PUT INSTRUCTIONS THAT THEIR COMPUTER ACTIVITY SHOULD BE REVIEWED. NOW, THIS IS VERY IMPORTANT. IN INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT BUSINESS, BY LAW, E-MAILS CAN BE REVIEWED, MUST BE REVIEWED.

THERE'S LAW ABOUT THIS. IT'S IN THE HUMAN RESOURCES POLICIES IN THE EMPLOYEE HANDBOOK.

PEOPLE ARE SQUARELY TOLD, YOU KNOW, ALL YOUR E-MAILS ARE SUBJECT TO REVIEW. IF YOU USE A TCW COMPUTER AND YOU E-MAIL PEOPLE, IT'S SUBJECT TO REVIEW. IN THE FINANCIAL BUSINESS THAT'S THE WAY IT IS. TCW'S REQUIRED TO KEEP E-MAILS. AND PERIODICALLY THEY HAVE TO BE REVIEWED.

YOU WILL NOT HEAR IN THIS CASE ANY CLAIM FROM THE DEFENDANTS THAT THEY HAD SOME EXPECTATION OF PRIVACY IN THE E-MAILS THEY WROTE ON TCW COMPUTERS.

THEY COULDN'T. THEY KNEW THEY WERE SUBJECT TO REVIEW.

SO MR. STERN GAVE INSTRUCTIONS THAT THEIR E-MAILS -
LET'S LOOK AT THEIR E-MAILS. LET'S SEE WHAT'S GOING

ON.

AND WHAT THEY SAW HAPPENING, STARTING IN MID SEPTEMBER, WAS VERY TROUBLING. THEY SAW IT, THE THINGS I'VE DESCRIBED. THEY SAW THE DEFENDANTS WERE COLLECTING AND COPYING CLIENT LISTS, CONTRACTS, TRADE

TICKETS. THE MASSIVE DOWNLOADING I TALKED ABOUT 1 2 DOESN'T START TILL MUCH LATER. I'LL TALK ABOUT THAT 3 INTO NOVEMBER. BUT STARTING SEPTEMBER, THEY SAW THESE 10:46:24 5 ACTIVITIES. AND WHEN MR. STERN SAW THAT, ANY HOPE HE 6 HAD THAT HE COULD WORK OUT SOME ARRANGEMENT WITH THESE 7 PEOPLE WAS NOW REDUCED TO SOMETHING VERY, VERY SMALL. HE REALIZED THAT THESE PEOPLE WERE UP TO SOMETHING. 8 THEY HAD THE POTENTIAL TO DESTROY THE COMPANY. HE REALIZED MR. GUNDLACH WAS SECRETLY 10:46:42 10 11 SETTING HIMSELF UP IN BUSINESS FROM WITHIN, BUILDING 12 THAT BUSINESS FROM INSIDE TCW, AND USING TCW EMPLOYEES. 1.3 MR. STERN DIDN'T KNOW ALL THE DETAILS, BUT HE THOUGHT THE CHANCES WERE VERY HIGH THAT 14 10:46:5915 MR. GUNDLACH WAS GOING TO LEAVE. 16 AND HE UNDERSTOOD THAT IF MR. GUNDLACH 17 LEFT, TCW HAD TO HAVE SOMEBODY THERE WHO COULD RUN THIS 18 M B.S. MORTGAGE BANK SECURITY BUSINESS THE DAY AFTER 19 MR. GUNDLACH'S GONE. 10:47:1520 AND YOU WILL HEAR THAT MR. STERN SET ON A PLAN OF ACTION. AND A LOT OF PEOPLE SPENT A LOT OF 21 22 TIME AND A LOT OF MONEY TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE WOULD 23 BE SOMEBODY THERE IN ORDER TO PROTECT TCW, TCW'S 2.4 INVESTORS, AND THE OWNERS OF THE COMPANY. IF THEY HADN'T DONE SOMETHING, TCW WOULD 10:47:32 25 26 HAVE LOST ALL THAT BUSINESS, 500-PLUS EMPLOYEES POTENTIALLY OUT OF WORK. HE HAD TO ACT. HE COULDN'T 2.7 28 AFFORD TO DO NOTHING. IF HE HADN'T DONE SOMETHING.

I'LL TALK TO YOU ABOUT WHAT MR. STERN 1 2 DID. 3 THE DOWNLOADING AT THE END OF NOVEMBER 2009 THIS ACTIVITY OF REVIEWING WHAT WAS GOING 10:47:54 5 ON ON THE DEFENDANTS' COMPUTERS, SHOWED THEY WERE 6 DOWNLOADING MASSIVE QUANTITIES OF TCW CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY INFORMATION. BEFORE, THEY HAD SEEN THE 7 INFORMATION ABOUT COLLECTING CONTRACTS, CONTACT 8 INFORMATION, TRADE TICKETS, BUT THIS WAS DIFFERENT. FOR THE FIRST TIME NOW, THEY WERE 10:48:08 10 ACTUALLY MASSIVELY DOWNLOADING LARGE QUANTITIES OF 11 12 INFORMATION. MR. STERN, IN MID SEPTEMBER AFTER THEY 1.3 FIRST REVIEWED THOSE E-MAILS -- IF I COULD GO BACK FOR A SECOND -- I TOLD YOU HE REALIZED HE NEEDED TO HAVE 14 10:48:31 15 SOMEBODY THERE THE DAY AFTER MR. GUNDLACH LEFT. 16 AND HE REACHED OUT TO THAT LOCAL FIRM I 17 TOLD YOU ABOUT EARLIER, MET WEST. REMEMBER, I TOLD YOU 18 IT WAS A TRADE OF THEIR -- A TRADER, TAD RIVELLE. HE 19 WAS POTENTIALLY ON A LIST OF PEOPLE WHO MIGHT -- HE 10:48:4820 MIGHT BRING IN TO SHORE UP THE MANAGEMENT THERE BECAUSE 21 OF THE RISK MR. GUNDLACH MIGHT LEAVE OR MIGHT HAVE TO 22 BE FIRED. 23 THEN IN SEPTEMBER, AFTER THEY SAW THIS 24 ACTIVITY, HE REACHED OUT TO MET WEST AND WENT TO MEET THESE PEOPLE. AND NOW HE WASN'T JUST TALKING ABOUT 10:49:0125 26 REFUTING ONE PERSON, TAD RIVELLE. HE NEVER EVEN MET 2.7 WITH MR. RIVELLE BEFORE. HE NEVER GOT PAST JUST HAVING 28 A NAME ON A PIECE OF PAPER PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 3.

NOW HE WAS TALKING ABOUT SOLVING THIS 1 2 PROBLEM OF, IF THESE PEOPLE LEAVE, HOW ARE WE GOING TO 3 RUN THIS BUSINESS. AND HE WAS TALKING ABOUT BRINGING IN THE ENTIRE MET WEST TEAM TO REPLACE MR. GUNDLACH AND 10:49:26 5 POTENTIALLY HIS ENTIRE TEAM. THOSE NEGOTIATIONS BEGAN 6 THEN IN SEPTEMBER. 7 THEY BEGAN IN SEPTEMBER WITH MET WEST, AND THEY WERE ONGOING, SEPTEMBER, OCTOBER, NOVEMBER, 8 9 RIGHT UP TO DECEMBER 4. ON DECEMBER 4 THE DEAL WAS SIGNED, SO MET WEST TEAM COULD COME INTO TCW AND RUN 10:49:42 10 THAT BUSINESS. 11 IN THE MEANTIME, WHILE THESE 12 1.3 NEGOTIATIONS ARE GOING ON TO ACQUIRE THIS OTHER GROUP, THEY'RE WATCHING THE COMPUTER ACTIVITY, AND AT THE END 14 10:49:57 15 OF NOVEMBER, THEY SEE FOR THE FIRST TIME MASSIVE 16 OUANTITIES OF DATA BEING DOWNLOADED. 17 AS ALARMING AS THIS WAS TO SEE THIS AT 18 THE END OF NOVEMBER, TCW COULDN'T DO ANYTHING UNTIL THE 19 MET WEST ACOUISITION WAS SIGNED UP. IF THEY WENT TO 10:50:1520 MR. GUNDLACH THEN AND SAID WE'RE ON TO IT, WE SEE WHAT 21 YOU'RE DOING, HE WOULD HAVE BEEN OUT, LIKE THAT. 22 AND YOU KNOW THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN AT 23 RISK. THAT WAS THE PROBLEM. THEY COULDN'T DO IT UNTIL 2.4 THEY COULD GET -- SIGN A DEAL WITH MET WEST, IF THEY COULD SIGN THE DEAL WITH MET WEST. THOSE NEGOTIATIONS 10:50:3025 26 WENT ON. 2.7 BUT, WITH THESE DISCOVERIES, YOU CAN

IMAGINE THE PRESSURE TO CLOSE THAT DEAL WITH MET WEST

INCREASED ENORMOUSLY, AND THEY WERE FINALLY ABLE TO 1 2 CLOSE THE DEAL ON DECEMBER 4. 3 ON THAT SAME DAY THEY -- TCW CONFRONTED THE CONSPIRATOR AND MET WITH MR. GUNDLACH AND TOLD HIM 10:50:50 5 THAT MET WEST WAS COMING IN TO TAKE OVER HIS 6 DEPARTMENT. HE WAS SUSPENDED. THE OTHER INDIVIDUAL 7 DEFENDANTS WERE SUSPENDED AT THAT POINT, PUT ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE INITIALLY. 8 9 MR. GUNDLACH ANNOUNCED HE REGARDED THIS AS A TERMINATION, AND ON DECEMBER 11TH HIS TERMINATION 10:51:04 10 11 WAS, IN FACT, PROCESSED ALONG WITH THAT OF THE OTHER 12 INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS. 1.3 THROUGH THEIR LAST DAY OF WORK THEY WERE PAID EVERYTHING THEY WERE OWED. VACATION, THE PRORATED 14 10:51:17 15 SALARY. I THINK MR. GUNDLACH HAD A PRORATED SALARY, 16 \$500,000 PRORATED THROUGH DECEMBER 4. PAID EVERY DIME 17 THAT THEY WERE OWED, EVEN THOUGH THEY HAD SPENT THOSE LAST FEW MONTHS AT THE COMPANY WORKING AGAINST TCW, 18 19 RATHER THAN WORKING FOR IT. 10:51:3520 BUT YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR IN THIS CASE THEY'RE ASKING FOR MONEY, NOT JUST ON THE ORAL 21 22 CONTRACT, BUT FOR SHARES OF FEES, SO-CALLED PERFORMANCE 23 FEES, INCENTIVE FEES ON THESE FUNDS THAT TCW HADN'T 2.4 EVEN RECEIVED YET. THEY SAID THAT EVEN THOUGH TCW HADN'T 10:51:4725 26 GOTTEN THE MONEY YET, THEY SHOULD HAVE GOTTEN THE MONEY

ON DECEMBER 4, EVEN IF THEY WERE FIRED FOR GOOD REASON.

BUT YOU WILL ALL HEAR THAT FROM THEM.

2.7

SO THEY WERE CONFRONTED WITH THE THEFTS 1 2 ON DECEMBER 4 AND THEN TOLD: WE KNOW WHAT YOU'VE BEEN 3 UP TO; WE'VE SEEN THE COMPUTER ACTIVITY. THEY TRIED TO JUSTIFY THEIR THEFT BY 10:52:12 5 CLAIMING: WELL, AFTER THAT, AT DOUBLELINE WE HIRED 6 EXPERTS, SO-CALLED REMEDIATION EXPERTS, WHO WOULD COME IN AND, YOU KNOW, TRY TO IDENTIFY TCW CONFIDENTIAL 7 INFORMATION AND REMOVE IT FROM COMPUTERS. 8 9 WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR IS THAT THEIR SO-CALLED REMEDIATION EXPERTS MADE NO ATTEMPT TO 10:52:28 10 11 DETERMINE IF DOUBLELINE HAD ALREADY USED THE 12 INFORMATION. THEY DID MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO SEE IF IT HAD 1.3 BEEN DELETED BEFORE THE REMEDIATION PEOPLE CAME IN. THAT MAN WITH THE INDIAN NAME, JP, HE 14 TESTIFIED THAT HE RECEIVED NO INSTRUCTIONS TO PRESERVE 10:52:45 15 16 TCW DATA UNTIL SEPTEMBER 24, 2010, MORE THAN NINE 17 MONTHS AFTER THE DEFENDANTS WERE GONE. 18 WHAT THEY WOULD DO IS THEY WOULD TELL 19 PEOPLE AT DOUBLELINE -- THE NUMBER OF TCW'S EMPLOYEES 10:53:0420 END UP GOING TO DOUBLELINE, THEY WOULD TELL THE 21 EMPLOYEES: THE REMEDIATION PEOPLE ARE COMING. GIVE 22 THEM A HEADS-UP. GIVE THEM AN OPPORTUNITY TO DESTROY 23 THE EVIDENCE. 24 AND THE EVIDENCE WILL BE THAT MASSIVE AMOUNTS OF INFORMATION WAS DELETED RIGHT BEFORE THE 10:53:1725 26 REMEDIATION INSPECTION TOOK PLACE. 2.7 IT WAS A KIND OF AN HONOR SYSTEM. YOU KNOW, IF YOU HAVE TCW DATA, RAISE YOUR HAND, AND YOU 28

KNOW THE EXPERTS WILL ELIMINATE IT. JP IS ONE OF THE 1 2 PEOPLE WHO STOLE THE MOST INFORMATION. DOUBLELINE'S 3 REMEDIATION CONSULTANTS ASKED HIM IF HE HAD STOLEN INFORMATION ON ANY COMPUTER DEVICES. HE SAID NO, AND 10:53:45 5 THEY TOOK HIS WORD FOR IT. THAT'S WHAT THE SO-CALLED 6 REMEDIATION YOU'RE GOING TO FIND OUT CONSISTED OF. 7 THE TRUTH IS THEY DIDN'T REMEDIATE. THEY DESTROYED DATA AND EVIDENCE. THEY DESTROYED 8 EVIDENCE SO THAT YOU WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO SEE IT BECAUSE THEY SURELY KNEW THIS DAY WAS COMING. 10:54:00 10 11 LET ME GIVE YOU SOME EXAMPLES. 12 JP HAD A 320 GIGABYTE WESTERN DIGITAL 1.3 DRIVE WIPED CLEAN. DESTROYING ALL THE EVIDENCE THAT HAD THE TCW DATA ON IT HAD BEEN USED. 1 4 THIS MAN WAS ASSISTANT VICE PRESIDENT 10:54:17 15 16 AND ANALYST AT TCW. HE WENT OVER TO DOUBLELINE. HE 17 HAD COPIED, HE HAD COPIED 13,000 TCW DOCUMENTS ONTO 18 THIS EXTERNAL DRIVE. 19 THE COMPUTER RECORDS -- BECAUSE YOU KNOW 10:54:3320 YOU COULD GO INTO A COMPUTER AND SEE WHEN THINGS WERE ACCESSED AND THE LIKE -- THE COMPUTER RECORDS SHOW HE 21 22 TOOK IT TO DOUBLELINE AND PLUGGED IT INTO HIS 23 DOUBLELINE LAPTOP AND DESKTOP AND THAT HE OPENED THE 2.4 TCW DOCUMENTS WHILE HE WAS THERE. BUT WE CAN'T GIVE YOU THE DETAILS OF 10:54:4725 26 WHAT USE HE MADE OF IT. LET ME TELL YOU WHAT HE DID. 2.7 HE E-MAILED THE HARD DRIVE MANUFACTURER 28 IN 2010 AND SAID IT WASN'T MECHANICAL PROBLEMS AND

ASKED FOR A REPLACEMENT. AFTER HE GOT THE REPLACEMENT, 1 2 HE SENT THE OLD DRIVE TO THE MANUFACTURER AND WIPED IT 3 CLEAN AND DESTROYING EVERYTHING ON THE DRIVE. WIPED OUT ALL THE SO-CALLED METADATA ON THE DRIVE. I'M 10:55:13 5 SURE SOME OF YOU HEARD, METADATA IS INFORMATION THAT 6 YOU CAN'T SEE, BUT THE COMPUTER KEEPS. IT SHOWS WHEN DOCUMENTS WERE OPENED, WHEN THEY WERE REVISED, COPIED, 7 MODIFIED, AND THE LIKE. IT'S KIND OF LIKE THE 8 9 FINGERPRINTS ON A DOCUMENT. AND ALL THE METADATA WAS WIPED OUT. 10:55:30 10 LIKE WIPING OUT FINGERPRINTS. TECHNICIANS CAN RETRIEVE 11 12 METADATA UNDER SOME CIRCUMSTANCES, BUT THEY CAN'T DO IT 1.3 WHEN A DRIVE IS WIPED CLEAN LIKE JP'S WAS. 14 DEFENDANTS' OWN COMPUTER EXPERT ADMITTED 10:55:47 15 THAT BY DESTROYING THE METADATA JP DESTROYED THE 16 EVIDENCE OF THE USE HE MADE OF THE 13,000 DOCUMENTS FOR 17 OVER TWO MONTHS. WE WON'T BE ABLE TO SHOW YOU THAT 18 EVIDENCE BECAUSE IT'S GONE. 19 MR. DAMIANI, ANOTHER PRINCIPAL OF 10:56:0320 DOUBLELINE, WHO LEFT TCW TO GO TO DOUBLELINE, IN 21 FEBRUARY 2010 HE LEARNED HE WOULD HAVE TO BRING HIS 22 PERSONAL LAPTOP IN FOR INSPECTION BY DOUBLELINE'S 23 EXPERTS. 2.4 BEFORE BRINGING IT IN, HE RAN A PROGRAM ON HIS COMPUTER THE RECORDS -- COMPUTER RECORDS SHOW. 10:56:1725 2.6 IT'S A PROGRAM THAT'S CALLED SECURE DELETION SOFTWARE. 2.7 HE RAN IT TWICE. AND WHEN YOU RUN THAT TYPE OF

PROGRAM, IT MEANS THAT EVEN COMPUTER EXPERTS CAN'T

RECOVER WHAT HAS BEEN DESTROYED. 1 2 TCW'S COMPUTER EXPERT WAS ABLE TO 3 DETERMINE THAT MR. DAMIANI DESTROYED APPROXIMATELY 6,000 DOCUMENTS, BUT NOT WHAT THE DOCUMENTS WERE. 10:56:46 5 THAT'S GONE FOREVER. WE CAN'T SHOW YOU THAT. THEY ALSO DESTROYED ALL EVIDENCE OF 6 7 THEIR SOURCE CODE, PROGRAMMING, COMPUTER CODE, WHICH ARE THE INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE COMPUTER TELLING THE 8 COMPUTER WHAT TO DO. THEY DESTROYED ALL THE SOURCE CODE 10:57:00 10 PROGRAMMING FOR THEIR TECHNICAL PLATFORM. 11 12 NOW, AS I THINK I MENTIONED BEFORE, 1.3 DOUBLELINE HIRED COMPUTER PROGRAMMERS FROM TCW, FROM 14 THIS GROUP. ONE OF THEM, A MAN NAMED CASEY MOORE, HE 10:57:18 15 TESTIFIED AT HIS DEPOSITION WHEN THEY GOT TO 16 DOUBLELINE, HE AND ANOTHER PROGRAM WERE WRITING 17 COMPUTER CODE LIKE 20 HOURS A DAY, SEVEN DAYS A WEEK TO 18 GET THIS TECHNICAL PLATFORM THEY NEEDED IN PLACE. 19 THEY STARTED DOING THIS ON 10:57:3420 DECEMBER 14TH. I MEAN, HAVING THESE ANALYTICAL PLATFORMS AVAILABLE WAS KEY TO THE BUSINESS IF THEY 21 22 WERE GOING TO TRY TO STEAL BUSINESS FROM TCW. 23 NOW, COMPUTER PROGRAMMERS, WHEN THEY 24 WRITE CODE, USE SOMETHING CALLED REVISION CONTROL TO TRACK ALL THE CHANGES THAT ARE MADE IN THE CODE. IT 10:57:5125 2.6 ACTUALLY KIND OF CREATES A HISTORY OF THE CODE AS 2.7 YOU'RE CREATING IT.

AND THAT'S -- THEY STARTED TO USE A

- REVISION CONTROL CALLED SOURCE SAVE ONLY, ON 1 2 JANUARY 8TH, 2010. THAT'S THE DAY AFTER THIS LAWSUIT 3 WAS FILED. SO THEY'RE WORKING 20 HOURS A DAY, SEVEN DAYS A WEEK FROM DECEMBER 14 TO JANUARY 8. THERE IS NO 10:58:14 5 6 HISTORY OF REVISION CONTROL. THAT'S REALLY ODD. 7 COMPUTER PROGRAMMERS, WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF THE COMPUTER, THE DRIVE HAD BECOME CORRUPTED OR 8 CRACKED? THEY WOULD HAVE LOST ALL THE WORK. AND SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT SOMETIMES YOU HAVE TO RETRACE YOUR 10:58:30 10 STEPS TO KIND OF SEE WHERE THINGS WENT WRONG. AND 11 12 THAT'S WHY YOU NEED A HISTORY. IT'S STANDARD PRACTICE 1.3 FOR SOMEBODY WHO WRITES SOFTWARE CODE. THEY DIDN'T DO
  - I MEAN, HOW MANY TIMES HAVE YOU HEARD COMPUTER EXPERTS SAY: BACK THINGS UP, BACK THINGS UP. THEY DIDN'T DO IT. THEY SAY THEY DIDN'T DO IT. DOUBLELINE WANTS US TO BELIEVE DURING THE MOST CRITICAL PERIOD WHERE THEY WERE CREATING THEIR ANALYTICAL PLATFORM, WORKING 20 HOURS A DAY, SEVEN DAYS A WEEK, THEY DIDN'T BACK UP ANYTHING.

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

2.4

26

2.7

28

10:59:1125

10:58:5720

10:58:44 15

IT.

WHY IS THIS SO IMPORTANT? BECAUSE THE EXPERTS WOULD TELL YOU THAT IF THEY WERE BASING THEIR CODE -- THESE ARE THE SAME PROGRAMMERS WHO HAD DEVELOPED THAT ANALYTICAL PLATFORM AT TCW -- EXPERTS WILL TELL YOU THAT IF THEY WERE BASING THAT CODE ON THE CODE THAT THEY DEVELOPED AT TCW, AND WHICH THEY TOOK WITH THEM OVER TO DOUBLELINE, THE CLEAREST SIGNS, THE

CLEAREST EVIDENCE OF THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN IN THE 1 2 EARLIEST SOURCE CODE THAT THEY WROTE. 3 AND DOUBLELINE'S EXPERT ADMITTED THAT NEARLY ALL THE EARLY CRITICAL PROGRAMMING AT DOUBLELINE 10:59:39 5 FROM DECEMBER 14, TO JANUARY 7TH HAS BEEN DESTROYED. 6 BECAUSE OF THAT, WE WON'T BE ABLE TO SHOW YOU THE 7 HISTORY OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE DOUBLELINE ANALYTICAL PLATFORM AND THE SOURCE OF THAT CODE. 8 9 THE EVIDENCE IS CLEAR, NOTWITHSTANDING THE DESTRUCTION OF EVIDENCE AND THINGS THAT WE WON'T BE 10:59:57 10 ABLE TO SHOW YOU. THE EVIDENCE IS CLEAR THAT 11 12 DEFENDANTS DID USE TCW'S CONFIDENTIAL, PROPRIETARY, 1.3 TRADE SECRET INFORMATION THAT THEY TOOK WITH THEM. REMEMBER, IT'S ON DECEMBER 4 THEY'RE 14 CONFRONTED, PLACED ON ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE. ON 11:00:12 15 16 WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 9, FIVE DAYS AFTER LEAVING TCW, 17 MR. GUNDLACH USED THE TCW CONTACT INFORMATION THAT HE 18 AND THE OTHER DEFENDANTS HAD TAKEN TO CONTACT OVER 800 19 TCW CLIENTS AND AN INVITATION TO HEAR HIM IN A WEBCAST 11:00:3520 OR A CONFERENCE CALL. 21 AND YOU'LL SEE BEFORE THAT, ON SUNDAY, 22 DECEMBER 6TH, TWO DAYS AFTER THE LET-GO, DEFENDANT 23 BARBARA VANEVERY SENT AN E-MAIL TO MR. GUNDLACH SAYING: 2.4 I FOUND WHAT YOU NEEDED. IT'S EXHIBIT 511. 11:00:4825 26 ATTACHED TO THAT E-MAIL AND EXHIBIT 511, 2.7 IS A 57-PAGE LIST OF TCW CLIENT CONTACTS. OBVIOUSLY,

IT WOULD HAVE BEEN IMPOSSIBLE FOR THEM TO RECREATE THAT

BETWEEN DECEMBER 4 AND DECEMBER 9 WHEN THEY HAD THEIR
FIRST CONFERENCE WITH CLIENTS AND INVESTORS.

3

6

7

8

9

11

12

1.3

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

2.6

2.7

28

11:01:48 15

11:02:0220

11:02:1825

11:01:19 5

11:01:34 10

LATER THAT MONTH, YOU WILL SEE THAT

MR. GUNDLACH ANNOUNCED TO THE WORLD -- YOU WILL SEE

THAT HE HAD A SERIES OF CONFERENCES AND WEBCASTS; WE

HAVE THE TRANSCRIPTS AND WE GOT THEM FROM DOUBLELINE -
HE ANNOUNCED TO THE WORLD THAT DOUBLELINE MIRACULOUSLY

REPLICATED, HIS WORD, REPLICATED THE TCW COMPUTER

ANALYTICS LESS THAN A MONTH AFTER HE LEFT.

THE SAME SYSTEM THAT TCW HAD SPENT YEARS AND MILLIONS DEVELOPING.

WE'RE GOING TO PRESENT TO YOU TESTIMONY
FROM COMPUTER FORENSIC EXPERTS PROVING THAT THEY DID,
IN FACT, USE INFORMATION THEY TOOK. YOU'RE GOING TO
SEE SOME CSI-TYPE EVIDENCE ON THAT, WHICH I WON'T GO
INTO NOW.

ANOTHER THING STARTED TO HAPPEN RIGHT

AFTER THEY LEFT AND THEY GOT -- IMMEDIATELY GOT

DOUBLELINE UP AND RUNNING. THEY IMMEDIATELY CHANGED

THE NAME FROM ABLE GRAPE. THEY AMEND THE FILING IN

DELAWARE, AND THEY ACTIVATE THE BUSINESS. THEY CHANGED

IT FROM ABLE GRAPE TO DOUBLELINE.

AND MR. GUNDLACH STARTS TO HAVE -- YOU KNOW, HE ADVERTISES AND HAS A SERIES OF WEBCASTS AND CONFERENCE CALLS. AND IN THESE HE STARTS TO DISPARAGE TCW AND THE MET WEST PEOPLE AND ENCOURAGE THE INVESTORS AT TCW TO ACTUALLY BREAK THEIR CONTRACTS WITH TCW. AND WE'VE GOT RECORDS OF THIS. WE'LL SHOW YOU THE

1 TRANSCRIPTS. 2 THEY EVEN DID IT IN INVESTORS IN 3 SO-CALLED CLOSED FUNDS. CLOSED FUNDS ARE -- THEY ARE CERTAIN TYPES OF FUNDS AND INVESTMENTS WHERE YOU MAKE AT TCW, NOT JUST TCW, BUT OTHER MONEY MANAGERS, THAT 11:02:45 5 6 THE NATURE OF THE INVESTMENT REQUIRES THAT YOUR MONEY 7 NEEDS TO BE TIED UP FOR A CERTAIN PERIOD OF TIME. 8 YOU AGREE THAT YOU'RE GOING TO LEAVE 9 YOUR MONEY THERE FOR A CERTAIN PERIOD OF TIME. THOSE 11:02:58 10 ARE CALLED CLOSED FUNDS. SOME OF THEM HAVE TO BE DONE THIS WAY. 11 12 AND IN THIS CASE THE CLOSED FUNDS 1.3 CLIENTS HAD AGREED, SIGNED CONTRACTS, TO KEEP THEIR 14 MONEY WITH TCW IN THESE FUNDS UNTIL SEPTEMBER 2015 11:03:13 15 UNLESS, YOU KNOW, TCW DECIDED TO -- BECAUSE OF 16 PERFORMANCE, TO END THE FUNDS EARLY. 17 BY CONTRACT, THE CLIENTS AGREED TO THIS. 18 AND MR. GUNDLACH KNOWS THIS. THESE ARE PROGRAMS THAT 19 HE CREATED. HE KNEW THAT THE CONTRACTS REQUIRE THIS. 11:03:3020 BUT AS SOON AS THEY LEFT, THEY STARTED 21 CONTACTING THE CLIENTS AND TELLING THEM AND AGITATING 22 THEM, THE CLIENTS IN THE CLOSED FUNDS, TO BREAK THEIR 23 AGREEMENTS. 24 NOW, I TOLD YOU BEFORE, MS. VANEVERY USED A STOLEN, 57-PAGE LIST OF CLIENT CONTACTS TO DO 11:03:4125 26 THIS THREE DAYS AFTER THEY'RE GONE.

ON DECEMBER 16TH, YOU'LL SEE A

TRANSCRIPT WHERE MR. GUNDLACH BRAGGED THAT HE HAD

2.7

ALREADY TAKEN THE WHOLE DEPARTMENT -- BASICALLY, TCW 1 2 WAS NOW DOUBLELINE. 3 HE SAYS -- HIS WORDS: IT'S IMPORTANT FOR US TO UNDERSTAND THAT THE DELIVERABLE IS COMPLETELY 11:04:06 5 INTACT NOW IN DOUBLELINE, HAVING BEEN MOVED FROM TCW IN 6 ACTIONS THAT WERE PRECIPITATED BY TCW ON DECEMBER 4. 7 AND HE TELLS THEM, IN THAT, SUBSEQUENT CALLS -- YOU'LL SEE THE TRANSCRIPT: WE REBUILT THE 8 TECHNICAL PLATFORM. AND HE TELLS THEM: YOU SHOULD FORCE TCW TO LET YOU GET YOUR MONEY OUT OF THESE CLOSED 11:04:24 10 11 FUNDS. HE SAID -- HIS WORDS WERE: I DON'T CARE WHAT THE DOCUMENTS SAY. 12 1.3 MEANING THE CONTRACTS. I DON'T CARE 14 WHAT THEY SAY. AND HE BELITTLED THE TEAM, MET WEST TEAM THAT HAD COME IN. IN ONE CALL HE SAID, AS BEST I 11:04:45 15 16 KNOW, THE MET WEST TEAM THAT WAS BROUGHT OVER HAD THREE 17 PROFESSIONALS, THE LEAD PROFESSIONAL ON THE MET WEST 18 TEAM IS 34 YEARS OLD. 19 PHIL BARACH AND I WERE MANAGING MORTGAGE 11:05:0020 PORTFOLIOS OF SOME COMPLEXITY WHEN THAT MAN WAS IN 21 JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL. 22 THERE'S QUITE A BIT OF DIFFERENCE MANNING A PORTFOLIO OF THIS NATURE APPROPRIATELY AND 23 2.4 TRYING TO MANAGE IT ON THE FLY. 11:05:1325 I SAID: NO ONE'S MANAGING YOUR MONEY. 26 THERE'S NO ONE AT TCW MANAGING YOUR MONEY.

HE ALSO SAID: TCW'S TRYING TO

CONFISCATE YOUR REVENUE TEAM. TCW IS PUTTING ITSELF IN

2.7

1 FRONT OF INVESTORS.

2 CLEARLY TRYING TO ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO

3 | LEAVE TCW, SCARE TCW'S CLIENTS INTO LEAVING. THE

4 | STATEMENTS HE'S MAKING FOLKS, WERE NOT TRUE. THEY WERE

FALSE. MR. GUNDLACH KNEW THAT TCW WASN'T JUST THREE

PEOPLE WHO CAME OVER FROM MET WEST. IT WAS THE ENTIRE

MET WEST TEAM.

THERE ARE SOME PEOPLE IN THAT MORTGAGE

BACK SECURITY GROUP AT TCW WHO HAD STAYED. AND BETWEEN

THE MET WEST TEAM AND THE TCW TEAM IN JANUARY OF 2010,

THE NUMBERS OF PROFESSIONALS WERE COMPARABLE. THE

MET WEST TEAM HAD BEEN MANAGING MORTGAGE BACK

SECURITIES FOR MORE THAN 12 YEARS.

THEY HAD BEEN NOMINATED FOR THAT MORNING STAR AWARD FOUR TIMES. WON THE AWARD ONCE. YOU KNOW, MR. RIVELLE HAD HAD SIGNIFICANT EXPERIENCE IN NONAGENCY MORTGAGE BACK SECURITIES.

BUT THIS ALL HAD AN EFFECT. THIS

CAMPAIGN THEY LAUNCHED TO GET PEOPLE TO LEAVE TCW

BREACHED THEIR CONTRACT. IT HAD AN EFFECT. AS A

RESULT, TO STAY IN THAT BUSINESS, TO KEEP THESE

ARRANGEMENTS IN PLACE, TCW HAD TO CUT ITS PRICES, LOWER

ITS FEES.

THEY LOWERED IT BY 75 PERCENT ABOUT, AS A RESULT OF THIS SMEAR CAMPAIGN. AND IT COST TCW AN ENORMOUS AMOUNT OF MONEY. WE WILL TALK TO THAT, AND PROVE TO YOU THE DAMAGES THAT IT COST.

BUT, UNDER LAW, WHEN SOMEBODY HAS A

11:06:2620

11:05:38 5

11:05:52 10

6

7

8

11

12

1.3

14

16

17

18

19

11:06:0915

22

23

21

24

11:06:4025

2.7

2.6

CONTRACT, AND YOU ENCOURAGE SOMEBODY ELSE TO BREAK THAT
CONTRACT, THAT'S NOT LEGAL. THAT'S CALLED TORTIOUS
INTERFERENCE. AND WE'RE ENTITLED TO -- ENTITLED TO
DAMAGES FOR THAT.

MR. GUNDLACH WILL TALK ABOUT HIS
PERFORMANCE, THAT HE'D BEEN A GREAT BOND MANAGER, AND

HIS RECORD IS SPECTACULAR, AND THE LIKE.

7

8

11

12

1.3

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

2.4

26

2.7

28

11:08:0220

11:08:1925

11:07:42 15

11:07:22 10

FOLKS, THIS ISN'T JUST ABOUT DOLLARS AND CENTS, PROFITS, THAT TYPE OF PERFORMANCE. THE MET WEST TEAM HAS DONE FABULOUSLY. THEY GOT THE MORNING STAR NOMINATION FOR THIS LAST YEAR. THIS CASE IS ABOUT OLD-FASHIONED THINGS, LIKE HONESTY, LOYALTY, THINGS LIKE INTEGRITY, CHARACTER. IT'S NOT SIMPLY ABOUT DOLLARS AND CENTS.

SO, IN SUMMARY, MR. GUNDLACH -- GUNDLACH WAS MORE THAN JUST A VERY SUCCESSFUL BOND MANAGER AT TCW. HE WAS ONE OF THE TOP PEOPLE AT THE COMPANY. HE HAD RISEN TO THE PINNACLES OF POWER. HE WAS A LEADER, SOMEBODY WHO PEOPLE LOOKED UP TO. HE HAD A POSITION OF TRUST AND RESPONSIBILITY.

BUT HE, AND THE OTHERS WHILE THEY WERE
IN THOSE POSITIONS, SYSTEMATICALLY SET HIM OUT TO
BETRAY THAT TRUST AND VIOLATE THEIR DUTIES. THEY
BETRAYED THE TRUST BY MAKING SECRET EFFORTS OVER THE
COURSE OF MONTHS TO CREATE A BUSINESS THAT WOULD NOT
JUST COMPETE, BUT WOULD POTENTIALLY DESTROY TCW,
SECRETLY TARGETING AND SOLICITING TCW EMPLOYEES.

STEALING TRADE SECRETS WAS ALL PART OF A

PLAN. AND AFTER THEY LEFT, THEY PUT THAT PLAN INTO 1 2 MOTION AND USED THE STOLEN INFORMATION TO CONTACT 3 CLIENTS, ENCOURAGE THEM TO BREAK THEIR AGREEMENTS, AND ENCOURAGE THEM TO LEAVE TCW, AND ENCOURAGE THEM TO DO 11:08:53 5 THAT BY TELLING THEM FALSEHOODS ABOUT TCW AND WHAT HAD 6 HAPPENED. 7 THIS IS NOT A CASE JUST ABOUT 8 COMPETITION OR ABOUT CREATING A NEW COMPETITOR. ABOUT THE DEFENDANTS TRYING TO DESTROY TOW THROUGH THE 11:09:0910 ABUSE OF THEIR OFFICES AND MAKE SURE THAT TCW COULD NOT COMPETE. AND WE WILL PROVE TO YOU THAT THEIR ACTIONS 11 12 DAMAGED TCW SEVERELY. 1.3 BEFORE I SIT DOWN, THERE ARE JUST FINAL PIECES OF EVIDENCE I'D LIKE TO SHARE WITH YOU THAT 14 YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR IN THIS CASE. 11:09:2615 16 ON DECEMBER 4, AFTER ALL THE DEFENDANTS 17 WERE CONFRONTED WITH THE EVIDENCE THAT THEY HAD STOLEN 18 THE TWO EMPIRE STATE BUILDINGS WORTH OF DATA, TWO OF 19 THOSE FOUR INDIVIDUALS, EVEN AFTER DECEMBER THEY WERE 11:09:44 20 CONFRONTED WITH IT, MANAGED TO GET MORE INFORMATION OUT OF THE COMPANY. THEY ARE MR. SANTA ANA AND 21 22 MR. JEFF MAYBERRY. 23 MR. SANTA ANA, AFTER HE WAS CONFRONTED AND TOLD HE WAS BEING PUT ON LEAVE, HE HAD AN EXTERNAL 24 HARD DRIVE HE WAS USING TO DOWNLOAD INFORMATION. 11:10:0425 26 BECAUSE THE CAPACITY OF THE SMALLER, YOU KNOW, FLASH

AND WHEN HE WAS CONFRONTED ON

DRIVES WASN'T ENOUGH FOR EVERYTHING HE WAS TAKING.

2.7

DECEMBER 4, THAT EXTERNAL HARD DRIVE WITH A TON OF TCW 1 2 DATA ON IT, WAS STILL UNDER HIS DESK AT TCW. AND HE 3 WAS CONFRONTED AND HE WAS GIVEN, BY TCW, A DRAFT OF THE LEGAL COMPLAINT THAT ACCUSED HIM OF WHAT HE HAD DONE, 11:10:32 5 STEALING TRADE SECRET INFORMATION. 6 AND HE LEFT THE BUILDING. AND HE DROVE TO HIS HOME IN MANHATTAN BEACH. AND WHEN HE GOT THERE, 7 HE READ THE COMPLAINT ACCUSING HIM OF THIS TRADE SECRET 8 THEFT, AND HE REALIZED THAT THAT HARD DRIVE WAS STILL 11:10:47 10 SITTING UNDER HIS DESK ON THE FLOOR. AT THAT POINT, HE GOT INTO HIS CAR IN 11 12 MANHATTAN BEACH AND DROVE ALL THE WAY BACK DOWNTOWN. 1.3 HE KNEW HE COULDN'T GET INTO THE BUILDING BECAUSE HIS 14 ACCESS CARD HAD BEEN TAKEN. SO HE PARKED HIS CAR ON THE STREET 11:11:03 15 16 OUTSIDE. AND HE SAW THAT THERE WAS A RESTAURANT 17 DOWNSTAIRS IN THE BUILDING WHERE TCW IS. AND HE SAW 18 FORMER -- A NUMBER OF TCW EMPLOYEES AT THAT RESTAURANT. 19 PEOPLE HE HAD WORKED WITH. THEY WERE HAVING DRINKS 11:11:2020 THERE. AND MR. SANTA ANA JOINED THEM THERE. 21 AND THEN HE TOLD THEM THAT HE WANTED 22 SOMEONE WHO HAD NOT BEEN SUSPENDED, AS HE HAD BEEN, TO 23 GO BACK UPSTAIRS AND GET THAT HARD DRIVE FROM UNDER HIS 24 DESK. THE STOLEN INFORMATION. TWO FEMALE EMPLOYEES, WHO HAD NOT BEEN INVOLVED, AND, WORSE, HAD NOT BEEN 11:11:3925

ONE OF THEM WENT UPSTAIRS, GOT THE
EXTERNAL HARD DRIVE. BUT THEN SHE HAD SECOND THOUGHTS.

SUSPENDED, DID HIS DIRTY WORK FOR HIM.

SHE KNEW SHE SHOULDN'T TAKE THE HARD DRIVE. SHE 1 2 THOUGHT IT HAD TCW INFORMATION ON IT. AT THAT POINT, 3 SHE DIDN'T THINK SHE WANTED TO BE INVOLVED. SO, AS SHE'S STANDING THERE WITH THE 11:12:06 5 HARD DRIVE, TRYING TO DECIDE WHAT TO DO, ANOTHER FEMALE 6 EMPLOYEE FROM THE GROUP SHOWED UP AND ASKED WHAT SHE WAS DOING. AND SHE, ONCE IT WAS EXPLAINED TO HER, SHE 7 SAID: HERE, GIVE IT TO ME. 8 AND SHE STUCK IT IN HER BRASSIERE, AND 11:12:22 10 SHE WENT DOWNSTAIRS AND FOUND MR. SANTA ANA AND SAID TO HIM: I HAVE THE HARD DRIVE. SHE SAID: COME WITH ME. 11 12 AND SHE WALKED OUT TO THE STREET WHERE HIS CAR WAS. HE 1.3 OPENED HIS CAR DOOR, AND SHE PULLED OUT THE HARD DRIVE FROM HER BRASSIERE AND PASSED IT TO HIM. 14 AND HE PUT IT IN HIS CAR. HIS WORDS TO 11:12:40 15 16 HER WERE: YOU HAVE NO IDEA HOW MUCH THIS MEANS TO ME. 17 NONE OF WHAT I TOLD YOU IS DISPUTED. 18 YOU WILL HEAR THE TESTIMONY FROM MR. SANTA ANA AND BOTH THE FEMALE EMPLOYEES ABOUT THESE EVENTS. 19 11:12:5720 AND WE WILL PROVE TO YOU THAT MR. SANTA ANA WANTED THAT HARD DRIVE SO HE THOUGHT TCW 21 22 WOULDN'T HAVE THE EVIDENCE, WOULDN'T DISCOVER IT UNDER 23 HIS DESK, AND WOULD NOT HAVE THAT EVIDENCE OF THE 2.4 CONSPIRACY. AND HE ALSO WANTED IT BECAUSE HE NEEDED 11:13:1325 26 AND WANTED THAT INFORMATION AT DOUBLELINE.

GOT INFORMATION OUT OF TCW EVEN AFTER THEY WERE

SECOND FINAL EPISODE. HOW DEFENDANTS

2.7

CONFRONTED. IT INVOLVES A JEFF MAYBERRY. HE'S ALSO AN 1 2 INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANT. HE'S ALSO CONFRONTED ON 3 DECEMBER 4. AND IT INVOLVES A FLASH DRIVE, ONE OF THOSE PORTABLE DRIVES THAT HE CONCEALED AND SNUCK OUT 11:13:41 5 OF TCW. 6 NOW, MR. MAYBERRY HAD BEEN DOWNLOADING 7 LOTS OF INFORMATION DAY AFTER DAY ON ONE OF THESE LITTLE PORTABLE FLASH DRIVES, WHICH ARE EASY TO HIDE. 8 9 AND WHEN HE WAS CONFRONTED ON DECEMBER 4, HE ADMITTED HE HAD DONE THIS. AND HE SAID: 11:13:55 10 I'VE GOT A FLASH DRIVE IN MY CAR DOWNSTAIRS. IT'S JUST 11 12 DOWNSTAIRS IN THE PARKING STRUCTURE. CONTAINS TCW'S 1.3 INFORMATION. AND YOU WILL HEAR TO THIS EFFECT THAT HE 14 KNEW IT HAD -- THAT HE TOLD THIS. AND HE HAD SAID 11:14:10 15 16 MR. SANTA ANA KNEW AND INSTRUCTED HIM TO DOWNLOAD THIS 17 INFORMATION TO THE FLASH DRIVE. AND HE SAID: I WILL 18 GO GET IT. 19 AND A RETIRED F.B.I. AGENT, AN 11:14:2620 INVESTIGATOR, THEN ACCOMPANIED HIM DOWNSTAIRS TO THE PARKING STRUCTURE TO HIS CAR. AND WHEN HE GOT THERE, 21 22 HE REALIZED THAT HE, MR. MAYBERRY, REALIZED THERE WERE 23 TWO FLASH DRIVES IN HIS CAR, NOT JUST ONE. 24 HE KNEW ONE OF THEM WAS EMPTY. AND HE KNEW THAT ONE OF THEM HAD THE STOLEN TCW INFORMATION ON 11:14:4025 26 IT. AND HE HAS SINCE CONFESSED TO IT IN HIS

DEPOSITION. HE'S CONFESSED THAT HE TOOK OUT OF THE

CONSOLE THE EMPTY FLASH DRIVE AND GAVE IT TO THE

2.7

- 1 RETIRED F.B.I. AGENT.
- 2 AND HE INTENTIONALLY CHOSE TO KEEP THE
- 3 OTHER FLASH DRIVE, THE ONE THAT HAD THE STOLEN TCW
- 4 INFORMATION ON IT IN HIS CAR. AND NOT TELL ANYBODY.
- 11:15:06 5 | HE ADMITTED HE WANTED TO KEEP THAT INFORMATION.
  - THE NEXT MORNING HE DROVE TO HIS
  - 7 | PARENTS' HOUSE WITH THAT FLASH DRIVE. AND HE ADMITTED
  - 8 | THAT HE WAS AFRAID THAT TCW WOULD SEND ITS
  - 9 INVESTIGATORS TO HIS HOUSE. SO HE DROVE TO HIS
- 11:15:2410 | PARENTS' HOUSE. AND HE DECIDED HE'D TAKE THE FLASH
  - 11 DRIVE AND MAIL IT TO HIS PARENTS' CAR DEALERSHIP AFTER
  - 12 HE HAD COPIED IT ONTO A COMPUTER.
  - 13 HE DECIDED HE WOULD MAIL IT TO HIS
  - 14 | PARENTS CAR DEALERSHIP, AND HE SAID HE DID THIS SO THAT
- 11:15:40 15 | IF HE WAS -- IF THE INVESTIGATORS CALLED HIM, HE COULD
  - 16 | TELL THEM, "I DON'T HAVE IT."
  - 17 HE HAD NO PROBLEM LEAVING OUT THE FACT
  - 18 | THAT HE HAD ALREADY COPIED THE INFORMATION ONTO THE
  - 19 COMPUTER.
- 11:15:5220 WELL, A FUNNY THING HAPPENED. ACCORDING
  - 21 TO MR. MAYBERRY, WHEN THE ENVELOPE CONTAINING THE FLASH
  - 22 DRIVE ARRIVED AT THE CAR DEALERSHIP, THERE WAS A HOLE
  - 23 IN THE ENVELOPE.
  - 24 AND SOMEHOW THE FLASH DRIVE HAD FALLEN
- 11:16:0725 OUT. IT WAS, ACCORDING TO MR. MAYBERRY, LOST IN THE
  - 26 MAIL. TCW HAS NEVER RECOVERED THAT. NEVER RECOVERED
  - 27 THAT EVIDENCE.
  - 28 AGAIN, NONE OF THESE FACTS, WHAT I JUST

TOLD YOU ABOUT THIS EPISODE WITH MR. MAYBERRY'S FLASH 1 2 DRIVE, NONE OF THEM ARE DISPUTED. 3 WE'RE GOING TO -- THIS TRIAL'S GOING TO BE A LENGTHY TRIAL, AS YOU'VE HEARD. WE'LL DO OUR LEVEL BEST TO TRY TO GET THE EVIDENCE TO YOU QUICKLY 11:16:31 5 6 AND MOVE THE CASE ALONG. 7 HIS HONOR HAS DONE US A FAVOR IN THAT REGARD BY GIVING US TIME LIMITS. WE'LL BE KEEPING 8 TRACK OF TIME OF ALL EXAMINATIONS. AT THE END OF THE TRIAL, I HAVE AN 11:16:46 10 11 OPPORTUNITY STAND HERE AGAIN AND SUMMARIZE THE EVIDENCE 12 AGAIN TO YOU, AND HOW IT'S COME IN AND HOW IT RELATES 1.3 TO THE LEGAL ISSUES IN THIS CASE. AND THE LEGAL INSTRUCTIONS THAT THE COURT WILL GIVE YOU ALSO. 14 AT THAT TIME, I'LL ASK YOU TO RETURN A 11:16:59 15 16 VERDICT IN FAVOR OF TCW AND AGAINST DEFENDANT FOR THEIR 17 THEFT OF TRADE SECRETS, FOR THEIR BREACH OF FIDUCIARY 18 DUTY, AND INTERFERENCE WITH TCW CONTRACTS WITH ITS 19 CLIENTS. 11:17:1320 WE'LL ASK YOU TO RETURN A VERDICT FOR 21 BOTH COMPENSATORY DAMAGES AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES AS WELL. 22 I DO WANT TO TELL YOU I VERY MUCH APPRECIATE YOUR ATTENTION. I KNOW THIS HAS BEEN A 23 2.4 LONGISH OPENING STATEMENT ON SOME COMPLEX AND NOT NECESSARILY FASCINATING SUBJECTS. I DO VERY MUCH 11:17:30 25 2.6 APPRECIATE THE ATTENTION THAT YOU'VE GIVEN ME AND YOUR 2.7 TIME.

I'M SURE WHEN I SPEAK FOR THE DEFENSE

1	LAWYERS AS WELL WHEN I TELL YOU WE ARE VERY GRATEFUL
2	FOR YOUR JURY SERVICE. AND I'M CONFIDENT THAT AT THE
3	END OF THIS TRIAL, YOU WILL BE VERY PROUD OF THE ROLE
4	THAT YOU'VE BEEN ABLE TO PLAY IN OUR JUSTICE SYSTEM, IN
11:17:54 5	DELIVERING JUSTICE IN THIS CASE.
6	THANK YOU.
7	THE COURT: THANK YOU, MR. QUINN.
8	MR. BRIAN, ARE YOU READY.
9	MR. BRIAN: IS IT POSSIBLE TO TAKE A
11:18:05 10	FIVE-MINUTE BATHROOM BREAK?
11	THE COURT: SURE. WE CAN TAKE A STRETCH
12	BREAK. IF YOU'D LIKE TO STAND AND STRETCH, USE THE
13	FACILITIES, BUT LET'S COME BACK AND START AT 25 AFTER
14	11:00.
11:18:1915	MR. BRIAN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
16	THE COURT: IF YOU WANT TO STAY IN PLACE,
17	THAT'S FINE, TOO.
18	
19	(RECESS.)
11:24:0420	
21	THE COURT: BACK IN SESSION.
22	ALL MEMBERS OF OUR JURY, ALL ALTERNATES
23	ARE PRESENT.
24	MR. BRIAN, YOU MAY PROCEED WITH YOUR
11:24:1225	OPENING STATEMENT.
26	
27	
28	
-	

DEFENSE+ 1 2 3 MR. BRIAN: GOOD MORNING. BEFORE I START WHAT I PREPARED TO SAY, I 11:24:21 5 WANT TO RESPOND TO SOMETHING MR. OUINN SAID TOWARD THE 6 END OF HIS REMARKS. 7 HE TOLD YOU AFTER MY CLIENTS WERE FIRED 8 AND THEY WENT TO WORK AT DOUBLELINE, HE SAID THAT JEFFREY GUNDLACH INTERFERED WITH THEIR CLIENTS, 11:24:34 10 INTERFERED WITH THEIR CONTRACTS THEY HAD WITH THEIR 11 INVESTORS. REMEMBER WHAT HE SAID. 12 LET ME TELL YOU WHAT THE EVIDENCE IS 1.3 GOING TO SHOW IN THAT REGARD. 14 THE EVIDENCE IS GOING TO SHOW, IN TCW'S OWN DOCUMENTS, THEIR OWN DOCUMENTS, THAT WHEN THEY WERE 11:24:47 15 16 PLANNING TO FIRE MR. GUNDLACH, THEY KNEW AND PREDICTED 17 THAT THEIR CUSTOMERS WOULD LEAVE. 18 THEY PREDICTED THAT THEY WOULD LOSE 19 BUSINESS. YOU'RE GOING TO SEE DOCUMENTS THAT ACTUALLY 11:25:0720 HAVE THE PERCENTAGE OF BUSINESS THAT THEY PREDICTED WOULD GO AWAY. 21 22 THEY WERE WILLING TO TAKE THAT RISK 23 BECAUSE, THEY SAID, IN THEIR DOCUMENTS, THAT THE LOST 2.4 BUSINESS WOULD BE OFFSET BY THE REDUCTION IN THE AMOUNT THEY WOULD OWE TO THE PEOPLE WHO REPLACED MR. GUNDLACH. 11:25:2925

BECAUSE, REMEMBER, THIS CONTRACT 2007 THAT MR. QUINN

WOULD LIKE YOU TO BELIEVE DOESN'T EXIST? I'LL TELL YOU

26

2.7

28

ABOUT THAT CONTRACT.

BUT THAT TURNED OUT TO BE, ACTUALLY, TO 1 TCW'S SURPRISE, A VERY FAVORABLE CONTRACT TO 2 3 MR. GUNDLACH. AND THEY KNEW THEY WERE GOING TO OWE HIM A LOT OF MONEY BECAUSE OF THE WAY THESE FEES ARE PAID 11:25:57 5 IN THE FUTURE WHEN THE FUNDS ARE DISPOSED OF AT A 6 PROFIT. 7 AND SO THEIR DOCUMENTS, THEIR DOCUMENTS OF TCW, AND THE FRENCH BANK WE'LL TALK ABOUT, SHOW THAT 8 THEY KNEW THEY WERE GOING TO LOSE BUSINESS. BECAUSE THOSE CUSTOMERS WERE VERY SATISFIED WITH THE 11:26:13 10 11 PERFORMANCE BY MR. GUNDLACH IN MANAGING THEIR FUNDS. 12 SO WHEN THEY DID FIRE HIM, THE INVESTORS 13 BEGAN TO COMPLAIN, AND YOU WILL SEE LETTERS AND E-MAILS FROM THE INVESTORS TO TCW COMPLAINING ABOUT THEIR 14 DECISION TO GET RID OF MR. GUNDLACH. 11:26:38 15 16 YOU WILL HEAR FROM INVESTORS AT THIS 17 TRIAL WHO WILL COME TELL YOU WHY THEY MOVED THEIR MONEY 18 OUT OF TCW. 19 NOW, YOU ALSO HEARD MR. OUINN SAY IT'S 11:26:5720 AMAZING HOW HE GOT UP AND RUNNING SO FAST. I'LL TALK ABOUT THAT. WE DIDN'T USE THEIR ANALYTICS. YOU'RE 21 22 GOING TO HEAR TESTIMONY ON THAT FROM OUR PEOPLE AND OUR 23 EXPERTS. THAT'S NOT WHAT HAPPENED. 24 BUT I'LL TELL YOU ONE THING THAT DID HAPPEN, IS THAT WE STRUGGLED TO GET OUR BUSINESS OFF 11:27:1325 26 THE GROUND. YOU LISTEN TO MR. QUINN AND YOU WOULD 2.7 THINK, WOW, WITHIN A WEEK OR SO WE WERE UP AND RUNNING AND HAD ALL THEIR CUSTOMERS AND STOLE ALL THEIR 28

1 CUSTOMERS. 2 IN FACT, WE HAD NO MORE THAN FOUR OR 3 FIVE CUSTOMERS FOR THE FIRST FOUR MONTHS OF OUR OPERATION. ONE OF THOSE WAS AN ENTIRELY NEW CUSTOMER 11:27:40 5 AND HAD NEVER DONE -- NEVER BEEN A -- USED TCW -- NEVER 6 USED TCW AT ALL. 7 AND TWO WERE CUSTOMERS THAT HAD ACTUALLY WORKED WITH MR. GUNDLACH AND ANOTHER ONE OF HIS 8 COLLEAGUES, LOU LUCIDO, FOR ABOUT 20 YEARS. I WANT TO INTRODUCE MY CLIENTS TO YOU. 11:27:54 10 11 SITTING AT THE TABLE HERE, 12 CRIS SANTA ANA. MR. SANTA ANA WILL BE HERE FOR MUCH OF 1.3 THE TRIAL. IN THE BACK IS MR. JEFFREY GUNDLACH YOU 14 11:28:07 15 CAN STAND PLEASE. AND NEXT TO HIM, BARBARA VANEVERY. 16 AND NEXT TO HER, JEFF MAYBERRY. 17 IN MY BRIEF REMARKS YESTERDAY I TOLD YOU 18 THAT I REPRESENT FOUR INDIVIDUALS WHO HAD DEVOTED 19 ALMOST THEIR ENTIRE PROFESSIONAL LIVES TO TCW. NONE OF 11:28:3320 THEM WAS BORN RICH. NONE OF THEM CAME INTO TCW AT A HIGH-LEVEL POSITION. 21 22 EACH OF THEM STARTED AT OR VERY NEAR THE BOTTOM. AND EACH OF THEM, THROUGH SHEER HARD WORK AND 23 24 TALENT, SUCCEEDED. AND MOVED THEIR WAY UP. AND AS A RESULT OF THEIR EFFORTS, THEY MADE TCW A BOATLOAD OF 11:28:5825 2.6 MONEY. OVER A BILLION DOLLARS THEY MADE FOR TCW DURING 2.7 THE 24 YEARS THAT JEFFREY GUNDLACH WORKED THERE. SO ALL THIS STUFF YOU HEARD FROM 28

```
MR. QUINN ABOUT HE DIDN'T WANT TO DO TEAM BUILDING, AND
      1
      2
          HE WAS CRITICAL FOR SOMEBODY WHO WANTED TO COME TO HIS
      3
          OFFICE TO UPGRADE A COMPUTER, YOU KNOW, WE'LL TALK
          ABOUT THAT. AND YOU'LL HEAR A LOT ABOUT MR. GUNDLACH
11:29:31 5
         AS A PERSON.
      6
                         BUT IT WAS SURE WORTH IT FOR TCW FOR 24
      7
          YEARS WHEN THEY REAPED THE BENEFIT OF HIS SKILL.
      8
                         BUT EACH OF MY CLIENTS WAS FIRED BY
          SURPRISE IN DECEMBER 2009. WHEN YOU LISTEN TO ME TALK
         AND I TELL YOU THEY MADE OVER A BILLION DOLLARS FOR
11:29:54 10
          TCW, YOU ASK, WELL, THEN WHY WERE THEY FIRED?
     11
     12
                         MR. OUINN WOULD HAVE YOU BELIEVE THAT
     1.3
          THEY WERE FIRED BECAUSE THEY WERE DOWNLOADING
          INFORMATION, AND THEY PLANNED TO LEAVE. HE SAID -- I
     14
11:30:15 15
         THINK I HAVE IT DOWN EXACTLY -- TO LEAVE EN MASSE, AND
     16
         LEAVE TCW AND THE INVESTORS IN THE LURCH. THAT'S WHAT
     17
          HE SAID.
     18
                         I'LL TALK TO YOU IN A FEW MINUTES ABOUT
     19
          THE DOWNLOADING, AND I'LL EXPLAIN TO YOU WHAT REALLY
11:30:3420
         HAPPENED. AND I'LL EXPLAIN TO YOU HOW TCW HASN'T BEEN
     21
          HURT ONE IOTA FROM WHAT HAPPENED HERE BECAUSE OF THE
     22
          REMEDIATION PLAN THAT TOOK PLACE WITHIN DAYS AFTER THEY
     23
         WERE FIRED.
     24
                         BUT I WANT TO TALK RIGHT NOW ABOUT THE
         REAL REASON THAT MR. GUNDLACH AND HIS COLLEAGUES WERE
11:30:5025
     26
          FIRED.
```

THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION LIES WITH THE FRENCH, AND SPECIFICALLY THE FRENCH BANK, SOCIÉTÉ 28

2.7

GÉNÉRALE, WHICH IS IN THE INVESTMENT BANKING BUSINESS.

SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE BOUGHT STOCK IN TCW BEGINNING IN 2001.

AND BY 2008 IT OWNED ALL OF THE STOCK OF TCW.

AND FOR A WHILE THAT WAS A PRETTY GOOD

11:31:31 5

11:31:56 10

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

2.6

2.7

28

11:32:54 25

11:32:35 20

11:32:15 15

DEAL FOR THE FRENCH BANK BECAUSE MR. GUNDLACH WAS
MAKING A TON OF MONEY. AND THEY WERE REAPING THE
BENEFITS. BUT, AS ALL OF US KNOW, 2008, OUR COUNTRY
AND THE WORLD SUFFERED A SEVERE ECONOMIC DOWNTURN.

THAT AFFECTED THE PRIORITIES OF SOCIÉTÉ

GÉNÉRALE. SEE, THEY HAD BOUGHT TCW, NOT BECAUSE THEY

WERE REALLY INTERESTED IN THE ASSET MANAGEMENT

BUSINESS; THEY HAD PURCHASED IT AS AN INVESTMENT.

THEY WERE HOPING TO MAKE MONEY OFF IT,

AND MAYBE OVER TIME IT WOULD GROW IN VALUE AND THEY

WOULD SELL IT. THAT'S WHAT INVESTMENT BANKS DO. BUT

WHEN THEY HAD PROBLEMS BEGINNING 2008, THEY CHANGED

THEIR PLAN.

AND IN THE WORDS OF THE INVESTMENT

BANKERS AND THE OTHER BANKS THAT WERE ADVISING THEM,

THEY DECIDED TO -- THEY DECIDED THEY WANTED TO MONETIZE

THEIR INVESTMENT. THAT'S A FANCY BANKING TERM MEANING

THEY WANTED TO GET CASH OUT OF IT.

THEY EITHER WANTED TO SELL IT, SPIN IT OFF, OR DO WHAT'S CALLED AN I.P.O. THESE ARE ALL THINGS YOU'LL SEE IN THEIR DOCUMENTS. I'M NOT MAKING THIS UP. IT WILL BE IN THE DOCUMENTS, YOU'LL SEE IN THIS CASE.

THE FRENCH BANK NEEDED SOMEONE TO HELP

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

HE WROTE THAT WHEN THEY WERE HAVING
THIS, WHEN THEY MADE THIS PITCH TO THE FRENCH TO SET UP
THIS MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE WHICH WAS GOING TO BE, THEY
HOPED, A COLLABORATIVE WAY OF RUNNING THE COMPANY.

24

2.6

2.7

28

11:35:0225

MR. STERN, ROBERT DAY, WHO WAS STILL

```
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD, AND SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE SAID NO.
      1
      2
          JEFFREY'S COMPLAINTS RUBBED MR. STERN AND MR. DAY AND
      3
          THE FRENCH THE WRONG WAY.
                         WHAT HAPPENED NEXT IS PROBABLY FAMILIAR
11:35:42 5
          WITH A LOT OF YOU. BECAUSE THE POWERFUL PEOPLE THAT
      6
         WERE RUNNING TCW AND THE FRENCH THOUGHT THAT
      7
          MR. GUNDLACH HAD JUST GOTTEN JUST A LITTLE TOO BIG FOR
          HIS BRITCHES, AND THEY DECIDED THEY WERE GOING TO
      8
      9
          MONETIZE. THEY BROUGHT IN MR. STERN TO DO THAT.
                                                             AND
          THEY WERE NOT GOING TO LET MR. GUNDLACH STAND IN THEIR
11:36:01 10
     11
         WAY.
     12
                         NOW, MR. STERN HAS TESTIFIED UNDER OATH,
     13
          AND I PRESUME HE'LL TESTIFY UNDER OATH AGAIN, I
         PRESUME, THAT HE DIDN'T DECIDE TO FIRE MR. GUNDLACH
     14
         UNTIL LATE NOVEMBER, OR EVEN EARLY DECEMBER OF 2009.
11:36:18 15
     16
                         WELL, YOU'LL BE THE JUDGE OF MR. STERN'S
     17
          CREDIBILITY WHEN HE TESTIFIES, BUT I'M GOING TO TALK A
     18
          LITTLE BIT LATER ABOUT THE DOCUMENTS YOU'LL SEE,
     19
          INCLUDING THIS SECRET PROJECT G, IN WHICH THEY STARTED
11:36:3920
         TALKING AS EARLY AS JUNE OF 2009, SIX MONTHS BEFORE
     21
          THEY ACTUALLY WERE FIRED, ABOUT FINDING REPLACEMENTS.
     22
                         AND THAT PLAN STARTED IN JUNE. YOU WILL
     23
          SEE E-MAILS FROM THE FRENCH WHO ACTUALLY SAT ON THE TCW
     2.4
          BOARD OF DIRECTORS TO THEIR BOSSES BACK IN PARIS
          TALKING ABOUT: WE NEED TO FORCE OUT MR. GUNDLACH.
11:37:0225
     26
                         BUT THEY HAD A BIG PROBLEM. THEIR BIG
     2.7
         PROBLEM WAS THAT MR. GUNDLACH AND HIS GROUP CONTROLLED
```

A LOT OF THE BUSINESS. HE HAD BEEN MAKING SO MUCH

MONEY FOR TCW PRECISELY BECAUSE HE AND HIS TEAM WERE 1 2 MANAGING SO MUCH OF THE ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT THAT 3 MR. QUINN MENTIONED, THE A.U.M. 60 TO 70 PERCENT OF THOSE ASSETS. 11:37:41 5 AND THEY WERE AFRAID THAT IF THEY JUST 6 FIRED HIM RIGHT AWAY, THEY WOULD LOSE AN AWFUL LOT OF 7 THAT BUSINESS. SO THEY CAME UP WITH THIS SECRET PLAN WHICH THEY CODE-NAMED PROJECT G. IT'S IN THE 8 9 DOCUMENTS. AND RIGHT FROM THE BEGINNING THEY 11:37:58 10 PLOTTED TO FIND SOMEBODY. TURNED OUT TO BE AN ENTIRE 11 12 COMPANY THEY HAD TO BRING IN TO REPLACE MR. GUNDLACH, 13 TO TRY TO KEEP AS MANY OF HIS KEY LIEUTENANTS AS THEY COULD AND TO TRY TO KEEP AS MUCH OF THE BUSINESS AS 14 11:38:1915 THEY COULD. 16 AND FOR THE NEXT SIX MONTHS MR. STERN 17 AND THE OTHER TOP EXECUTIVES OF TCW LIED TO 18 JEFFREY GUNDLACH AND MISLED HIM EVERY STEP OF THE WAY. 19 YOU WOULD HAVE THOUGHT THAT IF MR. GUNDLACH WERE SO 11:38:4520 DISAGREEABLE, AS MR. QUINN HAD SAID, OR THEY JUST 21 COULDN'T WORK WITH HIM, THAT THEY WOULD HAVE SAT DOWN 22 AND TALKED TO HIM ABOUT NEGOTIATING SOME SORT OF 23 SEPARATION. 24 YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR EVIDENCE IN THIS 11:39:0125

CASE THAT THAT'S WHAT OTHERS DID, OTHER PEOPLE WHO WERE DISSATISFIED. THERE WERE FIVE PEOPLE WHO SENT THIS LETTER TO THE FRENCH COMPLAINING ABOUT MR. STERN'S APPOINTMENT. MR. GUNDLACH WAS ONE. THREE OF THE

2.6

2.7

OTHERS LEFT UNDER A NEGOTIATED AGREEMENT. 1 2 BUT THEY NEVER APPROACHED MR. GUNDLACH 3 ABOUT DOING THAT. AND THE REASON WAS BECAUSE HE CONTROLLED SO MUCH OF THE BUSINESS THAT THEY WERE 11:39:27 5 AFRAID THAT THEY WOULD LOSE SO MUCH. SO THEY CAME UP 6 WITH A STRATEGY, A SCHEME. THERE'S NO OTHER WAY TO SAY 7 IT: TO LIE TO HIM SO THEY COULD TRY TO KEEP AS MUCH OF THAT BUSINESS AS POSSIBLE. 8 9 I WANT TO TALK LATER ABOUT THIS SEPTEMBER 3RD MEETING. BUT LET ME TELL YOU. THE 11:39:47 10 11 ACCOUNT YOU HEARD FROM MR. QUINN IS NOT WHAT YOU'RE 12 GOING TO HEAR WHAT THE EVIDENCE IS IN THIS CASE. 1.3 YES, MR. GUNDLACH DID ASK FOR THAT MEETING. AND AT THAT MEETING HE ASKED MR. STERN: AM I 14 GETTING FIRED? 11:40:04 15 16 AND MR. STERN SAID NO. EVEN THOUGH ON 17 AUGUST 27 THERE WAS A MEETING IN WHICH A MR. CONN, A 18 MICHAEL CONN, TOOK NOTES -- YOU'LL SEE THE HANDWRITING 19 NOTES IN WHICH WE SAID -- HE WROTE DOWN: 11:40:2920 UNFORTUNATELY, WE'VE HAD TO TERMINATE JEFFREY GUNDLACH 21 FOR CAUSE. 22 THAT WAS A PRESS RELEASE. THEY WERE 23 WRITING A PRESS RELEASE. THEY DECIDED TO WAIT FOR 24 THREE MONTHS, FOR REASONS I'LL TELL YOU. 11:40:4225 SO, THREE MONTHS LATER THEY FIRED HIM. 26 THAT WAS IN BREACH OF THEIR CONTRACT, PLAIN AND SIMPLE. 2.7 THEY FIRED HIM TWO YEARS BEFORE THAT CONTRACT EXPIRED.

MR. QUINN SAYS: WELL, BUT HE DIDN'T HAVE -- HE DIDN'T

SIGN THE CONTRACT. 1 2 I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU E-MAILS WRITTEN BY 3 MR. CAHILL, MR. BEYER, WHO IS THE CEO AT THAT TIME, MR. SONNEBORN, WHO IS THE PRESIDENT, AND BY 11:41:14 5 MR. GUNDLACH, SAYING WE HAVE A DEAL. 6 TCW'S OWN DOCUMENTS SHOWED THAT THEY 7 KNEW THAT THE CONTRACT EXPIRATION DATE WAS DECEMBER 31ST, 2011. WE HAVEN'T EVEN GOTTEN THERE YET. 8 THAT'S A DOCUMENT THEY ACTUALLY PREPARED IN 2009. AND THEN THEY DECIDED WHEN THEY SAW THAT 11:41:38 10 DOCUMENT, THEY ACTUALLY CHANGED IT BECAUSE THEY 11 12 REALIZED IT WAS BAD AND TOOK OUT THE EXPIRATION DATE. 13 THEY BADLY, BADLY MISGAUGED SOMETHING. TCW, MR. STERN, MR. DAY, AND THE FRENCH THOUGHT BECAUSE 14 11:42:00 15 THEY DIDN'T LIKE MR. GUNDLACH, OTHERS DIDN'T -- MUST 16 NOT LIKE MR. GUNDLACH, EITHER. AND SO IF THEY COULD MANAGE TO FIRE HIM BY SURPRISE, THEY COULD KEEP AS MANY 17 18 OF THE PEOPLE AS POSSIBLE. 19 THAT DID NOT WORK OUT. THEY WERE WRONG. 11:42:1720 BECAUSE, IN FACT, MR. GUNDLACH IS A DEMANDING PERSON, THERE'S NO DOUBT ABOUT THAT. AND HE CAN BE CRITICAL AT 21 22 TIMES. BUT HE ALSO WAS INCREDIBLY FAIR AND GENEROUS TO 23 THE PEOPLE WHO WORKED FOR HIM. 24 AND THOSE PEOPLE WERE LOYAL. THEY LEFT IN DROVES AFTER HE WAS FIRED. 40 PEOPLE LEFT THAT 11:42:33 25 26 COMPANY, INCLUDING PEOPLE LIKE PHIL BARACH THAT 2.7 MR. STERN PERSONALLY APPEALED TO TO TRY TO GET HIM TO

28

STAY.

THIS IS GOING TO BE A LONG TRIAL. THE 1 2 EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE IS DISPUTED. WE DON'T AGREE WITH 3 MUCH OF ANYTHING MR. QUINN SAID. AND WE CERTAINLY DON'T AGREE WITH THE INFERENCES HE WANTS YOU TO DRAW 11:43:03 5 FROM THAT. LET ME TELL YOU WHAT REALLY HAPPENED 6 7 WITH THIS DOWNLOADING THAT HE'S BEEN TALKING ABOUT. IN THE FALL OF 2009 AFTER THIS MEETING 8 ON SEPTEMBER 3RD THAT WE'VE BOTH TALKED ABOUT, THE PEOPLE IN MR. GUNDLACH'S GROUP SIMPLY DID NOT KNOW WHAT 11:43:18 10 11 WAS GOING ON. 12 THERE WERE RUMORS AROUND THE COMPANY 13 THAT MR. GUNDLACH WAS GOING TO BE FIRED. THEY DIDN'T 14 KNOW IF HE WAS GOING TO BE FIRED. THEY DIDN'T KNOW IF MR. STERN AND THE FRENCH WOULD TRY TO PATCH UP THEIR 11:43:35 15 16 DIFFERENCES WITH MR. GUNDLACH. 17 THEY DIDN'T KNOW WHETHER MR. GUNDLACH 18 MIGHT TRY TO PUT TOGETHER A COMPANY AND LEAVE PURSUANT TO SOME NEGOTIATED AGREEMENT. I'LL TELL YOU ABOUT SOME 19 11:43:5220 DOCUMENTS THAT SAY THAT. 21 THEY ALSO DIDN'T KNOW WHO ACTUALLY OWNED 22 THE INFORMATION. AFTER ALL, THEY HAD BEEN WORKING IN 23 THE GROUP FOR YEARS. THEY HAD BEEN SERVICING THE 24 CUSTOMERS. AND YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR TESTIMONY FROM THE PEOPLE THAT THEY DID NOT KNOW WHETHER THEY ACTUALLY HAD 11:44:0825 26 A RIGHT TO THE INFORMATION OR NOT. 2.7 AND SO THERE WAS DOWNLOADING OF

INFORMATION IN THE FALL OF 2000 AND -9. THERE'S NO

DOUBT ABOUT IT. WE DON'T DISPUTE THAT AT ALL. 1 2 YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR THAT THEY DIDN'T KNOW WHETHER THEY COULD USE IT, WHAT THEY COULD USE. 3 THEY DID NOT KNOW, AND THEY HAD NOT MADE A DECISION. 11:44:35 5 BUT, BECAUSE THERE WAS SO MUCH 6 UNCERTAINTY, THEY DECIDED TO GET THE INFORMATION SO 7 THAT THEY COULD BE PREPARED AND THAT SOMEBODY ELSE DECIDE -- LET SOMEBODY ELSE DECIDE LATER ON WHETHER IN 8 FACT THEY COULD USE IT. AFTER THEY WERE FIRED AND THEY OPENED 11:44:4910 THE DOORS AT DOUBLELINE, THEY VERY QUICKLY, WITHIN 11 12 DAYS, DECIDED THEY SHOULD NOT USE ANYTHING THAT WAS 1.3 CONCEIVABLY A TRADE SECRET. TWO REASONS. VERY SIMPLE. TCW THREATENED TO SUE THEM. 1 4 THEY HANDED THEM A COMPLAINT SAYING: 11:45:09 15 16 WE'RE GOING TO SUE YOU. AND THEY DIDN'T WANT TO BE 17 SUED. APPARENTLY, THEIR WISHES WERE NOT FULFILLED 18 BECAUSE WE'RE NOW HERE. THEY DIDN'T WANT TO BE SUED. 19 AND THE SECOND THING IS, THEY DIDN'T 11:45:2420 NEED THE INFORMATION. 21 MR. GUNDLACH IS ONE OF THE NATION'S, ONE 22 OF THE WORLD'S BEST BOND MANAGERS. AND HE DIDN'T GET 23 TO BE ONE OF THE WORLD'S BEST MANAGERS OF BONDS BECAUSE 2.4 OF INFORMATION THAT WAS ON A FLASH DRIVE. HE GOT TO BE THAT BECAUSE OF HIS 11:45:4825 26 INTELLIGENCE. HE IS A BRILLIANT GUY. HE'S A BRILLIANT 2.7 MATHEMATICIAN. AND BECAUSE OF HIS JUDGMENT THAT'S WHAT 28 MADE HIM SO GREAT.

AND THEY DECIDED THEY DIDN'T NEED IT. 1 2 SO, WHAT THEY DID IS THEY HIRED A FIRM OF FORMER 3 FEDERAL PROSECUTORS AND FORMER F.B.I. AGENTS, AND THEY BROUGHT THEM IN AND SAID: REMEDIATE, REMEDIATE. WE 11:46:18 5 DON'T WANT TO HAVE A PROBLEM HERE. 6 MR. QUINN TOLD YOU THAT MR. SANTA ANA 7 TOOK THIS HARD DRIVE. HE TURNED THAT IN WITHIN TWO OR THREE DAYS AFTER HE GOT IT FROM THE WOMAN ON 8 DECEMBER 4TH. HE TOLD YOU THAT MR. MAYBERRY, TOOK A 11:46:3610 11 FLASH DRIVE AND IT WAS LOST. 12 WHAT HE DIDN'T TELL YOU WAS THAT HE HAD 13 ACTUALLY BACKED THAT UP ON HIS PARENTS' LAPTOP. HE'S DIVORCED AND HAS TWO KIDS, AND HE SPENDS A LOT OF TIME 14 WITH HIS PARENTS BECAUSE OF CHILD CARE NEEDS. HE WAS 11:46:53 15 16 WITH HIS PARENTS AND HE BACKED IT UP ON THEIR LAPTOP. 17 WITHIN DAYS -- ACTUALLY, I'M SORRY. HE 18 ACTUALLY WAITED A LITTLE LONGER. HE FORGOT ABOUT IT. 19 BUT A MONTH LATER HE TURNED THAT LAPTOP IN AS PART OF 11:47:0820 THE REMEDIATION EFFORT. 21 MR. QUINN SORT OF HINTED AT SOMETHING, 22 BUT DIDN'T QUITE SAY IT. YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR VERY 23 LITTLE EVIDENCE AND TESTIMONY FROM THEIR SIDE THAT 2.4 ANYBODY AT DOUBLELINE ACTUALLY USED ANY TRADE SECRETS, 11:47:27 25 ANYTHING. 26 ANYTHING THEY CLAIM CAME FROM THEM. 2.7 SAID TO YOU: WELL, WE REALLY CAN'T PROVE THAT BECAUSE 28 PEOPLE DELETED INFORMATION. HE SAID THERE WAS A

REVISION CODE THAT DIDN'T EXIST. 1 2 THE REVISION CODE DOESN'T EXIST BECAUSE 3 IT WASN'T USED. THEY DIDN'T USE IT. THEY DIDN'T HAVE TIME. 11:47:48 5 HE REFERRED TO MR. DAMIANI AND SAID HE 6 WIPED HIS COMPUTER, SUGGESTING THAT THAT'S SOMEHOW WAS 7 AN ATTEMPT TO DESTROY EVIDENCE. ATTEMPT TO DESTROY EVIDENCE. YOU'LL HEAR FROM MR. DAMIANI, IT'S 8 EMBARRASSING, HE HAD EMBARRASSING INFORMATION ON HIS 11:48:04 1 0 LAPTOP. AND HE WIPED IT BEFORE HE TURNED IT IN 11 12 AS PART OF THE REMEDIATION. HE DID NOT WANT PEOPLE TO 13 SEE THAT. WE WISH HE HADN'T DONE THAT, BUT IT'S UNDERSTANDABLE. HE DIDN'T WANT PEOPLE TO SEE WHAT HE 14 11:48:17 15 WAS LOOKING AT. 16 LET ME TELL YOU ABOUT MY CLIENTS. 17 MR. GUNDLACH IS A WHIZ WITH NUMBERS. 18 GREW UP REALLY LOVING TWO THINGS: MATHEMATICS AND 19 DRUMMING. 11:48:3620 AND THERE ARE PEOPLE ACTUALLY -- I READ 21 AN ARTICLE ABOUT THIS RECENTLY -- PEOPLE THINK THERE'S 22 A CONNECTION BETWEEN PEOPLE WHO UNDERSTAND THE RHYTHM 23 OF DRUMMING AND PEOPLE WHO ARE ACTUALLY GOOD WITH 24 NUMBERS. I'M NOT SURE THAT'S RIGHT, BUT IT TURNS OUT THAT MR. GUNDLACH REALLY IS A GENIUS WITH NUMBERS. 11:48:4925 26 HE WENT TO SCHOOL BACK EAST AND THEN

WENT INTO A PH.D. PROGRAM AT YALE IN MATHEMATICS. HE

DROPPED OUT AFTER A YEAR AND TOOK A JOB AS A

2.7

CONSTRUCTION WORKER IN BUFFALO. 1 2 HE SAVED ENOUGH MONEY LITERALLY TO PAY 3 FOR TRANSPORTATION FROM BUFFALO TO LOS ANGELES. HE CAME OUT TO LOS ANGELES, AND HE INITIALLY TRIED TO WORK 11:49:20 5 AS A DRUMMER. HE DID THAT FOR A WHILE AND, LIKE MANY 6 PEOPLE WHO ASPIRE TO BE MUSICIANS, IT WAS A TOUGH GO, 7 AND HE DECIDED HE NEEDED TO DO SOMETHING ELSE. 8 SO HE GOT A JOB AT TRANSAMERICA INSURANCE COMPANY, WHICH HE DID NOT LIKE. AND HE 11:49:38 10 STARTED LOOKING AROUND FOR OTHER JOBS. AND HE REALIZED HIS SKILL IN MATH WOULD HELP HIM, AND SOMEBODY 11 12 SUGGESTED HE LOOK INTO INVESTMENT BANKING. 13 MR. QUINN WAS RIGHT ABOUT THAT. HE ACTUALLY DID GO TO THE YELLOW PAGES AND HE LOOKED UP 14 11:49:54 15 INVESTMENT BANKING. 16 HE DID NOT FIND ANY INVESTMENT BANKING 17 FIRMS IN LOS ANGELES. BUT HE SAW INVESTMENT 18 MANAGEMENT. AND THAT SEEMED SIMILAR. HE ACTUALLY DID NOT KNOW THE DIFFERENCE. HE WAS, IN FACT, VERY NAIVE, 19 11:50:1020 AND VERY UNEDUCATED IN THE WORLD OF FINANCE. 21 SOMEONE SUGGESTED THAT HE SEND A RESUMÉ 22 TO TCW AND HE DID. HE WAS INTERVIEWED. AND HE GOT A 23 JOB PAYING \$30,000 A YEAR. HE WAS ON A 90-DAY 2.4 PROBATIONARY PERIOD. HE WAS CALLED A RESEARCH ANALYST. IT SOUNDS LIKE A FANCY TITLE, BUT IT WASN'T. HE DIDN'T 11:50:31 25 2.6 HAVE A SECRETARY AND NOBODY REPORTED TO HIM.  $_{
m HE}$ 2.7 ANSWERED HIS OWN PHONE. HE WORKED INCREDIBLY HARD.

HE GOT TO WORK BY 6:30 IN THE MORNING,

- USUALLY STAYED TILL 6:30 AT NIGHT, SOMETIMES AS LATE AS 1 2 10:00 OR 11:00 P.M. AT NIGHT. HE TOOK THE BUS BACK AND 3 FORTH. HE WORKED VERY HARD. AND HE IMPRESSED PEOPLE WITH HIS SKILL. 11:51:01 5 HE WAS TALENTED. HE WAS PROMOTED SOON. AND HE WAS 6 GIVEN MORE RESPONSIBILITY TO ACTUALLY ANALYZE AND 7 ADVISE AND CAME UP WITH NEW PRODUCTS. HE HAS A -- ALMOST A LASER FOCUS WHEN IT 8 COMES TO NUMBERS. THERE ARE PEOPLE THAT SAY MR. GUNDLACH CAN SEE A SET OF NUMBERS AND SEE PATTERNS 11:51:23 10 THAT NO ONE ELSE SEES, THAT YOU AND I WOULD NEVER SEE. 11 12 BUT IT'S THAT SAME LASER FOCUS THAT 1.3 MAKES HIM A LITTLE DISTANT AT TIMES. HE CAN BE -- I'LL BE HONEST -- HE CAN BE 14 11:51:42 15
  - OFF-PUTTING, AND HE'S ECCENTRIC. I THINK ALL OF YOU KNOW PEOPLE LIKE THIS, WHO ARE INCREDIBLY TALENTED IN SOME WAY, BUT AT TIMES CAN BE A LITTLE AWKWARD. AND HE CAN BE CRITICAL. YOU'LL SEE SOME OF THAT. AND YOU HEARD SOME OF THAT FROM MR. OUINN.

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

26

2.7

28

11:52:1925

11:51:5820

TCW KNEW THAT. THEY ACCEPTED THAT THAT WAS THE PERSON, THE COMBINATION OF PERSON THEY HAD. SOMEONE WHO WAS AN ABSOLUTE GENIUS, MADE THEM MORE THAN A BILLION DOLLARS, BUT COULD BE AWKWARD IN MEETINGS, COULD BE CRITICAL, OCCASIONALLY DID DO A SUDOKU PUZZLE WHILE PEOPLE WERE DOING MEETINGS.

THAT'S THE KIND OF PERSON HE WAS. THAT'S THE KIND OF PERSON HE IS. AND TCW UNDERSTOOD THAT THAT MIGHT BE ODD COMPARED TO SOME PEOPLE, BUT IT

REAPED -- THEY REAPED INCREDIBLE FINANCIAL REWARDS. 1 2 IN 1987 HE WAS APPOINTED TO A POSITION 3 OF ASSISTANT VICE-PRESIDENT. AND IN THAT POSITION HE BEGAN MANAGING THE CHRYSLER PENSION FUND. 11:52:50 5 HE DIDN'T STOP. HE KEPT WORKING, WORKING, WORKING, AND WORKED HIS WAY UP THE LADDER 6 UNTIL FINALLY, IN 2005, HE WAS APPOINTED AS THE CHIEF 7 INVESTMENT OFFICER OF THE COMPANY. THAT IS THE MOST 8 SENIOR POSITION IN TERMS OF MANAGING THE INVESTMENTS OF THE COMPANY. 11:53:10 10 HE MANAGED MORE MONEY THAN ANYBODY ELSE. 11 12 WHEN HE STARTED WORKING AT TCW IN 1985, TCW HAD \$9.2 BILLION OF THESE ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT. NOW, 13 THAT PROBABLY SOUNDS LIKE A LOT OF MONEY TO ALL OF US. 14 11:53:31 15 BUT WHEN HE WAS FIRED IN 2009, THEY HAD \$110 BILLION OF 16 ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT. 17 THEY HAD ADDED \$100 BILLION DURING THE 18 YEARS HE WAS THERE. AND HE WAS MANAGING ALMOST 70 --19 HE AND HIS GROUP WERE MANAGING ALMOST 70 PERCENT OF 11:53:5320 THAT. HE WAS A STAR AT TCW, AND HE'S A STAR IN THE 21 INDUSTRY. 22 MR. QUINN MENTIONED THIS MORNING STAR, WHICH IS A PUBLICATION THAT GIVES AWARD. HE WAS 23 RECOGNIZED IN 2006. I THINK IT WAS AS THE MORNING STAR 2.4 FIXED INCOME MANAGER OF THE YEAR. 11:54:1425 26 HE WAS LATER ONE OF THE FINALISTS FOR

LET ME TELL YOU ABOUT THE HISTORY OF MY

FIXED INCOME MANAGER OF THE DECADE.

2.7

OTHER CLIENTS. LET ME START WITH MR. SANTA ANA. 1 2 HE WAS RAISED IN SALINAS, CALIFORNIA, UP 3 NORTH. HIS FATHER WAS A FARM WORKER, A MACHINIST, AND A MECHANIC. HIS MOTHER WORKED 25 YEARS IN THE 11:54:42 5 GRAVEYARD SHIFT IN THE ASSEMBLY LINE AT SMUCKER'S UP IN 6 THE SALINAS AREA. 7 AFTER HIGH SCHOOL HE WENT TO A COMMUNITY COLLEGE IN THE SALINAS AREA AND WAS ABLE TO TRANSFER TO 8 UCLA. HE WAS THE FIRST PERSON IN HIS FAMILY TO GO TO A COLLEGE. AND HE GRADUATED FROM UCLA WITH A DEGREE IN 11:54:58 10 11 ECONOMICS. 12 HE MADE IT THROUGH UCLA BY WORKING AT 1.3 SOMETIMES AS MANY 30 HOURS A WEEK, GETTING SCHOLARSHIPS 14 AND TAKING OUT LOANS. HE'D BEEN WORKING FOR TCW FOR ABOUT 15 11:55:13 15 16 YEARS WHEN HE WAS FIRED. HE STARTED AT THE LOWEST 17 LEVELS AND WORKED HIS WAY UP TO A POSITION OF MANAGING 18 DIRECTOR OF MR. GUNDLACH'S GROUP. THAT'S A --19 ESSENTIALLY, THE CHIEF OPERATIONS OFFICER. HE WAS THE 11:55:3120 GUY THAT MADE THE BUSINESS DECISIONS. 21 ROBERT VAN -- BARBARA VANEVERY. SHE 22 WORKED FOR TCW FOR ABOUT 16 YEARS BEFORE SHE WAS FIRED. 23 SHE GREW UP IN SAN JOSE. WENT TO COLLEGE IN SAN DIEGO. 24 TOOK A JOB AT AN INSURANCE COMPANY, DIFFERENT --DIFFERENT THAN TRANSAMERICA, IN LOS ANGELES. 11:55:5025 26 IT'S AN INTERESTING STORY. THEY THEN

WANTED TO TRANSFER HER BACK TO CHATTANOOGA AND SHE WENT

BACK THERE FOR FOUR DAYS AND HATED IT AND CAME BACK

2.7

HERE. AND A HEADHUNTER FOUND HER A JOB AT TCW. 1 2 HER FIRST JOB AT TCW WAS REALLY AS A --3 SOME SORT OF SECRETARIAL ASSISTANT. AND SHE WORKED HER WAY ALL THE WAY UP, SO SHE BECAME THE SENIOR VICE 11:56:18 5 PRESIDENT FOR CLIENT RELATIONS WITHIN MR. GUNDLACH'S 6 GROUP. 7 SHE WAS THE HEAD PERSON IN THAT GROUP, RESPONSIBLE FOR CLIENT RELATIONS. 8 9 MR. MAYBERRY, WHO'S A LITTLE YOUNGER, BUT HE ALSO SPENT HIS ENTIRE PROFESSIONAL LIFE AT TCW 11:56:28 10 11 BEFORE HE WAS FIRED. 12 HE WENT TO COLLEGE OUT AT HARVEY MUDD 13 OUT IN POMONA, AND GOT A MASTER'S DEGREE OUT THERE AND IMMEDIATELY WENT TO WORK AT AN ENTRY-LEVEL POSITION AT 14 TCW. AND BY THE TIME HE WAS FIRED, HE WAS A SENIOR 11:56:4615 16 VICE PRESIDENT IN CHARGE OF RISK MANAGEMENT. 17 NOW, OVER THE YEARS OF MR. GUNDLACH'S 18 EMPLOYMENT AT TCW, HIS EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT DIDN'T 19 REALLY CHANGE UNTIL 2007. DURING -- UNDER HIS 11:57:0620 EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT, HE WOULD GET ABOUT HALF OF THE 21 FEES THAT TCW WAS PAID FOR MANAGING MONEY. 22 THERE ARE TWO TYPES OF FEES IN THIS 23 INDUSTRY, AND THEY'RE IMPORTANT TO REALIZE THE 2.4 DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE TWO. ONE IS CALLED A MANAGEMENT FEE. AND 11:57:21 25 26 THAT IS SIMPLY A PERCENTAGE OF THE ASSETS UNDER 2.7 MANAGEMENT. SO, IF A PENSION FUND GIVES \$100 MILLION TO TCW TO MANAGE, AND THE MANAGEMENT FEE IS 1 PERCENT, 28

THEN TCW WILL MAKE \$1 MILLION A YEAR ON THAT FOR 1 2 MANAGING THAT MONEY. 3 AND UNDER MR. GUNDLACH'S CONTRACTS, HE AND HIS GROUP WOULD GET ABOUT HALF OF THAT. 11:57:50 5 NOW, I SAY HIS GROUP BECAUSE HE WAS 6 RESPONSIBLE FOR DIVVYING IT UP BETWEEN HIMSELF AND THE 7 REST OF THE GROUP. 8 THERE WERE TWO OTHER FEATURES OF HIS CONTRACTS FOR YEARS THAT ARE IMPORTANT. ONE, HE COULD NOT BE FIRED, EXCEPT FOR 11:58:03 10 11 GROSS MISCONDUCT OR OTHER SEVERE BEHAVIOR, LIKE, YOU 12 KNOW, HE'S CONVICTED OF A FELONY. 13 BUT GROSS MISCONDUCT IS THE MAIN WORD. 14 AND, SECONDLY, IF HE EVER WAS TERMINATED FOR GROSS MISCONDUCT, HE HAD TO BE PAID ALL THE FEES 11:58:22 15 16 THAT HAD ACCRUED, WHETHER OR NOT TCW HAD ACTUALLY BEEN 17 PAID. AND THE REASON THAT'S IMPORTANT IN THIS INDUSTRY 18 IS YOU DO THE WORK AND YOU -- AND YOU INVEST THE MONEY, 19 BUT THE RETURNS DON'T ALWAYS COME IN. SO TCW, IN ITS 11:58:4520 OWN RECORDS, KEEPS TRACK OF WHAT THE ACCRUED FEES ARE. 21 AND SO ONE OF OUR CLAIMS FOR DAMAGES HERE IS TO BE PAID ALL THE FEES THAT HAD ACCRUED UP 22 23 UNTIL THE TIME THAT HE WAS FIRED. AND OUR EXPERT WILL TESTIFY BASED ON TCW'S OWN DOCUMENTS THAT THAT WAS 2.4 ABOUT 62- TO \$65 MILLION. 11:59:0625 26 THERE'S A SECOND KIND OF FEE, IT'S 2.7 CALLED AN INCENTIVE FEE MOR A PERFORMANCE FEE. THE

DIFFERENCE IS, REMEMBER IN THE MANAGEMENT FEE TCW GETS

PAID JUST A PERCENTAGE OF A TOTAL ASSETS UNDER 1 2 MANAGEMENT. HERE IS WHAT A PERFORMANCE FEE IS. THEY 3 GET PAID A PERCENTAGE OF THE PROFIT THAT THEY MAKE. SO, IF TCW DOES A GOOD JOB AND THE ASSETS OF THE 11:59:39 5 CALIFORNIA TEACHERS ASSOCIATION GO UP FROM \$100 MILLION TO 150 MILLION, THEN TCW GETS A SHARE OF THAT 6 7 \$50 MILLION INCREASE. THAT'S -- THAT'S THE PERFORMANCE FEE. AND THOSE WERE BIGGER PERCENTAGES. THOSE COULD 8 BE AS MUCH AS 20 PERCENT. AND THE REASON FOR THAT IS TO GIVE THEM 11:59:58 10 11 AN INCENTIVE TO DO A GOOD JOB. 12 HERE IS WHAT HAPPENED IN 2007, AND THIS IS A BIG REASON WHY WE'RE HERE TODAY AND WHY 1.3 1 4 MR. GUNDLACH WAS FIRED. WHAT HAPPENED IN 2007 IS THAT 12:00:14 15 16 MR. GUNDLACH CAME UP WITH AN IDEA. HE SAID: I'M GOING 17 TO DEVELOP A FUND. I'LL TAKE PEOPLE'S MONEY, AND I'M 18 GOING TO INVEST IT IN WHAT ARE CALLED DISTRESSED 19 ASSETS. WE'VE GONE THROUGH SOME HARD ECONOMIC TIMES. 12:00:3320 SO A LOT OF THE ASSETS HAVE GONE DOWN IN VALUE. AND HE 21 THOUGHT HE COULD TAKE PEOPLE'S INVESTMENTS, PURCHASE 22 THOSE AT A CHEAP PRICE AND OVER TIME THEY WOULD 23 INCREASE IN VALUE. 24 AND HE CREATED SOMETHING CALLED THE SPECIAL MORTGAGE CREDIT FUNDS. AND THEY WOULD PAY 12:00:5025 26 INCENTIVE FEES BASED ON THE PROFIT, THE AMOUNT THAT 2.7 THOSE INCREASED IN VALUE, OVER THE FOUR, FIVE, SIX

28

YEARS OF THOSE FUNDS.

IT WAS DURING THIS SAME TIME THAT 1 2 MR. GUNDLACH WAS NEGOTIATING A NEW CONTRACT. HE 3 NEGOTIATED IT WITH MR. BEYER, THE CEO, AND MR. SONNEBORN, THE PRESIDENT. 12:01:20 5 AND UNDER THE 2007 ARRANGEMENT THAT THEY 6 ENTERED INTO, HE WOULD CONTINUE TO GET FOR HIS GROUP 7 ABOUT HALF OF THESE MANAGEMENT FEES BUT EVEN MORE THAN HALF OF THESE PERFORMANCE OR INCENTIVE FEES. 8 9 AND REMEMBER, THOSE FEES ARE A LOT HIGHER. TCW GETS A LOT HIGHER AMOUNT THAN THEY GET ON 12:01:38 10 11 THE MANAGEMENT FEES. 12 MR. GUNDLACH NEGOTIATED THAT HE WAS 13 GOING TO GET ABOUT 55, 60 PERCENT FOR HIS GROUP. AND THEN HE WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR DIVVYING THAT UP. 14 NOW, COUPLE THINGS ARE VERY INTERESTING 12:01:56 15 16 ABOUT THAT AGREEMENT. 17 FIRST, MR. GUNDLACH WAS SO CONFIDENT IN 18 HIS ABILITY TO MAKE MONEY ON THESE FUNDS THAT HE AGREED 19 HE WOULD COVER THE COST, THE OVERHEAD EXPENSE OF 12:02:1720 RUNNING THESE FUNDS. OKAY. THAT MEANT THAT IF THEY DIDN'T WORK OUT, 21 22 HE TOOK OUT -- HE TOOK ALL THE DOWNSIDE RISK, AND TCW 23 WOULD BE PROTECTED. THE DOCUMENTS THAT YOU WILL SEE 2.4 SHOW THAT TCW LOVED THIS ARRANGEMENT BECAUSE THEY WEREN'T TAKING THE RISK. TRIAL EXHIBIT 5035, 5,035 IS 12:02:34 25 26 AN E-MAIL FROM BILL SONNEBORN, THE PRESIDENT, TO A 2.7 MR. DEVITO, ONE OF THE OTHER NEGOTIATORS OF THE 28 CONTRACT, IN WHICH HE SAYS, QUOTE: I THINK IT'S

AWESOME, YOU AND PETER, PETER SULLIVAN, ANOTHER 1 2 NEGOTIATOR, -- DID THIS PERFECTLY. 3 BECAUSE THEY WEREN'T TAKING THE RISK. MR. GUNDLACH WAS TAKING THE RISK. 12:03:03 5 THERE'S ANOTHER E-MAIL THAT SAME DAY, 6 THAT'S TRIAL EXHIBIT 5036 FROM BOB BEYER. THAT'S A MAY 1ST, 2007 E-MAIL TO MR. GUNDLACH. HERE IS WHAT HE 7 SAYS, AND I'M QUOTING: I JUST WANT YOU TO KNOW HOW 8 GOOD I FEEL ABOUT THE NEW DEAL. ESPECIALLY YOUR 12:03:27 10 APPROACH TO THE PRESENTATION AND YOUR REALISTIC AND CONSIDERATE WAY OF TRYING TO MAKE IT A WIN, WIN. 11 12 WHAT HE MEANS BY THAT WIN, WIN IS HE'S 13 AGREEING TO PROTECT TCW ON THE DOWNSIDE IF IT DOESN'T WORK OUT. THAT'S THE WIN FOR TCW. 14 BUT HE GETS A BIG UPSIDE IF IT ACTUALLY 12:03:48 15 16 SUCCEEDS. THAT'S THE WIN FOR MR. GUNDLACH AND HIS 17 GROUP. 18 NOW, MR. QUINN TOLD YOU HE WAS RIGHT THAT MR. GUNDLACH DID NOT SIGN THE CONTRACT, AND HE 19 12:04:0420 SAYS: AHA, THEREFORE, THERE WAS NO CONTRACT. 21 WHAT DO WE KNOW ABOUT THAT? FIRST, WE 22 KNOW ON MAY 1ST THE PRESIDENT AND CEO SAID: WE HAVE A 23 DEAL. 24 THEN WE KNOW THAT THREE WEEKS LATER JEFFREY GUNDLACH SENT AN E-MAIL, EXHIBIT 61, TO 12:04:2125 26 MICHAEL CAHILL, THE TOP LAWYER, SAYING: YES, WE SHOULD 2.7 GO UNDER THE NEW ARRANGEMENT. EVERYONE HAS AGREED TO

28

IT IN GOOD FAITH.

ON MAY 30TH, EXHIBIT 63, ONE OF THE 1 2 OTHER TCW EXECUTIVES WHO WORKED ON THE AGREEMENT, WROTE 3 AN E-MAIL INSTRUCTING THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT TO PAY MR. GUNDLACH AND HIS GROUP IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS 12:04:55 5 THEY HAD NEGOTIATED IN THIS AGREEMENT. AND HE WAS, IN FACT, PAID PRECISELY BY 6 THOSE TERMS FROM THAT MOMENT ON UNTIL THE DAY HE WAS --7 UNTIL THE QUARTER BEFORE HE WAS FIRED. THEY ACTUALLY 8 DIDN'T PAY HIM FOR THAT LAST OUARTER. WHY IN THE WORLD WOULD THEY PAY HIM 12:05:14 10 11 UNDER THOSE TERMS IF THEY DIDN'T THINK THEY HAD A 12 CONTRACT? 1.3 THEY THOUGHT THEY HAD A CONTRACT. 14 MR. GUNDLACH SAID WE HAD A CONTRACT. THE E-MAIL THAT WENT TO THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT SAID WE HAVE A HANDSHAKE 12:05:30 15 16 DEAL. 17 THAT, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IS A BINDING 18 ORAL CONTRACT. 19 THEY DIDN'T NEED ANYTHING ELSE. THEY 12:05:4420 HAD THE -- THEY HAD AN UNDERSTANDING HE COULD ONLY BE FIRED FOR GROSS MISCONDUCT. THEY HAD THIS 21 22 UNDERSTANDING ABOUT BEING PAID BASED ON THE FEES THAT 23 HAD BEEN ACCRUED. AND THEY HAD AN UNDERSTANDING ABOUT 2.4 THE TERMS OF THE COMPENSATION. THE AGREEMENT WAS PRESENTED TO THE BOARD 12:05:5725 26 OF DIRECTORS OF TCW GROUP. IT FIRST WENT TO THE 2.7 COMPENSATION COMMITTEE AT A MEETING IN JULY OF 2007 THAT MR. STERN, AMONG OTHERS, ATTENDED. 28

YOU'RE GOING TO SEE THE MINUTES. 1 2 MINUTES ARE TYPED-UP, SINGLE-SPACED, FIVE OR SIX PAGES 3 OF MINUTES OF THAT MEETING. MR. SONNEBORN, THE PRESIDENT OF THE 12:06:26 5 COMPANY, PRESENTED THAT EMPLOYMENT ARRANGEMENT AND 6 RECOMMENDED THAT IT BE RATIFIED, APPROVED, AND 7 CONFIRMED. AND IT WAS. IT WAS A RESOLUTION FROM 8 9 THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE THAT RATIFIES, APPROVES, AND CONFIRMS IT. THAT WAS THEN PRESENTED TO THE BOARD. 12:06:42 10 11 NOW, TWO VERY IMPORTANT THINGS HAPPENED 12 IN 2009. THE FIRST OF THOSE WAS THAT IN EARLY 2009 13 SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE ANNOUNCED AN AGREEMENT. 14 IT WAS A JOINT VENTURE BETWEEN SOCIÉTÉ 12:07:10 15 GÉNÉRALE AND ANOTHER FRENCH BANK TO COMBINE THE ASSET 16 MANAGEMENT BUSINESSES. AND WHEN THEY ANNOUNCED THIS, 17 THEY SAID THAT THEY INTENDED TO SPIN OFF TCW IN FIVE 18 YEARS. SELL IT. MAKE IT ITS OWN COMPANY. 19 THEY HADN'T TALKED TO MR. GUNDLACH ABOUT 12:07:31 20 THAT. AND WHEN HE SAW THIS ANNOUNCEMENT, HE THOUGHT: MY GOD, THEY'RE GOING TO GET RID OF THE ASSET 21 2.2 MANAGEMENT BUSINESS THAT I HAVE HELPED TO BUILD. 23 HE WENT TO THE MANAGEMENT AT TCW, AND HE ASKED FOR ASSURANCES THAT THAT'S NOT WHAT YOU'RE GOING 2.4 TO DO. THEY REFUSED TO GIVE THOSE ASSURANCES. 12:07:51 25 26 THE SECOND THING THAT HAPPENED IN 2009 2.7 IS THAT BOB BEYER, THE CEO, RESIGNED. 28 AND THAT'S WHEN THE FRENCH ANNOUNCED

THAT MR. STERN WOULD COME IN TO REPLACE HIM. 1 2 AND REMEMBER, AS I SAID EARLIER, THIS 3 COMES FOUR YEARS AFTER THEY HAD PUBLICLY AND INTERNALLY ANNOUNCED THAT WHEN MR. BEYER CAME IN AND WAS REPLACING ROBERT DAY, MR. SONNEBORN WAS REPLACING MR. STERN; THAT 12:08:27 5 6 THIS WAS A GENERATIONAL CHANGE. 7 NOW, TCW IS NOT THE ONLY COMPANY THAT'S GONE THROUGH GENERATIONAL CHANGES IN LEADERSHIPS. 8 THERE ARE MANY COMPANIES THAT HAVE LEADERS WHO HAVE BEEN AROUND A LONG, LONG TIME. AND AT SOME POINT YOU 12:08:44 10 HAVE TO PASS ON THE REINS TO THE NEXT GENERATION. 11 12 AND THAT'S WHAT THEY HAD ANNOUNCED THEY 1.3 WERE GOING TO DO IN 2005. AND SO WHEN MR. STERN WAS ANNOUNCED -- WHEN THEY ANNOUNCED THAT MR. STERN WAS 14 COMING BACK IN 2009, THIS DIDN'T LOOK LIKE A 12:09:02 15 16 GENERATIONAL CHANGE. 17 YOU'RE GOING TO SEE AN EXHIBIT, TRIAL 18 EXHIBIT 5146. IT'S AN E-MAIL AND A LETTER. THE E-MAIL 19 COMES -- THE E-PAYMENT COMES FROM A MAN NAMED 12:09:2120 MARK ATTANASIO. HE'S A SENIOR ASSET MANAGEMENT AT TCW. HE ATTACHES A LETTER SIGNED BY 21 22 ATTANASIO, MR. CHAPUS, WHO MR. QUINN MENTIONED TO YOU, 23 MR. GUNDLACH, A MAN NAMED BLAIR THOMAS, AND 2.4 DIANE JAFFEE. TOGETHER, THEY COMPLAINED TO THE FRENCH 12:09:3825 26 AND ASKED THAT MR. STERN NOT BE APPOINTED AS CEO AND, 2.7 INSTEAD, THEY SET UP A MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE.

THE DECISION WAS MADE TO MAKE MR. STERN

```
THE INTERIM CEO. I'M NOT SURE WHAT THAT MEANS. I
      1
      2
          THINK HE STILL IS CEO, SO I'M NOT SURE HOW INTERIM IT
      3
          WAS.
                         OF THOSE FIVE PEOPLE THAT SIGNED THAT
12:10:10 5
          LETTER, THREE OF THEM ENDED UP LEAVING PURSUANT TO
      6
          NEGOTIATED SEPARATIONS.
      7
                         NOW, IN THIS BUSINESS THAT HAPPENS. ONE
          OF THE THINGS YOU'LL SEE FROM THE DOCUMENTS, YOU'RE
      8
          GOING TO SEE THAT PEOPLE DESCRIBE TCW AS A COMPANY OF
          SILOS. IN OTHER WORDS, THERE WERE INDIVIDUAL MANAGERS
12:10:28 10
         THAT MANAGED THEIR OWN ASSETS, THEIR OWN PORTFOLIOS AND
     11
     12
         THEIR OWN SPECIALTIES.
     13
                         AND WHEN THERE WAS FRICTION, AS THERE
          WAS, SOME OF THOSE ASSET MANAGERS NEGOTIATED A
     14
12:10:4615
         SEPARATION WHEREBY THEY WOULD TAKE SOME BUSINESS AND
     16
         THEY WOULD AGREE ESSENTIALLY ON SOME SORT OF
     17
          FEE-SHARING ARRANGEMENT, OR SOMETIMES, IN THE CASE OF
     18
         MR. ATTANASIO, MR. CHAPUS ALSO, SOME SORT OF JOINT
          BUSINESS OPERATION, WHERE BOTH WOULD BENEFIT.
     19
12:11:0420
                         MR. THOMAS LEFT IN 2009.
                         MR. CHAPUS AND MR. ATTANASIO LEFT IN
     21
          2010, UNDER NEGOTIATED SEPARATIONS.
     22
     23
                         THE ONLY ONE OF THOSE FIVE THAT WAS
     2.4
         FIRED WAS MR. GUNDLACH.
                         DIANE JAFFEE IS STILL THERE.
12:11:2325
     26
                         THE OTHER THREE LEFT UNDER NEGOTIATED
     2.7
         AGREEMENTS.
     28
                         BUT MR. GUNDLACH WAS FIRED.
```

1	WHY WAS HE FIRED? WE TALKED ABOUT IT
2	EARLIER. HE WAS FIRED BECAUSE MR. STERN, MR. DAY, AND
3	SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE WERE ANGRY AT HIS REACTION TO
4	MR. STERN'S APPOINTMENT, TO HOW HIS CRITICISMS OF
12:11:53 5	MR. STERN AS A LEADER AND THEIR VIEW THAT HE WOULD
6	STAND IN THE WAY OF THEIR DESIRE TO MONETIZE THE
7	INVESTMENT.
8	THAT'S WHEN THEY CAME UP WITH PROJECT G.
9	NOW, WITHIN TWO DAYS OF THE LETTER,
12:12:11 10	WHICH I THINK WENT OUT ON MAY 29TH OF 2009,
11	MR. ATTANASIO'S E-MAIL AND LETTER, MR. STERN SENT AN
12	E-MAIL. JUST TO GIVE YOU A SENSE OF THE FLAVOR OF HIS
13	FEELINGS ABOUT MR. GUNDLACH, HE SENDS AN E-MAIL TO
14	ANOTHER PERSON AT TCW, SAYS THAT REFERRING TO
12:12:31 15	MR. GUNDLACH AS KING JEFFREY: KING JEFFREY HAS AGREED
16	TO MEET WITH ME.
17	IT WAS JUST SARCASTIC, AND IT WASN'T THE
18	SORT OF BEHAVIOR BY A CEO THAT WAS TRYING, AS MR. QUINN
19	WOULD HAVE YOU BELIEVE, TO WORK THINGS OUT.
12:12:50 20	TRIAL EXHIBIT 5164 ARE THE JUNE 29TH,
21	2009 NOTES OF THE MEETING. THESE ARE TYPEWRITTEN NOTES
22	BETWEEN MR. STERN AND MR. DAY.
23	THIS IS THE FIRST DOCUMENT THAT WE FOUND
24	THAT REFERENCES PROJECT G. MR. QUINN TOLD YOU, IN A
12:13:1525	LAWSUIT WE HAVE A RIGHT TO TAKE DEPOSITIONS, BOTH SIDES
26	DO, AND YOU'RE GOING TO SEE FILM CLIPS OF DEPOSITIONS
27	THAT WERE TAKEN BY BOTH OF US.

NOT SURPRISINGLY, WE ASKED MR. STERN AND

MR. DAY ABOUT THIS MEETING, JUST A MEETING OF THE TWO 1 2 OF THEM IN WHICH THEY TALKED ABOUT PROJECT G. 3 MR. STERN ADMITTED, AS HE REALLY HAD TO, THAT THE G STOOD FOR GUNDLACH. OTHER THAN THAT, HE AND MR. DAY REALLY 12:13:44 5 6 COULDN'T REMEMBER MUCH ABOUT THE MEETING OR ABOUT WHAT 7 THEY WERE PLANNING. BUT YOU REALLY DON'T HAVE TO GET THEIR 8 TESTIMONY BECAUSE YOU CAN FIGURE IT OUT FROM THE DOCUMENT. IT'S NOT A LONG DOCUMENT. IT'S A ONE-PAGE 12:13:5910 11 AGENDA OF SORTS. 12 AND IT HAS, FOR EXAMPLE, ONE OF THE 1.3 ENTRIES ON THE AGENDA IS ALTERNATIVE MANAGERS. THIS IS JUNE 29TH. MR. QUINN TOLD YOU THAT THEY DIDN'T START 14 12:14:17 15 LOOKING OR NEGOTIATING WITH SOMEONE TO REPLACE 16 MR. GUNDLACH UNTIL AFTER THE SEPTEMBER 3RD MEETING. 17 WELL, IN FACT, THIS DOCUMENT, THESE 18 JUNE 29, 2009 NOTES DEMONSTRATE WITHOUT A DOUBT THAT 19 THAT EARLY THEY WERE DISCUSSING GOING OUT AND LOOKING 12:14:3820 FOR ALTERNATIVE MANAGERS. 21 THEY HIRED CITIGROUP IN PART TO DO JUST 22 THAT. AND THEY HIRED THEM IN JULY OF 2009. NOT 23 SEPTEMBER. NOT OCTOBER. THEY HIRED HIM IN THE SUMMER OF 2009. 2.4

12:14:5725 THE COURT: MR. BRIAN, WOULD NOW BE AN APPROPRIATE TIME TO TAKE A BREAK?

2.7

28

MR. BRIAN: SURE, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OUR NORMAL TIME. WE'LL TAKE 20

```
MINUTES, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, AND WE'LL RETURN AT
      1
          12:35, AND WE'LL CONTINUE TILL 2 O'CLOCK.
      2
      3
                          THANK YOU.
      4
                    (AT 12:15 P.M. A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
12:15:11 5
      6
      7
      8
     9
     10
     11
     12
     13
     14
     15
     16
     17
     18
     19
     20
     21
     22
     23
     24
     25
     26
     27
     28
```

1	CASE NUMBER:	BC429385	
2	CASE NAME:	TRUST COMPANY OF THE WEST VS.	
3		JEFFREY GUNDLACH, ET AL	
4	LOS ANGELES,	WEDNESDAY, JULY 28, 2011	
5	CALIFORNIA		
6	DEPARTMENT 322	HON. CARL J. WEST, JUDGE	
7	APPEARANCES:	(AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)	
8	REPORTER:	WENDY OILLATAGUERRE, CSR #10978	
9	TIME:	12:50 P.M.	
10			08:59AM
11	THE COURT:	GOOD AFTERNOON, LADIES AND	
12	GENTLEMEN.		
13			
14	(ALL COUNSEL RESPOND	ED "GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.")	
15			12:45PM
16	THE COURT:	ALL RIGHT. IN THE TCW MATTER,	
17	WE'RE BACK ON THE RE	CORD.	
18	ALL O	F THE MEMBERS OF THE JURY ARE BACK	
19	EXCEPT JUROR NO. 5,	MR. JOHNSON. MR. JOHNSON HAS HAD A	
20	MEDICAL EMERGENCY AN	D A CONDITION WHICH IS GOING TO	12:45PM
21	PRECLUDE HIM FROM CO	NTINUING TO SERVE WITH US. SO THE	
22	COURT IS GOING TO EX	CUSE MR. JOHNSON, AND I WILL SEAT	
23	MR. MATTHEW LANE AS	JUROR NO. 5, ALTERNATE NUMBER 1.	
24	THE COURT:	ALL RIGHT.	
25	MR. B	RIAN, YOU MAY CONTINUE.	12:45PM
26	MR. BRIAN:	FIRST OF ALL, CONGRATULATIONS.	
27	JUROR NO. 5	: THANK YOU.	
28	MR. BRIAN:	I THINK I WAS IN THE MIDDLE OF THE	

VERY FIRST MEETING, IN WHICH THEY DISCUSSED PROJECT G, 1 2 ON JUNE 29TH, 2009. AND I THINK I TOLD YOU THAT 3 ESSENTIALLY IT'S A ONE-PAGE AGENDA OF SORTS. AND THEY'VE GOT, I THINK, FOUR OR FIVE KINDS OF ROMAN 4 5 NUMERAL HEADINGS. AND ONE OF THEM IS PROJECT G. 12:46PM 6 AND ONE OF THE ENTRIES UNDER THERE TALKS 7 ABOUT ALTERNATIVE MANAGERS. AND THERE'S A REFERENCE TO 8 A MR. GAMSIN, G-A-M-S-I-N. HE WAS A FRIEND OF 9 MR. STERN, AND HE WAS SOMEBODY THAT THEY WENT TO AS 10 EARLY AS LATE JUNE, JULY, TO GET IDEAS ON WHO WOULD 12:46PM 11 REPLACE MR. GUNDLACH. 12 ANOTHER ENTRY SAYS, CONTACT WITH 13 LIEUTENANTS. NOW THAT SUGGESTS, WE THINK, THAT RIGHT 14 FROM THE BEGINNING, THEY KNEW THAT THEY WANTED TO TALK 15 TO THE OTHER KEY PEOPLE IN MR. GUNDLACH'S GROUP, TO TRY 12:46PM 16 TO PERSUADE THEM TO STAY. 17 NOW, I THINK I MENTIONED EARLIER THAT 18 MR. STERN HAS TESTIFIED THAT HE DOESN'T DECIDE TO FIRE 19 MR. GUNDLACH UNTIL LATE NOVEMBER OR EARLY DECEMBER OF 20 2009. WELL, THERE ARE DOCUMENTS THAT ARE GOING TO BEAR 12:47PM 21 UPON YOUR VIEW OF THE CREDIBILITY OF THAT TESTIMONY. 22 ONE OF THEM, TRIAL EXHIBIT 5153, IS A 23 JUNE 6TH, 2009 E-MAIL, EVEN BEFORE THIS MEETING CALLED 24 PROJECT G. AND IT'S FROM ONE OF THE SOCIETE GENERALE 25 MEMBERS, JEAN-PIERRE MOSTIER. AND HE SAYS RIGHT THERE, 12:47PM 26 ROBERT DAY THINKS WE NEED TO FORCE OUT MR. GUNDLACH.

MR. MOSTIER WRITES AN ALMOST IDENTICAL E-MAIL A MONTH

LATER, TRIAL EXHIBIT 5198, IN WHICH HE SAYS, THIS TIME

27

THE PROBABLE CONCLUSION -- AND THESE ARE IN FRENCH, SO 1 2 I'M GIVING YOU THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION -- THE PROBABLE 3 CONCLUSION IS THAT WE HAVE TO SEVER AND REMOVE MR. GUNDLACH NO LATER THAN AUGUST 5TH OF 2009. 4 5 MR. STERN SET UP ANOTHER MEETING, THIS 12:48PM 6 TIME NOT JUST WITH ROBERT DAY, BUT WITH THE OTHER --7 THE TOP EXECUTIVES AT TCW, TO TALK ABOUT THE NEXT STEPS 8 OF PROJECT G. THAT MEETING GOT SCHEDULED FOR AUGUST 9 17TH, AND THEN, BECAUSE OF MR. STERN'S SCHEDULE GOT 10 MOVED TO AUGUST 27TH. 12:48PM 11 ONE OF THE KEY DOCUMENTS IN THIS ENTIRE 12 CASE IS TRIAL EXHIBIT 5224. THESE ARE MICHAEL CONN'S 13 HANDWRITTEN NOTES OF THAT MEETING. NOW, WHY IS THAT SO CRITICAL? IT'S SO 14 15 CRITICAL BECAUSE MR. CONN RECORDS IN THOSE NOTES, 12:48PM 16 "UNFORTUNATELY, WE'VE HAD TO TERMINATE MR. GUNDLACH FOR 17 CAUSE." 18 WHY IS THAT SO IMPORTANT? BECAUSE 19 EVERYTHING UP TO THAT POINT, EVERYTHING, THE DOCUMENTS 20 FROM THE FRENCH, THESE NOTES, THE PROJECT G, ALL OF 12:49PM 21 WHICH PUT IN PLACE A SECRET PLAN TO GET RID OF 22 MR. GUNDLACH, TAKES PLACE BEFORE THERE'S ANY OF THIS 23 DOWNLOADING OF INFORMATION THAT MR. OUINN TALKS SO MUCH 24 ABOUT. AND THE AUGUST 27TH MEETING IS SEVEN DAYS 25 BEFORE THIS SEPTEMBER 3RD MEETING THAT MR. GUNDLACH 12:49PM 26 CALLED.

LEAVE AT THAT MEETING. THAT'S NOT WHAT HIS PURPOSE

AND MR. GUNDLACH DID NOT THREATEN TO

27

WAS, AND THAT'S NOT WHAT HE DID. HE WAS TRYING TO 1 2 CONVINCE MR. STERN NOT TO FIRE HIM. AND HE SAID, "ARE 3 YOU GOING TO FIRE ME?" ANSWER WAS "NO." AND HE SAID -- HE THEN DID SAY, "IF I'M FIRED, WHO WOULD LEAVE WITH 4 5 ME?" AND THE PEOPLE RAISED THEIR HANDS. 12:50PM THAT WASN'T A THREAT. THAT WAS A 6 7 STATEMENT TO MR. STERN, "IF YOU FIRE ME, YOU ARE GOING TO TEAR THIS FIRM APART. DON'T DO THAT." 8 9 THERE WAS ONE OTHER THING THAT HAPPENED 10 AT THAT MEETING THAT MR. QUINN DID NOT TELL YOU ABOUT, 12:50PM 11 AND THAT IS THAT MR. GUNDLACH OFFERED TO BUY THE 12 COMPANY. HE OFFERED TO BUY TCW. 13 MR. GUNDLACH IS A WEALTHY MAN; THERE'S 14 NO DOUBT ABOUT THAT. HE'S MADE A LOT OF MONEY BY 15 MAKING TCW A LOT OF MONEY. HE HAS ALSO MADE A LOT OF 12:50PM 16 MONEY FOR HIMSELF. 17 AND WHAT HE TOLD MR. STERN AT THE 18 MEETING IS, IF SOCIETE GENERALE COULD FINANCE HALF OF 19 IT, IF THEY WOULD LOAN HIM HALF OF THE MONEY, HE COULD 20 PUT TOGETHER, HIMSELF AND OTHER INVESTORS, \$700 12:50PM 21 MILLION, AND BUY TCW, AND SOLVE THE PROBLEM. 22 MR. STERN SAID, "I'LL GET BACK TO YOU." 23 DID HE? NO, HE DIDN'T. WHAT HE DID INSTEAD WAS -- HE 24 REALLY DID THREE THINGS, FOLLOWING THAT MEETING WITH

12:51PM

SECOND, HE AND OTHERS TALKED ABOUT WAYS
TO FIRE MR. GUNDLACH AND TRY TO KEEP AS MANY OF HIS

A REPLACEMENT FOR MR. GUNDLACH.

MR. STERN: FIRST, HE STEPPED UP THEIR EFFORTS TO FIND

25

LIEUTENANTS WITH HIM. BECAUSE WHILE THEY HOPED TO 1 2 NEGOTIATE AN AGREEMENT, AND FINALLY DID, WITH MET WEST, 3 AND BROUGHT THEM IN TO RUN THE BUSINESS, THEY ALSO 4 RECOGNIZED IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO KEEP AS MANY OF 5 MR. GUNDLACH'S KEY LIEUTENANTS AROUND AS POSSIBLE. 12:51PM 6 AND YOU WILL SEE THEIR E-MAILS AFTER THE 7 SEPTEMBER 3RD MEETING IN WHICH THEY THOUGHT THEY HAD 8 BEEN ABLE TO DIVIDE AND CONQUER. MR. STERN THOUGHT ONE 9 OF THE GOOD THINGS COMING OUT OF THAT MEETING WAS NOW 10 HE COULD TALK DIRECTLY, DIRECTLY TO THE LIEUTENANTS, AS 12:52PM 11 A WAY OF DRIVING A WEDGE BETWEEN THEM AND MR. GUNDLACH. 12 AND THEY SET UP A PLAN TO SECRETLY MONITOR 13 MR. GUNDLACH'S COMPUTER AND HIS E-MAILS, AND THOSE OF -- SOME OF THE OTHERS. 14 15 NOW, YOU ARE GOING TO -- I'VE TALKED 12:52PM 16 ALREADY ABOUT THE MATERIAL. YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR FROM 17 OUR PEOPLE ABOUT WHAT IT WAS. YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR 18 ABOUT THE STEPS THEY TOOK, AFTER THEY FORMED 19 DOUBLELINE, TO TURN STUFF IN. YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR 20 PEOPLE WHO ACTUALLY BUILT THE SYSTEMS AT DOUBLELINE, 12:52PM 21 AND THEY ARE GOING TO TELL YOU THEY DID NOT USE THE TCW 22 ANALYTICS TO DO THAT. 23 THEY DID IT WITH THEIR OWN BRAINPOWER, 24 AND BY PURCHASING SYSTEMS THAT ARE NOW AVAILABLE FROM 25 THIRD PARTY VENDORS. YOU WILL BE ABLE TO SEE THAT 12:53PM 26 TESTIMONY.

HAVEN'T MADE THIS MORNING IS ON THIS POINT: MR. QUINN

BUT THE ONE POINT I WANT TO MAKE THAT I

27

TOLD YOU THAT WITHIN A FEW WEEKS IN SEPTEMBER, THEY 1 2 BEGAN TO LEARN THAT SOMETHING WAS UP, THAT THEY WERE 3 COPYING MATERIALS THEY WERE DOWNLOADING. AND YET THEY 4 DID NOTHING ABOUT IT, NOTHING ABOUT IT, UNTIL THEY 5 FIRED HIM ON DECEMBER 4. 12:53PM 6 ASK YOURSELVES IF THEY THOUGHT, REALLY 7 THOUGHT THAT MR. GUNDLACH AND HIS TEAM WERE GOING TO 8 WALK OUT EN MASSE AND LEAVE THEM AND THE INVESTORS IN 9 THE LURCH, IS WHAT MR. OUINN SAID. WITH ALL THIS 10 SECRET KENTUCKY FRIED CHICKEN FORMULA, WOULDN'T THEY 12:53PM 11 HAVE DONE SOMETHING ABOUT IT? WOULDN'T THEY HAVE GONE 12 TO THE PEOPLE AND SAID, STOP IT? THEY HAD NO 13 INFORMATION THAT MR. GUNDLACH WAS DOWNLOADING. THEY 14 HAD INFORMATION THAT SOME OTHERS ON HIS TEAM WERE. 15 WOULDN'T THEY HAVE GONE TO MR. GUNDLACH, AND SAID, "WHY 12:54PM 16 ARE YOUR PEOPLE DOWNLOADING? GO TALK TO THEM." 17 THEY DIDN'T DO THAT. AND I WOULD 18 SUGGEST TO YOU, THEY DIDN'T DO THAT BECAUSE, ONE, THEY 19 DIDN'T WANT TO BLOW THEIR COVER ON THIS SECRET 20 PROJECT G. AND TWO, THIS STUFF ISN'T THAT IMPORTANT. 12:54PM 21 AND YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR TESTIMONY ON THAT, THAT THE 22 STUFF THAT WAS ON THIS THING THAT WAS DOWNLOADED, THAT 23 MR. SANTA ANA ACTUALLY RETURNED IT. IT TURNED OUT THE 24 NEXT DAY AFTER THIS WOMAN GAVE IT TO HIM AT THE CAR, HE 25 TURNED IT IN THE NEXT DAY. YOU ARE GOING TO SEE THAT 12:54PM 26 THE ONLY EVIDENCE OF THAT -- OF ANYTHING THAT WAS USED, 27 JUST ISN'T THAT IMPORTANT.

YOU ARE ALSO GOING TO SEE ANOTHER NOTE.

ACTUALLY, LET ME MOVE TO A DIFFERENT 1 2 TOPIC. WHAT DID MR. GUNDLACH DO AFTER THIS SEPTEMBER 3 3RD MEETING? WELL, WHAT YOU HEARD FROM MR. QUINN WAS THAT HE WAS MAKING PLANS TO TAKE HIS WHOLE BUSINESS AND 4 5 MOVE. 12:55PM 6 HERE'S WHAT HE, IN FACT, DID: HE WASN'T 7 SURE, JUST LIKE HIS GROUP WASN'T SURE, WHAT WAS GOING 8 TO HAPPEN. HE DIDN'T KNOW. FRANKLY, HE DIDN'T TRUST 9 MR. STERN, HE DIDN'T. LET'S BE BLUNT ABOUT IT, HE 10 DIDN'T TRUST HIM. HE THOUGHT HE MIGHT GET FIRED. AND 12:55PM 11 HE ALSO THOUGHT HE MIGHT NOT BE ABLE TO WORK IT OUT AT 12 TCW, AND HE WANTED TO BE READY IN THE EVENT HE WAS 13 FIRED, OR IN THE EVENT THEY SIMPLY COULDN'T WORK OUT A 14 RESOLUTION AND THEY WOULD NEGOTIATE A SEPARATION. 15 NOW, HOW DO WE KNOW THAT THAT'S WHAT 12:55PM 16 HE -- AND HE TOOK STEPS TO REGISTER A NAME OF A 17 COMPANY. AND HE ASKED BARBARA VANEVERY TO BEGIN TO 18 LOOK FOR OFFICE SPACE. YES, HE DID THAT. 19 BUT HOW DO WE KNOW THAT HE WAS NOT 20 PLANNING TO JUST LEAVE THE COMPANY IN THE LURCH? YOU 12:56PM 21 ARE GOING TO SEE DOCUMENTS THAT BEAR ON THAT QUESTION. 22 MR. QUINN TOLD YOU THAT MR. GUNDLACH MET 23 WITH SOME ADVISORS AT GOLDMAN SACHS IN NEW YORK. HE24 DID. YOU ARE GOING TO SEE NOTES OF THAT MEETING. 25 THAT'S TRIAL EXHIBIT 506. AND YOU ARE GOING TO SEE 12:56PM WHAT THEY TALKED ABOUT. 26 27 AND THEY TALKED ABOUT THREE

ALTERNATIVES. ONE, QUOTE, "WORK WITHIN CONSTRUCT TODAY

WITH IMPROVED GOVERNANCE AND ECONOMICS." WHAT THAT 1 2 MEANS IS, ONE OF THE OPTIONS WAS TO TRY TO WORK WITH MR. STERN AND THE OTHER SENIOR LEADERSHIP, STAY AT TCW, 3 AND TRY TO MAKE THIS MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE, THIS 4 5 COLLABORATIVE EFFORT, WORK. THAT WAS ONE OPTION. 12:57PM 6 THE SECOND OPTION WAS, QUOTE, "WORK 7 TOGETHER WITH TCW TO EXPEDITE SEPARATION FROM SG." 8 THAT'S SOC-JEN, SOCIETE GENERALE. IN OTHER WORDS, WORK 9 WITH THE AMERICANS AND TRY TO BECOME FREE OF THE 10 FRENCH. GO BACK TO WHAT IT WAS 10 YEARS AGO. SEPARATE 12:57PM 11 OURSELVES FROM THE FRENCH. 12 AND THE THIRD OPTION, AND I QUOTE, IS 13 "NEGOTIATED SEPARATION." IN OTHER WORDS, NEGOTIATE AN 14 AGREEMENT, LIKE THE OTHER ASSET MANAGERS DID, TO FORM A 15 BUSINESS AND SHARE SOME OF THE FEES WITH TCW. 12:57PM 16 NOW, WHAT'S THE SECOND DOCUMENT THAT 17 SHOWS THAT THAT'S WHAT THE INTENT WAS, AND THAT THE 18 INTENT WAS NOT TO LEAVE THEM IN THE LURCH? 19 MR. GUNDLACH ASKED MR. SANTA ANA TO PREPARE WHAT'S 20 CALLED A PRO FORMA FINANCIAL STATEMENT. AND THAT'S 12:58PM 21 A -- YOU ARE MAKING A PROJECTION OF WHAT YOUR COSTS ARE 22 GOING TO BE, WHAT YOUR REVENUE IS GOING TO BE, AND WHAT YOUR INCOME IS GOING TO BE, AND WHAT THE SALARIES MIGHT 23 24 BE LIKE. 25 AND YOU WILL SEE A NUMBER OF THESE PRO 12:58PM 26 FORMAS. BUT THERE'S A CRITICAL ENTRY ON EACH ONE OF

THESE PRO FORMAS. AND WHAT IT SAYS IS, TCW SHARE, 10

PERCENT. THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS, TCW SHARE, 10 PERCENT.

27

THIS IS NOT A PLAN TO LEAVE THEM IN THE 1 2 LURCH. AND THIS WAS ONE OF THREE OPTIONS DISCUSSED 3 WITH GOLDMAN SACHS. 4 THERE'S NO FOURTH OPTION BEING DISCUSSED 5 IN THESE DOCUMENTS. THERE'S NO FOURTH OPTION IN THE 12:58PM 6 NOTES OF THE MEETING WITH GOLDMAN SACHS WHICH IS, WE'RE 7 GOING TO LEAVE THEM HIGH AND DRY. THE OPTIONS ARE TO 8 WORK IT OUT WITH TCW, EITHER WITH THE FRENCH OR 9 SEPARATING FROM THE FRENCH; OR IF WE CAN'T DO THOSE, 10 THEN NEGOTIATE A SEPARATION AGREEMENT WHERE WE WOULD 12:59PM 11 THEN GIVE A PORTION OF OUR FEES BACK TO TCW. 12 THEY DIDN'T GET THE CHANCE TO DO THAT, 13 BECAUSE TCW FIRED THEM. AND AT THE TIME THEY FIRED 14 THEM, THEY DIDN'T PAY THEM THE MONEY THEY OWED THEM. 15 I HEARD MR. QUINN SAY -- AND I MUST SAY, 12:59PM 16 I ALMOST FELL OFF MY CHAIR. I HEARD HIM SAY THAT THEY 17 PAID MY CLIENTS EVERY DOLLAR THAT THEY WERE OWED. 18 THAT'S JUST NOT TRUE. THAT IS NOT TRUE. 19 UNDER MR. GUNDLACH'S CONTRACT, HE WAS 20 ENTITLED TO BE PAID ALL THE FEES THAT HAD ACCRUED UP 12:59PM 21 UNTIL THE TIME THAT HE WAS FIRED. TCW KEEPS TRACK OF 22 ACCRUED FEES. WE'LL SHOW YOU THE RECORDS. OUR EXPERT 23 WILL TESTIFY, BASED ON THOSE RECORDS, WHAT FEES HAD 24 ACCRUED; AND THOSE FEES WERE NOT PAID. 25 BUT THE BIGGER CHUNK, AND PART OF WHY HE 01:00PM 26 WAS FIRED, PART OF WHY HE WAS FIRED, WERE THESE 27 INCENTIVE FEES.

28

REMEMBER I TOLD YOU THIS MORNING, THERE

ARE TWO KINDS OF FEES: A MANAGEMENT FEE, WHICH IS A 1 2 SMALLER CHUNK, A NICE NUMBER, BUT IT'S A SMALLER 3 PERCENTAGE. AND THE INCENTIVE FEE, WHICH IT COULD BE AS HIGH AS 20 PERCENT OF THE GROWTH, THE INCREASE IN 4 5 VALUE OF THE FUND. 01:00PM 6 THE SPECIAL MORTGAGE CREDIT FUNDS THAT 7 MR. GUNDLACH HAD INVESTED IN BACK IN 2007, THEY'VE GONE 8 THROUGH THE ROOF, 20 PERCENT INCREASES. AND TCW AND 9 THE FRENCH KNEW THEY WERE GOING TO OWE MR. GUNDLACH AND 10 HIS TEAM, HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. THAT WAS 01:01PM 11 THE UPSIDE. 12 REMEMBER I SAID THERE WAS A DOCUMENT 13 THAT SAYS THE WIN-WIN. ONE OF THE TWO EXECUTIVES, I THINK IT WAS MR. BEYER, WROTE THE E-MAIL TO 14 15 MR. GUNDLACH, THANKING HIM FOR HIS APPROACH, HIS 01:01PM 16 REALISTIC APPROACH TO ACHIEVE THE WIN-WIN. 17 ONCE AGAIN, TCW WAS EXCITED BECAUSE 18 MR. GUNDLACH PROMISED TO PROTECT THEM ON THE DOWNSIDE. 19 HE COVERED -- HE AGREED TO COVER THE OVERHEAD EXPENSES. 20 BUT THE WIN FOR HIM WAS THE UPSIDE. FRANKLY, WHEN HE 01:01PM 21 DID THIS IN 2007, THE TCW GUYS THAT NEGOTIATED, THEY 22 DIDN'T EXPECT IT. THEY DIDN'T EXPECT THAT BIG UPSIDE. 23 MR. GUNDLACH DID. HE WAS CONFIDENT THAT 24 HE COULD MAKE THESE FUNDS GROW, AND HE AND HIS TEAM 25 WOULD MAKE A LOT OF MONEY. THE TCW GUYS LIKED IT 01:02PM 26 BECAUSE THEY WERE PROTECTED, BUT WHEN IT LOOKS LIKE HE

WAS GOING TO GET THAT MONEY, THE DOCUMENTS SHOW THAT

TCW AND THE FRENCH BANK DECIDED THEY WANTED TO REDUCE

27

THOSE COSTS UNDER THE CONTRACT AND BRING IN A CHEAPER 1 REPLACEMENT. SO THEY KEPT THE COMPENSATION. 2 3 ONE FINAL DOCUMENT, TRIAL EXHIBIT 5382. 4 THESE ARE CALLED MARC'S NOTES, TYPED UP 5 BY MICHAEL CONN, THE SAME GUY THAT WROTE THE 01:02PM HANDWRITTEN NOTES. HE'S GOING TO BE A VERY IMPORTANT 6 7 WITNESS, AS YOU MIGHT EXPECT. 8 YOU WILL HAVE TO EVALUATE HIS 9 CREDIBILITY. WE'RE LOOKING FORWARD TO HIM SHOWING UP 10 HERE. HE PREPARED THESE NOTES FOR MR. STERN'S MEETING 01:02PM 11 WITH THE FRENCH ON OCTOBER 18TH. MR. STERN FLEW TO 12 PARIS, MET WITH THE FRENCH TO PUT THE FINISHING TOUCHES 13 ON PROJECT G AND THEIR PLAN TO GET RID OF MR. GUNDLACH. AND WHAT THEY DECIDED TO DO, AND IT'S 14 15 RIGHT THERE, IS TO BE PROACTIVE AND TO USE THE ELEMENT 01:03PM 16 OF SURPRISE. THEY WANTED TO SURPRISE MR. GUNDLACH. 17 THEY WANTED TO SURPRISE HIM, TO PREVENT HIM FROM 18 GETTING A BUSINESS UP AND RUNNING AND COMPETING. 19 THAT'S WHY THEY WANTED THE ELEMENT OF SURPRISE. 20 AND ON THE DAY AFTER DECEMBER 4TH, ON 01:03PM 21 DECEMBER 5TH OF 2009, ONE OF THE FRENCHMEN SENT AN 22 E-MAIL BACK TO HIS BOSSES IN WHICH HE SAYS THAT THE 23 IDEAL WOULD BE TO NEGOTIATE AN AGREEMENT WHERE 24 MR. GUNDLACH WOULD SIGN A NONCOMPETE, VALID FOR ONE 25 YEAR. THEY WANTED TO KEEP HIM AWAY AND KEEP HIM FROM 01:03PM 26 COMPETING WHEN HE GOT UP AND RUNNING. WE'LL EXPLAIN 27 HOW HE DID THAT. THIS LAWSUIT WAS FILED.

I WANT TO ECHO SOMETHING MR. QUINN SAID.

WE DON'T AGREE ON A LOT, BUT WE DO AGREE ON OUR 1 2 APPRECIATION FOR WHAT YOU'VE DONE SO FAR AND WHAT YOU 3 ARE GOING TO DO THE REST OF THIS TRIAL. WE ARE GOING 4 TO TRY TO MOVE THINGS ALONG, AND HOPEFULLY WE'LL BE 5 FASTER THAN YOU WERE TOLD THE OTHER DAY. WE'RE 01:04PM 6 ACTUALLY OPTIMISTIC THAT WE'LL GO A LITTLE MORE 7 QUICKLY. BUT IT IS AN INTERESTING CASE, AND WE 8 APPRECIATE YOUR COMMITMENT TO JURY SERVICE. 9 I THINK I MENTIONED YESTERDAY HOW 10 IMPORTANT JURY SERVICE IS TO ALL OF US, AND FRANKLY, TO 01:04PM 11 THE DEMOCRACY WE LIVE IN. IT'S A WONDERFUL THING, AND 12 I THINK YOU WILL ENJOY IT. 13 I WILL HAVE A CHANCE TO SPEAK WITH YOU AGAIN AT THE END OF THE CASE. AND I'LL SPEAK TO YOU 14 ABOUT OUR LAWSUIT AGAINST TCW, IN WHICH WE'RE GOING TO 15 01:05PM 16 ASK YOU TO AWARD OUR CLIENTS A LOT OF MONEY, THE MONEY 17 THEY WERE OWED FOR THE WORK THEY PERFORMED UNTIL THE 18 TIME -- UP UNTIL THE TIME THEY WERE FIRED, AND THE 19 MONEY THEY WERE OWED FOR THE REMAINING TWO YEARS, BASED 20 ON THIS INCREASED VALUE OF THE INCENTIVE FEES. 01:05PM 21 AND WE'RE GOING TO ASK YOU TO AWARD 22 NOTHING TO TCW, BECAUSE THERE'S -- WE DON'T THINK 23 THERE'S LIABILITY. AND BECAUSE OF ALL THE THINGS WE 24 DID TO REMEDIATE, TO TURN IN THE STUFF, WE DON'T THINK 25 THERE WILL BE ANY EVIDENCE THAT THEY'VE BEEN DAMAGED. 01:05PM 26 THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, MR. BRIAN.

MR. QUINN, ARE YOU PREPARED TO CALL YOUR

27

FIRST WITNESS?	
MR. QUINN: YES, WE ARE, YOUR HONOR.	
MR. MADISON WILL BE HANDLING THIS	
WITNESS.	
THE COURT: MR. MADISON?	01:05PM
MR. MADISON: WE'RE GOING TO CALL RACHEL CODY,	
YOUR HONOR.	
THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
MR. HELM: YOUR HONOR, JUST ONE MOMENT.	
DURING OPENING MR. QUINN STATED THEY	01:06PM
WERE GOING TO PUT MR. KALE ON FIRST.	
THE COURT: WHAT HAPPENED TO MR. KALE?	
MR. QUINN: MR. KALE IS HERE.	
THE COURT: I THINK YOU TOLD US MR. KALE AND	
THEN MS. CODY.	01:06PM
MR. QUINN: WELL, IT'S A QUESTION OF WHETHER	
OR NOT WE COULD GET THROUGH THE TWO WITNESSES IN THE	
AMOUNT OF TIME, IS THE ISSUE THAT WE TALKED ABOUT.	
THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
MR. QUINN: WE HOPE TO DO BOTH, YOUR HONOR.	01:07PM
THAT'S OUR INTENTION.	
MR. MADISON: YOUR HONOR, I DON'T KNOW IF IT	
WOULD MAKE A DIFFERENCE, BUT GIVEN WE'RE GOING TO START	
WITH TESTIMONY, WOULD YOU LIKE THE ALTERNATES TO MOVE	
CLOSER TO THE WITNESS, OR SHOULD THEY REMAIN WHERE THEY	01:07PM
ARE SEATED?	
THE COURT: WHERE THEY ARE SEATED. OR IF THEY	
	MR. QUINN: YES, WE ARE, YOUR HONOR.  MR. MADISON WILL BE HANDLING THIS  WITNESS.  THE COURT: MR. MADISON?  MR. MADISON: WE'RE GOING TO CALL RACHEL CODY,  YOUR HONOR.  THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.  MR. HELM: YOUR HONOR, JUST ONE MOMENT.  DURING OPENING MR. QUINN STATED THEY  WERE GOING TO PUT MR. KALE ON FIRST.  THE COURT: WHAT HAPPENED TO MR. KALE?  MR. QUINN: MR. KALE IS HERE.  THE COURT: I THINK YOU TOLD US MR. KALE AND  THEN MS. CODY.  MR. QUINN: WELL, IT'S A QUESTION OF WHETHER  OR NOT WE COULD GET THROUGH THE TWO WITNESSES IN THE  AMOUNT OF TIME, IS THE ISSUE THAT WE TALKED ABOUT.  THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.  MR. QUINN: WE HOPE TO DO BOTH, YOUR HONOR.  THAT'S OUR INTENTION.  MR. MADISON: YOUR HONOR, I DON'T KNOW IF IT  WOULD MAKE A DIFFERENCE, BUT GIVEN WE'RE GOING TO START  WITH TESTIMONY, WOULD YOU LIKE THE ALTERNATES TO MOVE  CLOSER TO THE WITNESS, OR SHOULD THEY REMAIN WHERE THEY  ARE SEATED?

WANT TO SIT IN THE BACK ROW, WHEREVER IS COMFORTABLE

1	WITH YOU. WE'RE NOT GOING TO OUTSIDE THE BOX.	
2	THE CLERK: MA'AM, PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT	
3	HAND TO BE SWORN.	
4		
5	RACHEL CODY,	
6	CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PLAINTIFF, WAS SWORN AND	
7	TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:	
8		
9	THE CLERK: YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT THE	
10	TESTIMONY YOU ARE ABOUT TO GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW	
11	PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT, SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE	
12	WHOLE TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD?	
13	THE WITNESS: I DO.	
14	THE CLERK: THANK YOU.	
15	PLEASE BE SEATED ON THE WITNESS STAND.	01:07PM
16	THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON, MA'AM.	
17	THE WITNESS: GOOD AFTERNOON.	
18	THE CLERK: MA'AM, PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR	
19	NAME FOR THE RECORD.	
20	THE WITNESS: RACHEL CODY, R-A-C-H-E-L,	01:08PM
21	C-O-D-Y.	
22	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, MR. MADISON. YOU MAY	
23	PROCEED.	
24		
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION +	01:08PM
26		
27	BY MR. MADISON:	
0.0		

ARE YOU EMPLOYED?

1	A I CURRENTLY WORK AT DOUBLELINE CAPITAL.	
2	Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU WORKED AT DOUBLELINE	
3	CAPITAL?	
4	A I HAVE BEEN THERE SINCE JANUARY 2010.	
5	Q JANUARY 2010.	01:08PM
6	COULD YOU PULL THE MICROPHONE CLOSER,	
7	PLEASE?	
8	THE COURT: WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO WOULD	
9	YOU SLIDE THAT OVER AND SEE, WE MAY NEED TO GET ANOTHER	
10	TABLE OVER HERE.	01:08PM
11	MR. MADISON: OKAY.	
12	Q SO YOU BEGAN WORKING AT DOUBLELINE CAPITAL IN	
13	JANUARY OF 2010, CORRECT?	
14	A CORRECT. THAT'S CORRECT.	
15	Q AND AGAIN, PULL THE MICROPHONE CLOSER TO YOU,	01:09PM
16	IF YOU COULD, SO EVERYONE CAN HEAR YOU. THANK YOU.	
17	AND AT DOUBLELINE CAPITAL, YOU WORKED	
18	WITH THE DEFENDANTS, SOME OF WHOM ARE HERE IN THE	
19	COURTROOM, MR. SANTA ANA, CORRECT?	
20	A CORRECT.	01:09PM
21	Q AND MR. GUNDLACH, WHO'S IN THE SECOND ROW,	
22	LOOKING DOWN, CORRECT?	
23	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
24	Q AND TO MR. GUNDLACH'S RIGHT IS MS. VANEVERY,	
25	CORRECT?	01:09PM
26	A YES.	
27	Q AND THEN MR. MAYBERRY NEXT TO MS. VANEVERY?	
28	A CORRECT.	

1	Q NOW, BEFORE YOU WORKED AT DOUBLELINE CAPITAL,	
2	YOU WORKED WITH THE FOUR DEFENDANTS THAT WE JUST	
3	IDENTIFIED AT TRUST COMPANY OF THE WEST, DIDN'T YOU?	
4	A I DID.	
5	Q WHEN DID YOU GO TO WORK AT TRUST COMPANY OF	01:09PM
6	THE WEST?	
7	A I STARTED THERE IN MARCH OF 2007.	
8	Q WAS THAT YOUR FIRST JOB OUT OF COLLEGE?	
9	A NO, IT WAS NOT.	
10	Q AND HOW LONG HAD YOU BEEN OUT OF COLLEGE WHEN	01:10PM
11	YOU WENT TO WORK AT TCW?	
12	A ABOUT A YEAR, A YEAR AND A FEW MONTHS.	
13	Q NOW, WHAT POSITION DID YOU HAVE AT TCW IN	
14	2009?	
15	A IN 2009, I WAS WORKING AS AN ANALYST,	01:10PM
16	BASICALLY WRITING COMMENTARIES, WHICH ARE BASIC	
17	MARKETING PIECES FOR CLIENTS.	
18	Q COULD YOU TELL US WHAT AN ANALYST IS, PLEASE?	
19	A ANALYST DOES ALL DIFFERENT TYPES OF ROLES.	
20	SOME DO ACCOUNT WORK FOR THE SPECIFIC CLIENTS.	01:10PM
21	I, LIKE I SAID, DID THE COMMENTARIES. I	
22	WOULD WRITE WHAT THE MARKET DID FOR THE MONTH, KIND OF	
23	GIVE AN OUTLOOK; AND THAT WOULD GO TO THE CLIENTS AND	
24	AS A MARKETING PIECE ON THE WEBSITE.	
25	Q WHO DID YOU REPORT TO AT TCW IN 2009?	01:10PM
26	A IN 2009, I WAS REPORTING TO BARBARA VANEVERY.	
27	Q AND PRIOR TO THAT, HAD YOU REPORTED TO SOMEONE	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

ELSE AT TCW?

1	A I DID.	
2	Q WHO?	
3	A JOE GALLIGAN.	
4	Q WHEN DID YOU BEGAN REPORTING TO MS. VANEVERY?	
5	A I BELIEVE THAT WAS THE SUMMER OF 2009.	01:11PM
6	Q NOW, YOU WERE PART OF THE MBS GROUP AT TCW,	
7	CORRECT?	
8	A CORRECT.	
9	Q AND THAT'S THE GROUP THAT WAS OVERSEEN BY	
10	MR. GUNDLACH, CORRECT?	01:11PM
11	A CORRECT.	
12	Q AND MR. SANTA ANA AS ALSO A MANAGER OF THAT	
13	GROUP, RIGHT?	
14	A CORRECT.	
15	Q YOU WERE IN THE GROUP THAT MANAGES WHAT ARE	01:11PM
16	CALLED MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES, RIGHT?	
17	A CORRECT.	
18	Q AND YOU WORKED ON THE 16TH FLOOR AT TCW?	
19	A I DID.	
20	Q NOW, I HAVE A BINDER OF EXHIBITS IN FRONT OF	01:11PM
21	YOU. THE FIRST ONE I WOULD LIKE YOU TO LOOK AT IS	
22	NUMBER 373. AND THIS IS A FLOOR PLAN OF THE 16TH FLOOR	
23	AT TCW IN 2009.	
24	DO YOU HAVE A BINDER, YOUR HONOR?	
25	THE COURT: I'M GETTING IT.	01:11PM
26	Q BY MR. MADISON: DO YOU HAVE EXHIBIT 373 IN	
27	FRONT OF YOU, MA'AM?	
28	THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE A NOTEBOOK FOR THE	

1	WITNESS?	
2	MR. QUINN: WE DID, YOUR HONOR, AND FOR, YOUR	
3	HONOR AS WELL.	
4	THE COURT: WE JUST PULLED OUT THE VOLUME.	
5	MR. QUINN: OH, NO. YOU SHOULD HAVE ONE THAT	01:12PM
6	IS WITNESS SPECIFIC.	
7	MAY I APPROACH?	
8	THE COURT: THAT'S WHAT I WOULD LIKE. THANK	
9	YOU.	
10	MR. MADISON: MAY I APPROACH?	01:12PM
11	THE COURT: YES. THANK YOU.	
12		
13	(DISCUSSION OUT OF HEARING)	
14		
15	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU CAN GO AHEAD.	01:13PM
16	WHAT EXHIBIT ARE YOU LOOKING AT?	
17	MR. MADISON: 373, YOUR HONOR.	
18	Q EXHIBIT 373 IS A SCHEMATIC OF THE 16TH FLOOR	
19	WHERE THE MBS GROUP WAS AT TCW IN 2009, CORRECT?	
20	A I BELIEVE SO.	01:13PM
21	MR. MADISON: SO I'D MOVE 373 INTO EVIDENCE,	
22	YOUR HONOR.	
23	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	
24	MR. BRIAN: NO OBJECTION.	
25	MR. MADISON: SO IF WE COULD DISPLAY IT ON THE	01:13PM
26	SCREEN.	
27	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	
28	MR. HELM: NO OBJECTION.	

1	BY MR. MADISON:	
2	Q SO IF WE COULD DISPLAY IT ON THE SCREEN.	
3	AND PARTICULARLY, MS. CODY, I WOULD LIKE	
4	TO GO THROUGH THIS WITH YOU. WE'RE LOOKING AT A-ONE	
5	PAGE EXHIBIT THAT HAS THE SCHEMATIC OF THE 16TH FLOOR.	01:13PM
6	AND WE CAN SEE ON THE BOTTOM HALF OF THE SPACE, WHAT	
7	LOOKS TO BE A SERIES OF TABLES WITH NAMES NEXT TO THEM.	
8	DO YOU SEE THOSE?	
9	A I DO.	
10	Q CAN YOU TELL US WHAT THAT WAS?	01:14Pi
11	A IT WAS THE SEATING CHART OF THE TRADING DESK.	
12	Q SO THIS WAS THE MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITY GROUP	
13	THAT REPORTED ULTIMATELY TO MR. GUNDLACH?	
14	A AMONG OTHERS. THERE'S OTHER GROUPS INCLUDED	
15	IN THIS.	01:14PM
16	Q WELL, AS WE GO THROUGH THEM, IF I IDENTIFY	
17	SOMEBODY THAT WASN'T IN THE MBS GROUP, PLEASE POINT	
18	THAT OUT.	
19	BUT IF WE LOOK AT YOUR WORK SPACE, IT	
20	WAS THE THIRD TABLE FROM THE LEFT, AT THE END OF THE	01:14PM
21	TABLE THERE, WHERE IT SAYS CODY, CORRECT?	
22	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
23	Q I'M GOING TO ASK THAT WE HIGHLIGHT THAT ON THE	
24	SCREEN, YOUR HONOR.	
25	AND THEN CAN YOU TELL US WHO WAS WORKING	01:14P
26	AROUND YOU IN THAT WORK SPACE IN 2009?	
27	A ACROSS THE TABLE FROM ME IS JOE GALLIGAN.	
28	Q SO THAT'S JUST ON THE OTHER SIDE?	

1	А	YES, THAT'S CORRECT.	
2	Q	AND WHO WAS MR. GALLIGAN?	
3	А	HE WAS THE MANAGING DIRECTOR.	
4	Q	WHICH IS A SENIOR POSITION?	
5	А	A SENIOR POSITION.	01:14PM
6	Q	OKAY.	
7	А	TO MY RIGHT WAS FIFI WONG.	
8	Q	THAT'S THE SPACE ON THE SPECIFIC THAT'S	
9	JUST BEI	LOW, CORRECT?	
10	А	THAT'S CORRECT.	01:15PM
11	Q	AND WHAT DID MS. WONG DO?	
12	А	SHE WAS AN ANALYST, LIKE MYSELF.	
13	Q	AND THEN RIGHT ACROSS FROM MS. WONG WE SEE, IS	
14	THAT MR.	SANTA ANA THERE?	
15	А	THAT IS.	01:15PM
16	Q	AND IF WE COULD HIGHLIGHT MR. SANTA ANA.	
17		AND THEN RIGHT TO HIS LEFT, BECAUSE ON	
18	THE OTHE	ER SIDE, THEY ARE FACING TOWARD YOU, CORRECT?	
19	А	CORRECT.	
20	Q	AND THAT WOULD BE MR. MAYBERRY, THE DEFENDANT,	01:15PM
21	JEFF MAY	BERRY, CORRECT?	
22	А	THAT'S CORRECT.	
23	Q	AND ACROSS TO THE NEXT TABLE, WAS THAT	
24	MR. GUND	DLACH'S WORK SPACE?	
25	А	THAT WAS.	01:15PM
26	Q	OKAY. AND THEN MR. BARACH WAS NEAR	
27	MR. GUND	DLACH, AS WELL?	
28	А	TO HIS RIGHT.	

1	Q SO RIGHT THERE.	
2	AND DURING THE WORKDAY AND THERE ARE	
3	OTHERS, BUT LET ME JUST STOP THERE.	
4	DURING THE WORKDAY, SOME OF THESE	
5	INDIVIDUALS HAVE OFFICES OR CUBICLES ELSEWHERE ON THE	01:15PM
6	FLOOR, CORRECT?	
7	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
8	Q SO IF WE GO BACK TO THE FULL EXHIBIT,	
9	MR. GUNDLACH HAD A LARGE OFFICE NOT FAR FROM THE	
10	TRADING FLOOR IN THIS LOCATION; IS THAT RIGHT?	01:16PM
11	A YES.	
12	Q AND MS. VANEVERY HAD A CUBICLE ON THE OTHER	
13	SIDE OF THE FLOOR UP HERE, RIGHT?	
14	A YES.	
15	Q IF WE COULD HIGHLIGHT THOSE AS I GO, MIKE,	01:16PM
16	PLEASE.	
17	AND MR. MAYBERRY HAD AN OFFICE SOMEWHERE	
18	IN HERE, CORRECT?	
19	A ACCORDING TO THIS, YES. I DON'T REMEMBER	
20	THAT.	01:16PM
21	Q YOU DON'T RECALL HIM HAVING THAT OFFICE?	
22	A NO.	
23	Q OKAY.	
24	AND SOME OF THE PEOPLE THAT HAD WORK	
25	SPACE ON THE FLOOR AND IN THE OFFICES OR CUBICLES, THEY	01:16PM
26	WOULD GO BACK AND FORTH DURING THE WORKDAY, WOULDN'T	
27	THEY?	
28	A SOMETIMES.	

1	Q NOW, I HAVE SOME PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE FLOOR, AND	
2	I BELIEVE THOSE ARE IN YOUR BINDER. DO YOU SEE THEY	
3	MAY BE THE NEXT EXHIBIT.	
4	A NO, THERE'S NOTHING BEHIND THIS PAGE.	
5	MR. MADISON: MAY I APPROACH, YOUR HONOR? I	01:17PM
6	DON'T THINK THESE ARE IN THE BINDER.	
7	THE COURT: HAVE THEY BEEN MARKED?	
8	MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS	
9	EXHIBIT 2092. I HAVE A COPY FOR YOUR HONOR, AS WELL.	
10	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	01:17PM
11	BY MR. MADISON:	
12	Q CAN YOU LOOK AT THOSE PHOTOGRAPHS, AND TELL US	
13	IF YOU RECOGNIZE THEM.	
14	A IT APPEARS TO BE THE TRADING DESK.	
15	Q I'D MOVE 2092, 1 THROUGH 4, YOUR HONOR.	01:17PM
16	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	
17	MR. HELM: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.	
18	THE COURT: THEY WOULD BE ADMITTED.	
19	BY MR. MADISON:	
20	Q IF WE COULD JUST DISPLAY THE PHOTOGRAPHS, THEY	01:18PM
21	MAY BE HELPFUL.	
22	SO THE FIRST PAGE SHOWS ONE OF THOSE	
23	DESKS THAT WE SAW IN THE SCHEMATIC, CORRECT, MS. CODY?	
24	A YES.	
25	Q AND IN FACT, WE PUT YOUR NAME NEXT TO THE	01:18PM
26	SPACE THAT YOU OCCUPIED, RIGHT?	
27	A I CAN'T BE SURE THAT WAS WHERE I WAS SITTING.	

THAT DOESN'T LOOK TO BE THE SPACE WHERE YOU

1	WERE SITTING?	
2	A YEAH. IT WILL FROM THIS PICTURE.	
3	Q DO YOU HAVE THE SCHEMATIC, EXHIBIT 373?	
4	A YES.	
5	Q IF YOU CAN LOOK AND TELL US, CAN YOU SEE THE	01:18PM
6	WINDOWS TO THE OFFICE ON THE FAR SIDE OF THE	
7	PHOTOGRAPH?	
8	A YEAH.	
9	Q SO ARE WE AT LEAST AT THE RIGHT END OF ONE OF	
LO	THE TABLES?	01:18PN
L1	A YES.	
L2	Q AND THEN IF THAT WERE YOUR WORK STATION, THEN	
L3	MR. GALLIGAN WOULD BE THERE WHERE HIS NAME APPEARS,	
L 4	RIGHT?	
L5	A CORRECT.	01:18PN
L 6	Q AND MR. SANTA ANA, MR. MAYBERRY, MS. WONG AND	
L7	MR. GUNDLACH, CORRECT?	
L 8	A THAT'S RIGHT.	
L 9	Q SO MR. GUNDLACH WOULD BE CLOSER TO YOUR WORK	
20	SPACE IF HE WAS SEATED CLOSER.	01:19PN
21	THAT PERSON IN THE PICTURE IS NOT HIM,	
22	BUT THERE'S SOMEONE SEATED THERE IN THE PHOTOGRAPH. HE	
23	WOULD BE CLOSER TO YOU THAN I AM TO YOU NOW, RIGHT?	
24	A YES.	
25	Q PROBABLY CLOSER, EVEN, THAN MAYBE SOME OF THE	01:19PN
26	JURORS, RIGHT?	
27	A HE WOULD BE CLOSER, YES, THAN THE FAR JURORS,	

YES.

1	Q AND YOU WOULD OVERHEAR CONVERSATIONS THAT	
2	MR. GUNDLACH AND MR. SANTA ANA AND OTHERS WOULD HAVE	
3	DURING THE WORKDAY, WOULDN'T YOU?	
4	A SOMETIMES.	
5	Q AND IT WAS NOT UNCOMMON FOR YOU TO OVERHEAR	01:19P
6	WHAT THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT, RIGHT?	
7	A CORRECT.	
8	Q AND SOMETIMES YOU WOULD TALK WITH OTHERS AT	
9	TCW ABOUT WHAT YOU HAD OVERHEARD THEM TALKING ABOUT,	
10	RIGHT?	01:19P
11	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
12	Q NOW, WE SEE SOME COMPUTER SCREENS ON THESE	
13	DESKS. AND IS THAT WHAT YOU RECALL, FROM YOUR TIME AT	
14	TCW, HAVING AN ARRAY OF COMPUTER SCREENS UP ON YOUR	
15	DESK?	01:20P
16	A YES.	
17	Q WHY WOULD ANYBODY HAVE FOUR? IT LOOKS TO ME	
18	LIKE AT THE FIRST SPACE WITH YOUR NAME ON IT, THERE ARE	
19	FOUR DIFFERENT SCREENS.	
20	WHY WOULD ANYONE NEED FOUR COMPUTER	01:20P
21	SCREENS?	
22	A WELL, THAT MIGHT BE EXCESSIVE FOR ME. A LOT	
23	OF PEOPLE HAD BLOOMBERG SCREENS UP FOR TRADING, SO YOU	
24	COULD LOOK AT THE MARKET MOVEMENTS. AND YOU HAVE YOUR	
25	OWN SPREADSHEETS OR YOUR OWN ARTICLES UP, E-MAIL UP; SO	01:20P
26	THERE'S VARIOUS DIFFERENT WAYS TO USE IT.	
27	Q BLOOMBERG IS THE SOURCE OF RESEARCH ABOUT	

INFORMATION THAT IS ABOUT THE MARKET?

1	A ONE OF THEM, YES.	
2	Q AND THERE WERE ALSO SYSTEMS THAT TCW HAD	
3	DEVELOPED AND OWNED THAT WOULD BE USED BY THE DIFFERENT	
4	PEOPLE WORKING AT THE DESK?	
5	MR. HELM: OBJECTION TO FORM, YOUR HONOR,	01:20PM
6	LACKS FOUNDATION.	
7	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
8	YOU CAN EXPLAIN, IF YOU HAVE AN ANSWER	
9	TO THAT QUESTION. GO AHEAD.	
10	THE WITNESS: CAN YOU REPEAT IT?	01:20PM
11	BY MR. MADISON:	
12	Q MY QUESTION WAS, IF THERE WERE COMPUTER	
13	SYSTEMS THAT PEOPLE THAT WORKED AT THESE STATIONS USED,	
14	THAT TCW OWNED, TO DO THEIR JOB?	
15	A I WOULD ASSUME THERE ARE SOME.	01:21PM
16	THE COURT: WELL, MA'AM, WE DON'T WANT YOU TO	
17	SPECULATE OR MAKE ASSUMPTIONS.	
18	IF YOU KNOW SOMETHING, YOU TELL US, TELL	
19	US WHAT YOU KNOW. AND IF YOU DON'T KNOW, YOU TELL US	
20	YOU DON'T KNOW. ALL RIGHT?	01:21PM
21	THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT.	
22	THE COURT: GO AHEAD.	
23	Q BY MR. MADISON: NOW, YOU HAVE TOLD US THAT	
24	YOU WENT TO WORK FOR DOUBLELINE IN JANUARY OF 2010.	
25	A CORRECT.	01:21PM
26	Q AND YOU UNDERSTOOD AT THAT TIME THAT THAT WAS	
27	JEFFREY GUNDLACH'S COMPANY, CORRECT?	

Α

YES.

1	Q WHEN DID YOU FIRST THINK ABOUT GOING TO WORK	
2	FOR A COMPANY THAT JEFF GUNDLACH WOULD OWN?	
3	A I ALWAYS WOULD HOPE TO WORK FOR ANY COMPANY	
4	THAT JEFFREY GUNDLACH WOULD OWN OR MANAGE.	
5	Q I UNDERSTAND.	01:21PM
6	BUT MY QUESTION IS SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT;	
7	NOT WHETHER YOU WOULD ALWAYS HAVE A HOPE, OR IF YOU	
8	WERE ASKED TO THINK ABOUT IT, WHAT YOU MIGHT FEEL; BUT	
9	RATHER, WHEN WAS THE FIRST TIME, AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY,	
10	THAT YOU RECALL THINKING ABOUT ACTUALLY LEAVING TCW AND	01:22PM
11	GOING TO A FIRM THAT JEFFREY GUNDLACH WOULD OWN?	
12	MR. HELM: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. 352.	
13	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
14	THE WITNESS: PROBABLY 2009.	
15	Q BY MR. MADISON: WHEN IN 2009?	01:22PM
16	A EARLY 2009.	
17	Q SO YOU RECALL, DON'T YOU, THAT MR. STERN CAME	
18	BACK TO TCW IN JUNE OF 2009 TO BE CEO?	
19	A I DON'T REMEMBER THE DATE WHEN HE CAME BACK,	
20	NO.	01:22PM
21	Q DO YOU RECALL A TIME IN 2009 WHEN MR. STERN	
22	CAME TO THE FIRM AS CEO?	
23	A I DO.	
24	Q SO WHEN YOU SAY EARLY 2009 WAS THE FIRST TIME	
25	YOU WERE THINKING ABOUT GOING TO WORK AT A NEW FIRM	01:22PM
26	THAT MR. GUNDLACH WOULD OWN, IT WAS BEFORE MR. STERN	
27	HAD COME BACK, WASN'T IT?	
28	A THAT'S CORRECT.	

1	Q IN FACT, IT WAS AT LEAST AS EARLY AS FEBRUARY	
2	2009 WHEN YOU WERE THINKING ACTIVELY ABOUT GOING TO	
3	WORK AT A FIRM THAT WOULD BE OWNED BY JEFF GUNDLACH,	
4	RIGHT?	
5	A THAT'S CORRECT.	01:23PM
6	Q AND YOU TALKED TO PEOPLE ABOUT THAT PROSPECT,	
7	AT LEAST BEGINNING BACK IN FEBRUARY OF 2009, DIDN'T	
8	YOU?	
9	A I DID.	
10	Q AND YOU TOLD THE PEOPLE THAT YOU TALKED TO	01:23PM
11	THAT THERE WAS A PLAN, DIDN'T YOU?	
12	MR. HELM: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION, YOUR HONOR.	
13	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
14	YOU KNOW THE PARAMETERS.	
15	MR. MADISON: I'M PROCEEDING AT PACE, YOUR	01:23PM
16	HONOR.	
17	Q YOU TOLD THE PEOPLE THAT YOU COMMUNICATED WITH	
18	BACK IN FEBRUARY OF 2009, THAT THERE WAS A PLAN WHEREBY	
19	MR. GUNDLACH AND THE ENTIRE MBS GROUP WOULD LEAVE TO GO	
20	TO WORK AT A FIRM TO BE FORMED BY MR. GUNDLACH, RIGHT?	01:23PM
21	MR. HELM: SAME OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.	
22	THE COURT: MR. MADISON, I THINK YOU ARE	
23	APPROACHING THIS THE WAY WE SAID WE WOULDN'T APPROACH	
24	IT; SO WHY DON'T YOU GO BACK THE WAY WE SAID WE WOULD.	
25	MR. MADISON: OKAY.	01:24PM
26	Q LET'S GO BACK IN TIME TO THE TIME IN FEBRUARY	
27	WHERE YOU WERE ACTIVELY THINKING ABOUT IT.	

LET ME ASK: AT FIRST, WAS IT SOMETHING

1	YOU HAD OVERHEARD DISCUSSED ON THE FLOOR, AS WE TALKED	
2	ABOUT A FEW MINUTES AGO?	
3	MR. HELM: VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS.	
4	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
5	YOU CAN ANSWER THAT.	01:24PM
6	THE WITNESS: THERE ARE THINGS THAT I HAD	
7	HEARD ON THE FLOOR.	
8	Q BY MR. MADISON: ON THE FLOOR, YOU HAD HEARD	
9	MR. GUNDLACH AND MR. SANTA ANA AND OTHERS TALKING ABOUT	
10	FORMING A NEW FIRM, HADN'T YOU?	01:24PM
11	A NOT THAT SPECIFICALLY.	
12	Q WELL, TELL US WHAT YOU DID HEAR.	
13	A I HEARD THERE WERE THINGS ABOUT MEETINGS	
14	HAPPENING. AND THEN I FORMED MY OWN OPINIONS ABOUT WHY	
15	THOSE MEETINGS MAY BE HAPPENING. AND THAT'S WHAT I	01:24PM
16	DICTATED TO MY COLLEAGUES.	
17	Q WELL, DO YOU RECALL AT WHAT POINT YOU,	
18	YOURSELF, BEGAN TO ACTIVELY THINK OF YOURSELF AS BEING	
19	SOMEONE WHO WOULD GO TO WORK AT THE NEW FIRM?	
20	MR. HELM: RELEVANCE, YOUR HONOR.	01:25PM
21	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
22	THE WITNESS: I THINK I WAS ALWAYS THINKING	
23	THAT I'D BE SOMEONE IN THAT ROLE.	
24	Q BY MR. MADISON: SO FROM THE FIRST TIME YOU	
25	OVERHEARD THEM TALKING ABOUT IT, YOU THOUGHT OF	01:25PM
26	YOURSELF AS BEING SOMEONE THAT WOULD WANT TO GO WORK AT	
27	THE NEW FIRM?	

OVERHEARD TALKING ABOUT WHAT, EXACTLY?

1	Q I'M SORRY. I WAS JUST TRYING TO FOLLOW YOU.	
2	I WAS ASKING IF YOU RECALL WHEN THE	
3	FIRST TIME WAS THAT YOU BEGAN THINKING ABOUT GOING TO	
4	THE NEW FIRM AND WHETHER IT WAS WHEN YOU OVERHEARD THEM	
5	TALKING ABOUT THAT?	01:25PM
6	MR. HELM: ASSUMES FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE, YOUR	
7	HONOR.	
8	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
9	YOU NEED TO CLARIFY. AND THE GENERIC	
10	REFERENCE TO "THEM" AND "PEOPLE" DOESN'T CUT IT FOR	01:25PM
11	YOUR FOUNDATION.	
12	MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR. OF COURSE.	
13	Q SO I'M TALKING APPROXIMATELY NOW ABOUT MAINLY	
14	MR. GUNDLACH, BUT ALSO THE OTHER DEFENDANTS:	
15	MS. VANEVERY, MR. SANTA ANA, MR. MAYBERRY.	01:25PM
16	AT THE FIRST TIME, DID YOU OVERHEAR THE	
17	FOUR OF THEM TALKING ABOUT THINGS THAT WOULD RELATE TO	
18	A NEW FIRM?	
19	A YES.	
20	Q AND DID THAT ALL BEGIN BACK IN FEBRUARY OF	01:26PM
21	2009, OR BEFORE THAT?	
22	MR. HELM: COMPOUND, YOUR HONOR.	
23	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
24	THE WITNESS: I DON'T KNOW WHEN I HEARD ALL OF	
25	THAT.	01:26PM
26	Q BY MR. MADISON: OKAY.	
27	LET ME ASK YOU TO JUST LOOK, YOURSELF,	

AT EXHIBIT 140, IS IT UP THERE?

```
JUST FOR THE RECORD, IT'S A CHAT FROM
 1
 2
     FEBRUARY 2009.
 3
             MR. HELM: 140?
 4
              THE COURT: WITHOUT PUBLISHING IT TO THE JURY,
 5
     CAN WE PUT IT ON OUR SCREENS?
                                                                 01:26PM
              MR. MADISON: NO, I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR. WE
 6
 7
    CAN WORK ON THAT.
 8
              THE COURT: WE'LL HAVE THAT ARRANGED BY
 9
    MONDAY, I WOULD HOPE.
10
              MR. MADISON: YES.
                                                                 01:26PM
11
              THE COURT: OKAY.
12
                    IS THIS IN YOUR BOOK? IT'S 140.
13
              MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR.
14
        Q
           DO YOU HAVE 140 BEFORE YOU?
15
           ARE YOU ASKING ME?
         Α
                                                                 01:27PM
16
         0
             YES.
17
             YES, I DO.
         Α
18
             AND DOES THIS REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION THAT
    AT LEAST AS OF FEBRUARY 11, 2009, YOU HAD BEGUN TO
19
20
     THINK ABOUT JOINING A FIRM WITH THE DEFENDANTS, BASED
                                                                 01:27PM
21
    ON WHAT YOU OVERHEARD?
22
              MR. HELM: NO FOUNDATION, YOUR HONOR.
23
              THE COURT: ALL HE'S ASKED IS IF IT REFRESHES
24
    HER RECOLLECTION.
25
                    GO AHEAD.
                                                                 01:27PM
26
              THE WITNESS: YES, IT REFRESHES MY
27
   RECOLLECTION.
```

BY MR. MADISON:

1	Q SO WOULD IT HAVE BEEN BY AT LEAST FEBRUARY 11,	
2	2009, YOU HAD OVERHEARD THOSE THINGS THAT CAUSED YOU TO	
3	HAVE THOSE THOUGHTS?	
4	A I DON'T KNOW.	
5	Q WELL, DO YOU SEE WELL, LET ME JUST ASK YOU	01:27PM
6	THIS: DO YOU RECALL TELLING OTHERS THAT THEY NEEDED TO	
7	KEEP IT QUIET?	
8	MR. HELM: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.	
9	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
10	BY MR. MADISON:	01:27PM
11	Q WELL, DOES IT REFRESH I WANT TO REFER YOU	
12	TO PART OF THIS CHAT THAT TALKS ABOUT WHETHER OTHERS	
13	SHOULD TALK ABOUT THIS OR NOT.	
14	DO YOU HAVE THAT IN FRONT OF YOU?	
15	THE COURT: YOU NEED TO ESTABLISH THE	01:28PM
16	FOUNDATION, TO MAKE REFERENCE TO THE SPECIFIC CONTENT	
17	OF THIS, AND YOU HAVE NOT DONE THAT.	
18	MR. MADISON: OKAY.	
19	Q WELL, I MEAN, DID THEY TALK ABOUT IT ON THE	
20	DESK ALL THE TIME, AS OF FEBRUARY 2009?	01:28PM
21	MR. HELM: VAGUE AS TO WHO IT IS, AND HEARSAY.	
22	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
23	MR. MADISON: OKAY.	
24	Q WELL, LET ME ASK YOU ABOUT THE MEETINGS YOU	
25	TOLD US ABOUT.	01:28PM
26	DO YOU RECALL SPECIFICALLY WHAT MEETINGS	
27	YOU OVERHEARD MR. GUNDLACH WAS HAVING?	
0.0		

YES.

Α

1	Q AND WHAT DO YOU RECALL ABOUT THAT?	
2	A I REMEMBER THERE WAS A MEETING WITH THE	
3	COMPANY CALLED WAMCO, WESTERN ASSET MANAGEMENT COMPANY;	
4	AND I BELIEVE THERE WAS ONE WITH UBS.	
5	Q AND DOES EXHIBIT 140 REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION	01:28PM
6	AS TO WHETHER OR NOT YOU HAD OVERHEARD THINGS ABOUT	
7	MR. GUNDLACH MEETING WITH THOSE FIRMS AS OF FEBRUARY	
8	11, 2009?	
9	MR. HELM: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION, YOUR HONOR.	
10	THE COURT: THE QUESTION IS, WHO DID SHE	01:29PM
11	OVERHEAR DISCUSSING THESE THINGS.	
12	MR. MADISON: VERY WELL.	
13	Q YOU OVERHEARD THE DEFENDANTS TALKING ABOUT	
14	THAT, RIGHT?	
15	A NO.	01:29PM
16	Q WHO DID YOU OVERHEAR?	
17	A THE WAY I KNEW ABOUT THE MEETINGS WAS FROM	
18	NATALIE MORA, WHO IS JEFFREY GUNDLACH'S ASSISTANT, WHO	
19	HAD SCHEDULED THE MEETINGS.	
20	Q OKAY.	01:29PM
21	SO YOU HEARD FROM MR. GUNDLACH'S	
22	ASSISTANT, WHO SCHEDULED THE MEETINGS, THAT THOSE	
23	MEETINGS WOULD HAPPEN?	
24	A YES.	
25	Q OKAY.	01:29PM
26	DID THAT HAPPEN IN FEBRUARY 2009?	
27	A WHETHER SHE TOLD ME THAT THE MEETINGS WERE	
28	HAPPENING?	

1	Q YES.	
2	A I DON'T REMEMBER.	
3	Q ALL RIGHT.	
4	IF YOU COULD LOOK AT THE EXHIBIT, AND	
5	PARTICULARLY THE SECOND HALF OF THE FIRST PAGE, AND	01:29PM
6	THEN TELL US IF THAT REFRESHES YOUR RECOLLECTION ABOUT	
7	THAT.	
8	A NO. I DON'T KNOW WHEN SHE TOLD ME.	
9	Q WELL, DID YOU TELL OTHERS THAT MR. GUNDLACH	
10	HAD A MEETING WITH WAMCO THAT SAME WEEK, FEBRUARY 11TH,	01:29PM
11	2009?	
12	MR. HELM: HEARSAY, YOUR HONOR.	
13	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
14	MR. MADISON: WELL	
15	THE COURT: MA'AM, YOU KNOW THE DATE OF WHAT	01:30PM
16	YOU ARE LOOKING AT.	
17	THE WITNESS: I KNOW.	
18	THE COURT: YOU SAID IT REFRESHES YOUR	
19	RECOLLECTION.	
20	THE WITNESS: YES, IT DOES.	01:30PM
21	THE COURT: SO YOU WERE TOLD SOMETHING ABOUT	
22	THESE THINGS BEFORE THAT DATE?	
23	THE WITNESS: YES.	
24	THE COURT: OKAY.	
25	GO AHEAD.	01:30PM
26	Q BY MR. MADISON: AND DOES IT REFRESH YOUR	
27	RECOLLECTION AS TO WHO TALKED ABOUT IT ON THE DESK?	
0.0		

I'D REFER YOU TO THE LAST LINE OF THE CHAT, ON THE

1	FIRST PAGE.	
2	A YES.	
3	Q WHO TALKED ABOUT IT ON THE DESK?	
4	A JEFFREY.	
5	Q JEFFREY GUNDLACH TALKED ABOUT IT.	01:30PM
6	SO IT WASN'T JUST THAT YOU HAD TALKED TO	
7	MS. MORA, HIS SECRETARY, IT WAS ALSO MR. GUNDLACH	
8	HIMSELF THAT TALKED ABOUT COMPETING WITH WAMCO YES?	
9	A ACCORDING TO THIS CHAT, YES.	
10	Q WELL, BUT YOU WROTE THE CHAT, RIGHT, MA'AM?	01:30PM
11	A YES, BUT I DON'T REMEMBER SPECIFICALLY WHAT I	
12	HEARD IN FEBRUARY OF 2009.	
13	Q ALL RIGHT. BUT THE FACT THAT YOU WROTE IT	
14	REFRESHES YOUR RECOLLECTION THAT YOU MUST HAVE HEARD IT	
15	AT THAT TIME, RIGHT?	01:31PM
16	A IT REFRESHED MY RECOLLECTION, THAT YES, THERE	
17	WERE MEETINGS AT THAT TIME.	
18	Q OKAY.	
19	AND IF YOU GO OVER TO THE SECOND PAGE,	
20	ACTUALLY WHAT YOU HAD OVERHEARD WAS THAT MR. GUNDLACH	01:31PM
21	WAS TALKING ABOUT IT WITH MR. SANTA ANA AND	
22	MR. GALLIGAN, CORRECT?	
23	A CORRECT.	
24	Q NOW, WITH REGARD TO UB LET ME GO BACK A	
25	STEP.	01:31PM
26	DO YOU KNOW WHO WAMCO IS?	
27	A WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT?	
0.0		

DO YOU KNOW WHAT WAMCO REFERS TO, THE COMPANY?

A WESTERN ASSET MANAGEMENT COMPANY.	
Q AND WESTERN ASSET IS A LARGE COMPETITOR OF	
TRUST COMPANY OF THE WEST'S, CORRECT?	
A CORRECT.	
Q AND THEY ARE IN THE SAME BUSINESS AS TRUST	01:31PM
COMPANY OF THE WEST?	
A I BELIEVE SO. I KNOW THEY HAVE A MORTGAGE	
GROUP, BUT I DON'T KNOW WHAT ELSE THEY DO.	
Q OKAY.	
AND WHO IS UBS?	01:31PM
A THE BANK.	
Q AND ARE THEY ALSO, IN PART OR IN WHOLE, IN THE	
BUSINESS THAT TCW IS IN?	
A I BELIEVE SO.	
Q AND MR. GALLIGAN IS ALSO NOW WITH DOUBLELINE,	01:32PM
CORRECT? I MAY NOT HAVE ASKED YOU THAT BEFORE.	
A HE IS.	
Q OKAY.	
AND IT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING, WASN'T	
IT, THAT AS YOU OVERHEARD THESE CONVERSATIONS AMONG THE	01:32PM
DEFENDANTS AND OTHERS, THAT THE ENTIRE MBS GROUP WOULD	
BE INVITED, OR WOULD GO WITH MR. GUNDLACH?	
MR. HELM: LACKS FOUNDATION, RELEVANCE.	
THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
THIS IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING.	01:32PM
THE WITNESS: CAN YOU REPEAT THAT AGAIN?	
Q BY MR. MADISON: YES.	
IT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING, WHEN YOU	
	Q AND WESTERN ASSET IS A LARGE COMPETITOR OF TRUST COMPANY OF THE WEST'S, CORRECT?  A CORRECT.  Q AND THEY ARE IN THE SAME BUSINESS AS TRUST COMPANY OF THE WEST?  A I BELIEVE SO. I KNOW THEY HAVE A MORTGAGE GROUP, BUT I DON'T KNOW WHAT ELSE THEY DO.  Q OKAY.  AND WHO IS UBS?  A THE BANK.  Q AND ARE THEY ALSO, IN PART OR IN WHOLE, IN THE BUSINESS THAT TCW IS IN?  A I BELIEVE SO.  Q AND MR. GALLIGAN IS ALSO NOW WITH DOUBLELINE, CORRECT? I MAY NOT HAVE ASKED YOU THAT BEFORE.  A HE IS.  Q OKAY.  AND IT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING, WASN'T  IT, THAT AS YOU OVERHEARD THESE CONVERSATIONS AMONG THE DEFENDANTS AND OTHERS, THAT THE ENTIRE MBS GROUP WOULD BE INVITED, OR WOULD GO WITH MR. GUNDLACH?  MR. HELM: LACKS FOUNDATION, RELEVANCE.  THE COURT: OVERRULED.  THIS IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING.  THE WITNESS: CAN YOU REPEAT THAT AGAIN?  Q BY MR. MADISON: YES.

```
OVERHEARD THE DEFENDANTS AND OTHERS TALKING ABOUT THESE
 1
 2
     POSSIBLE MOVES, THAT THE ENTIRE MBS GROUP AT TCW WOULD
 3
    EITHER GO OR BE INVITED TO GO, RIGHT?
 4
         A IT WAS MY ASSUMPTION, BASED ON CONVERSATION.
 5
    BUT I'M NOT REALLY SURE WHAT YOU ARE ASKING, EXACTLY.
                                                                01:32PM
 6
              WELL, WHAT YOU THOUGHT AT THAT TIME, BASED ON
 7
     WHAT YOU HAD OVERHEARD THEM TALKING ABOUT, WAS THAT
 8
     EVERYONE AT TCW'S MBS GROUP WOULD HAVE THE OPTION, BUT
 9
     THE WHOLE GROUP WOULD BE INVITED OR GOING?
10
              MR. HELM: SAME OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
                                                                01:33PM
11
              THE COURT: OVERRULED.
12
              THE WITNESS: YES.
13
            BY MR. MADISON: AND AGAIN, YOU BASE THAT, IF
         0
14
    YOU LOOK AT THE BOTTOM OF PAGE 2 OF EXHIBIT 140, ON THE
15
    FACT THAT WHEN MR. GUNDLACH WOULD WALK IN, YOU WERE
                                                                01:33PM
16
     PAYING ATTENTION TO WHAT HE WAS TALKING ABOUT WITH
17
    MR. SANTA ANA, MR. BARACH AND OTHERS, RIGHT?
18
              YES.
         Α
19
              IN FACT, YOU COULD PICK SOMETHING UP EVERY DAY
    BACK IN FEBRUARY OF 2009, IF YOU LOOK OVER AT THE THIRD
20
                                                                01:33PM
21
    PAGE, CORRECT?
22
             WHERE IS THAT?
        Α
23
           TOP OF THE THIRD PAGE.
         0
24
             MR. HELM: YOUR HONOR, I OBJECT TO THE WAY
25
    THIS IS PROCEEDING.
                                                                01:33PM
26
              THE COURT: THAT'S OKAY. IT'S OVERRULED.
27
                    GO AHEAD.
```

MR. MADISON: THANK YOU.

1	THE WITNESS: YES, THAT'S WHAT I WROTE.	
2	Q BY MR. MADISON: YOU COULD PICK SOMETHING UP	
3	EVERY DAY, IF YOU LISTENED TO WHAT THE DEFENDANTS ARE	
4	TALKING ABOUT?	
5	A CORRECT, THAT'S WHAT I WROTE.	01:34PM
6	Q AND YOU ACTUALLY HAD FOUND OUT ABOUT IT BACK	
7	IN OCTOBER OF 2008 FOR THE FIRST TIME, HADN'T YOU?	
8	A I HEARD RUMORS IN 2008.	
9	Q WELL, IS IT TRUE THAT IT HAD BEEN GOING ON	
10	SINCE OCTOBER, WHICH WAS WHEN YOU FOUND OUT ABOUT IT?	01:34PM
11	MR. HELM: LACKS FOUNDATION.	
12	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
13	Q BY MR. MADISON: WELL, WHEN YOU SAY YOU HEARD	
14	RUMORS, WOULD YOU SAY THAT YOU FOUND OUT ABOUT IT IN	
15	OCTOBER OF 2008?	01:34PM
16	MR. HELM: LACKS FOUNDATION.	
17	THE COURT: SUSTAINED. WE'RE NOT INTO RUMORS.	
18	MR. MADISON: WELL, I UNDERSTAND.	
19	Q APART FROM RUMORS, DID YOU FORM THE BELIEF	
20	THAT YOU HAD FOUND OUT ABOUT WHAT THEY WERE TALKING	01:34PM
21	ABOUT IN FEBRUARY, BACK IN 2008?	
22	MR. HELM: SAME OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.	
23	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
24	Q BY MR. MADISON: NOW, YOU WOULD CHAT WITH	
25	PEOPLE AT TCW, EVEN THOUGH YOU ALL WORKED IF WE	01:34PM
26	COULD BRING UP ONE OF THE PHOTOS AGAIN AND I DON'T	
27	THINK WE PUBLISHED THE OTHER PHOTOS. I THINK WE COULD	
28	DO THAT NOW, AS WELL.	

1	SO EVEN THOUGH YOU WOULD YOU WERE	
2	WORKING VERY CLOSE TO EACH OTHER, YOU WOULD CHAT, AS A	
3	FORM OF COMMUNICATING WITH THE PEOPLE THAT WERE WORKING	
4	AROUND YOU, RIGHT?	
5	A YES.	01:35PM
6	Q AND ONE OF THE PERSONS THAT YOU WOULD CHAT	
7	WITH FROM TIME TO TIME WAS MR. MAYBERRY?	
8	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
9	Q NOW, YOU AND MR. MAYBERRY, IN 2009, YOU WERE	
10	GOOD FRIENDS, RIGHT?	01:35PM
11	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
12	Q AND YOU SOCIALIZED TOGETHER AT TIMES, RIGHT?	
13	A YES.	
14	Q PREVIOUSLY, THE PRIOR YEAR, YOU HAD BEEN	
15	DATING, IN A ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP, RIGHT?	01:35PM
16	MR. BRIAN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 352, YOUR	
17	HONOR.	
18	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
19	GO AHEAD.	
20	THE WITNESS: YES.	01:35PM
21	BY MR. MADISON:	
22	Q BUT YOU REMAINED FRIENDS EVEN AFTER YOU WERE	
23	NO LONGER DATING, CORRECT?	
24	A YES.	
25	Q AND DO YOU RECALL, ON DECEMBER 4, 2009,	01:35PM
26	EXCHANGING CHATS WITH THE DEFENDANT, MR. MAYBERRY?	
27	A YES.	
28	Q AND DO YOU WELL, LET ME REFER YOU TO THOSE	

1	IN YOUR BINDER.	
2	I THINK THE FIRST ONE WOULD BE 983.	
3	DO YOU HAVE THAT?	
4	A I DO.	
5	THE COURT: THERE'S NOTHING FOR 983 IN THIS	01:36PM
6	BINDER.	
7	MR. MADISON: PARDON ME, YOUR HONOR?	
8	THE COURT: I HAVE NOTHING FOR 983 IN THIS	
9	BINDER.	
10	DO YOU HAVE IT IN YOUR BOOK? DO YOU	01:36PM
11	HAVE SOMETHING THERE?	
12	THE WITNESS: YEAH, I HAVE IT.	
13	THE COURT: OKAY.	
14	MR. MADISON: MAY WE APPROACH, YOUR HONOR?	
15	THE COURT: IF YOU JUST PASS UP A COPY, I CAN	01:36PM
16	TAKE A LOOK AT IT.	
17	MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR.	
18	THE COURT: THANK YOU.	
19	ALL RIGHT. YOU MAY PROCEED.	
20	Q BY MR. MADISON: EXHIBIT 983 IS ACTUALLY ONE	01:37PM
21	OF THOSE CHATS THAT YOU AND MR. MAYBERRY EXCHANGED ON	
22	DECEMBER 4 OF 2009, CORRECT?	
23	A YES.	
24	MR. MADISON: I'D MOVE IN 983, YOUR HONOR.	
25	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	01:37PM
26	MR. HELM: YES, YOUR HONOR. AT LEAST TO PARTS	
27	OF IT.	

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST A MINUTE.

1	MR. HELM: WITH REDACTIONS WE COULD DO IT.	
2	THE PARTS I READ TO YOUR HONOR THIS MORNING, ON PAGE	
3	THREE OF THAT EXHIBIT.	
4	THE COURT: ON PAGE THREE?	
5	MR. HELM: YES, YOUR HONOR; SECOND HALF.	01:38PM
6	THE COURT: THE OBJECTION WILL BE OVERRULED.	
7	IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	
8		
9	(MARKED FOR ID: PLAINTIFF'S 983.)	
10		01:38PM
11	MR. MADISON: IF WE COULD DISPLAY THEN 983.	
12	AND IF WE GO AND LET ME JUST	
13	Q MS. CODY, IF WE COULD SET THIS UP FOR THE	
14	JURORS, SO THEY CAN SEE WHAT IT IS.	
15	THIS IS A PRINTOUT OF A CHAT THAT YOU	01:38PM
16	HAD WITH MR. MAYBERRY. AND IT'S LIKE A REALTIME	
17	MESSAGING SYSTEM, WHERE YOU ARE SENDING MESSAGES, AND	
18	HE'S RESPONDING, AND SO FORTH AND SO ON, RIGHT?	
19	A YES. IT'S GCHAT ON GMAIL.	
20	Q AND THIS WAS WHILE YOU WERE AT WORK AT TCW,	01:38PM
21	FROM YOUR WORK STATION TO WHEREVER MR. MAYBERRY WAS,	
22	CORRECT?	
23	A CORRECT.	
24	Q DO YOU RECALL THAT MORNING THIS IS, I	
25	BELIEVE WE HAVE THE TIME OVER ON THE TOP THERE. IT	01:38PM
26	SAYS 8:49 A.M. ON FRIDAY.	
27	WERE YOU BOTH AT YOUR DESKS THAT	

MORNING, ON DECEMBER 4TH?

LET ME FIND -- I BELIEVE SO, BUT I CAN'T BE 1 A 2 SURE I WAS AT MY DESK. AND I MEAN, DECEMBER 4TH IS A MEMORABLE DAY TO 3 YOU, RIGHT? 4 5 Α YES. 01:39PM 6 DO YOU REMEMBER THAT THAT AFTERNOON, THERE WAS 0 7 A CONFRONTATION, AND YOU WERE INTERVIEWED, AND PEOPLE 8 WERE PUT ON LEAVE, AND ALL THE REST? 9 Α YES. 10 Q OKAY. 01:39PM SO THIS IS THAT MORNING, AND THIS CHAT 11 12 IS FROM YOUR ACCOUNT; SO YOU ARE THE ONE THAT'S NAMED 13 HERE AS ME, CORRECT? 14 Α YES. Q AND YOUR NICKNAME FOR MR. MAYBERRY, AT LEAST 15 01:39PM 16 ONE THAT YOU USED WHEN CHATTING, WAS AUTOBOT; SO THAT 17 REFERS TO MR. MAYBERRY, CORRECT? 18 THAT'S CORRECT. 19 SO IF WE GO OVER TO THIS SECOND PAGE OF THIS 20 EXHIBIT, WE SEE MR. -- OR RATHER, YOU SAYING AT 01:39PM 21 8:53 A.M. WE START THERE. 22 YOU SAY, "SO SOMETHING IS HAPPENING 23 TODAY -- I JUST DON'T KNOW WHY HE SAID FOR ME TO SAY I 24 KNOW NOTHING. THATS THE WEIRD PART." 25 LET ME JUST STOP THERE. THAT REFERS TO 01:40PM 26 A CONVERSATION YOU HAD HAD WITH ANOTHER SENIOR PERSON 27 AT TCW WHO HAD TOLD YOU NOT TO SAY ANYTHING IF YOU WERE 28 ASKED, RIGHT?

1	A IT REFERS TO AN E-MAIL I RECEIVED FROM A	
2	MR. TOM MCKISSICK.	
3	THE COURT: WHAT WAS THE NAME?	
4	THE WITNESS: TOM MCKISSICK.	
5	THE COURT: OKAY.	01:40PM
6	THE WITNESS: HE WAS THE MANAGING PARTNER IN	
7	OUR EQUITY GROUP, WHICH IS OUTSIDE THE MORTGAGE GROUP.	
8	Q BY MR. MADISON: AND TO THE EFFECT THAT I JUST	
9	DESCRIBED, IF YOU WERE ASKED ABOUT ANYTHING, JUST SAY	
10	YOU DIDN'T KNOW ANYTHING, RIGHT?	01:40PM
11	A THAT WAS IN THE E-MAIL.	
12	Q AND THEN MR. MAYBERRY SAYS, AND CSA JUST ASKED	
13	ME ABOUT PRINTING. HE'S WORRIED ABOUT THEM HAVING	
14	ACCESS TO A SPREADSHEET THAT HE WANTS TO PRINT OUT, AND	
15	HAVING IT COME BACK TO HIM.	01:40PM
16	LET ME JUST STOP. FIRST, CSA IS	
17	MR. SANTA ANA, CORRECT?	
18	A YES.	
19	Q AND SO YOU UNDERSTOOD MR. MAYBERRY TO BE	
20	SAYING TO YOU, MR. SANTA ANA IS WORRIED ABOUT SOMEONE	01:41PM
21	HAVING ACCESS TO A SPREADSHEET THAT MR. SANTA ANA	
22	WANTED TO PRINT OUT, RIGHT?	
23	A YES.	
24	Q AND THE "THEM" WAS TCW, RIGHT?	
25	A YES.	01:41PM
26	Q AND THEN IT SAYS THAT HE WANTS TO PRINT OUT	
27	AND HAVING IT COME BACK TO HIM.	

NOW, WE HEARD TODAY ABOUT A PRO FORMA

1	FOR THE NEW BUSINESS.	
2	DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE SPREADSHEET WAS	
3	THAT MR. MAYBERRY WAS REFERRING TO?	
4	A NO, I DO NOT.	
5	Q OKAY.	01:41PM
6	AND THEN THERE'S A SENTENCE BY	
7	MR. MAYBERRY, "YEAH, BECAUSE JEG HAD BLOOMBERG WHEN I	
8	WAS AT HIS OFFICE"?	
9	AND THAT'S A REFERENCE TO THE FACT THAT	
10	THE BLOOMBERG SUBSCRIPTION FOR MR. GUNDLACH'S	01:41PM
11	SANTA MONICA TCW OFFICE HAD BEEN CANCELED THAT DAY,	
12	RIGHT?	
13	A I DON'T KNOW WHEN IT WAS CANCELED, BUT, YES.	
14	Q BUT EARLIER, YOU AND MR. MAYBERRY HAD BEEN	
15	WONDERING WHY MR. GUNDLACH'S TCW BLOOMBERG SUBSCRIPTION	01:42PM
16	FOR HIS SANTA MONICA TCW OFFICE HAD BEEN CANCELED,	
17	RIGHT?	
18	A I DON'T THINK I WAS REALLY CONCERNED ABOUT IT.	
19	Q YOU DON'T RECALL THAT?	
20	A I DON'T REMEMBER BEING CONCERNED OR	01:42PM
21	Q AND JEG IS MR. GUNDLACH, THAT'S HIS INITIALS,	
22	CORRECT?	
23	A RIGHT.	
24	Q AND YOUR RESPONSE IS, "UHOH, MAYBE THEY ARE	
25	TRACKING OUR CONVERSATIONS ETC E-MAILS."	01:42PM
26	LET ME JUST STOP THERE. SO WHY UH-OH?	
27	A PROBABLY BECAUSE I WAS TALKING RUMORS TO MY	
		ii

FRIEND FIFI, AND CHATTING ABOUT OTHER THINGS, PERSONAL

1	THINGS, THAT I WOULDN'T WANT OTHER PEOPLE READING.	
2	Q WELL, WASN'T IT, MS. CODY, THE FACT THAT YOU	
3	WERE TALKING ABOUT THE PLANS TO GO WORK AT A NEW	
4	BUSINESS FORMED BY MR. GUNDLACH?	
5	A I WAS TELLING FIFI THINGS, YES.	01:43PM
6	Q MY QUESTION IS: THE REASON YOU SAID UH-OH,	
7	WHEN YOU THOUGHT THAT TCW MIGHT BE READING YOUR E-MAILS	
8	OR YOUR CHATS, WAS BECAUSE YOU HAD BEEN TALKING ON	
9	THOSE MEDIA ABOUT THE PLANS TO GO TO A NEW FIRM,	
10	CORRECT?	01:43PM
11	MR. HELM: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. HEARSAY,	
12	RELEVANCE.	
13	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
14	Q BY MR. MADISON: I JUST WANT TO KNOW YOUR	
15	STATE OF MIND, MS. CODY.	01:43PM
16	WHEN YOU SAID UH-OH, WHAT YOU WERE	
17	WORRIED ABOUT WAS TCW FINDING OUT THE THINGS THAT YOU	
18	HAD SAID ABOUT THE NEW FIRM, CORRECT?	
19	MR. HELM: SAME OBJECTION.	
20	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	01:43PM
21	YOU CAN ASK HER WHAT SHE WAS THINKING	
22	ABOUT, BUT YOU CAN'T SUGGEST THE ANSWER.	
23	MR. MADISON: OKAY.	
24	Q YOU TOLD US IT WAS ABOUT PERSONAL THINGS.	
25	DID IT HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE	01:43PM
26	PLANS TO OPEN A NEW FIRM AND LEAVE TCW?	
27	MR. HELM: FOUNDATION, YOUR HONOR.	
2.0	MUE COUDM. OVERDUITED	

THE COURT: OVERRULED.

1	THE WITNESS: YEP.	
2	MR. MADISON: OKAY.	
3	Q AND THEN YOU SAY ILL, OR I-L-L, TALKED TO FIFI	
4	ON BBG CHAT YESTERDAY.	
5	AND WHAT YOU WERE REFERRING TO THERE WAS	01:44PM
6	A CHAT THAT YOU HAD THE DAY BEFORE ON DECEMBER 3RD,	
7	WITH YOUR CO-WORKER FIFI WONG, ABOUT THE SUBJECT OF THE	
8	NEW FIRM.	
9	MR. HELM: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. HEARSAY,	
10	FOUNDATION.	01:44PM
11	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
12	IS THAT WHAT YOU WERE REFERRING TO?	
13	THE WITNESS: YES.	
14	Q BY MR. MADISON: AND MR. MAYBERRY SAYS, "I	
15	THINK OUR GMAILS ARE OKAY, BECAUSE THEY ARE SECURED."	01:44PM
16	AND IF WE GO OVER TO THE NEXT PAGE, OR	
17	TO THE REST OF THAT PAGE, IF IT'S ON IT'S GOING TO	
18	BE DOWN ABOUT HALFWAY, MR. MAYBERRY SAYS, "I THINK OUR	
19	GMAILS ARE OKAY, BECAUSE THEY ARE SECURED."	
20	AND YOU SAID, "YA," AGREEING WITH	01:44PM
21	MR. MAYBERRY, RIGHT?	
22	A YES.	
23	Q AND THEN YOU SAY, "BUT BBG CHAT I SAID	
24	CENTURY CITY 10-YEAR LEASE PROB MARCH AFTER BONUS."	
25	LET ME JUST STOP YOU THERE.	01:45PM
26	WHAT YOU WERE REFERRING TO THERE WAS,	
27	AGAIN, GOING BACK TO YOUR STATEMENT, UH-OH, YOU WERE	

CONCERNED THAT TCW COULD FIND OUT THAT YOU HAD SAID

1	SOMETHING ABOUT A CENTURY CITY 10-YEAR LEASE, AND THAT	
2	SOMETHING WAS GOING TO HAPPEN IN MARCH AFTER BONUSES	
3	WERE PAID?	
4	MR. HELM: OBJECTION. LACKS FOUNDATION,	
5	HEARSAY 352.	01:45PM
6	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
7	THE WITNESS: SORRY. COULD YOU REPEAT IT?	
8	Q BY MR. MADISON: YES.	
9	WHAT YOU WERE CONCERNED ABOUT WAS THAT	
10	TCW WOULD FIND OUT THAT YOU HAD SAID IN A CHAT, THE DAY	01:45PM
11	BEFORE, SOMETHING ABOUT A CENTURY CITY 10-YEAR LEASE,	
12	AND SOMETHING HAPPENING PROBABLY MARCH, AFTER BONUSES,	
13	CORRECT?	
14	A YES.	
15	Q AND TCW DOES PAY BONUSES AT THE END OF	01:45PM
16	FEBRUARY, CORRECT?	
17	A YES.	
18	Q AND WHAT THAT REFERS TO IS, IN YOUR MIND, YOU	
19	BELIEVED THAT THE PLAN WAS TO LEAVE TCW IN MARCH, AFTER	
20	BONUSES WERE PAID, RIGHT?	01:45PM
21	MR. HELM: LACKS FOUNDATION, YOUR HONOR.	
22	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
23	Q BY MR. MADISON: I JUST WANT TO KNOW WHAT WAS	
24	IN YOUR MIND, WHAT IT WAS THAT YOU WERE WORRIED	
25	ABOUT	01:46PM
26	THE COURT: WELL, WHAT'S THE BASIS FOR WHAT'S	
27	IN HER MIND?	

MR. MADISON: I CAN ADDRESS THAT, YOUR HONOR.

1	Q THE BASIS WAS WHAT YOU TOLD US BEFORE, YOU	
2	WERE OVERHEARING CONVERSATIONS WITH MR. GUNDLACH AND	
3	MR. SANTA ANA AND MR. MAYBERRY AND OTHERS, CORRECT?	
4	MR. HELM: IT LACKS FOUNDATION.	
5	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	01:46PM
6	THE WITNESS: YES.	
7	Q BY MR. MADISON: OKAY.	
8	AND YOU WERE TALKING TO MR. MAYBERRY	
9	ABOUT THIS. YOU KNEW THAT HE ALREADY KNEW THESE	
10	THINGS, RIGHT?	01:46PM
11	A NO.	
12	Q SO YOU THOUGHT YOU WERE GIVING HIM NEW	
13	INFORMATION ABOUT THE NEW BUSINESS?	
14	A YES.	
15	Q AND THEN YOU SAID, THAT'S NOT TERRIBLE. I'M	01:46PM
16	SURE OTHERS HAVE SAID SIMILAR.	
17	WHAT OTHERS?	
18	A LIKE I SAID PREVIOUSLY, THERE WERE RUMORS	
19	GOING AROUND THROUGHOUT THE WHOLE COMPANY, AND EVEN	
20	OUTSIDE THE COMPANY.	01:46PM
21	Q OKAY.	
22	AND THEN MR. MAYBERRY, SAYS "NAH, THAT'S	
23	JUST RUMORS."	
24	AND YOU SAID, "YA."	
25	AND HE SAID, "YA PROBABLY." AND THEN HE	01:46PM
26	SAYS, YEAH, IT'S ALL RUMORS.	
27	AND I BELIEVE THAT'S THE END OF THAT	
28	OH, NO, EXCUSE ME. THERE'S ANOTHER PAGE. ONE MORE.	

IF WE GO OVER TO THE NEXT PAGE. 1 2 AND AGAIN, THESE OVERLAP, DON'T THEY, 3 MS. CODY? SO WE'RE SEEING THE SAME WORDS TWICE, 4 BECAUSE OF THE WAY THEY ARE PRINTED OUT, RIGHT? 5 Α YES. 01:47PM Q SO THERE YOU SAY, "YA, IT'S ALL RUMORS, SO YOU 6 7 CANT GET IN TROUBLE FOR THAT." 8 LET ME JUST STOP. DID YOU THINK YOU 9 COULD GET IN TROUBLE IF YOU ACTUALLY HAD KNOWLEDGE 10 ABOUT THE NEW FIRM? 01:47PM 11 A I WAS TALKING ABOUT GETTING IN TROUBLE FOR 12 SPREADING RUMORS AND TALKING TO MY COLLEAGUE ABOUT 13 RUMORS. 14 Q SO YOU THOUGHT, WHEN YOU SAID, YEAH, IT'S ALL 15 RUMORS, SO YOU CAN'T GET IN TROUBLE FOR THAT, YOU MEANT 01:47PM 16 YOU COULDN'T GET IN TROUBLE FOR RUMORS? 17 I'M CONFUSED BY WHAT YOU ARE SAYING. Α 18 WELL, THE REASON YOU WERE SAYING YOU COULDN'T GET IN TROUBLE FOR RUMORS IS, YOU WERE WORRIED THAT YOU 19 20 COULD GET IN TROUBLE BECAUSE YOU ACTUALLY HAD 01:47PM 21 INFORMATION THAT YOU HAD OVERHEARD ABOUT THE NEW FIRM, 22 RIGHT? 23 A NO. 24 I MEAN, YOU KNEW THAT THE PEOPLE THAT YOU HAD 25 OVERHEARD DID NOT WANT TCW TO KNOW WHAT WAS BEING 01:48PM 26 PLANNED, RIGHT? 27 Α NO.

Q AND THEN MR. MAYBERRY SAYS, I DOUBT THEY ARE

1	CHECKING YOURS OR FIFI'S STUFF, MAYBE JEG AND CSA AND	
2	PAB AND I'LL STOP THERE. THAT'S MR. GUNDLACH, JEG,	
3	MR. SANTA ANA, CSA, AND PAB IS PHIL BARACH, ANOTHER	
4	MANAGING PERSON IN YOUR GROUP?	
5	A YES.	01:48PM
6	Q AND HE SAYS, "YA, TOTALLY JUST RUMORS."	
7	AND YOU SAY, "I'M NOT THAT IMPORTANT,	
8	HA."	
9	AND THEN MR. MAYBERRY SAYS, "IF THEY	
10	ONLY KNEW THE TRUTH."	01:48PM
11	AND THE "THEY," AGAIN, IS TCW, RIGHT?	
12	A I DON'T KNOW.	
13	Q NOW, YOU HAD ANOTHER CHAT THAT SAME DAY, LATER	
14	THAT DAY, WITH MR. MAYBERRY.	
15	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	01:48PM
16	A NOT PARTICULARLY. IS IT IN HERE?	
17	Q IT IS. I BELIEVE IT WILL BE THE NEXT EXHIBIT	
18	IN YOUR BINDER.	
19	MR. QUINN: DO YOU HAVE IT, YOUR HONOR?	
20	THE COURT: WHAT NUMBER ARE WE TALKING ABOUT?	01:49PM
21	MR. MADISON: I'M SORRY. IT SHOULD BE 940,	
22	YOUR HONOR.	
23	THE COURT: WE DO HAVE IT.	
24	MR. MADISON: ACTUALLY, YOUR HONOR, FORGIVE	
25	ME.	01:49PM
26	COULD WE JUST GO BACK REAL QUICKLY TO	
27	THAT LAST EXHIBIT? I WANT TO GO BACK TO 983, TO THE	

VERY FIRST PAGE.

```
AND IF WE JUST CAN EXPAND THAT SO WE CAN
 1
 2
     SEE IT.
 3
             AND SEE UP AT THE TOP THERE, IT SAYS, AFTER IT
     SAYS "8:49," MR. MAYBERRY SAYS, "YOU KNOW WHAT ELSE IS
 4
 5
     ODD, YESTERDAY AND TODAY, CSA HAS BEEN GOING TO WORK IN
                                                                01:50PM
    HIS CUBICLE WITH HIS LAPTOP. HE NEVER DOES THAT."
 6
 7
                    AND YOU SAID, "INTERESTING. YA, I'M
 8
     CURIOUS TO SEE WHAT IS GOING ON."
 9
                    WHAT WERE YOU REFERRING TO THERE?
10
             WITH THE "WHAT IS GOING ON"? IS THAT WHAT YOU
         Α
                                                                01:50PM
11
    ARE ASKING?
12
         O THE ENTIRE EXCHANGE THERE.
13
                    LET ME WITHDRAW IT AND ASK YOU THIS:
14
    WAS IT UNUSUAL FOR MR. SANTA ANA TO GO INTO HIS CUBICLE
15
    WITH HIS LAPTOP?
                                                                01:50PM
16
        Α
             YES.
17
             AND THAT'S WHY YOU SAID, "INTERESTING"?
         Q
18
           YES.
         Α
19
         0
           AND DID YOU HAVE ANY INFORMATION THAT
20
    MR. SANTA ANA WAS DOWNLOADING INFORMATION DURING THAT
                                                                01:50PM
21
    TIME?
22
        Α
             NO.
23
             NOW IT SAYS, INTERESTING. YEAH, I'M CURIOUS
24
    TO SEE WHAT'S GOING ON.
25
                    AND MR. MAYBERRY SAYS, YEAH, TAKE NOTES
                                                                01:50PM
26
    FOR ME IF I'M GONE, PLEASE, PRETTY PLEASE.
27
                   LET'S GO TO THE NEXT EXHIBIT THEN,
```

EXHIBIT 940.

1	AND YOU RECOGNIZE THAT TO BE ANOTHER	
2	CHAT THAT YOU AND MR. MAYBERRY HAD LATER ON DECEMBER	
3	4TH?	
4	THE COURT: THIS HAS A DIFFERENT NAME, UNLESS	
5	YOU NEED TO LAY A LITTLE FOUNDATION.	01:51PM
6	Q BY MR. MADISON: WELL, WHEN MR. MAYBERRY WOULD	
7	CHAT WITH YOU FROM HIS ACCOUNT, THERE WAS A NICKNAME	
8	THAT HE WOULD USE IN THE CHATS FOR YOU, CALLED BELUGA,	
9	CORRECT?	
10	A CORRECT.	01:51PM
11	Q AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE CONTENT OF THAT, DO YOU	
12	RECOGNIZE THAT TO BE THE CHAT FROM LATER THAT DAY THAT	
13	YOU AND MR. MAYBERRY HAD?	
14	A YES.	
15	MR. MADISON: I'D MOVE EXHIBIT 940, YOUR	01:51PM
16	HONOR.	
17	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	
18	MR. HELM: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.	
19	THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	
20		01:52PM
21	(MARKED FOR ID: PLAINTIFF'S 940.)	
22		
23	THE COURT: WHAT IS THE DATE OF THIS?	
24	MR. MADISON: IT'S DECEMBER 4TH, 2009, YOUR	
25	HONOR.	01:52PM
26	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.	
27	Q BY MR. MADISON: OKAY.	
28	AND AGAIN, THIS APPEARS IN A SLIGHTLY	

```
DIFFERENT FORMAT, SO WE'LL EXPAND THIS SO WE CAN SEE ON
 1
 2
     THE FIRST PAGE.
 3
                    AND YOU MAKE A COMMENT THERE --
 4
              MR. MADISON: AND IF WE CAN MOVE THAT OBJECT
 5
    OUT OF THE WAY. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S POSSIBLE.
                                                                01:52PM
 6
        Q WELL, IT SAYS, MR. MAYBERRY SAYS, "IM UPDATED
    MY STUFF, THEN IM GOING" -- AND IN THE HARD COPY IT
 7
 8
     SAYS -- YOU HAVE THE HARD COPY IN FRONT OF YOU,
 9
    CORRECT?
10
        A I DO.
                                                                01:52PM
        Q IN THE HARD COPY IT SAYS, "THEN I'M GOING TO
11
12
     GO TO MY CAR BEFORE LUNCH AND LEAVE MY FLASH DRIVE IN
13
    THERE.
14
                    DO YOU NEED A DRIVE? I HAVE TWO."
15
                    LET ME JUST STOP THERE.
                                                                01:53PM
16
                    SO DID YOU KNOW WHAT FLASH DRIVE
17
    MR. MAYBERRY WAS REFERRING TO?
18
             NO.
        Α
19
        O DID YOU KNOW WHAT -- WHY HE WAS ASKING YOU IF
20
    YOU NEEDED A DRIVE?
                                                                01:53PM
21
             HE WAS ASKING ME SO IF I NEEDED TO DOWNLOAD
22
    THINGS TO THE DRIVE.
23
       O OKAY.
24
                    AND SO HAD YOU AND HE BEEN DOWNLOADING
25
    THINGS TOGETHER BEFORE THIS?
                                                                01:53PM
26
        Α
             NO.
27
        Q SO JUST OUT THE BLUE, HE SAID, DO YOU NEED A
```

DRIVE?

1 Α YES. 2 YOU DON'T KNOW ANY REASON WHY HE WOULD SAY 3 THAT AT THAT TIME? WELL, I BELIEVE HE SAID IT -- I DON'T KNOW 4 5 WHY. I CAN'T SAY FOR HIM. BUT I BELIEVE HE SAID IT 01:53PM 6 BECAUSE AFTER I RECEIVED THAT E-MAIL FROM MR. MCKISSICK 7 ABOUT SOMETHING GOING ON, I THINK HE BEGAN TO DOWNLOAD 8 THINGS ONTO A FLASH DRIVE. 9 YOU THOUGHT THAT'S WHEN MR. MAYBERRY BEGAN TO 0 10 DOWNLOAD THINGS? 01:53PM 11 I BELIEVE SO. Α 12 WELL, WE'LL ASK MR. MAYBERRY ABOUT THAT. 0 13 BUT MY OUESTION FOR YOU IS: YOU THEN RESPOND, "CAN THEY SEE THAT WE DO THAT"? 14 15 AND AGAIN, THE "THEY" IS TCW, CORRECT? 01:54PM 16 Α THAT'S CORRECT. 17 SO YOU ARE TALKING TO MR. MAYBERRY ABOUT 18 DOWNLOADING THINGS, AND YOU WANT TO KNOW IF TCW CAN SEE 19 IF YOU ARE DOING IT? 20 THAT'S CORRECT. 01:54PM AND THEN HE SAYS, "I COPY TO MY HARD DRIVE, 21 22 THEN THE FLASH DRIVE." GO OVER TO THE NEXT PAGE --"SHOULD BE OKAY. I JUST WOULDN'T E-MAIL MYSELF" -- "I 23 24 COPY TO MY HARD DRIVE, THEN THE FLASH DRIVE. SHOULD BE 25 OKAY. I JUST WOULDN'T E-MAIL MYSELF BUT THAT MIGHT BE 01:54PM 26 OKAY IF IT WAS PERSONAL." 27 AND YOU SAID "I DID THAT" -- "I DID BUT

THAT WAS LIKE SIX WEEKS AGO."

1	SO IF YOU JUST BEGAN DOWNLOADING AFTER	
2	THAT EARLIER E-MAIL, WHAT WERE YOU REFERRING TO HERE	
3	ABOUT SIX WEEKS AGO?	
4	A WELL, I DIDN'T DOWNLOAD AFTER THAT EARLIER	
5	E-MAIL, TO BEGIN.	01:55PM
6	AND THE SIX WEEKS AGO COMMENT WAS	
7	REFERRING TO E-MAILING MYSELF. PERSONAL E-MAILS FROM	
8	I HAD A PERSONAL FILE ON MY COMPUTER THAT CONTAINED	
9	JOKES, PICTURES, FUNNY STORIES; SO I E-MAIL MYSELF ALL	
10	OF THE PERSONAL FILES.	01:55PM
11	Q SO YOU WERE JUST THINKING ABOUT PERSONAL	
12	THINGS THAT YOU MIGHT WANT, OR PERSONAL THINGS THAT YOU	
13	HAD E-MAILED TO YOURSELF, AT THE TIME OF THIS CHAT?	
14	A YES.	
15	Q IF WE GO OVER TO THE NEXT PAGE, THEN, IT SAYS,	01:55PM
16	MR. MAYBERRY SAYS, "YA THAT'LL BE FINE. HA."	
17	AND THEN YOU SAY, "YA."	
18	AND THEN HE SAYS, "NEED ANYTHING SAVED	
19	THATS ON THE G DRIVE?"	
20	AND YOU SAY, "COMMENTARIES I GUESS. I	01:55PM
21	MEAN NOTHING VITAL, BUT YA THAT WOULD BE GOO"?	
22	BUT IT LOOKS LIKE YOU MEANT GOOD,	
23	PERHAPS?	
24	A YES.	
25	Q SO YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT DOWNLOADING PERSONAL	01:55PM
26	THINGS BEFORE; BUT NOW YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT	
27	DOWNLOADING THE COMMENTARIES YOU PREPARED AT TCW?	
0.0		

I WAS TALKING ABOUT E-MAILING MYSELF PERSONAL

1	E-MAILS BEFORE.	
2	Q OKAY.	
3	SO WHEN HE SAID, NEED ANYTHING SAVED	
4	THAT'S ON THE G DRIVE, AND YOU SAID, COMMENTARIES, I	
5	GUESS, YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT E-MAILING?	01:56PM
6	A NO. YOU WERE MISTAKEN IN YOUR QUESTION.	
7	SIX WEEKS PRIOR, I HAD E-MAILED MYSELF	
8	PERSONAL E-MAILS.	
9	THIS IS SPEAKING TO THE G-DRIVE AND	
10	COMMENTARIES. IT'S DIFFERENT THAN WHAT WE WERE	01:56PM
11	REFERRING TO SIX WEEKS AGO.	
12	Q SO YOU WERE ASKING HIM TO SAVE COMMENTARIES	
13	FOR YOU ON THE G-DRIVE?	
14	A I DID.	
15	Q AND THEN YOU SAID "NOTHING VITAL."	01:56PM
16	NOTHING VITAL TO WHAT?	
17	A THERE WAS NOTHING VITAL TO BE SAVED IN THE	
18	G-DRIVE.	
19	Q VITAL TO BE SAVED FOR WHAT REASON?	
20	A NOTHING IMPORTANT, THAT COULDN'T BE FOUND ON	01:56PM
21	THE TCW WEBSITE.	
22	Q WEREN'T YOU TALKING ABOUT WHETHER YOU NEEDED	
23	ANYTHING VITAL, IN CASE YOU WOULD BE LEAVING TCW, AND	
24	THAT YOU WOULD USE AT THE NEW FIRM?	
25	A YES. YES.	01:56PM
26	Q YOU WERE.	
27	AND YOU DIDN'T HAVE PERMISSION FROM TCW	

TO COPY OR DOWNLOAD ANYTHING TO BE USED AT ANY OTHER

```
COMPANY, DID YOU, MA'AM?
 1
 2
         Α
           NO.
 3
             AND IF WE GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE, WE CAN SEE
     THAT IT SAYS, HE SAYS, "JUST EVERYTHING IN RACHEL?
 4
    HA."
 5
                                                                 01:57PM
                    AND YOU SAY "HA, SURE, WHY NOT".
 6
 7
                    RACHEL WAS A DIRECTORY OF INFORMATION
     THAT YOU KEPT?
 8
 9
             IT WAS A FOLDER IN THAT G-DRIVE, OR FILE. I'M
10
    NOT SURE WHICH WAY TO PUT IT.
                                                                 01:57PM
         Q AND THEN HE SAYS, "YA, OKAY".
11
                    AND KEEP GOING. YOU SAY "HA, SURE, WHY
12
13
    NOT."
14
                    AND HE SAYS, "YA, OKAY."
15
                    YOU SAY, "OH I GUESS WE AREN'T BEING
                                                                 01:57PM
16
    FIRED, HA."
17
                    SO -- PARDON ME. HE SAYS, "OH, I GUESS
18
    WE AREN'T BEING FIRED, HA.
                    AND YOU SAY, "WHY"?
19
              I DON'T SEE THAT. WHAT PAGE IS IT?
20
                                                                 01:58PM
21
              ON YOUR EXHIBIT, IT SHOULD BE PAGE 7 -- EXCUSE
22
    ME. ONE SECOND.
23
              THE COURT: NO, THAT ISN'T IT.
24
              MR. MADISON: PAGE 8, EXCUSE ME.
25
             DO YOU SEE IT NOW?
         Q
                                                                 01:58PM
26
             I DO.
         Α
27
         0
           OKAY.
```

SO MR. MAYBERRY SAYS, "I GUESS WE AREN'T

1	BEING FIRED, HA." AND YOU SAY, "WHY"?	
2	AND I THINK A BIG PART OF THE PROBLEM,	
3	YOUR HONOR, IS THESE MIGHT BE A LITTLE BIT OUT OF	
4	ORDER, IN THE SEQUENCE THE WAY THEY WERE COPIED. BUT	
5	LET'S JUST GO TO THE NEXT LINE.	01:58PM
6	THE COURT: THAT'S THE LAST PAGE WE HAVE HERE,	
7	19-8?	
8	MR. MADISON: AGAIN, I THINK IF YOU READ THE	
9	TEXT, YOUR HONOR, YOU WILL SEE THAT WELL, THIS IS	
10	PAGE SIX.	01:59PM
11	Q LET ME ASK YOU ABOUT WHAT IS ON THIS PAGE.	
12	IT SAYS YOU SAY, "OK, SO WHAT DOES	
13	THAT MEAN"?	
14	AND HE SAYS "THAT THEY HAVE NO KNOWLEDGE	
15	OF US LEAVING."	01:59PM
16	LET ME JUST STOP THERE.	
17	DID YOU KNOW WHAT MR. MAYBERRY WAS	
18	REFERRING TO WHEN HE SAID "NO KNOWLEDGE OF US LEAVING"?	
19	A CAN YOU ASK THAT AGAIN?	
20	Q YES.	01:59PM
21	HE SAID, "THEY HAVE NO KNOWLEDGE OF US	
22	LEAVING."	
23	THAT WAS THE MBS GROUP LEAVING TO GO TO	
24	THE NEW FIRM THAT MR. GUNDLACH HAD FORMED TO COMPETE	
25	WITH TCW, WASN'T IT?	01:59PM
26	MR. HELM: YOUR HONOR, I'M A LITTLE CONFUSED	
27	ABOUT WHERE	
0.0		

THE COURT: WE'RE LOOKING AT TX940-6, AND IT

ALSO HAS A NOTATION THAT SAYS 19-6. 1 2 MR. HELM: I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHAT COMES 3 BEFORE, "SO WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?" IS THAT ON THE PRIOR 4 PAGE? I DON'T SEE IT THERE. 5 THE COURT: IT MAY BE OUT OF ORDER, BUT THIS 01:59PM IS A CHAT IN WHICH "ME" IS MR. MAYBERRY AND "BELUGA" IS 6 7 MS. CODY. 8 MR. HELM: I'M JUST TRYING TO UNDERSTAND, WHAT 9 DOES THAT MEAN, WHAT THE REFERENCE IS. AND I'M HAVING 10 A LITTLE TROUBLE FIGURING IT OUT. 02:00PM 11 MR. MADISON: WELL, IF YOU GO BACK. 12 USE THE PAGES IN YOUR BOOK THERE, MS. CODY. 13 YOU WILL FIND ON PAGE 8 IT SAYS, "OH, I GUESS WE AREN'T 14 BEING FIRED, HA." 15 AND YOU SAY, "WHY". 02:00PM 16 AND THEN THERE'S A STATEMENT, "TCW HAD 17 TO SIGN SOMETHING TWO WEEKS AGO FOR THE UST THAT SAID 18 THERE'S NOTHING THAT WOULD HURT US FROM MANAGING THE 19 P". AND THEN --20 THE COURT: WHAT ARE YOU TELLING US? WHAT'S 02:00PM 21 THE NEXT PAGE AFTER THAT? 22 MR. MADISON: WELL, THE QUESTION I WANT TO 23 ASK IS ABOUT MR. MAYBERRY'S STATEMENT ABOUT US LEAVING. 24 IT'S JUST ABOUT THAT STATEMENT. 25 Q IF WE GO TO PAGE 6, IT SAYS, "THEY HAVE NO 02:00PM

AND YOU SAY, "TCW? INTERESTING. WHO

NO PLANS OF GETTING RID OF THE MORTGAGE GROUP".

KNOWLEDGE OF US LEAVING OR AS OF TWO WEEKS AGO THEY HAD

26

27

1	KNOWS WHAT THIS IS ALL ABOUT"?	
2	SO DID YOU UNDERSTAND MR. MAYBERRY TO BE	
3	REFERRING TO THE PART ABOUT THEY HAVE NO KNOWLEDGE OF	
4	US LEAVING, WAS TCW, MUST NOT KNOW THAT WE ARE ALL	
5	PLANNING ON LEAVE TO GO TO THIS NEW FIRM?	02:01PM
6	A YES.	
7	Q AND YOU, IN FACT, HAD BEEN TELLING	
8	THE COURT: MR. MADISON.	
9	MR. MADISON: I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR. NOW IS A	
10	GOOD TIME?	02:01PM
11	THE COURT: WE'RE GOING TO RECESS.	
12	MS. CODY, I'LL SEE YOU BACK 8:30 MONDAY	
13	MORNING.	
14	THANK YOU VERY MUCH.	
15	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.	02:01PM
16	THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE'RE GOING	
17	TO RECESS FOR THE DAY.	
18	PLEASE RECALL MY EARLIER ADMONITION.	
19	YOU ARE NOT TO DISCUSS THE CASE AMONG YOURSELVES OR	
20	WITH ANYONE ELSE, OR FORM ANY OPINIONS OR CONCLUSIONS	02:01PM
21	CONCERNING ANY ASPECT OF THIS CASE UNTIL YOU HAVE HEARD	
22	ALL OF THE EVIDENCE, AND IT'S BEEN SUBMITTED TO YOU.	
23	WE'LL RECONVENE AT 8:30 ON MONDAY	
24	MORNING.	
25	MS. PIEDRA IS GOING TO ASK YOU I	02:01PM
26	UNDERSTAND THAT 8:30 TO 2:00 IS A LONG HAUL, AND I	
27	THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE HAVE SOME SNACKS FOR YOU,	
28	AND SOME WATER, AND DIFFERENT THINGS, SO YOU DON'T HAVE	

1	TO RUN DOWNSTAIRS. WE HAVE 20-MINUTE BREAKS.	
2	SO IF THERE'S SOMETHING PARTICULAR YOU	
3	WOULD LIKE AS JUST A SNACK AT THE BREAKS, THE 20-MINUTE	
4	BREAKS, YOU LET MS. PIEDRA KNOW, AND WE'LL SEE WHAT WE	
5	CAN DO TO HAVE IT IN THE ROOM WE RESERVED FOR YOU	02:02PM
6	ACROSS THE HALL.	
7	SO HAVE A NICE WEEKEND. WE'LL SEE YOU	
8	MONDAY.	
9	LEAVE YOUR NOTEBOOKS ON YOUR SEATS.	
10		02:02PM
11	(AT 2:02 P.M. THE JURY WAS	
12	EXCUSED, AND THE FOLLOWING	
13	PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:)	
14		
15	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'RE OUT OF THE	02:03PM
16	PRESENCE OF THE JURY.	
17	MR. BRIAN, DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING?	
18	MR. BRIAN: I JUST WANTED TO PUT ON THE	
19	RECORD, YOUR HONOR, MR. QUINN AND I HAD TALKED, AND	
20	WE'D AGREED THAT THERE WOULD NOT BE A WITNESS EXCLUSION	02:03PM
21	ORDER IN THIS CASE. WE BOTH DECIDED IT'S PROBABLY	
22	EASIER TO MANAGE IT WITHOUT IT.	
23	AND I JUST WANTED TO PUT THAT ON THE	
24	RECORD AND GET HIS AGREEMENT.	
25	MR. QUINN: YES.	02:03PM
26	THE COURT: THERE HAD PREVIOUSLY BEEN A MOTION	
27	IN LIMINE; I THINK I SAID I'D GO ALONG WITH THAT, SO	
28	NOW WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE A WITNESS EXCLUSION ORDER?	

MR. BRIAN: WE'RE NOT, YOUR HONOR. IT'S 1 2 EASIER TO MANAGE WITHOUT IT, FRANKLY. 3 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. MADISON: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE ONE THING, 4 5 ALSO, WHEN YOU HAVE A MOMENT. 02:03PM THE COURT: MR. MADISON? 6 7 MR. MADISON: MINE IS JUST ARTS AND CRAFTS. 8 WOULD YOU LIKE US, EVERY AFTERNOON, TO 9 MEET WITH MR. SABALBURO TO CONFIRM WHAT'S IN EVIDENCE 10 AND WHAT IS NOT, OR WOULD YOU LIKE US TO CONFIRM IT IN 02:03PM 11 SOME OTHER WAY? WE'RE GOING TO HAVE QUITE A FEW 12 EXHIBITS. 13 THE COURT: YEAH. AND WE'RE NOTING IT AS THEY 14 ARE ADMITTED. 15 I WOULD SAY YOU NEED NOT MEET EVERY 02:04PM 16 AFTERNOON; BUT IF YOU WANT TO GIVE US AN EXHIBIT 17 SUMMARY AT THE BEGINNING OF EVERY MORNING, WE'LL MATCH 18 IT UP. 19 ARE YOU MAINTAINING THE EXHIBIT LIST ON 20 THE COMPUTER? 02:04PM 21 THE CLERK: YES. 22 THE COURT: WE COULD ALSO POST THE COURT'S 23 EXHIBIT LIST AT THE END OF EACH DAY, AND THEN YOU CAN 24 COMPARE IT; AND IF WE'VE MISSED SOMETHING, YOU LET US 25 KNOW. 02:04PM 26 I THINK THAT'S THE EASIEST WAY. WE'LL 27 SERVE OUR LIST ON LEXISNEXIS EVERY DAY, AT THE END OF

THE DAY, IF THERE'S SOMETHING MISSING, LET US KNOW.

1	MR. MADISON: WE SHOULD MAKE IT EASIER FOR	
2	YOU. I DIDN'T MEAN THAT MR. SABALBURO SHOULD MAKES IT	
3	EASY FOR US.	
4	THE COURT: WELL, WE MAINTAIN IT.	
5	MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR.	02:04PM
6	THE COURT: ANY OTHER MATTERS?	02.04FM
7	I WOULD LIKE SOME LEVEL OF COOPERATION	
8	HERE. I WAS SERIOUS ABOUT IT THAT THE 8:30 TO 2:00 IS	
9	A LONG STRETCH. WE ONLY HAVE TWO 20-MINUTE BREAKS.	
10	IT WOULD BE NICE IF YOU COULD WORK	02:04PM
11	TOGETHER TO BRING IN A COUPLE OF CASES OF BOTTLED	
12	WATER, AND MAYBE SOME MISCELLANEOUS SNACKS. I SUGGEST	
13	YOU BRING THEM TO US, AND THEN WE WILL MAKE THEM	
14	AVAILABLE TO THE JURORS IN THE ROOM WE'VE RESERVED FOR	
15	THEM ACROSS THE HALL.	02:05PM
16	MR. QUINN: BEERS ON THURSDAYS?	
17	THE COURT: DONUTS ON THURSDAYS.	
18	MR. QUINN: SHOULD WE ASK THEM WHAT THEY'D	
19	LIKE?	
20	THE COURT: WELL, WE'RE CHECKING ON THAT.	02:05PM
21	MR. QUINN: OKAY.	
22	THE COURT: I ASKED CYNTHIA TO CHECK WITH THEM	
23	AS THEY LEFT, SO IF THEY GIVE US ANYTHING IN PARTICULAR	
24	I'M JUST THINKING GRANOLA BARS AND BOTTLED WATER,	
25	SOMETHING SIMPLE SO THEY DON'T HAVE TO GO DOWNSTAIRS	02:05PM
26	AND WAIT IN LINE. DO WHATEVER. YOU ALL HAVE PLENTY OF	
27	BOTTLED WATER.	

MR. BRIAN: I AM HAPPY TO DO IT.

1	I WAS HOPING THAT MR. QUINN MIGHT BRING	
2	ONE OF THOSE HUNDRED-MILLION-DOLLAR BOTTLES OF WINE.	
3	MR. MADISON: YOUR HONOR, JUST TIME	
4	MANAGEMENT, IN TERMS OF THE CHESS CLOCK?	
5	THE COURT: THE COURT REPORTERS ARE	02:05PM
6	TIMESTAMPING THE WITNESSES, SO YOU WILL GET THE	
7	TRANSCRIPTS ON A DAILY BASIS. YOU MAY PREPARE, AND	
8	EACH SIDE CAN DO IT, AND WE'LL SEE IF THEY HAPPEN TO	
9	COME OUT THE SAME. THEY SHOULD.	
10	YOUR OWN LOG, AND SUBMIT IT TO ME EVERY	02:05PM
11	COUPLE OF DAYS, AND WE'LL JUST COMPARE IT.	
12	MR. QUINN: DOES THE STAMP APPEAR ONLY AT THE	
13	BEGINNING AND THE END OF THE EXAM OR IS IT GOING TO BE	
14	ON EVERY PAGE OF THE TRANSCRIPT?	
15	THE REPORTER: THE TIME GETS STAMPED EVERY 5TH	02:06PM
16	LINE.	
17	THE COURT: I WILL SAY WE CAN GO OFF THE	
18	RECORD, AND WE'RE FINISHED.	
19		
20	(AT 2:02 P.M. AN ADJOURNMENT	
21	WAS TAKEN UNTIL MONDAY,	
22	AUGUST 1, AT 8:30 A.M.)	
23		
24	(THE NEXT PAGE NUMBER IS 601.)	
25		
26		
27		
28		

THURSDAY, JULY 28, 2011  INDEX OF WITNESSES  LEGEND: M = MR. MADISON B = MR. BRIAN  PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS  CODY, RACHEL 514-M  DEFENSE WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS  (NONE WERE PRESENTED IN THIS VOLUME)	
INDEX OF WITNESSES  LEGEND: M = MR. MADISON	I N D E X
LEGEND: M = MR. MADISON B = MR. BRIAN  PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS  CODY, RACHEL  DEFENSE WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS	THURSDAY, JULY 28, 2011
LEGEND: M = MR. MADISON B = MR. BRIAN  PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS  CODY, RACHEL  DEFENSE WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS	
LEGEND: M = MR. MADISON B = MR. BRIAN  PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS  CODY, RACHEL  DEFENSE WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS	
B = MR. BRIAN  PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:  DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS  CODY, RACHEL  DEFENSE WITNESSES:  DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS  DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS	INDEX OF WITNESSES
B = MR. BRIAN  PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:  DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS  CODY, RACHEL  DEFENSE WITNESSES:  DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS  DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS	
PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS  CODY, RACHEL 514-M  DEFENSE WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS	
WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS  CODY, RACHEL 514-M  DEFENSE WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS	
CODY, RACHEL 514-M  DEFENSE WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS	
DEFENSE WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS	
WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS	
WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS	
WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS	
WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS	DEFENSE
(NONE WERE PRESENTED IN THIS VOLUME)	
	(NONE WERE PRESENTED IN THIS VOLUME)

millinanav	INDEX		
THURSDAY, JULY 28, 2011			
	EXHIBITS		
PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS	FOR I.D.	IN EVD	WITHDRAWN
983 - PRINTOUT OF CHAT	537		
940 - PRINTOUT OF CHAT	547		
DEFENSE EXHIBITS	FOR I.D.	IN EVD	WITHDRAWN
(NONE WERE PRESENTED IN	THIS VOLU	ME.)	
	THIS VOLU	ME.)	