1	CASE NUMBER:	BC 429385	
2	CASE NAME:	TCW VS. GUNDLACH	
3	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	AUGUST 17, 2011	
4	DEPARTMENT 322	HON. CARL J. WEST, JUDGE	
5	APPEARANCES:	(AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.)	
6	REPORTER:	RAQUEL A. RODRIGUEZ, CSR	
7	TIME:	A SESSION; 8:30 A.M.	
8			
9		-0	
10			
11	(FOLLOWING PROCEEDING	GS WERE HELD IN CHAMBERS.)	
12			
13	THE COURT: WE'	RE GOING TO GO ON THE RECORD IN	
14	THE TCW GUNDLACH MATTER.	WE'RE OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF	
15	THE JURY, IN CHAMBERS, W	ITH COUNSEL AND WITH	08:26AM
16	MR. GUNDLACH PRESENT.		
17	PLEASE RE	CALL, SIR, YOU HAVE BEEN	
18	PREVIOUSLY SWORN, AND YO	U ARE STILL UNDER OATH.	
19	IT HAS CO	ME TO THE COURT'S ATTENTION	
20	THAT YOU HAD A CONTACT W	ITH ONE OR MORE JURORS	08:26AM
21	YESTERDAY.		
22	CAN YOU E	XPLAIN WHEN THAT HAPPENED,	
23	WHERE IT HAPPENED, AND T	ELL US WHAT, IF ANYTHING, WAS	
24	THE EXTENT OF ANY INTERA	CTION BETWEEN YOU AND ANY	
25	JUROR?		08:26AM
26	THE WITNESS: Y	ES. IT WAS AFTER COURT	
27	YESTERDAY. FEW MINUTES A	AFTER THE COURT ENDED, I WENT	

TO THE BATHROOM.

1	AND THEN I WAS GOING TO LEAVE, WENT IN	
2	THE ELEVATOR. I LOOKED UP AND SAID, OH, THERE'S TWO	
3	JURORS IN THE ELEVATOR WITH ME.	
4	I HIT 12. I WAS GOING DOWN TO THE BREAK	
5	ROOM. IT STARTS GOING DOWN, AND I LEANED AGAINST THE	08:26AM
6	ELEVATOR, AND ONE OF THE JURORS SAID TO ME, IT MUST BE	
7	TOUGH GETTING THROUGH ALL THIS.	
8	AND I SAID, IT MUST BE TOUGH SITTING	
9	THROUGH ALL THESE DAYS.	
10	THE COURT: WAS THERE ANY OTHER CONVERSATION	08:26AM
11	BETWEEN YOU AND THE JUROR?	
12	THE WITNESS: NO.	
13	THE COURT: CAN YOU IDENTIFY THE JURORS? CAN	
14	YOU TELL US WHO THEY WERE?	
15	THE WITNESS: ONE'S THE FELLOW WITH THE LONG	08:27AM
16	HAIR, THE LONG HAIR, I THINK HE HAS GLASSES.	
17	THE COURT: JUROR EIGHT.	
18	THE WITNESS: AND THE FELLOW THAT SITS NEXT TO	
19	CLOSEST TO THE BOX.	
20	THE COURT: IN THE CORNER?	08:27AM
21	THE WITNESS: THE FELLOW IN THE CORNER DIDN'T	
22	SAY A WORD.	
23	THE COURT: THE STATEMENT WAS MADE BY JUROR	
24	NO. 8?	
25	THE WITNESS: WITH THE LONG HAIR.	08:27AM
26	THE COURT: WITH THE LONGER HAIR?	
27	THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT.	

THE COURT: I DON'T HAVE MY JUROR LIST.

MR. MADISON: JUROR 8, AND MICHAEL POWELL. 1 2 AND JUROR NO. 7 IS BUSTOS. 3 THE WITNESS: I WENT IN THE BREAK ROOM, AND I 4 SAID TO ONE OF THE MUNGER LAWYERS, I SAID, HEY, I JUST 5 RAN INTO A JUROR IN THE ELEVATOR AND --08:27AM 6 MR. BRIAN: THAT'S ENOUGH. 7 THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW THAT -- WE DON'T WANT TO KNOW WHAT YOU TOLD YOUR LAWYERS. 8 9 THE WITNESS: THAT'S ALL I SAID. 10 THE COURT: YOU UNDERSTAND THE CONCERN. AS I 08:27AM 11 SAID AT THE BEGINNING OF THE TRIAL, YOU MAY OR MAY NOT 12 HAVE BEEN PRESENT. EVEN THE MOST INNOCUOUS ENCOUNTER 1.3 SEEN FROM A DISTANCE CAN APPEAR INAPPROPRIATE. 14 THE WITNESS: YES. 15 THE COURT: THAT'S WHY WE BROUGHT YOU IN. 08:27AM 16 THE WITNESS: I WASN'T GOING TO SAY ANYTHING 17 TO HIM, BUT -- THESE GUYS SAY I'M THE MEANEST WEIRDO IN 18 THE WORLD -- I DON'T WANT TO STONEWALL THE GUY. 19 THE COURT: YOU DON'T NEED TO GO THERE. 20 AT THE END OF THE DAY, LAWYERS, 08:28AM 21 WITNESSES, PARTIES -- EVERYBODY -- HAVE TO JUST SAY 22 NOTHING. 23 THE WITNESS: YES. THE COURT: YOU CAN'T HAVE ANY ENCOUNTER WITH 24 25 A JUROR. I'VE TOLD THE JURORS THAT, AND I MADE THE 08:28AM 26 COMMENT EARLIER, THAT THEY SHOULDN'T BELIEVE SOMEONE'S 2.7 BEING RUDE OR IN ANY WAY, BY THE FACT THEY DON'T

28

RESPOND.

1	I'M GOING TO ADMONISH THE JUROR AGAIN	
2	THIS MORNING ON THIS ISSUE. AND WE JUST HAVE TO BE	
3	CAREFUL ABOUT IT.	
4	THE WITNESS: I UNDERSTAND.	
5	MR. MADISON: MAY I INQUIRE OR ASK YOUR HONOR,	08:28AM
6	WAS ANYONE ELSE IN THE ELEVATOR?	
7	THE COURT: WAS THERE ANY OTHER PEOPLE IN THE	
8	ELEVATOR BESIDES THE TWO JURORS?	
9	THE WITNESS: NO.	
10	THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE?	08:28AM
11	MR. BRIAN: I THINK THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT,	
12	YOUR HONOR, WE BROUGHT THIS TO THE ATTENTION OF	
13	OPPOSING COUNSEL AND DISCLOSED IT TO THE COURT THIS	
14	MORNING.	
15	THE COURT: THE MATTER WAS BROUGHT UP BY THE	08:28AM
16	DEFENSE.	
17	AND WE JUST WANT TO CLARIFY THE EXTENT	
18	OF THE CONTACT. I WILL SAY AT THIS JUNCTURE, IT	
19	APPEARS IT WAS NOT AN INAPPROPRIATE CONTACT. I DON'T	
20	SEE ANY PREJUDICE COMING FROM IT, OR ANY EFFECT OF THE	08:29AM
21	CONTACT; BUT IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE KNOW EXACTLY WHAT	
22	WENT ON.	
23	THE WITNESS: YES.	
24	THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.	
25	MR. HELM: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	08:29AM
26	THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE YOU'D LIKE ON THE	
27	RECORD?	

MR. MADISON: I THINK THE QUESTION -- WHAT I

WROTE DOWN QUICKLY, AND SHE WOULD HAVE IT BETTER THAN 1 2 I, THE JUROR SAID, I FEEL BAD FOR YOU BEING PUT THROUGH 3 THIS. THAT'S A VERY TROUBLING STATEMENT. AND I THINK YOU SHOULD ASK MR. POWELL, YOU KNOW BRING HIM INTO 4 5 CHAMBERS AND ASK HIM WHAT HE MEANT BY THAT. 08:29AM I FEEL BAD YOU'VE BEEN SUED AND HAD TO 6 BE PUT THROUGH THIS LITIGATION, THAT WOULD CLEARLY 7 8 INDICATE HE'S FORMED AN OPINION ABOUT THE CASE, AND 9 SHOULD BE RELEASED. 10 MR. BRIAN: I DON'T THINK SO, YOUR HONOR. I 08:29AM 11 THINK THE CONTEXT IS, HE'S BEEN ON STAND FOR 2-1/2 OR 12 THREE DAYS. AND THE CONTEXT OF THE CONFESSION, IF IT 1.3 IS YOU'RE BEING PUT THROUGH THE QUESTIONING, NOT A 14 SYMPATHETIC OF A LAWSUIT OR FORMING ANY SIDES OF 15 ANYTHING. 08:30AM 16 MR. MADISON: WHAT IF I'M RIGHT AND BRAD'S 17 WRONG? SORRY. 18 MR. BRIAN: IT'S AN AWKWARD SITUATION. 19 THE PROBLEM WITH BRINGING THE JUROR IN, 20 IT SIGNALS TO THE JURORS HE'S DONE SOMETHING WRONG. 08:30AM 21 AND I THINK A GENERAL ADMONITION TO ALL THE JURORS 22 ABOUT CONTACT WITH THE PARTIES, COUNSEL OR ANYONE, OR 23 READING IN THE MEDIA, IS APPROPRIATE. 24 I CONTINUE TO BE VERY WORRIED ABOUT ALL 25 THE PRESS, THE CONVERSATIONS THAT ARE GOING OUTSIDE 08:30AM 26 RIGHT OUTSIDE THE DOORS BY COUNSEL AND PARTIES WITH THE

IT'S A BIGGER ISSUE THAN THIS. I DON'T

2.7

28

PRESS.

LIKE THE FACT THAT THE CONVERSATION TOOK PLACE, BUT I 1 2 DON'T THINK SINGLING OUT THE INDIVIDUAL IS THE WAY TO 3 GO. 4 MR. MADISON: YOUR HONOR. 5 MR. OUINN: HE IS THE INDIVIDUAL WHO MADE THE 08:30AM 6 REMARK. IT'S SUBJECT TO DIFFERENT INTERPRETATIONS. 7 WHY NOT FIND OUT? OTHERWISE, WE CAN 8 BOTH ARGUE AN INTERPRETATION OF WHAT HE SAID. 9 THE COURT: I'LL CONSIDER THIS. I WANT TO 10 LOOK INTO THE APPROPRIATENESS OF INQUIRY AND THE NEED 08:30AM 11 FOR INQUIRY. AND I'LL TRY TO HAVE THIS RESOLVED BY THE 12 END OF THE DAY. 1.3 MR. BRIAN: I APPRECIATE IT. THE COURT: IF WE'LL REQUIRE OF THIS JUROR, 14 15 WE'LL DO IT AT THE END OF THE DAY. 08:31AM 16 MR. MADISON: OKAY. WE DID LOOK AT SOME OF 17 THE LAW. AND I WILL JUST TELL YOU PRETTY CLEARLY, YOU 18 HAVE THE SUPERVISORY POWER TO INQUIRE. 19 THE COURT: I SUSPECT I HAVE SOME DISCRETION 20 IN THE SUBJECT, BUT I WANT LOOK AT IT. AND I'LL TRY TO 08:31AM 21 DO THAT ON OUR BREAKS. 22 MR. MADISON: OF COURSE. 23 THE COURT: YOU --24 MR. MADISON: YOU DON'T NEED OUR HELP ON THAT. 25 MR. BRIAN: I DO. 08:31AM 26 IF YOU HAVE LAW I NEED TO SEE IT.

BECAUSE THE DUST BOTHERS MY SINUSES; BUT I'M ABLE TO

THE COURT: I TRY TO STAY OUT OF THE LIBRARY,

2.7

1	USE THE COMPUTER.	
2	MR. BRIAN: YOU'RE AHEAD.	
3	MR. QUINN: COULD I HAVE TWO MINUTES, YOUR	
4	HONOR?	
5	THE COURT: YES. THANK YOU.	08:31AM
6	(RECESS.)	
7		
8	(FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN	
9	OPEN COURT WITH ALL PARTIES AND THE JURY.)	
10		08:39AM
11	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING. TCW MATTER. ALL	
12	MEMBERS OF THE JURY ARE PRESENT, AS ARE ALL COUNSEL.	
13	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, EVERY DAY, WHEN WE	
14	CONCLUDE THE DAY, I GIVE YOU AN ADMONITION, AND I TELL	
15	YOU THAT YOU MUST NOT DISCUSS THE CASE AMONG YOURSELVES	08:39AM
16	OR WITH ANYONE ELSE, OR FORM ANY OPINIONS OR	
17	CONCLUSIONS CONCERNING ANY ASPECT OF THE CASE UNTIL	
18	YOU'VE HEARD ALL THE EVIDENCE OF THE CASE, AND THE CASE	
19	HAS BEEN SUBMITTED TO YOU.	
20	AS THE CASE GOES ON, WHEN THAT	08:39AM
21	ADMONITION IS GIVEN TO YOU, MANY OF YOU ARE GETTING UP,	
22	AND OTHERS ARE FEELING THE DAY'S OVER. IT IS AN	
23	IMPORTANT ADMONITION. AND IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOU NOT	
24	DISCUSS THIS MATTER AMONG YOURSELVES, IN THE BREAK	
25	ROOM, BEFORE WE GO TO TRIAL, START TRIAL.	08:39AM
26	ANY SUCH DISCUSSIONS ARE VIOLATIVE OF	
27	YOUR OBLIGATIONS. AND ONE OF YOUR OBLIGATIONS IS THAT	
0.0		

YOU HEAR ALL THE EVIDENCE BEFORE YOU FORM ANY OPINION

OR CONCLUSIONS. I'M REMINDING YOU OF THIS BECAUSE IT'S 1 2 COME TO MY ATTENTION THERE MAY BE SOME INNOCUOUS 3 DISCUSSIONS HAD AMONG YOU AT DIFFERENT TIMES ABOUT THE 4 CASE. YOU REALLY NEED TO REFRAIN FROM DOING THAT. 5 ANOTHER ISSUE THAT HAS COME UP, WHICH WE 08:40AM 6 TALKED ABOUT AT THE COMMENCEMENT OF THE TRIAL, IS THAT 7 THE POSSIBILITY THAT IN THIS COURTHOUSE, ON THIS FLOOR 8 AND IN THE ELEVATORS, YOU MAY COME IN CONTACT WITH A 9 PARTY OR A WITNESS, OR AN ATTORNEY. 10 IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT YOU NOT HAVE ANY 08:40AM 11 CONVERSATION WITH, AND THAT YOU AVOID SUCH CONTACT. 12 AS I TOLD YOU AT THE OUTSET OF THE 1.3 TRIAL, IF YOU'RE AT ONE END OF THE HALL, AND BUMP INTO 14 SOMEBODY, AND MAKE AN INNOCUOUS STATEMENT ABOUT THE 15 WEATHER, HOW'S THE WEATHER? AND IF SOMEBODY AT THE 08:40AM 16 OTHER END OF THE HALL MAY SEE IT, IT MAY BE PERCEIVED 17 AS INAPPROPRIATE CONTENT ABOUT THE CASE, EVEN THOUGH 18 IT'S INNOCUOUS. 19 FOR THAT REASON, LAWYERS, WITNESSES AND 20 PARTIES ARE INSTRUCTED TO HAVE NO CONTACT WITH YOU. 08:41AM 21 AND YOU SHOULDN'T FEEL THEY'RE BEING RUDE OR OFFENSIVE 22 IF THEY DON'T RESPOND TO YOU. BECAUSE YOU SHOULDN'T BE 23 TALKING TO THEM. 24 YOU NEED TO KEEP THAT ADMONITION IN 25 MIND. WE HAVE A LOT OF PEOPLE HERE. THERE ARE A LOT 08:41AM 26 OF PEOPLE WATCHING THE TRIAL. THERE ARE A LOT OF

PEOPLE IN THE HALLS, AS YOU COME AND GO. BUT PLEASE

UNDERSTAND, IT'S VERY IMPORTANT THAT WE NOT HAVE ANY

2.7

1	APPEARANCE OF ANY INAPPROPRIATE CONTACT. AND THAT	
2	THERE BE NO INAPPROPRIATE CONTACT.	
3	FOR THAT REASON, I'M REMINDING YOU OF	
4	THESE TWO THINGS. BECAUSE APPARENTLY THERE HAVE BEEN	
5	SOME INSTANCES OF CONTACT BETWEEN PEOPLE. AND WE DON'T	08:41AM
6	WANT THAT TO OCCUR AGAIN.	
7	WITH THAT SAID, MR. GUNDLACH IS ON THE	
8	STAND.	
9	YOU'VE PREVIOUSLY BEEN SWORN, AND YOU	
10	ARE STILL UNDER OATH.	08:42AM
11	MR. HELM CAN'T WAIT TO START ASKING	
12	QUESTIONS. SO YOU SAY PROCEED.	
13	MR. HELM: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
14	GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN.	
15		08:42AM
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION +	
17	BY MR. HELM:	
18	Q WE WERE TALKING ABOUT STATEMENTS THAT YOU MADE	
19	CONCERNING THE SMCF II FUND, DISTRESSED DEBT FUNDS.	
20	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	08:42AM
21	A YES.	
22	Q ONE OF THE STATEMENTS THAT MR. QUINN ASKED YOU	
23	ABOUT WAS A STATEMENT THAT MET WEST WAS A RANDOM CHOICE	
24	OR A RANDOM GROUP.	
25	DO YOU RECALL SAYING SOMETHING TO THAT	08:42AM
26	EFFECT?	
27	A YES.	

WHAT DID YOU MEAN BY THAT?

1	A I MEANT THAT I IT WAS MY OPINION THAT TCW	
2	PURCHASING MET WEST WAS REALLY DONE FOR REASONS OF	
3	CONVENIENCE AND TIMING, AND RATHER THAN REALLY LOOKING	
4	FOR THE BEST MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES TALENTED TYPE	
5	OF FIRM.	08:42AM
6	AS THE DECISION	
7	Q WHAT DO YOU MEAN, CONVENIENCE OR TIMING?	
8	A WELL, THEY CLEARLY WERE WORKING ON LOOKING FOR	
9	A PLACEMENT FOR ME. AND THEY HAD A TIME FRAME THEY	
10	WERE WORKING IN.	08:43AM
11	ALSO, IT WAS CONVENIENT. THEY WERE A	
12	LOCAL FIRM, INDEPENDENTLY OWNED, ONE THAT WAS	
13	MARGINALLY PROFITABLE, I THINK; SO IT WAS JUST	
14	CONVENIENT IN TERMS OF A FIT THAT WAY.	
15	AND WHEN I SAY RANDOM, IT WAS SORT OF	08:43AM
16	LIKE WHAT I MEANT, IT WASN'T REALLY INVESTMENT	
17	CONSIDERATIONS, IN MY OPINION, THAT DROVE THAT	
18	DECISION, AS MUCH AS THE CONVENIENCE FACTOR.	
19	Q AND WAS THAT AN OPINION THAT YOU HONESTLY HELD	
20	AT THAT TIME?	08:43AM
21	A YES.	
22	Q AND DID YOU FEEL YOU HAD A RIGHT TO EXPRESS	
23	THAT OPINION TO THE OTHER INVESTORS?	
24	A YES.	
25	Q NOW, YOU MADE SOME STATEMENT ABOUT MET WEST	08:43AM
26	NOT HAVING THE SAME EXPERIENCE LEVEL THAT YOU DID IN	
27	MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES; IS THAT TRUE?	
28	A YES.	

1	Q AND I THINK WE TALKED AT THE END OF THE DAY	
2	YESTERDAY ABOUT SOME STATEMENTS YOU MADE CONCERNING	
3	WHETHER TCW MAY HAVE BREACHED ITS FIDUCIARY DUTIES IN	
4	SELECTING THEM TO REPLACE YOU.	
5	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	08:44AM
6	A YES.	
7	Q DID YOU HAVE ANY FACTS TO BACK UP THE	
8	STATEMENT THAT THEY, MET WEST, WAS NOT PERFORMING AT	
9	THE SAME LEVEL AS YOUR GROUP WAS, AS OF 2009?	
10	A NO.	08:44AM
11	Q WHAT FACTS DID YOU HAVE IN MIND?	
12	A WELL, I COULD COMPARE THE PERFORMANCE RESULTS	
13	IN THE TIME FRAME LEADING UP TO 2009 OF VARIOUS	
14	STRATEGIES THAT WE RAN AT TCW, AND COMPARE THEM TO	
15	COMPARABLE STRATEGIES RUN BY MET WEST.	08:44AM
16	Q COULD WE SHOW THE WITNESS AND THE COURT	
17	EXHIBIT 686 PLEASE.	
18	WHAT IS THAT, MR. GUNDLACH?	
19	A IT'S A COMPARISON OF RETURNS BETWEEN TCW TOTAL	
20	RETURN BOND FUND FOR COUPLE YEARS LEADING UP TO	08:44AM
21	DECEMBER OF '09, AND THE MET WEST TOTAL RETURN BOND	
22	FUND FOR THAT SAME TIME PERIOD.	
23	MR. HELM: MOVE ADMISSION OF 686.	
24	THE COURT: NO OBJECTION.	
25	MR. QUINN: NO OBJECTION.	08:45AM
26	THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	
27		
28	(EXHIBIT 686 ADMITTED.)+	

BY MR. HELM:	
Q ALL RIGHT. WHAT TIME PERIOD DID YOU CHOOSE	
IS THIS A PRINTOUT THAT YOU MADE YOURSELF,	
MR. GUNDLACH?	
A I DID, YES, FROM THE BLOOMBERG SYSTEM.	08:45AM
Q WHAT'S THE TIME PERIOD YOU CHOSE FOR THIS	
COMPARISON?	
A I DECIDED TO USE OCTOBER 9TH, 2007 THROUGH	
DECEMBER 4TH, 2009.	
Q DECEMBER 4TH, 2009, IS THE DAY YOU WERE FIRED?	08:45AM
A RIGHT.	
Q WHY DID YOU CHOOSE THE 2007 DATE?	
A THAT WAS THE TOP OF THE MARKET.	
BASICALLY THE STOCK MARKET AND THE	
RISKIER PARTS OF THE BOND MARKET HIT THEIR HIGHEST	08:45AM
PRICES ON THE MARKET THAT DAY. IT WAS A PERIOD THAT	
INVOLVED A LOT OF RISK.	
Q AND LET'S SEE WHAT THE VARIOUS LINES ARE.	
THE GREEN LINE AT THE BOTTOM, WHAT IS	
THAT SHOWING?	08:45AM
A THAT SHOWS THE GROWTH OF AN INVESTMENT IN THE	
METROPOLITAN WEST TOTAL RETURN BOND FUND OVER THAT TIME	
FRAME. IT STARTS AT THE ZERO LINE.	
SO IF YOU START WITH \$100, YOU STARTED	
ON THE LEFT OF 100. THEN IT GROWS. GREEN LINE GOES TO	08:46AM
A LOSS IN OCTOBER OF 2008, AND THEN RECOVERS WITH THE	
SUBSEQUENT TIME PERIOD, AND ENDS UP WITH A RETURN OF A	
LITTLE UNDER 18 PERCENT.	
	Q ALL RIGHT. WHAT TIME PERIOD DID YOU CHOOSE IS THIS A PRINTOUT THAT YOU MADE YOURSELF, MR. GUNDLACH? A I DID, YES, FROM THE BLOOMBERG SYSTEM. Q WHAT'S THE TIME PERIOD YOU CHOSE FOR THIS COMPARISON? A I DECIDED TO USE OCTOBER 9TH, 2007 THROUGH DECEMBER 4TH, 2009. Q DECEMBER 4TH, 2009, IS THE DAY YOU WERE FIRED? A RIGHT. Q WHY DID YOU CHOOSE THE 2007 DATE? A THAT WAS THE TOP OF THE MARKET. BASICALLY THE STOCK MARKET AND THE RISKIER PARTS OF THE BOND MARKET HIT THEIR HIGHEST PRICES ON THE MARKET THAT DAY. IT WAS A PERIOD THAT INVOLVED A LOT OF RISK. Q AND LET'S SEE WHAT THE VARIOUS LINES ARE. THE GREEN LINE AT THE BOTTOM, WHAT IS THAT SHOWING? A THAT SHOWS THE GROWTH OF AN INVESTMENT IN THE METROPOLITAN WEST TOTAL RETURN BOND FUND OVER THAT TIME FRAME. IT STARTS AT THE ZERO LINE. SO IF YOU START WITH \$100, YOU STARTED ON THE LEFT OF 100. THEN IT GROWS. GREEN LINE GOES TO A LOSS IN OCTOBER OF 2008, AND THEN RECOVERS WITH THE

1	SO \$100 TURNS INTO 118 DOLLARS, ROUGHLY.	
2	Q WHAT DOES THE WHITE LINE SHOW?	
3	A IT SHOWS THE PERFORMANCE OF TCW TOTAL RETURN	
4	BOND FUND THAT I WAS LEAD MANAGER OF FOR THAT SAME TIME	
5	PERIOD.	08:46A
6	YOU START WITH \$100. AND FIRST OF ALL,	
7	YOU NEVER GO TO A LOSS. IT ALWAYS STAYS AT A PROFIT.	
8	AND DURING THE PERIOD OF MAXIMUM RISK, IT'S A FIXED	
9	INCOME AT A VERY SUBSTANTIAL PROFIT, VERSUS THE LOSS AT	
LO	METROPOLITAN WEST.	08:46A
L1	AND FOR THE FULLNESS OF THE TIME PERIOD,	
L2	\$100 TURNED INTO 126 AND A LITTLE CHANGE.	
L3	SO THE INVESTORS RECEIVED AN 8 PERCENT	
L 4	HIGHER RETURN IN THE TOTAL RETURN BOND FUND AT TCW,	
L5	THIS COMPARED TO THE TOTAL RETURNED BOND FUND RUN BY	08:46A
L 6	METROPOLITAN WEST.	
L7	Q FOR PERSPECTIVE, IS THAT A SIGNIFICANT	
L 8	DIFFERENCE, IN THE INVESTING WORLD, FOR A COMPARISON OF	
L 9	THIS KIND?	
20	A IN THE WORLD OF BONDS, IT'S AN EXTRAORDINARILY	08:47A
21	LARGE DIFFERENCE.	
22	Q AND WERE THESE FACTS THAT YOU HAD IN MIND WHEN	
23	YOU WERE MAKING STATEMENTS ABOUT THE RELATIVE	
24	QUALIFICATIONS OF METROPOLITAN WEST VERSUS YOUR TEAM	
25	THAT PREVIOUSLY WAS AT TCW, AND NOW IS AT DOUBLE LINE?	08:47A
26	A ONE OF THEM.	
27	WE COULD DO THE SAME TYPES OF	

COMPARISONS ON OTHER INCOME FUNDS AND THAT ARE SIMILAR.

Q LET'S GO BACK TO EXHIBIT 686, TO REMIND US, 1 2 THIS IS THE COMPARISON BETWEEN WHICH FUNDS? JUST TELL US, ORIENT US. 3 4 THIS IS NOW BETWEEN THE DOUBLE LINE TOTAL 5 RETURN BOND FUND I STARTED APRIL 6TH OF 2010 AND THE 08:47AM 6 METROPOLITAN WEST RECORD, AFTER TAKING OVER THE TCW 7 TOTAL RETURN BOND FUND, FOR THE OVERLAPPING PERIOD WHERE THEY WERE BOTH IN EXISTENCE. 8 9 O ALL RIGHT. 10 SO THE BOTTOM LINE IS, THE TOTAL RETURN 08:48AM 11 BOND FUND AT TCW WHICH YOU USED TO MANAGE, AND NOW WAS 12 BEING MANAGED AFTER THIS POINT BY THE METROPOLITAN WEST 1.3 TEAM WHO CAME OVER? A RIGHT. 14 15 CAN YOU QUANTIFY WHAT THE DIFFERENCE IN 08:48AM 16 PERFORMANCE HAS MEANT FOR THE TCW TOTAL RETURN BOND 17 FUND INVESTORS WHO REMAINED IN THAT FUND? 18 YES. Α 19 0 WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE? 20 WELL, THE TCW TOTAL RETURN BOND FUND, AFTER 08:48AM 21 THE ASSETS EXITED SIGNIFICANTLY, THEN STABILIZED IN 22 APRIL OF '10, FURTHER AT A VALUE LITTLE GREATER THAN 23 \$5 BILLION OF FUND SIZE. SO THE FUND FOR THAT WHOLE PERIOD WAS 24 25 GREATER THAN \$5 MILLION IN ASSETS. 08:48AM 26 BECAUSE THE RETURN DIFFERENCE WAS ABOUT 2.7 12 PERCENT, IT MEANS THAT THE INVESTORS IN THE TCW

TOTAL RETURN BOND FUND LOST OUT ON \$600 MILLION OF

1	RETURN THAT THEY WOULD HAVE HAD, HAD THEY BEEN UNDER	
2	THE MANAGEMENT OF THE DOUBLE LINE TEAM.	
3	Q DOES THAT PROVIDE ANY SUPPORT FOR YOUR	
4	STATEMENT, THAT YOU THOUGHT TCW BREACHED ITS FIDUCIARY	
5	DUTIES IN SUBSTITUTING YOUR TEAM OUT AND PUTTING IN THE	08:49AM
6	METROPOLITAN WEST TEAM TO MANAGE THE TOTAL RETURN BOND	
7	FUND?	
8	MR. QUINN: ARGUMENTATIVE.	
9	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
10	THERE'S NO RESPONSE. I'LL SUSTAIN THE	08:49AM
11	OBJECTION.	
12	BY MR. HELM:	
13	Q THE 600-MILLION-DOLLAR LOSS THAT YOU ARE	
14	REFERRING TO, WHO BORE THAT LOSS?	
15	MR. QUINN: ARGUMENTATIVE.	08:49AM
16	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
17	BY MR. HELM:	
18	Q THE \$600 FORGONE PROFITS THAT YOU JUST	
19	MENTIONED, WHO SUSTAINED THAT FORGONE PROCESS?	
20	MR. QUINN: SAME OBJECTION.	08:49AM
21	THE COURT: THE ANSWER HE'S ANSWERED THAT.	
22	HE'S MADE THE STATEMENT.	
23	SO I'LL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION.	
24	GO AHEAD.	
25	BY MR. HELM:	08:49AM
26	Q DID TCW OR SOC-GEN SUFFER ANY	
27	600-MILLION-DOLLAR LOSS AS A RESULT OF THE REPLACEMENT	
28	OF THE MANAGERS?	

1	A NO.	
2	MR. QUINN: SAME OBJECTION.	
3	THE COURT: I'LL OVERRULE THE OBJECTION.	
4	THE WITNESS: NO.	
5	MR. HELM: THANK YOU.	08:50AM
6		
7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION +	
8	BY MR. QUINN:	
9	Q GOOD MORNING, MR. GUNDLACH. I KNOW YOU'VE	
10	BEEN ON THE STAND FOR A WHILE. I'LL TRY TO MAKE THIS	08:50AM
11	BRIEF THIS MORNING.	
12	IF WE COULD LOOK AT THE DEFENSE EXHIBIT	
13	6119. IT'S A DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT THAT WE'VE SEEN A	
14	COUPLE OF TIMES.	
15	ACTUALLY, I WAS LOOKING FOR THE ONE THAT	08:50AM
16	SHOWED FORMATION DATE IS IT 18?	
17	THE COURT: 6119-A?	
18	MR. QUINN: 6119-A.	
19	Q WE LOOKED AT THIS YESTERDAY, MR. GUNDLACH.	
20	IT SHOWED DOUBLE LINE BEING FORMED THERE	08:50AM
21	ON DECEMBER 14.	
22	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
23	A YES.	
24	Q IN FACT, THAT WAS JUST A NAME CHANGE THAT TOOK	
25	PLACE ON THAT DAY; ISN'T THAT CORRECT?	08:51AM
26	A NO.	
27	Q LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT EXHIBIT 578, WHICH IS IN	
28	EVIDENCE.	

1	IF I MAY APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR	
2	HONOR. THIS IS IN EVIDENCE, AND WE'RE TRYING TO FIND	
3	IT ELECTRICALLY.	
4	THE COURT: YES, YOU MAY.	
5	DO YOU HAVE AN EXHIBIT NUMBER ON IT?	08:51AM
6	MR. QUINN: APPARENTLY I'VE WRITTEN DOWN THE	
7	WRONG EXHIBIT NUMBER.	
8	THE COURT: OKAY. THAT'S ALL RIGHT.	
9	MR. QUINN: I'LL GET SOME HELP. IT'S THE NAME	
10	CHANGE DOCUMENT. I KNOW IT'S IN EVIDENCE.	08:52AM
11	(PAUSE) +	
12		
13	MR. QUINN: WE'LL GET THE EXHIBIT, YOUR HONOR.	
14	THE COURT: GO HEAD.	
15	BY MR. QUINN:	08:52AM
16	Q MR. GUNDLACH, I'M SHOWING YOU A CERTIFICATE OF	
17	AMENDMENT TO THE CERTIFICATION OF FORMATION OF ABLE	
18	GRAPE LLC.	
19	DO YOU SEE THAT THERE?	
20	A YES.	08:52AM
21	Q THIS DOCUMENTS, IT SAYS, WHEREAS, PURSUANT TO	
22	THE CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT, IT SAYS THE NAME OF THE	
23	CORPORATION IS ABLE GRAPE LLC.	
24	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
25	A YES.	08:52AM
26	Q AND IT SAYS THAT: WHEREAS PURSUANT TO THE	
27	CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT, THE NAME OF THE COMPANY SHALL	
28	BE CHANGED TO DOUBLE LINE LLC.	

1	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
2	A YES.	
3	Q AND THE DATE OF THAT IS DECEMBER 8TH, 2009,	
4	CORRECT?	
5	A I CAN'T SEE THAT.	08:52AM
6	YOU'RE YOUR PEN GOES RIGHT THROUGH	
7	THE NUMBER.	
8	IT LOOKS LIKE THE 8TH, YEAH.	
9	Q WHAT HAPPENED IS, ON DECEMBER 8 YES, THAT'S	
10	IT. EXHIBIT 589. I APOLOGIZE, YOUR HONOR.	08:53AM
11	THE COURT: NO PROBLEM.	
12	BY MR. QUINN:	
13	Q IF WE COULD, THIS IS A CERTIFICATE OF	
14	AMENDMENT OF ABLE GRAPE.	
15	AND WHAT IT INDICATES IS THAT THE	08:53AM
16	COMPANY, ABLE GRAPE, IS CHANGING ITS NAME, IN THE	
17	SECOND WHEREAS CLAUSE, TO DOUBLE LINE LLC.	
18	CORRECT?	
19	MR. HELM: LACKS FOUNDATION OF THE WITNESS'S	
20	KNOWLEDGE.	08:53AM
21	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
22	THE DOCUMENT'S IN EVIDENCE. IT SPEAKS	
23	FOR ITSELF.	
24	MR. QUINN: YES, YOUR HONOR.	
25	Q THE DATE THERE IS CLEAR, DECEMBER 8TH?	08:53AM
26	A YES.	
27	Q NOW, YOU INDICATED THAT YOU KNOW YOU DECIDED	
28	AS OF DECEMBER 1 YOU WERE GOING TO TRY TO SOFTEN YOUR	

ATTITUDE.	
DO YOU RECALL SAYING THAT, YESTERDAY?	
A NOT AS OF DECEMBER 1, NO.	
Q WHAT IS YOUR RECOLLECTION AS TO WHEN YOU	
DECIDED, AFTER SPEAKING WITH GOLDMAN, THAT YOU WERE	08:54AM
GOING TO SOFTEN YOUR ATTITUDE?	
A AROUND THE MIDDLE OF NOVEMBER.	
Q MIDDLE OF NOVEMBER? OKAY?	
A AROUND THE MIDDLE OF NOVEMBER. 2009.	
Q ALL RIGHT.	08:54AM
AFTER THE MIDDLE OF NOVEMBER, I MEAN,	
YOU'RE AWARE, MANY STEPS WERE TAKEN TO SET UP ABLE	
GRAPE, LATER DOUBLE LINE, INTO BUSINESS, CORRECT?	
A I DON'T KNOW THERE WERE MANY STEPS TAKEN, NO.	
Q WELL, I MEAN, LEADING UP, IF WE LOOK, AS OF	08:54AM
DECEMBER 1, YOU'RE ABOUT READY TO CLOSE ON THAT SPACE	
OUT IN CENTURY CITY; ISN'T THAT TRUE?	
A NO.	
Q LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT EXHIBIT 1211. THAT'S IN	
EVIDENCE.	08:54AM
AND THIS IS AN E-MAIL FROM MS. VANEVERY	
TO YOU, DATED DECEMBER 1. AND SHE'S TELLING YOU THAT	
SHE'S GOING TO MEET WITH THE PARTNERS FROM FIRST LOOK.	
NOW FIRST LOOK, THOSE WERE THE PEOPLE	
WHO WERE THE TENANTS IN THE SPACE THAT YOU WERE	08:55AM
FOCUSING ON, CORRECT?	
A YES.	
Q SHE SAYS HERE IS, SHE'S IT SAYS:	
	DO YOU RECALL SAYING THAT, YESTERDAY? A NOT AS OF DECEMBER 1, NO. Q WHAT IS YOUR RECOLLECTION AS TO WHEN YOU DECIDED, AFTER SPEAKING WITH GOLDMAN, THAT YOU WERE GOING TO SOFTEN YOUR ATTITUDE? A AROUND THE MIDDLE OF NOVEMBER. Q MIDDLE OF NOVEMBER? OKAY? A AROUND THE MIDDLE OF NOVEMBER. 2009. Q ALL RIGHT. AFTER THE MIDDLE OF NOVEMBER, I MEAN, YOU'RE AWARE, MANY STEPS WERE TAKEN TO SET UP ABLE GRAPE, LATER DOUBLE LINE, INTO BUSINESS, CORRECT? A I DON'T KNOW THERE WERE MANY STEPS TAKEN, NO. Q WELL, I MEAN, LEADING UP, IF WE LOOK, AS OF DECEMBER 1, YOU'RE ABOUT READY TO CLOSE ON THAT SPACE OUT IN CENTURY CITY; ISN'T THAT TRUE? A NO. Q LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT EXHIBIT 1211. THAT'S IN EVIDENCE. AND THIS IS AN E-MAIL FROM MS. VANEVERY TO YOU, DATED DECEMBER 1. AND SHE'S TELLING YOU THAT SHE'S GOING TO MEET WITH THE PARTNERS FROM FIRST LOOK. NOW FIRST LOOK, THOSE WERE THE PEOPLE WHO WERE THE TENANTS IN THE SPACE THAT YOU WERE FOCUSING ON, CORRECT? A YES.

1	PARTNER WANTED TO MEET WITH YOU	
2	TODAY AT 4:30. AND SHE SAYS, THAT	
3	WASN'T GOING TO WORK. SHE WAS	
4	GOING TO GO MEET WITH THEM.	
5	AND SHE SAYS: YOU KNOW, IF FOR	08:55AM
6	SOME BIZARRE REASON, YOU COULD COME	
7	CLOSE UP THE DEAL, THAT WOULD BE	
8	GREAT.	
9	YOU SEE THAT.	
10	A YES.	08:55AM
11	Q AND AS OF THIS POINT, YOU WERE ALREADY	
12	SELECTING YOU KNOW WHERE YOU WERE GOING TO HANG THE ART	
13	IN THIS SPACE; ISN'T THAT TRUE?	
14	A I THOUGHT ABOUT THAT, WHEN I LOOKED AT THE	
15	SPACE.	08:55AM
16	Q WE HAVE IN EVIDENCE EXHIBIT 474, E-MAIL FROM	
17	MS. VANEVERY TO YOU, ASKING ABOUT WHAT ARE THE HANGING	
18	REQUIREMENTS FOR A JUDD STACK.	
19	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
20	A YES.	08:56AM
21	Q NOW, A JUDD STACK, THAT'S A PIECE OF	
22	MINIMALIST CULTURE?	
23	A YES.	
24	Q YOU OWNED ONE OF THOSE?	
25	A I STILL DO.	08:56AM
26	Q THAT'S A VERY IMPORTANT AND EXPENSIVE PIECE OF	
27	ART, CORRECT?	
28	MR. HELM: RELEVANCE, YOUR HONOR.	

```
THE COURT: OVERRULED.
 1
 2
                   GO AHEAD.
 3
              THE WITNESS: I DON'T KNOW. IT'S -- I LIKE
 4
     IT.
 5
    BY MR. OUINN:
                                                                08:56AM
 6
        Q WELL, HOW MUCH DID YOU SPEND ON IT?
 7
             MR. HELM: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE.
              THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
 8
 9
    BY MR. OUINN:
        O JUST SO THE JURY HAS AN IDEA WHAT YOU'RE --
10
                                                                08:56AM
    WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, EXHIBIT 2210, DASH 1 AND DASH 2.
11
12
                   ARE THESE JUDD STACKS?
13
       Α
             YES.
14
             MR. QUINN: WE'D OFFER THESE TWO, YOUR HONOR,
15
    PLEASE.
                                                                08:57AM
16
              MR. HELM: NO OBJECTION.
17
              THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.
18
19
                   (EXHIBIT 2210 ADMITTED.) +
2.0
                                                                08:57AM
21
              MR. QUINN: IF WE CAN SHOW AND GIVE THE JURY
22
    AN IDEA OF WHAT A JUDD STACK LOOKS LIKE.
23
        Q YOU GAVE MS. VANEVERY, IN NOVEMBER,
24
     INFORMATION ABOUT HOW YOU HANG A JUDD STACK, RIGHT?
25
             YES.
        A
                                                                08:57AM
26
             -- AND?
         0
2.7
        A SORT OF.
28
                   I GAVE DIMENSIONS. I DON'T KNOW ABOUT
```

1	HOW.	
2	Q YOU TALKED ABOUT THE SLEEVES AND WHAT THE,	
3	WHAT TYPE OF BACKING THAT YOU WOULD NEED, RIGHT,	
4	WHETHER IT NEEDED TO BE REINFORCED OR NOT?	
5	A I DON'T KNOW. BUT I DON'T REMEMBER THAT.	08:57AM
6	BUT SURE, I GAVE HER THE DIMENSIONS AND STUFF, YEAH.	
7	Q I MEAN, TAKE A LOOK AT EXHIBIT 474, IF WE GO	
8	BACK TO THEM.	
9	YOU DO TALK ABOUT THE UNITS. THEY SLIDE	
10	INTO SLEEVES. THE WALL DOESN'T NEED REINFORCEMENTS,	08:57AM
11	PER SE.	
12	BUT IT SHOULD HAVE, AS PART OF ITS	
13	CONSTRUCTION, A COLUMN.	
14	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
15	A (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.)	08:58AM
16	Q DO YOU SEE WHAT I'M REFERRING TO, SIR?	
17	A YES, YEAH.	
18	Q 1246 EXHIBIT, DATED DECEMBER 1, WHICH	
19	MS. VANEVERY TESTIFIED ABOUT.	
20	AND SHE'S GIVING HERE, SPECIFIC	08:58AM
21	INFORMATION ABOUT DEMOLISHING A WALL AND REPLACING IT,	
22	PUTTING THE WALL AS HIGH AS POSSIBLE, AT LEAST 10 FEET	
23	6, FOR A JUDD STACK ART PIECE, RIGHT?	
24	A RIGHT.	
25	Q NOW, THIS WASN'T JUST A BUSINESS CONTINUITY	08:58AM
26	PLAN. IT WASN'T JUST LIKE EMERGENCY PLAN, WHERE YOU'D	
27	HANG THE ART IF THERE WERE A BIG EARTHQUAKE, WAS IT?	
28	MR. HELM: ARGUMENTATIVE.	

1	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
2	BY MR. QUINN:	
3	Q THESE WERE, YOU WERE ACTUALLY PLANNING ON	
4	WHERE THE ART WOULD GO IN THE SPACE	
5	A YES. IF WE TOOK THE SPACE OVER, WHICH WE	08:58AM
6	DIDN'T.	
7	Q I THINK I JOTTED THIS DOWN YESTERDAY	
8	CORRECTLY. I THINK YOU SAID THAT THE CLIENT GUIDELINES	
9	ARE PARAMOUNT, CLIENT GUIDELINES ABOUT WHAT KIND OF	
10	INVESTMENTS THEY WANT TO HAVE MADE?	08:59AM
11	A WELL, THEY'RE VERY IMPORTANT. YOU HAVE TO BE	
12	IN COMPLIANCE WITH CLIENT GUIDELINES, OR ELSE YOUR	
13	LIABILITY.	
14	Q THOSE ARE ACTUALLY WRITTEN DOWN, RIGHT?	
15	A YES.	08:59AM
16	Q AND THOSE THINGS THAT YOU SAID THE CLIENT	
17	GUIDELINES THAT ARE PARAMOUNT IMPORTANCE, THOSE ARE	
18	AMONG THE THINGS THAT WERE TAKEN; ISN'T THAT TRUE?	
19	MR. HELM: LACKS FOUNDATION.	
20	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	08:59AM
21	BY MR. QUINN:	
22	Q SIR, DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THOSE WERE	
23	ACTUALLY TAKEN BY MR. SANTA ANA, MS. VANEVERY, J.P.?	
24	A NO.	
25	Q YOU INDICATED, IN RESPONSE TO ONE OF	08:59AM
26	MR. HELM'S QUESTIONS, YOU REALLY DIDN'T DO ANYTHING	
27	FASTER, IN TERMS OF GETTING DOUBLE LINE INTO BUSINESS,	
28	AS A RESULT OF THE DOWNLOADING ACTIVITY.	

1	I THINK YOU CANDIDLY TOLD US THAT YOU'RE	
2	NOT A TECH PERSON YOURSELF; IS THAT CORRECT?	
3	A I'M NOT A TECH PERSON.	
4	Q AND I THINK YOU SAID THAT YOU DIDN'T EVEN HAVE	
5	THE SECURITY ANALYZER LOADED ONTO YOUR COMPUTER, WHEN	09:00AM
6	YOU WERE AT TCW, IS THAT TRUE?	
7	A THAT'S RIGHT.	
8	Q AND ALTHOUGH YOU USED TERMS, WHEN YOU WERE	
9	AT AT DOUBLE LINE IN DECEMBER, TO DESCRIBE WHAT THE	
10	TECHNICAL PEOPLE WERE DOING, YOU'VE USED TERMS LIKE	09:00AM
11	REPLICATE, REBUILD, RECODE; YOU DON'T ACTUALLY KNOW	
12	WHAT THE CODERS WERE DOING, DO YOU?	
13	A SPECIFICALLY, NO. BUT I'VE HAD CONVERSATIONS	
14	WITH THEM ABOUT THE YOU KNOW, THE BROAD	
15	PARAMETERS	09:00AM
16	Q YOU ACTUALLY WEREN'T INVOLVED IN THE	
17	PROGRAMMING.	
18	AND YOU DON'T KNOW FOR EXAMPLE, HOW	
19	CASEY MOORE WAS ACTUALLY CODING THE NEW DOUBLE LINE	
20	PROPRIETARY SYSTEM?	09:00AM
21	A I DON'T KNOW HOW TO WRITE CODE.	
22	Q AND WE TALKED, WHEN I WAS TALKING TO YOU	
23	BEFORE ABOUT ACTUALLY, IN RESPONSE TO ONE OF MR. HELM'S	
24	QUESTIONS, YOU DREW A DISTINCTION BETWEEN COPYING	
25	VERSUS REWRITING.	09:01AM
26	DO YOU RECALL MR. HELM ASKING YOU ABOUT	
27	THAT?	

Α

YES.

1	Q AND IF YOU'RE COPYING SOMETHING WORD FOR WORD,	
2	LIKE A HEMINGWAY NOVEL, WOULD YOU REGARD THAT AS	
3	COPYING, OR REWRITING?	
4	MR. HELM: INCOMPLETE HYPOTHETICAL.	
5	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	09:01AM
6	THE WITNESS: WELL, I KNOW YOU'RE REFERRING TO	
7	AN EXTEMPORANEOUS THING I SAID ABOUT THE SUN ALSO	
8	RISES.	
9	WE DIDN'T COPY ANYTHING OF TCW'S CODE OR	
10	SYSTEMS, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.	09:01AM
11	OBVIOUSLY, IF YOU WERE GOING TO DO	
12	SOMETHING WORD FOR WORD, LITERALLY, THAT WOULD BE, IT	
13	WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO DO OFF THE TOP OF YOUR HEAD.	
14	BY MR. QUINN:	
15	Q THAT WOULD BE COPYING?	09:01AM
16	A YEAH.	
17	Q AND?	
18	A WE DIDN'T DO THAT.	
19	Q WHEN YOU HAD THAT CALL, AND YOU TALKED ABOUT	
20	THE HEMINGWAY NOVEL, THE SUN ALSO RISES, YOU SAID IF I	09:01AM
21	WROTE IT ONCE, I COULD WRITE IT AGAIN, WORD FOR WORD?	
22	A I DIDN'T MEAN THAT.	
23	Q ALL RIGHT?	
24	A THE METAPHOR, OBVIOUSLY, IF HEMINGWAY WROTE	
25	THE SUN ALSO RISES, IT WOULD BE DIFFERENT WHEN HE	09:02AM
26	REWROTE IT; BUT THE CHARACTERS WOULD BE LARGELY THE	
27	SAME, PLOT TERMS LARGELY THE SAME, AND IT WOULD BE VERY	
28	UNLIKELY HE COULD DO IT WORD FOR WORD.	

1	THAT'S WHAT WE MEANT, IN TERMS OF THE	
2	BUILDING DATA SYSTEM.	
3	Q ALL RIGHT.	
4	I UNDERSTAND THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE TELLING	
5	US NOW, SIR. THAT'S WHAT YOU MEANT.	09:02AM
6	BUT ON THAT CALL, WE ACTUALLY REFERRED	
7	TO REWRITING IT WORD FOR WORD, YOU REFERRED TO IT	
8	RIGHT?	
9	MR. HELM: ASKED AND ANSWERED.	
10	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	09:02AM
11	BY MR. QUINN:	
12	Q AGAIN, ON THIS ISSUE ABOUT WHETHER YOU GOT ANY	
13	HEAD START, WE HAVE EXHIBIT 430, IN EVIDENCE, E-MAIL	
14	FROM MR. SANTA ANA TO MR. WARD.	
15	YOU INDICATED THAT YOU GOT THE	09:02AM
16	REGISTRATION FOR DOUBLE LINE FROM THE SEC, I THINK YOU	
17	SAID, IN RECORD TIME?	
18	A I THINK SO.	
19	Q AND HERE IS AN E-MAIL FROM MR. SANTA ANA TO	
20	MR. WARD, OR MR. WARD'S ASKING FOR A COMPREHENSIVE	09:03AM
21	LIST. THIS IS BACK IN NOVEMBER. ALL OF THE ACCOUNTS	
22	AND FUNDS MANAGED BY THE GROUP.	
23	I NEED TO MAKE SURE I HAVE ALL OUR BASES	
24	COVERED FOR THE FILINGS.	
25	DO YOU SEE THAT?	09:03AM
26	A YES.	
27	Q NOW, I TAKE IT YOU'VE TOLD US YOU ACTUALLY	

WEREN'T INVOLVED WITH MR. WARD IN PREPARING THE

1	APPLICATION TO THE SEC, WHICH YOU GOT APPROVED IN	
2	RECORD TIME.	
3	THAT'S NOT SOMETHING YOU PERSONALLY WERE	
4	INVOLVED IN?	
5	MR. HELM: LACKS FOUNDATION AND MISSTATES THE	09:03AM
6	RECORD.	
7	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
8	YOU CAN ANSWER THE QUESTION.	
9	THE WITNESS: WHAT'S THE QUESTION?	
10	BY MR. QUINN:	09:03AM
11	Q YOU PERSONALLY WERE NOT INVOLVED IN PREPARING	
12	THAT REGISTRATION WITH THE SEC THAT MR. WARD	
13	PREPARED	
14	MR. HELM: ASSUMES FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE.	
15	THE COURT: YOU CAN ANSWER I'LL OVERRULE	09:03AM
16	THE OBJECTION.	
17	YOU CAN ANSWER THE QUESTION, AND EXPLAIN	
18	IT, IF YOU WANT.	
19	THE WITNESS: I I WASN'T PERSONALLY	
20	INVOLVED IN PREPARING THE SEC FILINGS.	09:04AM
21	MY UNDERSTANDING, WE DIDN'T BEGIN ANY	
22	SEC FILINGS UNTIL AFTER DECEMBER 4TH, 2009.	
23	BY MR. QUINN:	
24	Q THEN, I THINK YOU HAVE TOLD US THAT CONTACT	
25	LIST EXHIBIT 551, AS I UNDERSTAND YOUR TESTIMONY,	09:04AM
26	THAT'S NOT THE ONLY THING, THE ONLY WAY PEOPLE YOU	
27	INVITED PEOPLE TO YOUR FIRST YOUR FIRST CALL ON	

DECEMBER 8TH.

BUT USE WAS MADE OF THIS CONTACT LIST 1 2 THAT MS. VANEVERY HAD, I THINK YOU'VE TOLD US? 3 A THAT'S RIGHT. BUT IT DID NOTHING TO GET 4 DOUBLE LINE STARTED. 5 O AND THEN WE'VE LOOKED AT EXHIBIT 981 AND 393. 09:04AM 6 393. 7 THESE MARKETING MATERIALS, YOU'RE AWARE THAT DOUBLE LINE WAS USING MARKETING MATERIALS THAT 8 9 WERE WORD FOR WORD, IDENTICAL TO TCW'S MARKETING 10 MATERIALS. YOU'RE AWARE OF THAT, AREN'T YOU? 09:05AM 11 MR. HELM: LACKS FOUNDATION. 12 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 1.3 BY MR. QUINN: O DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT DOUBLE LINE WAS 14 15 USING MARKETING MATERIALS THAT WERE WORD FOR WORD 09:05AM 16 IDENTICAL TO TCW'S MARKETING MATERIALS, INCLUDING 17 DESCRIPTIONS OF THE TECHNICAL PLATFORMS? 18 THE QUESTION IS JUST, DO YOU KNOW? 19 A I KNOW THAT WE DIDN'T USE THEM, BECAUSE WE 2.0 DIDN'T DO ANY MARKETING IN THE FIRST FEW MONTHS AT 09:05AM 21 DOUBLE LINE. WE COULDN'T USE MATERIALS FOR AN ACTIVITY 22 WE DIDN'T DO. 23 Q LET ME ASK A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT QUESTION, 24 THEN. 25 DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT DOUBLE LINE, 09:05AM 26 AT SOME POINT, PAIRED MARKETING MATERIALS WHICH 2.7 INCLUDED DESCRIPTIONS OF DOUBLELINE'S TECHNICAL 28

PLATFORM, WHICH WERE WORD FOR WORD IDENTICAL TO TCW

		•
1	MATERIALS?	ì
2	A I DON'T KNOW THAT.	ì
3	Q IF WE COULD LOOK AT EXHIBIT 451, WHICH IS IN	ì
4	EVIDENCE.	ì
5	YOU WERE COPIED, ON THIS NOVEMBER 17TH,	09:06AM
6	E-MAIL?	ì
7	A YES.	ì
8	Q IT REFERENCES THIS EXCEL TEMPLATE FOR CLIENT	ì
9	INFORMATION?	ì
10	A YES.	09:06AM
11	Q LET ME CHANGE, WHILE THEY LOOK FOR SOMETHING	ì
12	ELSE THAT I NEED.	ì
13	LET'S CHANGE THE SUBJECT OF THE	ì
14	REMEDIATION PROGRAM YOU TESTIFIED ABOUT.	ì
15	I UNDERSTAND THIS IS NOT IN EVIDENCE, TO	09:06AM
16	MY SURPRISE, EXHIBIT 459.	ì
17	IF WE COULD PUT IT UP FOR THE COURT AND	ì
18	MR. GUNDLACH?	ì
19	IS THIS AN E-MAIL ON WHICH YOU'RE	ì
20	COPIED, AND FROM MR. SANTA ANA, ON NOVEMBER 18TH, 2009?	09:07AM
21	A YES.	ì
22	MR. QUINN: I'D OFFER THIS YOUR HONOR.	ì
23	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	ì
24	MR. HELM: BEYOND THE SCOPE, YOUR HONOR.	ì
25	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	09:07AM
26	IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	l
27		l
28	(EXHIBIT 459 ADMITTED.)+	l

BY MR. QUINN: 1 2 Q WERE YOU COPIED ON THIS E-MAIL, WHERE IT SAYS: 3 J.P. WAS ABLE TO PULL THIS OUT OF SARATOGA. 4 WHAT IS SARATOGA? 5 A I DON'T KNOW. 09:07AM 6 O YOU'VE NEVER HEARD OF A DATABASE AT TCW CALLED 7 SARATOGA? A I'VE HEARD OF IT, BUT I'VE NEVER USED IT, AND 8 I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT DOES. 9 10 Q SO YOU'VE HEARD OF IT. I MEAN, YOU KNOW THAT 09:07AM 11 IS A DATABASE THAT HAS DETAILED INFORMATION ABOUT 12 CLIENT HOLDINGS, CLIENT TRADING HISTORY AT TCW? YOU'RE 1.3 AWARE OF THAT? A NO. I'VE NEVER SEEN SARATOGA. 14 15 Q WELL, IT'S SOMETHING THAT RESIDES ON A 09:08AM 16 COMPUTER, ISN'T IT? IT'S NOT SOMETHING ONE WOULD 17 ACTUALLY SEE; ISN'T THAT TRUE? 18 MR. HELM: LACKS FOUNDATION. 19 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 20 SIR, YOU'VE NEVER SEEN -- MR. QUINN, 09:08AM 21 REPHRASE YOUR QUESTION. AND YOU CAN PURSUE IT, IF HE 22 SAYS HE'S NEVER SEEN IT. I DON'T THINK IT'S THAT HE 23 HASN'T SEEN A BOX. 24 MR. QUINN: ALL RIGHT. 25 LET ME ASK, ARE YOU AWARE THAT THERE IS A 09:08AM 26 DATABASE, THERE WAS A DATABASE AT TCW THAT INCLUDED 2.7 INFORMATION ON THOUSANDS OF CLIENTS AND THOUSANDS OF 28 PROSPECTS, WHICH INCLUDED NOT JUST CONTACT INFORMATION,

BUT HOLDINGS INFORMATION, COMMENTS, ALL TYPES OF	
DETAILS ABOUT CLIENT INFORMATION? ARE YOU AWARE THAT	
SUCH A DATABASE EXISTED AT TCW?	
A NO.	
Q SO WHEN YOU RECEIVED THIS FROM MR. SANTA ANA,	09:09AM
HE SAYS: J.P. WAS ABLE TO PULL THIS OUT OF SARATOGA.	
I MEAN, DID IT DID YOU JUST YOU	
JUST DIDN'T KNOW WHAT HE WAS REFERRING TO?	
A I KNOW THAT SARATOGA WAS A DATABASE AT TCW.	
I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT IT CONTAINED, AND I	09:09AM
NEVER USED IT. I DIDN'T EVEN HAVE ACCESS TO IT.	
I COULDN'T TELL YOU WHAT A SCREEN SHOT	
OF IT LOOKS LIKE.	
Q SO I MEAN, WHEN YOU SAW THIS, DID YOU HAVE ANY	
UNDERSTANDING, WHAT OR WHY J.P. WAS EXTRACTING	09:09AM
INFORMATION FROM THIS DATABASE?	
A YES.	
Q DID YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THIS WAS IN RESPONSE	
TO A REQUEST THAT YOU HAD MADE?	
A YES.	09:09AM
I CAN EXPLAIN.	
Q WELL, YOU KNOW, MR. HELM ASKED YOU SOME	
QUESTIONS ABOUT MR. SANTA ANA'S TRIAL TESTIMONY. AND	
YOU INDICATED THAT THERE WAS SOME THINGS THAT HE SAID	
THAT HE UNDERSTOOD THAT YOU AGREED THAT YOU'D ASK	09:10AM
HIM TO GET, AND SOME OTHER THINGS THAT YOU DISAGREED	
WITH.	
	DETAILS ABOUT CLIENT INFORMATION? ARE YOU AWARE THAT SUCH A DATABASE EXISTED AT TCW? A NO. Q SO WHEN YOU RECEIVED THIS FROM MR. SANTA ANA, HE SAYS: J.P. WAS ABLE TO PULL THIS OUT OF SARATOGA. I MEAN, DID IT DID YOU JUST YOU JUST DIDN'T KNOW WHAT HE WAS REFERRING TO? A I KNOW THAT SARATOGA WAS A DATABASE AT TCW. I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT IT CONTAINED, AND I NEVER USED IT. I DIDN'T EVEN HAVE ACCESS TO IT. I COULDN'T TELL YOU WHAT A SCREEN SHOT OF IT LOOKS LIKE. Q SO I MEAN, WHEN YOU SAW THIS, DID YOU HAVE ANY UNDERSTANDING, WHAT OR WHY J.P. WAS EXTRACTING INFORMATION FROM THIS DATABASE? A YES. Q DID YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THIS WAS IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST THAT YOU HAD MADE? A YES. I CAN EXPLAIN. Q WELL, YOU KNOW, MR. HELM ASKED YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT MR. SANTA ANA'S TRIAL TESTIMONY. AND YOU INDICATED THAT THERE WAS SOME THINGS THAT HE SAID THAT HE UNDERSTOOD THAT YOU AGREED THAT YOU'D ASK HIM TO GET, AND SOME OTHER THINGS THAT YOU DISAGREED

DO YOU RECALL THAT?

1	A YES.	
2	Q FOR EXAMPLE, I THINK YOU SAID THE BOARD OF	
3	DIRECTORS MINUTES, THAT'S NOT SOMETHING THAT YOU EVER	
4	RECALL ASKING HIM TO GET?	
5	A THAT'S RIGHT.	09:10AM
6	Q NOW, MR. SANTA ANA'S TESTIMONY IN THIS TRIAL	
7	WAS THAT, FURTHERMORE, THAT YOU ASKED HIM TO GET	
8	ANYTHING ELSE THAT MIGHT BE USEFUL TO US.	
9	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	
10	MR. HELM: OBJECT TO THE FORM, YOUR HONOR.	09:10AM
11	THE COURT: I'LL OVERRULE IT.	
12	THE WITNESS: DO I RECALL WHAT?	
13	BY MR. QUINN:	
14	Q MR. SANTA ANA'S TESTIMONY IN THIS TRIAL,	
15	JEFFREY ASKED FOR: CONTACTS, CONTRACTS, BOARD OF	09:10AM
16	DIRECTORS CONTACTS, COPIES OF THE RED BOOKS, WHICH HAD	
17	THE TRADE ORDERS, HOLDINGS, AND I THINK HE MADE A	
18	BLANKET STATEMENT, SOMETHING TO THE EFFECT, AND	
19	ANYTHING ELSE YOU MIGHT THINK WE WOULD NEED.	
20	SO I'D LIKE TO FOCUS ON THAT LAST	09:10AM
21	PHRASE, SIR.	
22	DID YOU TELL MR. SANTA ANA TO GET	
23	ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU MIGHT NEED?	
24	A I DON'T THINK SO.	
25	ALSO, YOU'VE BEEN SAYING BOARD OF	09:11AM
26	DIRECTORS MINUTES, AND NOW YOU'RE SAYING BOARD OF	
27	DIRECTORS CONTACTS. NO I DON'T REMEMBER A BLANKET	
28	STATEMENT OF ANYTHING ELSE.	

1	WHAT I WAS LOOKING FOR WAS CLIENT	
2	CONTACTS AND THE LIST OF HOLDINGS, BASICALLY.	
3	Q SO YOU DO YOU DENY THAT YOU TOLD	
4	MR. SANTA ANA TO GET ANYTHING ELSE YOU THINK WE WOULD	
5	NEED?	09:11AM
6	A I DON'T THINK I SAID THAT. I DON'T REMEMBER	
7	SAYING THAT.	
8	Q DO YOU DENY IT, SIR?	
9	A YES.	
10	Q I'LL JUST ASK WE'VE HAD TESTIMONY HERE	09:11AM
11	ABOUT DOWNLOADING OF VARIOUS KINDS OF INFORMATION.	
12	DO YOU, SIR, TAKE ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR	
13	THE FACT THAT THAT WAS DONE?	
14	MR. HELM: ARGUMENTATIVE.	
15	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	09:11AM
16	BY MR. QUINN:	
17	Q TO GET BACK TO THE REMEDIATION PROGRAM THAT	
18	YOU TESTIFIED ABOUT, IT IS TRUE THAT THAT WAS AN HONOR	
19	PROGRAM?	
20	MR. HELM: ARGUMENTATIVE, VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS.	09:12AM
21	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
22	THE WITNESS: I DON'T KNOW IF I CALL IT ON	
23	HONOR PROGRAM. CERTAINLY PEOPLE HAD TO COOPERATE WITH	
24	IT.	
25	IF SOMEBODY WANTED TO, YOU KNOW, NOT	09:12AM
26	REVEAL A DEVICE THAT THEY HAD, THEN IT'S IMPOSSIBLE TO	
27	KIND OF KNOW. SO IN CERTAIN SENSE, IT HAD TO BE AN	
28	HONOR PROGRAM, I THINK.	

1	Q IS IT TRUE, SIR, PEOPLE WERE GIVEN ADVANCE	
2	NOTICE THAT THE REMEDIATION PEOPLE WOULD BE COMING TO	
3	TALK TO THEM?	
4	A I DON'T REMEMBER.	
5	I REMEMBER SOMEONE JUST COMING BY WITH	09:12AM
6	ME AND SAYING, CAN WE DO THIS NOW? AND I SAID, YEAH.	
7	BUT I DON'T KNOW I CAN'T SPEAK TO	
8	EVERYBODY ELSE.	
9	Q IN RESPONSE TO MR. HELM'S QUESTIONS, YOU	
10	REFERRED TO MR. MAYBERRY HAVING TURNED IN A HARD DRIVE.	09:12AM
11	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	
12	A YES.	
13	Q THE ISSUE WAS, DO YOU RECALL THERE WAS AN	
14	ISSUE ABOUT A FLASH DRIVE THAT HE WAS NEVER ABLE TO	
15	HE SAYS WAS LOST.	09:13AM
16	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	
17	A YES, THERE WAS AN ISSUE ABOUT A FLASH DRIVE.	
18	AND IT'S AND HE SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE IT, AND THAT	
19	TURNED OUT THAT HE WASN'T QUITE RIGHT, THAT HE HAD LOST	
20	IT.	09:13AM
21	Q ALL RIGHT.	
22	AND THAT NEVER DID TURN UP, CORRECT?	
23	A I DON'T THINK SO.	
24	Q AND MR DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT	
25	MR. JOEL DAMIANI RAN A SECURE DELETE PROGRAM TO DESTROY	09:13AM
26	6400 DOCUMENTS, JUST BEFORE HIS REMEDIATION INTERVIEW?	
27	A WE LOOKED INTO THAT, AND WE DETERMINED THEY	

WERE NOT TCW FILES.

1	Q AND YOU WERE ABLE TO DETERMINE THAT AFTER HE	
2	RAN THE SECURITY DELETE SOFTWARE?	
3	A THAT'S WHAT MY TECH PEOPLE SAY, YES.	
4	Q YOU'RE AWARE OF TESTIMONY OF EXPERTS IN THIS	
5	CASE THAT AFTER THE SECURE DELETE SOFTWARE IS RUN, IT'S	09:14AM
6	NOT POSSIBLE TO DETERMINE WHAT THE DOCUMENTS ARE?	
7	MR. HELM: LACKS FOUNDATION.	
8	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
9	BY MR. QUINN:	
10	Q YESTERDAY YOU SAID THAT J.P. WAS DISCIPLINED	09:14AM
11	IN HIS EMPLOYMENT, WAS TERMINATED IN THE SUMMER OF	
12	2009.	
13	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	
14	A I RECALL SAYING THE QUESTION I WAS	
15	ANSWERING WAS, WHEN DID I THOUGHT I WAS ANSWERING	09:14AM
16	WHEN DID WE SUSPEND J.P., AND YOU KNOW, FOR NOT BEING	
17	TRUTHFUL ABOUT THE FILES.	
18	AND I THOUGHT THAT WAS EARLY SEPTEMBER,	
19	AND THAT'S WHAT I TESTIFIED TO.	
20	Q YOU SAID SUMMER, YESTERDAY.	09:14AM
21	A I THINK EARLY SEPTEMBER IS SUMMER.	
22	Q SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, IT PROBABLY IS?	
23	A I THINK ANYWHERE	
24	Q HIS EMPLOYMENT WAS NOT TERMINATED UNTIL	
25	NOVEMBER; ISN'T THAT TRUE?	09:14AM
26	A YEAH. BUT HE WAS SUSPENDED, AND NEVER CAME	
27	BACK FOR A COUPLE MONTHS, BEFORE THE TERMINATION WAS	

PROCESSED.

1	Q AND HE WAS HIS EMPLOYMENT WASN'T TERMINATED	
2	UNTIL AFTER HIS DEPOSITION WAS COMPLETED; ISN'T THAT	
3	TRUE?	
4	A I DON'T KNOW.	
5	Q YOU KEPT HIM AT DOUBLE LINE UNTIL HE HAD	09:15AM
6	FINISHED TESTIFYING AND GIVING HIS DEPOSITION, TRUE?	
7	MR. HELM: LACKS FOUNDATION, YOUR HONOR.	
8	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
9	BY MR. QUINN:	
10	Q AND ISN'T IT TRUE THAT DOUBLE LINE ACTUALLY	09:15AM
11	MADE A PAYMENT TO J.P.?	
12	MR. HELM: RELEVANCE, YOUR HONOR.	
13	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
14	MR. BRIAN: YOUR HONOR, MAY WE APPROACH?	
15	THE COURT: NOT UNLESS WE'RE GOING TO GO	09:15AM
16	FURTHER DOWN THIS LINE OF QUESTIONING.	
17	MR. QUINN: NO. I'M GOING TO A DIFFERENT	
18	SUBJECT.	
19	THE COURT: OKAY. WE DON'T NEED TO APPROACH.	
20	THANK YOU.	09:15AM
21	BY MR. QUINN:	
22	Q IF WE CAN SHOW YOU EXHIBIT 719.	
23	IS THIS A COPY OF A DOUBLE LINE	
24	THIS IS IN EVIDENCE?	
25	MR. MADISON: YES.	09:16AM
26	MR. QUINN: ALL RIGHT.	
27	Q THIS IS IN EVIDENCE, I'M TOLD, YOUR HONOR.	
28	THIS IS A DOUBLE LINE PRESENTATION.	

1	A IT SAYS ONE-ON-ONE PRESENTATION FOR	
2	NEW HAMPSHIRE RETIREMENT SYSTEM ON IT.	
3	BUT WE NEVER USED IT.	
4	I DID THAT MEETING MYSELF. I DIDN'T USE	
5	ANY OF THE MATERIALS.	09:16AM
6	Q WHEN DID YOU DO THIS MEETING, SIR?	
7	A IN JANUARY OF 2010.	
8	Q SO IT WOULDN'T BE THERE WAS SOME MARKETING	
9	THAT YOU WERE DOING IN EARLY 2010 AT DOUBLE LINE, TRUE?	
10	A IT WASN'T MARKETING. THIS HAD BEEN AN	09:16AM
11	INVESTOR OF MINE, AND THEY WANTED TO TALK ABOUT WHAT	
12	HAD HAPPENED.	
13	Q AND YOU PREPARED THIS BROCHURE DESCRIBING	
14	DOUBLE LINE, ITS M.B.S. STRATEGY.	
15	IF YOU LOOK AT THE TABLE OF CONTENTS,	09:16AM
16	THE NEXT PAGE, CORE FIXED INCOME, GIVING INFORMATION	
17	ABOUT THE BUSINESS, THE PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONAL	
18	STRUCTURE.	
19	MR. HELM: LACKS FOUNDATION ABOUT WHO PREPARED	
20	IT.	09:17AM
21	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
22	YOU CAN EXPLAIN.	
23	THE WITNESS: I DIDN'T PREPARE THIS.	
24	I DID THE MEETINGS. I USED NO	
25	MATERIALS.	09:17AM
26	Q I WAS REALLY JUST ADDRESSING THIS DOCUMENT,	
27	SIR, EXHIBIT 719.	

I MEAN, THIS IS A DOCUMENT DESCRIBING

1	DOUBLE LINE AND WHAT IT HAS TO OFFER PROSPECTIVE	
2	CLIENTS.	
3	WOULD YOU AGREE WITH THAT?	
4	A I DON'T KNOW. I MEAN, WE HAVE TO LOOK AT IT.	
5	Q YOU HAVE A COPY THERE, I THINK.	09:17AM
6	MR. HELM: YOUR HONOR, THE DOCUMENT SPEAKS FOR	
7	ITSELF.	
8	THE COURT: IT'S BEEN ADMITTED.	
9	MR. QUINN: ALL RIGHT.	
10	Q LET ME JUST ASK, SIR	09:17AM
11	A THERE'S AN AWFUL LOT OF GENERIC MARKET	
12	INFORMATION HERE.	
13	THE COURT: I DON'T THINK THERE ARE ANY	
14	QUESTIONS PENDING, MR. GUNDLACH.	
15	THE WITNESS: I'M SORRY.	09:17AM
16	THE COURT: GET A QUESTION.	
17	BY MR. QUINN:	
18	Q SO WELL, YOU INDICATE YOU DID THIS MEETING IN	
19	JANUARY OF 2010; IS THAT CORRECT?	
20	A YES.	09:18AM
21	Q AND THEN BRIEFLY, YOU'VE MADE SOME COMMENTS	
22	ABOUT THE MET WEST FIRM.	
23	I MEAN, WE TALKED BEFORE ABOUT THE FACT	
24	MET WEST WON THE MORNING STAR AWARD IN 2005.	
25	ARE YOU AWARE THEY WERE NOMINATED FOR	09:18AM
26	THE MORNING STAR AWARD IN 2000, 2007, 2009?	
27	A NO. BUT THERE'S NO REASON TO DISAGREE	

TO THINK THAT'S NOT RIGHT.

1	Q AND?	
2	A MET WEST HAD GOOD EXPERTISE IN GENERALIZED	
3	FIXED INCOME. I AGREE WITH THAT. THEY WERE NEVER	
4	GIVEN AN AWARD FOR MORTGAGE BACKED SECURITIES	
5	MANAGEMENT, FAR AS I KNOW, FOR ANY PORTFOLIO	09:18AM
6	Q THIS IS THE SAME <i>MORNING STAR</i> FIXED INCOME	
7	AWARD WHICH YOU RECEIVED, CORRECT?	
8	A NO. I RECEIVED A DIFFERENT YEAR.	
9	Q YES.	
10	IT WAS A DIFFERENT YEAR.	09:18AM
11	BUT THE AWARD YOU GOT WAS THE MORNING	
12	STAR AWARD FOR FIXED INCOME, CORRECT?	
13	A WELL, YES. I WAS ALSO NOMINATED FOR FIXED	
14	INCOME MANAGER OF THE DECADE OF THE OO'S, AND MET WEST	
15	WAS NOT.	09:19AM
16	Q AND I'M SURE YOU RECEIVED MANY ACCOLADES, SIR.	
17	BUT IT'S TRUE, MORNING STAR AWARD YOU'RE	
18	REFERRING TO IS A FIXED INCOME AWARD, THE SAME AWARD	
19	THAT MET WEST RECEIVED, CORRECT?	
20	MR. HELM: OBJECT TO THE PREAMBLE.	09:19AM
21	THE WITNESS: MET WEST RECEIVED MORNING STAR	
22	FIXED INCOME FOR THEIR DIVERSIFIED FIXED INCOME IN	
23	2005.	
24	BY MR. QUINN:	
25	Q SAME FIXED INCOME AWARD YOU RECEIVED?	09:19AM
26	A NO. I RECEIVED IT A DIFFERENT YEAR.	
27	Q I KNOW.	
28	DIFFERENT YEAR, BUT THE SAME AWARD,	

1	FIXED INCOME?	
2	A YES.	
3	Q THANK YOU.	
4	AND ACTUALLY, MET WEST WAS NOMINATED FOR	
5	THE MORNING STAR FIXED INCOME AWARD FOR 2010, THE YEAR	09:19AM
6	AFTER THEY CAME TO TCW; ISN'T THAT TRUE?	
7	A NOT FOR THE TCW TOTAL RETURN BOND FUND, THEY	
8	WEREN'T. NOT FOR THE MORTGAGE STRATEGY, THEY WEREN'T.	
9	Q SIR, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT MET WEST WAS NOMINATED	
10	FOR THE MORNING STAR FIXED INCOME AWARD FOR 2010?	09:20AM
11	A YES, IT IS. AND	
12	MR. HELM: ASKED AND ANSWERED.	
13	THE COURT: OKAY.	
14	THE WITNESS: NOT FOR THE MORTGAGE STRATEGY.	
15	THE COURT: YOU'VE ANSWERED THE QUESTION, AND	09:20AM
16	YOU'VE ASKED THE QUESTION.	
17	LET'S HAVE ANOTHER.	
18	THE WITNESS: AND MY PERFORMANCE WAS A LOT	
19	BETTER.	
20	BY MR. QUINN:	09:20AM
21	Q WELL, SIR, WE'LL TALK ABOUT YOUR PERFORMANCE.	
22	YOU ARE AWARE MET WEST BROUGHT OVER TO	
23	TCW, SOME \$30 BILLION IN ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT?	
24	A NO. I DON'T KNOW THAT.	
25	Q DO YOU KNOW THAT THE LARGEST SINGLE STRATEGY,	09:20AM
26	THE LARGEST SINGLE AREA IN WHICH THEY ADVISED, WAS	
27	MORTGAGES?	

I DON'T KNOW THAT.

28

Α

FIXED INCOME FIRM, AS A PERCENTAGE BASIS. Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE ASSETS THAT TOW HAS UNDER MANAGEMENT TODAY ARE LARGER THAN WHAT THEY WERE BEFORE YOU LEFT TOW? A I DON'T KNOW THAT. Q YOU DON'T KNOW, ONE WAY OR THE OTHER? A WELL, I DON'T KNOW. I KNOW THEY'RE COUNTING ASSETS THAT REALLY AREN'T THERE. THEY'RE COMPARING THOMAS'S ASSETS AND MARC ATTANASIO'S ASSETS. AND THEY'RE NOT REALLY MANAGING THOSE ASSETS. I THINK IT'S DISINGENUOUS. Q SO YOU DO KNOW, YOU DO HAVE INFORMATION ON TOWN TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TOW TODAY? A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 1: BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER.			
OVER 18 BILLION IN MORTGAGES? A THAT'S ALMOST A MARKET WAITING. THAT'S NOT UNUSUAL FOR, A DIVERSIFIED FIXED INCOME FIRM, AS A PERCENTAGE BASIS. Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE ASSETS THAT TCW HAS UNDER MANAGEMENT TODAY ARE LARGER THAN WHAT THEY WERE BEFORE YOU LEFT TCW? A I DON'T KNOW THAT. Q YOU DON'T KNOW, ONE WAY OR THE OTHER? A WELL, I DON'T KNOW. I KNOW THEY'RE COUNTING ASSETS THAT REALLY AREN'T THERE. THEY'RE COMPARING THOMAS'S ASSET AND MARC ATTANASIO'S ASSETS. AND THEY'RE NOT REALLY MANAGING THOSE ASSETS. I THINK IT'S DISINGENUOUS. Q SO YOU DO KNOW, YOU DO HAVE INFORMATION ON TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TCW TODAY? A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 13 BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	1	Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THEY BROUGHT, OF	
THAT'S ALMOST A MARKET WAITING. THAT'S NOT UNUSUAL FOR, A DIVERSIFIED FIXED INCOME FIRM, AS A PERCENTAGE BASIS. Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE ASSETS THAT TCW HAS UNDER MANAGEMENT TODAY ARE LARGER THAN WHAT THEY WERE BEFORE YOU LEFT TCW? A I DON'T KNOW THAT. Q YOU DON'T KNOW, ONE WAY OR THE OTHER? A WELL, I DON'T KNOW. I KNOW THEY'RE COUNTING ASSETS THAT REALLY AREN'T THERE. THEY'RE COMPARING THOMAS'S ASSET AND THEY'RE NOT REALLY MANAGING THOSE ASSETS. I THINK IT'S DISINGENUOUS. Q SO YOU DO KNOW, YOU DO HAVE INFORMATION ON TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TCW TODAY? A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 1: BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	2	THE 30 BILLION THEY BROUGHT OVER, THAT THEY BROUGHT	
THAT'S NOT UNUSUAL FOR, A DIVERSIFIED FIXED INCOME FIRM, AS A PERCENTAGE BASIS. Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE ASSETS THAT TCW HAS UNDER MANAGEMENT TODAY ARE LARGER THAN WHAT THEY WERE BEFORE YOU LEFT TCW? A I DON'T KNOW THAT. Q YOU DON'T KNOW, ONE WAY OR THE OTHER? A WELL, I DON'T KNOW. I KNOW THEY'RE COUNTING ASSETS THAT REALLY AREN'T THERE. THEY'RE COMPARING THOMAS'S ASSETS AND THEY'RE NOT REALLY MANAGING THOSE ASSETS. I THINK IT'S DISINGENUOUS. Q SO YOU DO KNOW, YOU DO HAVE INFORMATION ON TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TCW TODAY? A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 13 BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	3	OVER 18 BILLION IN MORTGAGES?	
FIXED INCOME FIRM, AS A PERCENTAGE BASIS. Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE ASSETS THAT TOW HAS UNDER MANAGEMENT TODAY ARE LARGER THAN WHAT THEY WERE BEFORE YOU LEFT TOW? A I DON'T KNOW THAT. Q YOU DON'T KNOW, ONE WAY OR THE OTHER? A WELL, I DON'T KNOW. I KNOW THEY'RE COUNTING ASSETS THAT REALLY AREN'T THERE. THEY'RE COMPARING THOMAS'S ASSETS AND MARC ATTANASIO'S ASSETS. AND THEY'RE NOT REALLY MANAGING THOSE ASSETS. I THINK IT'S DISINGENUOUS. Q SO YOU DO KNOW, YOU DO HAVE INFORMATION ON TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TOW TODAY? A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 1: BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	4	A THAT'S ALMOST A MARKET WAITING.	
Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE ASSETS THAT TCW HAS UNDER MANAGEMENT TODAY ARE LARGER THAN WHAT THEY WERE BEFORE YOU LEFT TCW? A I DON'T KNOW THAT. Q YOU DON'T KNOW, ONE WAY OR THE OTHER? A WELL, I DON'T KNOW. I KNOW THEY'RE COUNTING ASSETS THAT REALLY AREN'T THERE. THEY'RE COMPARING THOMAS'S ASSETS AND MARC ATTANASIO'S ASSETS. AND THEY'RE NOT REALLY MANAGING THOSE ASSETS. I THINK IT'S DISINGENUOUS. Q SO YOU DO KNOW, YOU DO HAVE INFORMATION ON TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TCW TODAY? A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 1: THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 1: BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	5	THAT'S NOT UNUSUAL FOR, A DIVERSIFIED	09:20AM
UNDER MANAGEMENT TODAY ARE LARGER THAN WHAT THEY WERE BEFORE YOU LEFT TCW? A I DON'T KNOW THAT. Q YOU DON'T KNOW, ONE WAY OR THE OTHER? A WELL, I DON'T KNOW. I KNOW THEY'RE COUNTING ASSETS THAT REALLY AREN'T THERE. THEY'RE COMPARING THOMAS'S ASSET AND MARC ATTANASIO'S ASSETS. AND THEY'RE NOT REALLY MANAGING THOSE ASSETS. I THINK IT'S DISINGENUOUS. Q SO YOU DO KNOW, YOU DO HAVE INFORMATION ON TO TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TCW TODAY? A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 13 BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	6	FIXED INCOME FIRM, AS A PERCENTAGE BASIS.	
BEFORE YOU LEFT TCW? A I DON'T KNOW THAT. Q YOU DON'T KNOW, ONE WAY OR THE OTHER? A WELL, I DON'T KNOW. I KNOW THEY'RE COUNTING ASSETS THAT REALLY AREN'T THERE. THEY'RE COMPARING THOMAS'S ASSET AND MARC ATTANASIO'S ASSETS. AND THEY'RE NOT REALLY MANAGING THOSE ASSETS. I THINK IT'S DISINGENUOUS. Q SO YOU DO KNOW, YOU DO HAVE INFORMATION ON TO TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TCW TODAY? A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 13 BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	7	Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE ASSETS THAT TCW HAS	
10 A I DON'T KNOW THAT. 11 Q YOU DON'T KNOW, ONE WAY OR THE OTHER? 12 A WELL, I DON'T KNOW. 13 I KNOW THEY'RE COUNTING ASSETS THAT 14 REALLY AREN'T THERE. THEY'RE COMPARING THOMAS'S ASSET 15 AND MARC ATTANASIO'S ASSETS. 16 AND THEY'RE NOT REALLY MANAGING THOSE 17 ASSETS. I THINK IT'S DISINGENUOUS. 18 Q SO YOU DO KNOW, YOU DO HAVE INFORMATION ON TOWN TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TCW TODAY? 20 A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. 21 BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. 22 I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 13 23 BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT 24 BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE 25 MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO 26 MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. 27 I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	8	UNDER MANAGEMENT TODAY ARE LARGER THAN WHAT THEY WERE	
11 Q YOU DON'T KNOW, ONE WAY OR THE OTHER? 12 A WELL, I DON'T KNOW. 13 I KNOW THEY'RE COUNTING ASSETS THAT 14 REALLY AREN'T THERE. THEY'RE COMPARING THOMAS'S ASSETS 15 AND MARC ATTANASIO'S ASSETS. 16 AND THEY'RE NOT REALLY MANAGING THOSE 17 ASSETS. I THINK IT'S DISINGENUOUS. 18 Q SO YOU DO KNOW, YOU DO HAVE INFORMATION ON TO TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TCW TODAY? 20 A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE 21 BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. 22 I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 13 23 BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT 24 BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE 25 MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO 26 MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. 27 I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	9	BEFORE YOU LEFT TCW?	
12 A WELL, I DON'T KNOW. 13 I KNOW THEY'RE COUNTING ASSETS THAT 14 REALLY AREN'T THERE. THEY'RE COMPARING THOMAS'S ASSETS 15 AND MARC ATTANASIO'S ASSETS. 16 AND THEY'RE NOT REALLY MANAGING THOSE 17 ASSETS. I THINK IT'S DISINGENUOUS. 18 Q SO YOU DO KNOW, YOU DO HAVE INFORMATION ON TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TCW TODAY? 20 A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE 21 BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. 22 I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 13 23 BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT 24 BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE 25 MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO 26 MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. 27 I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	10	A I DON'T KNOW THAT.	09:21AM
I KNOW THEY'RE COUNTING ASSETS THAT REALLY AREN'T THERE. THEY'RE COMPARING THOMAS'S ASSETS AND MARC ATTANASIO'S ASSETS. AND THEY'RE NOT REALLY MANAGING THOSE ASSETS. I THINK IT'S DISINGENUOUS. Q SO YOU DO KNOW, YOU DO HAVE INFORMATION ON TO TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TCW TODAY? A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 13 BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	11	Q YOU DON'T KNOW, ONE WAY OR THE OTHER?	
REALLY AREN'T THERE. THEY'RE COMPARING THOMAS'S ASSETS. AND MARC ATTANASIO'S ASSETS. AND THEY'RE NOT REALLY MANAGING THOSE ASSETS. I THINK IT'S DISINGENUOUS. Q SO YOU DO KNOW, YOU DO HAVE INFORMATION ON TO TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TCW TODAY? A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 13 BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	12	A WELL, I DON'T KNOW.	
AND MARC ATTANASIO'S ASSETS. AND THEY'RE NOT REALLY MANAGING THOSE ASSETS. I THINK IT'S DISINGENUOUS. Q SO YOU DO KNOW, YOU DO HAVE INFORMATION ON TO TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TCW TODAY? A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 13 BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	13	I KNOW THEY'RE COUNTING ASSETS THAT	
AND THEY'RE NOT REALLY MANAGING THOSE ASSETS. I THINK IT'S DISINGENUOUS. Q SO YOU DO KNOW, YOU DO HAVE INFORMATION ON TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TCW TODAY? A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 13 BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	14	REALLY AREN'T THERE. THEY'RE COMPARING THOMAS'S ASSETS	
ASSETS. I THINK IT'S DISINGENUOUS. Q SO YOU DO KNOW, YOU DO HAVE INFORMATION ON TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TCW TODAY? A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 13 BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	15	AND MARC ATTANASIO'S ASSETS.	09:21AM
Q SO YOU DO KNOW, YOU DO HAVE INFORMATION ON TO TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TCW TODAY? A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 13 BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	16	AND THEY'RE NOT REALLY MANAGING THOSE	
TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TCW TODAY? A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 13 BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	17	ASSETS. I THINK IT'S DISINGENUOUS.	
A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 13 BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	18	Q SO YOU DO KNOW, YOU DO HAVE INFORMATION ON THE	
BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION. I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 13 BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	19	TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT AT TCW TODAY?	
I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 12 BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	20	A I DON'T KNOW HAVE IT UP-TO-DATE. THEY'VE	09:21AM
BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	21	BEEN I DON'T PAY THAT CLOSE ATTENTION.	
BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	22	I THINK THEY'VE BEEN REPORTING LIKE 115	
MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	23	BILLION, 120 BILLION. AND I THINK ENOUGH OF THAT	
26 MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER. 27 I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	24	BELONGS TO WHAT BLAIR THOMAS AND MARK ATTANASIO ARE	
I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	25	MANAGING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY STILL COUNTING TODAY, TO	09:21AM
	26	MAKE A KIND OF A A TO OVERSTATE THE NUMBER.	
28 STUFF THEY'RE COMBINING FOR MET WEST, ARE LESS WHAT	27	I THINK THAT THE ASSETS, EVEN WITH THE	
	28	STUFF THEY'RE COMBINING FOR MET WEST, ARE LESS WHAT	

THEY WERE WHEN I WAS THERE, THAN WHAT THEY WERE WHEN I 1 2 WAS THERE, IF YOU DO IT HONESTLY. 3 I TAKE YOU DON'T ACTUALLY HAVE PERSONAL 4 KNOWLEDGE OF WHAT THE ASSETS ARE UNDER MANAGEMENT THAT 5 TCW HAS AND IS INCLUDED IN THAT NUMBER? THAT IS YOUR 09:22AM 6 SPECULATION? 7 I THINK I'VE SEEN IT ON THE WEBSITE. THEY'RE STILL INCLUDING THOSE, BUT I HAVEN'T LOOKED AT THOSE 8 9 ASSETS. I HAVEN'T LOOKED AT IT LATELY. 10 Q OKAY. 09:22AM 11 YOU WERE ASKED QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS KEY 12 MAN PROVISION. AND MR. HELM INTRODUCED A DESCRIPTION 1.3 AGREEMENT AND THE PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS FOR THE TWO 14 SPECIAL MORTGAGE CREDIT FUNDS, YESTERDAY. 15 DO YOU RECALL THAT? 09:22AM 16 Α YES. 17 AND YOU -- WE TALKED WITH MR. HELM. 18 AND WHEN I TALKED TO YOU PREVIOUSLY 19 ABOUT YOUR STATEMENTS TO INVESTORS IN DECEMBER, THAT 2.0 THERE'S NO ONE MANAGING THE FUNDS, AND YOU EXPLAINED TO 09:22AM 21 US THAT IT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT THE FUNDS WENT 22 INTO A FREEZE WHEN THE KEY PERSON LEFT, THAT WAS YOUR 23 UNDERSTANDING, RIGHT? 24 A THAT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING. 25 IF WE COULD TAKE A LOOK AT EXHIBIT 5069-1. 09:23AM 26 AND THIS IS THE AMENDED AND RESTATED 2.7 PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT FOR THE TCW SPECIAL MORTGAGE

CREDIT FUND TWO, WHICH WAS -- YOU INTRODUCED INTO

1	EVIDENCE WITH MR. HELM YESTERDAY.	
2	I'D ASK YOU TO LOOK, PLEASE, AT PAGE	
3	DASH 12.	
4	DO YOU SEE THERE, IN THE MIDDLE OF THE	
5	PAGE, A DEFINITION OF KEY PERSONS? DO YOU SEE THAT,	09:23AM
6	SIR?	
7	A YES.	
8	Q AND YOU AND MR. LUCIDO ARE IDENTIFIED AS THE	
9	KEY PERSONS?	
10	A YES.	09:23AM
11	Q AND THEN ON PAGE DASH 41, IT DESCRIBES WHAT	
12	HAPPENS IN THE EVENT OF A KEY PERSON TERMINATION.	
13	IT'S PRETTY DENSE LANGUAGE. BUT IF WE	
14	LOOK JUST THAT FIRST ONE LITTLE "I" AT THE TOP. YOU	
15	SEE THAT?	09:24AM
16	A I SEE THE LITTLE "I."	
17	Q IT SAYS:	
18	IF AT ANY TIME NEITHER OF THE KEY	
19	PERSONS IS RESPONSIBLE FOR	
20	MANAGEMENT OF THE FUND, AND THE	09:24AM
21	ADVISORY COMMITTEE SHALL NOT HAVE	
22	APPROVED A QUALIFIED REPLACEMENT	
23	WITHIN 90 DAYS THEREAFTER, THEN 66	
24	AND 2/3 IN INTEREST OF THE LIMITED	
25	PARTNERS MAY ELECT TO TERMINATE THE	09:24AM
26	INVESTMENT PERIOD.	
27	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
28	A YES.	

1	Q SO WHAT THAT MEANS IS THAT UNLESS BOTH THOSE	
2	THINGS HAPPENED, YOU KNOW, THERE'S BEEN THE KEY MAN	
3	LEAVES, AND THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE DOESN'T APPROVE A	
4	REPLACEMENT WITHIN 90 DAYS, THEN 66 AND 2/3 PERCENT OF	
5	THE INVESTORS CAN VOTE TO STOP TRADING IN THE	09:25AM
6	SECURITIES.	
7	CORRECT?	
8	A IF YOU SAY SO. I OKAY.	
9	Q SO	
10	A IF THAT'S WHAT THAT LANGUAGE MEANS, THAT'S	09:25AM
11	WHAT IT MEANS.	
12	Q YOU TOLD US YOU WERE AN INVESTOR, AND THESE	
13	ARE FUNDS THAT YOU CREATED, CORRECT?	
14	A YEAH. I WAS I CREATED THE INVESTMENT	
15	CONCEPT BEHIND THESE FUNDS, YES.	09:25AM
16	Q YOU WERE PORTFOLIO MANAGER, WHEN YOU WERE AT	
17	TCW?	
18	A YES. I MANAGED THESE FUNDS.	
19	Q AND YOU HAD COPIES OF THESE CONTRACTS, DIDN'T	
20	YOU?	09:25AM
21	A NO. I I HAD THEM.	
22	AND WHEN I WAS FIRED, TCW CONFISCATED	
23	THEM. I STILL DON'T HAVE THEM TODAY.	
24	Q SO YOU WERE IS IT TRUE, YOU WERE ENTIRELY	
25	COMFORTABLE TELLING INVESTORS AND MEMBERS OF THE	09:25AM
26	PUBLIC, IN DECEMBER, WHAT THEIR LEGAL RIGHTS WERE, AND	
27	THAT NOBODY WAS MANAGING THE FUNDS, EVEN THOUGH YOU	
28	DIDN'T UNDERSTAND WHAT THE AGREEMENTS WERE, OR HAVE	

1	COPIES OF THEM?	
2	MR. HELM: ARGUMENTATIVE.	
3	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
4	BY MR. QUINN:	
5	Q WOULD YOU AGREE WITH ME, NOW, SIR, HAVING	09:26AM
6	LOOKED AT THIS, THAT IT WOULD NOT BE TRUE TO SAY THAT	
7	THE FUNDS ARE SUSPENDED UPON TERMINATION OF THE KEY	
8	MAN?	
9	A I WOULDN'T AGREE WITH THAT UNLESS I READ THE	
10	WHOLE DOCUMENT THROUGH, WITH SOMEBODY THAT REALLY	09:26AM
11	UNDERSTANDS ALL THIS LANGUAGE.	
12	SO I'M NOT GOING TO AGREE WITH YOU.	
13	I WAS GIVING THE INVESTORS MY BEST	
14	ADVICE IN AN HONEST AND SINCERE WAY.	
15	IF I WAS WRONG, THEN I WAS WRONG.	09:26AM
16	Q HONEST AND SINCERE WAY?	
17	MR. HELM: HE INTERRUPTED HIM, YOUR HONOR.	
18	THE COURT: LET HIM FINISH.	
19	MR. QUINN: I APOLOGIZE.	
20	THE WITNESS: I WAS GIVING THEM MY BEST	09:26AM
21	ADVICE. I WAS TRYING MY BEST.	
22	BY MR. QUINN:	
23	Q YOU WERE TRYING YOUR BEST TO GET THE INVESTORS	
24	TO LOSE FAITH IN TCW, TO GET THEM TO BREACH THEIR	
25	CONTRACTS, AND TO MOVE OVER TO DOUBLE LINE; ISN'T THAT	09:26AM
26	TRUE?	
27	MR. HELM: ARGUMENTATIVE.	

THE COURT: OVERRULED.

1	THE WITNESS: IT'S NOT TRUE.	
2	THE INVESTORS HAD ALREADY LOST FAITH IN	
3	TCW. THEY WERE ALREADY UP IN ARMS. THEY WERE	
4	CLAMORING FOR INFORMATION. THEY WERE VERY ANGRY WHAT	
5	HAD BEEN DONE TO THEM.	09:27A
6	AND I WAS, TOO, AS AN INVESTOR IN THESE	
7	FUNDS. AND WE WERE TRYING TO FIND A SOLUTION TO THIS	
8	PREDICAMENT THAT TCW HAD THROWN US INTO.	
9	Q SIR, THE WHEN YOU HAVE A KEY MAN, THE FUND	
LO	DOCUMENTS THEMSELVES EXPRESSLY CONTEMPLATE THAT THE KEY	09:27A
L1	MAN MAY LEAVE OR BE TERMINATED; ISN'T THAT TRUE?	
L2	A I DON'T KNOW IF I AGREE WITH THAT.	
L3	I I DON'T THINK THE KEY MAN HERE	
L 4	COULD BE TERMINATED THE WAY IT WAS TERMINATED	
L 5	Q WELL, LET'S LET'S GO BACK AND LOOK AT THAT	09:27A1
L 6	PAGE THAT WE JUST LOOKED AT, PAGE DASH 41.	
L7	YOU SEE THAT SECTION ENTITLED, KEY	
L 8	PERSON TERMINATION?	
L 9	A I SEE THE WORDS, KEY PERSON TERMINATION.	
20	Q I MEAN, YOU UNDERSTOOD, AND YOU UNDERSTAND	09:28A
21	TODAY, YOU UNDERSTOOD THEN, AND YOU UNDERSTAND TODAY,	
22	THAT JUST BECAUSE SOMEBODY STARTS OUT AS THE KEY MAN,	
23	DOESN'T MEAN THEY CAN'T BE REPLACED?	
24	A I'M TALKING ABOUT SOMETHING THAT'S OUTSIDE OF	
25	THIS DOCUMENT.	09:28A
26	I'M TALKING THAT I WAS SUPPOSED TO HAVE	
27	PROTECTIONS AGAINST BEING TERMINATED.	

I'M TALKING ABOUT WHAT YOU TOLD INVESTORS

1	ABOUT THEIR RIGHTS AND THE FUND.	
2	YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT THE KEY PERSON IN	
3	THE FUND COULD BE CHANGED?	
4	A I DON'T KNOW ABOUT THAT.	
5	I WASN'T GIVING INVESTORS LEGAL ADVICE	09:28AM
6	OR ADVISING THEM OF THEIR RIGHTS. THAT'S NOT MY AREA	
7	OF EXPERTISE.	
8	I WAS GIVING THEM MY BEST OPINION ON	
9	WHERE THINGS STOOD.	
10	Q YOU SAY YOU WEREN'T GIVING THEM LEGAL ADVICE,	09:28AM
11	BUT YOU TOLD THEM YOU THOUGHT THE CONTRACTS WERE BLOWN	
12	TO HELL, RIGHT? DIDN'T YOU SAY THAT?	
13	MR. HELM: ARGUMENTATIVE.	
14	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
15	THE WITNESS: I WAS GIVING MY REACTION AS TO	09:29AM
16	HOW I FELT, AS THE INVESTOR.	
17	BY MR. QUINN:	
18	Q YOU SAID:	
19	WE DON'T CARE ABOUT WHAT LEGAL	
20	PREPOSITIONAL PHRASES THAT TCW IS	09:29AM
21	RELYING ON TO SHANGHAI THE FUNDS.	
22	AS FAR AS WE'RE CONCERNED YOU	
23	SAID	
24	I BELIEVE THIS IS IN EVIDENCE NOW, YOUR HONOR.	
25	EXHIBIT 2141. IF WE CAN PUT THAT UP ON THE SCREEN,	09:29AM
26	PAGE DASH 26. 2141-26.	
27	YOU SAY BEGINNING LINE 6:	
0.0		

THEREFORE, WE DON'T CARE WHAT THE

1	DOCUMENTS SAY IN SOME SCHEMED WAY	
2	TO TRY TO SHANGHAI THE FUNDS. WHAT	
3	WE WANT IS THE FUNDS TO BE MANAGED	
4	IN THE MAXIMUM POSSIBLE BENEFIT TO	
5	THE INVESTORS, AND THAT IS DOUBLE	09:30AM
6	LINE. SO IN MY VIEW, WE CAN	
7	PRESSURE TCW WITH LETTERS.	
8	AND IT GOES ON, RIGHT?	
9	A IT GOES ON.	
10	Q AND, SIR, YOU'RE SAYING THAT YOU WERE NOT	09:30AM
11	GIVING LEGAL ADVICE TO INVESTORS?	
12	A I WAS AN INVESTOR IN THESE FUNDS. I WAS	
13	EXPRESSING MY OPINION ABOUT HOW I HAD FELT VIOLATED AS	
14	AN INVESTOR IN THE FUND. AND A GREAT MANY INVESTORS	
15	EXPRESSED THAT TO ME WITHOUT SOLICITATION, THAT SAME	09:30AM
16	OPINION.	
17	Q OKAY.	
18	LET ME CHANGE GEARS NOW.	
19	WE TALKED A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THESE	
20	MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES.	09:30AM
21	JUST REAL SIMPLY, SO THAT WE CAN GET AN	
22	IDEA OF HOW THESE MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES WORK:	
23	WE HAVE HOMEOWNERS WHO ARE PAYING ON	
24	MORTGAGES. THEY PAY PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST, 30-YEAR	
25	FIXED RATE MORTGAGE, TYPICALLY MAKING PAYMENTS OF	09:31AM
26	PRINCIPAL AND INTERESTS.	
27	A THERE'S A LOT OF DIFFERENT MORTGAGES.	
28	Q WHAT IS THOSE, PAYMENTS THAT GO INTO A	

1	MORTGAGE, GO INTO A POOL?	
2	A NO. THEY GET COLLECTED BY THE BANK OR THE	
3	SERVICER.	
4	Q RIGHT.	
5	LET'S REALLY TRY TO SIMPLIFY THIS.	09:31AM
6	THEY END UP IN A POOL, CORRECT? THE	
7	PAYMENTS?	
8	A I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU MEAN BY A POOL	
9	OKAY. THEY GET COLLECTED, AND SOMEBODY	
10	HAS THE PAYMENTS. OKAY.	09:31AM
11	Q OKAY.	
12	THERE'S SOMETHING CALLED A POOL?	
13	A WELL, IT'S CALLED A POOL OF MORTGAGES.	
14	THE PAYMENTS AREN'T POOLS, BUT YOU	
15	KNOW WHAT I MEAN. IT'S NOT VERY IMPORTANT.	09:31AM
16	THE PAYMENTS GET MADE, AND THEN SOMEONE	
17	HAS THE MONEY?	
18	Q OKAY.	
19	AGAIN, THIS IS A REAL	
20	OVERSIMPLIFICATION.	09:32AM
21	BUT YOU THEN HAVE A OUT OF THIS POOL,	
22	THIS POOL BACKS UP BONDS, M.B.S. BONDS THAT ARE ISSUED	
23	AND BACKED UP BY THIS POOL?	
24	A OKAY.	
25	Q GROSS OVERSIMPLIFICATION?	09:32AM
26	A IT'S NOT RIGHT, BUT IT'S I MEAN, OKAY.	
27	IT'S CLOSE ENOUGH, I GUESS.	
28	Q AND WHAT DO YOU CALL THIS, IF THERE IS JUST	

1	STRAIGHT PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST PAYMENTS, AND THERE'S A	
2	PASS-THROUGH TO THE MORTGAGE BOND HOLDERS, PLAIN	
3	VANILLA BOND, WHERE THEY JUST HAVE AN INTEREST IN	
4	PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST PAYMENTS? IS THERE A NAME THAT	
5	YOU HAVE I KNOW YOU'RE AN EXPERT AT THIS, I'M NOT.	09:32AM
6	IS THERE A NAME YOU HAVE FOR THOSE KIND	
7	OF PLAIN VANILLA MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES?	
8	A WE CALL THEM PASS-THROUGHS.	
9	Q OKAY. AND THAT WOULD DESCRIBE ONE OF THESE	
10	PLAIN VANILLA ONES, WHERE THERE'S AN INTEREST, JUST AN	09:33AM
11	INTEREST IN PRINCIPAL IN INTEREST?	
12	MR. HELM: YOUR HONOR, SHOULD HE RETURN TO HIS	
13	SEAT? HE'S CROWDING THE WITNESS HERE.	
14	THE COURT: I DON'T THINK THAT'S THE CASE.	
15	GO AHEAD. DO YOU HAVE ANOTHER QUESTION?	09:33AM
16	BY MR. QUINN:	
17	Q FOR A PLAIN VANILLA ONE, THE MORTGAGE BOND	
18	HOLDER IS JUST LOOKING TO PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST.	
19	IS THERE A NAME FOR THAT?	
20	A WE CALL THEM PASS-THROUGHS.	09:33AM
21	Q OKAY. PASS-THROUGHS.	
22	BUT THERE ARE MUCH MORE COMPLEX AND	
23	EXOTIC KINDS OF MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES; ISN'T THAT	
24	TRUE?	
25	A WELL, THERE'S DIFFERENT ONES.	09:33AM
26	I DON'T THINK I CALL THEM VERY COMPLEX.	
27	Q WELL, WE HAVE SOMEWHERE THE HOMEOWNERS	
28	ARE MAKING PAYMENTS OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST.	

1	AND THEN THESE SMART PEOPLE ON WALL	
2	STREET, FINANCIAL ENGINEERS, ARE ABLE TO DIVIDE THOSE	
3	PAYMENTS UP INTO WHAT THEY CALL TRANCHES, RIGHT?	
4	A YOU'RE NOW TALKING ABOUT A DIFFERENT	
5	COLLATERAL MORTGAGE OBLIGATION BACKED BYPASS THROUGH	09:34AM
6	POOLS, AND THEN THE PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST PAYMENTS CAN	
7	BE DIVERTED TO INVESTORS IN ANY PRIORITY, AS LONG AS	
8	THEY ADD UP TO THE TOTAL.	
9	Q RIGHT.	
10	THEY CAN THEY CAN ACTUALLY DIVIDE	09:34AM
11	THESE THINGS UP, SO THAT INVESTORS WHO WANT TO BUY	
12	BONDS, CAN BUY BONDS THAT ARE ONLY REPRESENT A RIGHT	
13	TO PAYMENTS OF INTEREST, RIGHT?	
14	A IT CAN BE.	
15	Q SO THOSE ARE CALLED IOS?	09:34AM
16	A SOME OF THEM.	
17	Q SO BASICALLY THEY'RE ONLY GETTING, ONLY	
18	LOOKING TO INTERESTS.	
19	AND OTHERS ARE CALLED PO?	
20	A SOME SECURITIES ARE CALLED PRINCIPAL ONLY.	09:35AM
21	YES.	
22	Q PRINCIPAL ONLY.	
23	THEN WE HAVE WHAT ARE CALLED INVERSE	
24	FLOATERS?	
25	A THAT'S THE NAME OF A SECURITY.	09:35AM
26	Q WHAT'S AN INVERSE FLOATER?	
27	A JUST A JUST A SECURITY PAYS PRINCIPAL AND	
28	INTEREST.	

1	BUT THE INTEREST VARIES OVER TIME.	
2	Q AND WE HAVE REVERSE INVERSE FLOATERS?	
3	A NO.	
4	Q OR REVERSE FLOATERS?	
5	A NO.	09:35AM
6	Q WELL, YOU CAN TAKE A FIXED INTEREST PAYMENT,	
7	AND SPLIT IT UP INTO TWO VARIABLES, OR TWO VARIABLE	
8	PAYMENTS, CORRECT?	
9	A YES.	
10	Q SO YOU CAN HAVE AN INVERSE FLOATING RATE AND A	09:35AM
11	FLOATING RATE, RIGHT?	
12	A YES.	
13	Q AN INVERSE FLOATING RATE.	
14	AND YOU CAN BUY BONDS, YOU CAN BUY BONDS	
15	THAT REPRESENT RIGHTS TO PAYMENT STREAMS, JUST BY THESE	09:36AM
16	INDIVIDUAL TRANCHES, CORRECT?	
17	A YES. PRETTY SIMPLE.	
18	Q RIGHT.	
19	EACH OF THESE HAS DIFFERENT	
20	CHARACTERISTICS IN TERMS OF VOLATILITY, DEPENDING UPON	09:36AM
21	THE MARKET?	
22	A NOT NECESSARILY.	
23	BUT DIFFERENT BONDS DO HAVE DIFFERENT	
24	VOLATILITIES, TREASURY BONDS HAVE DIFFERENT	
25	VOLATILITIES. CORPORATION BONDS HAVE DIFFERENT	09:36AM
26	VOLATILITIES. DIFFERENT MORTGAGES HAVE DIFFERENT	
27	VOLATILITIES, DIFFERENT CMO'S.	
28	IT'S JUST FUNDAMENTAL TO INVESTORS;	

1	DIFFERENT SECURITIES HAVE DIFFERENT VOLATILITIES.	
2	Q THESE ARE DIFFERENT RISK PROFILES	
3	A VOL	
4	Q EACH OF THESE DIFFERENT KINDS OF WHAT YOU CALL	
5	CMO'S, THEY HAVE DIFFERENT RISK, CORRECT?	09:36AM
6	A EVERY SECURITY, STOCK, BOND, COMMODITY, HAS	
7	DIFFERENT RISK PROFILE THAN OTHER SECURITIES.	
8	TRUE FOR MORTGAGES, AS WELL.	
9	Q ALL RIGHT.	
10	AND YOU CALL THESE CMO'S, RIGHT?	09:37AM
11	A IT'S CALLED WHAT'S CALLED CMO.	
12	Q YOU USED THE TERM CMO A MOMENT AGO?	
13	A CMO IS A VERY LARGE SUBSECTOR OF THE	
14	GUARANTEED MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES MARKET. AND ALL	
15	NONGUARANTEED MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES ARE LEGALLY	09:37AM
16	CMO'S.	
17	Q ALL RIGHT.	
18	SO IT'S TRUE, ISN'T IT, THAT IF YOU'RE	
19	INVESTING IN THESE KINDS OF THINGS, THESE TYPES OF, YOU	
20	CALL THEM CMO, SOME PEOPLE CALL THEM MORTGAGE	09:37AM
21	DERIVATIVES; IS THAT TRUE?	
22	A SOME TEAM PEOPLE USE THAT TERM INCORRECTLY;	
23	BUT, YES.	
24	Q SOME PEOPLE INCORRECTLY USE THE TERM, MORTGAGE	
25	DERIVATIVE.	09:37AM
26	IF YOU'RE INVESTING IN THESE, YOU REALLY	
27	NEED TO KNOW WHAT YOU'RE DOING; AGREE WITH THAT?	

YOU NEED TO KNOW WHAT YOU'RE INVESTING IN

28

Α

1	ANYTHING.	
2	Q AS OPPOSED TO PLAIN VANILLA MORTGAGE-BACKED	
3	SECURITIES, A MOMENT AGO, THESE ARE MUCH MORE COMPLEX.	
4	THESE ARE MUCH MORE EXOTIC SECURITIES,	
5	ISN'T THAT TRUE?	09:38AM
6	A NO. NO, THEY'RE NOT NO.	
7	Q SIR?	
8	A THEY'RE THEY'RE JUST DIFFERENT SECURITIES.	
9	AND YOU EITHER UNDERSTAND THEM OR YOU DON'T.	
10	Q SIR, YOU WERE COMPARING THE DOUBLE LINE TOTAL	09:38AM
11	RETURN BOND FUND TO THE TCW TOTAL RETURNED BOND FUND,	
12	IN RESPONSE TO MR. HELM'S QUESTIONS.	
13	DO YOU RECALL THOSE?	
14	A YES.	
15	Q THE DOUBLE LINE TOTAL RETURN BOND FUND IS	09:38AM
16	TURBO CHARGED, AND RISKIER, BECAUSE YOU HAVE FAR MORE	
17	OF THESE CMOS, OR WHAT SOME PEOPLE CALL MORTGAGE	
18	DERIVATIVES THAT ARE IN THE TCW TOTAL RETURN BOND FUND;	
19	IS THAT TRUE?	
20	MR. HELM: ARGUMENTATIVE.	09:38AM
21	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
22	THE WITNESS: WITH RESPECT, YOU DON'T KNOW	
23	WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT.	
24	BY MR. QUINN:	
25	Q OKAY. SIR, WHAT PERCENTAGE OF THE MORTGAGES	09:39AM
26	IN THE DOUBLE LINE TOTAL RETURN BOND FUND ARE WHAT YOU	
27	CALL CMO'S?	
28	A I DON'T KNOW, OFFHAND.	

1	Q IT'S ABOUT 20 PERCENT?	
2	A 20 PERCENT CMO'S?	
3	Q YES?	
4	A NO, I DON'T THINK THAT'S RIGHT. I THINK IT'S	
5	HIGHER THAN THAT.	09:39AM
6	Q 20 PERCENT MORTGAGE DERIVATIVES?	
7	A WE HAVE NO. I DON'T KNOW I	
8	CMO'S IS A CATEGORY OF MORTGAGE-BACKED	
9	SECURITIES. THEY CAN BE LESS RISKY OR MORE RISKY.	
10	REAL MEASURE OF RISK IS THE VOLATILITY	09:39AM
11	OF THE FUND. AND THE VOLATILITY OF THE DOUBLE LINE	
12	TOTAL RETURN FUND IS VIRTUALLY IDENTICAL TO THE	
13	VOLATILITY OF THE TCW TOTAL RETURN BOND FUND.	
14	THE RISK IS NOT GREATER.	
15	Q SIR?	09:39AM
16	A YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT.	
17	Q IT MAY WELL BE.	
18	I'M JUST ASKING A QUESTION NOW: WHAT	
19	PERCENTAGE OF THE MORTGAGES IN THE TCW FUND ARE THESE	
20	IOS, INVERSE FLOATERS, FLOATING RATE, INVERSE FLOATING	09:40AM
21	RATE WHAT PERCENTAGE OF THE MORTGAGES ARE THOSE?	
22	A MAYBE TEN PERCENT.	
23	Q CLOSER TO 20?	
24	A NO.	
25	YOU HAVE TO UNDERSTAND THAT SOME OF	09:40AM
26	THESE SECURITIES ARE PUT TOGETHER IN COMBINATION. IF	
27	YOU PUT THEM TOGETHER IN COMBINATION, THEY ARE, ONCE	
28	AGAIN, A PASS-THROUGH.	

1	SO IF YOU BUY LET'S SAY YOU BUY AN	
2	I.O. AND A P.O., MR. QUINN, AND YOU HAVE A PORTFOLIO,	
3	50 PERCENT IOS AND 50 PERCENT P.O., IT'S 100 PERCENT	
4	MORTGAGE DERIVATIVES, AS YOU LIKE TO CALL THEM.	
5	THAT PORTFOLIO IS EXACTLY THE SAME AS A	09:40AM
6	GENERIC MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH.	
7	YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE TALKING	
8	ABOUT.	
9	Q OKAY.	
10	WHAT IS THE PERCENTAGE OF THESE IOS,	09:40AM
11	POS, INVERSE FLOATERS AND FLOATING RATE SECURITIES, IN	
12	THE TCW TOTAL RETURN BOND FUND?	
13	A HOW DO I KNOW?	
14	Q LIKE THREE PERCENT?	
15	A I DON'T KNOW.	09:41AM
16	WHEN I MANAGED IT, IT WAS QUITE SIMILAR	
17	TO HOW I'M MANAGING DOUBLE LINE TOTAL RETURN BOND FUND.	
18	IF WHAT TCW IS DOING IS DIFFERENT, THEN	
19	THEY'RE VIOLATING WHAT THEY TOLD INVESTORS IN 2009,	
20	WHICH IF THEY WERE GOING TO INVEST THE FUND IN EXACTLY	09:41AM
21	THE SAME MANNER AS GUNDLACH STRATEGY. THAT'S WHAT THEY	
22	TOLD INVESTORS.	
23	IF YOU'RE SAYING THEY'RE DOING IT	
24	DIFFERENTLY, SO BE IT. BUT THAT MEANS THEY'RE NOT	
25	DOING WHAT THEY WERE GOING TO SAY THEY WERE GOING TO	09:41AM
26	DO.	
27	Q SIR, YOU HAVE HAD SOME WHEN YOU WERE AT	

TCW, YOU HAD SOME INVESTMENTS THAT WORKED, THAT

1	INVOLVED THESE CMO'S, I.O., INVERSE FLOATERS AND THE	
2	LIKE, THAT LOST LOTS AND LOTS OF MONEY; ISN'T THAT	
3	TRUE?	
4	A WHEN?	
5	Q WE'LL GET TO THAT.	09:41AM
6	ISN'T IT TRUE, SIR, THAT IN GOOD TIMES,	
7	THESE CAN WORK GREAT. BUT YOUR OWN PERSONAL EXPERIENCE	
8	IS, OTHER TIMES, YOU CAN LOSE LOTS AND LOTS OF MONEY	
9	WITH THESE CMO'S OR MORTGAGE DERIVATIVES; ISN'T THAT	
10	TRUE?	09:42AM
11	A OVER A SHORT TERM HORIZON, YOU CAN LOSE LOTS	
12	OF MONEY IN ANY INVESTMENT.	
13	OVER FULLNESS OF HOLDING PERIODS, I	
14	NEVER LOST MONEY OF SIGNIFICANCE IN THE SECURITIES	
15	YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT.	09:42AM
16	Q WERE YOU INVOLVED IN SOMETHING CALLED THE FIL	
17	LEVERAGED INCOME BOND FUND??	
18	A YES. I MANAGED IT.	
19	Q THAT WAS A STRATEGIC M.B.S. STRATEGY?	
20	A YES.	09:42AM
21	Q AND THAT MADE HEAVY USE OF M.B.S. DERIVATIVES?	
22	A IT MADE USE OF OF MORTGAGE-BACKED	
23	SECURITIES OF THE TYPE THAT YOU'RE LOOKING TO FOCUS ON	
24	RIGHT NOW, YES.	
25	Q HEAVY USE OF INVERSE FLOATERS?	09:42AM
26	A I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S PRECISELY RIGHT.	
27	Q WELL, I MEAN, THE STOCK ON THAT WENT FROM LIKE	

28

\$13 TO \$5?

1	A AND THEN IT WENT WAY UP TO A GAIN, ONLY ABOUT	
2	THREE MONTHS LATER.	
3	Q YOU HAD THERE WERE A LOT OF VERY UNHAPPY	
4	INVESTORS IN THAT CASE?	
5	A I DON'T KNOW. THE THE INVESTORS THAT WERE	09:43AM
6	IN MY STRATEGIC MORTGAGE-BACKED PRODUCT DROPPED ABOUT	
7	20 PERCENT IN VALUE, MAYBE 23 PERCENT IN VALUE IN 1994,	
8	WERE AT A PROFIT, A SUBSTANTIAL PROFIT, BY JUNE OF	
9	1995.	
10	Q DID INVESTORS BRING CLAIMS, SIR?	09:43AM
11	MR. HELM: OBJECTION. 352, YOUR HONOR.	
12	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
13	BY MR. QUINN:	
14	Q WELL, ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH SOMETHING CALLED	
15	THE TCW, DW NORTH AMERICAN GOVERNMENT INCOME TRUST?	09:43AM
16	A YES.	
17	Q THAT WAS A \$3 BILLION FUND?	
18	A I DON'T KNOW.	
19	MR. HELM: 352.	
20	THE COURT: I'D LIKE SOME TIME FRAME AND	09:43AM
21	RELEVANCE HERE.	
22	BY MR. QUINN:	
23	Q DID THAT FUND	
24	THE COURT: TIME FRAME.	
25	BY MR. QUINN:	09:43AM
26	Q WHEN WAS IT?	
27	A 1992.	
28	Q DID THAT INVOLVE A HEAVY USE OF INVERSE	

1	FLOATERS AND DERIVATIVES?	
2	A NO.	
3	MR. HELM: 352, YOUR HONOR.	
4	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
5	THE WITNESS: NO, IT DIDN'T.	09:43AM
6	BY MR. QUINN:	
7	Q IF WE COULD LOOK AT EXHIBIT 6096. THIS IS ONE	
8	OF THE DEFENDANTS' DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBITS.	
9	THIS WAS, I THINK YOU TOLD US THESE WERE	
10	THE THREE PRIMARY AREAS WHEN YOU WERE AT TCW, THE THREE	09:44AM
11	PRIMARY AREAS OF INVESTING THAT YOU WERE INVOLVED IN?	
12	A THESE WERE THE AREAS THAT I PERSONALLY WAS	
13	INVOLVED DAY-TO-DAY IN THE INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES.	
14	THESE ARE ALL THE AREAS THAT I WAS INVOLVED DAY-TO-DAY	
15	IN THE INVESTMENT ACTIVITY.	09:44AM
16	Q HAVEN'T WE LEFT OUT A FOURTH ONE, FOURTH MAJOR	
17	ONE?	
18	A NOT THAT I WAS INVOLVED IN DAY-TO-DAY.	
19	MR. HELM AND I BROUGHT UP THE CDO'S,	
20	WHICH IS ANOTHER BUSINESS WE BROUGHT IT UP.	09:44AM
21	I DIDN'T MANAGE THE C I DIDN'T DO	
22	TRADING IN THE CDO BUSINESS.	
23	Q THE REASON YOU WANT TO DISTANCE YOURSELF FROM	
24	THAT IS, YOU KNOW INVESTORS LOST TENS OF MILLIONS OF	
25	DOLLARS IN TCW IN PORTFOLIOS WHERE YOU WERE THE	09:45AM
26	PORTFOLIO MANAGER, TRUE?	
27	MR. HELM: ARGUMENTATIVE.	
0.0		

THE WITNESS: THAT'S CORRECT.

1	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
2	BY MR. QUINN:	
3	Q WELL, I MEAN, YOU TALKED ABOUT SUB PRIME.	
4	YOU WERE INVOLVED IN, THERE WERE	
5	SOMETHING LIKE 20-CDO VEHICLES A CDO COLLATERALIZED	09:45AM
6	DEBT OBLIGATION.	
7	THAT'S ANOTHER VEHICLE FOR INVESTING IN	
8	MORTGAGES, CORRECT?	
9	MR. HELM: RELEVANCE, 352.	
10	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	09:45AM
11	UNLESS WE HAVE A TIME FRAME AND A TIME	
12	PERSPECTIVE, WE NEED TO	
13	BY MR. QUINN:	
14	Q WELL, WHEN YOU LEFT TCW, THERE WERE STILL	
15	CDO'S THAT YOU HAD BEEN PORTFOLIO MANAGER OF, STILL IN	09:45AM
16	EXISTENCE, CORRECT?	
17	A NO.	
18	MR. HELM: RELEVANCE, YOUR HONOR.	
19	THE WITNESS: THAT'S NOT CORRECT.	
20	THE COURT: JUST A MINUTE.	09:45AM
21	IF THE ANSWER IS NO, WE CAN MOVE ON.	
22	MR. QUINN: ALL RIGHT.	
23	Q ISN'T IT TRUE THAT YOU YOU TALKED ABOUT	
24	YOUR WE HEARD YOUR TESTIMONY ABOUT, 2008 OR 2007,	
25	ABOUT SUB PRIME.	09:46AM
26	DO YOU RECALL THAT, THAT YOU WERE SAYING	
27	YOU THOUGHT SUB PRIME WAS GOING TO BE A DISASTER? YOU	

28

TOLD US ABOUT THAT?

1	A YES.	
2	Q BUT YOU WERE RESPONSIBLE FOR MANAGING CDO'S	
3	THAT HAD BILLIONS OF DOLLARS OF SUB PRIME IN THEM,	
4	CORRECT, SUB PRIME MORTGAGES?	
5	A NOT CORRECT.	09:46AM
6	Q WHO WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR MANAGING THEM, IF NOT	
7	YOU?	
8	A LOU LUCIDO.	
9	MR. HELM: RELEVANCE, YOUR HONOR.	
10	THE COURT: WHAT WAS THE ANSWER?	09:46AM
11	THE WITNESS: LOU LUCIDO MANAGED THAT	
12	BUSINESS.	
13	BY MR. QUINN:	
14	Q THAT'S LUCIDO'S RESPONSIBILITY? IS THAT WHAT	
15	YOU'RE SAYING?	09:46AM
16	A HE WAS THE PORTFOLIO MANAGER.	
17	Q ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH A FUND CALLED WESTWAYS?	
18	MR. HELM: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE 352, YOUR	
19	HONOR.	
20	THE COURT: LET'S FIND OUT WHAT IT IS, FIRST.	09:47AM
21	BY MR. QUINN:	
22	Q SIR, ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH A FUND CALLED	
23	WESTWAYS?	
24	A WE HAD A VARIETY OF PORTFOLIOS THAT WERE UNDER	
25	THE WESTWAYS NAME.	09:47AM
26	Q AND YOU WERE, IN FACT, A PORTFOLIO MANAGER FOR	
27	WESTWAYS FUNDING VI, CORRECT?	
28	A I DIDN'T DO THE DAY-TO-DAY.	

1	I MAY HAVE BEEN NAMED ON THE PORTFOLIO	
2	MANAGEMENT TEAM.	
3	PHIL BARACH RAN THAT BUSINESS, BUT I MAY	
4	HAVE BEEN NAMED ON THE PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT TEAM.	
5	Q EXHIBIT 2248.	09:47AM
6	I'LL ASK YOU IF THIS IS THE OFFERING	
7	CIRCULAR FOR WESTWAYS FUNDING VI; IS THAT CORRECT?	
8	A YES.	
9	Q AND IF WE TURN TO PAGE DASH 38, YOU'LL SEE A	
10	HEADING THERE, PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT. DO YOU SEE THAT?	09:47AM
11	A YES.	
12	Q IT SAYS JEFFREY GUNDLACH, RIGHT?	
13	A YES.	
14	MR. QUINN: I'D OFFER THIS, YOUR HONOR.	
15	MR. HELM: RELEVANCE, YOUR HONOR.	09:48AM
16	THE COURT: I'LL ADMIT IT.	
17		
18	(EXHIBIT 2248-38 ADMITTED.)+	
19		
20	BY MR. QUINN:	09:48AM
21	Q IF WE CAN STAY ON THAT SAME PAGE, PUT THAT UP.	
22	BY THE WAY, UNDER THAT, I SEE ERIC	
23	ARENTSEN, MANAGING DIRECTOR, MORTGAGE-BACKED	
24	SECURITIES.	
25	DO YOU SEE THAT?	09:48AM
26	A NO.	
27	Q IT'S DOWN BELOW.	
28	A OH, YES. I SEE IT, UH-HUH.	

1	Q SO MR. ARENTSEN WAS A PORTFOLIO MANAGER,	
2	CORRECT?	
3	A THAT'S NOT CORRECT.	
4	Q IT SAYS HERE HE'S A UNDER MORTGAGE	
5	MANAGEMENT, HIS NAME APPEARS.	09:48AM
6	WOULD YOU AGREE WITH THAT?	
7	A I DON'T I DON'T SEE YES, IT DOES. IT'S	
8	INCORRECT.	
9	Q AND ARE YOU SAYING THAT YOU HAD NO	
10	RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS CDO?	09:48AM
11	IS THAT YOUR TESTIMONY, SIR?	
12	MR. HELM: ARGUMENTATIVE, YOUR HONOR.	
13	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
14	THE WITNESS: NO, I'M NOT SAYING NO	
15	RESPONSIBILITY.	09:49AM
16	I'M SAYING I DIDN'T DO THE DAY-TO-DAY	
17	TRADING.	
18	Q IN WESTWAYS, THERE WAS SOME \$500 MILLION LOST;	
19	ISN'T AT THAT TRUE?	
20	MR. HELM: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE, 352.	09:49AM
21	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
22	THE WITNESS: I DON'T THINK THAT'S TRUE.	
23	THE WESTWAYS SERIES, I THINK, WAS A	
24	SUCCESS.	
25	BY MR. QUINN:	09:49AM
26	Q THE EQUITY, THE EQUITY WENT TO ZERO; ISN'T	
27	THAT TRUE?	
0.0		

THAT'S EXTREMELY HIGH RISK INVESTMENT.

1	THE INVESTORS KNOW WHAT THEY'RE SIGNING	
2	UP, THEIR FIRST LOSS PIECE AGAINST MARKET VALUE	
3	FLUCTUATION.	
4	DURING 2008, THE WESTWAYS CDO'S WERE THE	
5	MOST SUCCESSFUL IN THE ENTIRE INDUSTRY.	09:49AM
6	Q SIR, THE EQUITY WAS WIPED OUT, RIGHT?	
7	A YES, IT WAS. THE EQUITY OF ALL CDO'S WAS	
8	WIPED OUT.	
9	I'M PROUD OF THE WESTWAYS PROGRAM. IT	
10	WAS THE MOST SUCCESSFUL PROGRAM IN THE INDUSTRY.	09:49AM
11	Q THE WESTWAYS PROGRAM WAS A CDO PROGRAM,	
12	CORRECT?	
13	A IT WAS THE MOST SUCCESSFUL CDO PROGRAM IN THE	
14	INDUSTRY.	
15	Q AND YOU'RE LISTED HERE AS BEING MORTGAGE	09:50AM
16	MANAGER FOR THIS CDO?	
17	A YES.	
18	Q AND ISN'T IT TRUE, THAT I MEAN YOU RECEIVED AN	
19	AWARD, AT ONE POINT, FOR CDO MANAGER OF THE YEAR?	
20	A TCW DID. I DIDN'T, PERSONALLY.	09:50AM
21	Q WELL, YOU WENT BACK TO COLLECT THE AWARD,	
22	DIDN'T YOU? YOU WENT BACK TO NEW YORK TO COLLECT IT?	
23	A IT'S BECAUSE TCW HAD CDO'S IN A VARIETY OF	
24	GROUPS AT THE FIRM.	
25	AND THEREFORE, AS THE HEAD OF FIXED	09:50AM
26	INCOME, I ACCEPTED THE AWARD.	
27	Q WELL, ARE YOU YOU'RE NOT TRYING TO DISTANCE	

YOURSELF FROM THIS BECAUSE YOU KNOW THERE WERE BILLIONS

1	OF DOLLARS OF EXPOSURE TO SUB PRIME IN CDO'S THAT YOU	
2	WERE RESPONSIBLE FOR?	
3	A I'M NOT TRYING TO DISTANCE MYSELF.	
4	MR. HELM: ARGUMENTATIVE.	
5	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	09:50AM
6	THE WITNESS: I'M NOT TRYING TO DISTANCE	
7	MYSELF.	
8	I TOLD THE INVESTORS, I THOUGHT THERE	
9	WAS A PROBLEM. I TOLD THEM ABOUT IT. I'M PROUD OF	
10	THAT.	09:51AM
11	WHEN I THOUGHT THERE WAS A PROBLEM, I	
12	TOLD THEM THAT.	
13	BY MR. QUINN:	
14	Q YOUR COMPENSATION INCLUDED MILLIONS OF DOLLARS	
15	THAT YOU MADE ON CDO'S, TRUE?	09:51AM
16	A YES.	
17	Q CDO'S WHERE INVESTORS LOST MONEY? TRUE?	
18	A AND CDO'S WHERE INVESTORS MADE A LOT OF MONEY.	
19	Q AND CDOS WHERE THERE WAS A LOT OF INVESTMENT	
20	IN WHAT YOU CALL CMO'S, OR MORTGAGE DERIVATIVES?	09:51AM
21	A NO.	
22	Q LET'S CHANGE SUBJECTS NOW.	
23	YOU TOLD US THAT MR. STERN TOOK YOUR	
24	STOCK.	
25	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	09:51AM
26	A I DIDN'T SAY HE TOOK MY STOCK.	
27	I SAID I WAS THE STOCK WAS TAKEN AND	
0.0		

BEING GIVEN TO OTHER PEOPLE BY TCW, EXECUTIVES THAT HAD

AUTHORITY TO DO THAT. 1 2 AND YOU AND I TALKED ABOUT THIS BEFORE, 3 HAVEN'T WE? 4 I DON'T THINK SO. Α 5 O IN YOUR DEPOSITION? 09:52AM 6 AND WHAT YOU MEAN IS THAT YOU THOUGHT YOU WERE DILUTED. 7 8 YOUR STOCK HOLDING WAS DILUTED, WHEN YOU 9 SAY YOU WERE PROMISED THAT YOU WOULD NEVER BE DILUTED; 10 IS THAT TRUE? 09:52AM 11 Α YES. 12 AND JUST SO WE UNDERSTAND WHAT DILUTION IS, 13 DILUTION IS, IF THERE ARE A TOTAL OF TEN SHARES STOCK, 14 OUTSTANDING ACCOUNTS, AND YOU OWN ONE OF THEM; ONE 15 TENTH OF THE COMPANY YOU OWN, RIGHT? 09:52AM 16 Α YES. 17 AND IF THE COMPANY ISSUES ONE MORE SHARE OF 18 STOCK, SO THAT THERE ARE 11 SHARES, YOUR OWNERSHIP 19 INTEREST GOES DOWN; NOW YOU ONLY OWN 1/11TH, RIGHT? 2.0 ABOUT NINE PERCENT, RIGHT. 09:52AM 21 0 AND THAT'S CALLED DILUTION, CORRECT? 22 Α YES. AND WHEN YOU SAY THAT TCW TOOK MY STOCK, WHAT 23 YOU MEAN IS THAT MORE SHARES OF STOCK WERE ISSUED, AND 24 25 YOU WERE DILUTED, RIGHT? 09:53AM 26 Α YES. 2.7 AND BY THE WAY, BECAUSE OF THE ISSUANCE OF THE Q

STOCK, EVERYBODY GETS DILUTED TO THE SAME EXTENT,

1	RIGHT?	
2	A NO.	
3	SOMEBODY'S GETTING THE NEW STOCK.	
4	Q SET ASIDE THE NEWCOMERS, NEW SHARE	
5	A SET ASIDE THE PEOPLE BENEFITING, OKAY. WE'LL	09:53AM
6	FOCUS ON THE PEOPLE BEING HARMED.	
7	Q WHEN STOCK IS ISSUED, ALL THE OTHER EXISTING	
8	SHAREHOLDERS ARE DILUTED TO THE SAME EXTENT, RIGHT?	
9	A NOT NECESSARILY.	
10	THEY COULD BE THE RECIPIENT OF THE NEW	09:53AM
11	SHARES.	
12	Q LET'S ASSUME THEY'RE NOT. LET'S MAKE IT	
13	SIMPLER.	
14	A OKAY. LET'S FOCUS ON THE ONES BEING HARMED,	
15	OKAY.	09:53AM
16	Q SO, IF NEW STOCK IS ISSUED TO SOMEBODY, THEN	
17	ALL THE PEOPLE WHO ARE SHAREHOLDERS BEFORE ARE DILUTED	
18	PRO RATA, RIGHT?	
19	A RIGHT. AND SOME OF THEM MIGHT GET SOME OF THE	
20	NEW SHARES TO MAKE UP FOR THEIR DILUTION.	09:53AM
21	Q AND YOUR ISSUE IS THAT SOME STOCK WAS ISSUED	
22	TO SOME OTHER PEOPLE WHO WERE WHO HADN'T BEEN	
23	SHAREHOLDERS BEFORE?	
24	A NO. I THINK SOME OF THEM HAD.	
25	Q ALL RIGHT.	09:54AM
26	BUT THESE WERE WHO ARE THESE OTHER	
27	PEOPLE?	
28	A NO ONE GAVE ME A COMPLETE LIST.	

BUT I THINK, I HAVE A -- I HAVE STRONG 1 2 SUSPICION WHO THEY WERE. 3 WELL, SO YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THEY WERE? NO. THEY WOULD NEVER TELL ME. 4 5 I KNOW I GOT DILUTED, AND OTHER PEOPLE 09:54AM 6 WITH BENEFICIARIES OF THE SHARE BEING ISSUED. 7 AND I WAS PROMISED I WOULD NEVER BE 8 DILUTED. 9 O YOU WERE PROMISED -- YOU'RE SAYING THE COMPANY 10 WOULD NEVER ISSUE ANY MORE STOCK? IS THAT THE PROMISE 09:54AM 11 MADE TO YOU, SIR? 12 NO. THE PROMISE THAT WAS MADE WAS, IF OTHER 1.3 STOCK WAS ISSUED, THAT MY PERCENTAGE OWNERSHIP IN THE 14 FIRM WOULD STAY THE SAME, BY ME GETTING SOME OF THOSE 15 SHARES. 09:54AM 16 Q I GUESS, SO -- SINCE YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE 17 PEOPLE ARE WHO GOT THIS ADDITIONAL STOCK, OR WHY THEY 18 GOT IT, YOU'RE NOT THE ONE TO ASK THOSE QUESTIONS; IS 19 THAT TRUE? 20 NO. I MEAN, I HAVE STRONG SUSPICIONS WHO GOT 09:55AM 21 IT, BUT I DON'T HAVE DEFINITIVE PROOF. 22 Q ALL RIGHT. 23 A I KNOW I DIDN'T GET IT. 24 I WAS DILUTED, AND I WAS PROMISED I 25 WOULDN'T BE. 09:55AM 26 YESTERDAY, YOU TESTIFIED THAT IF 2.7 MARK ATTANASIO'S GROUP HAD GREAT SUCCESS, YOU DIDN'T

BENEFIT FROM IT, BECAUSE YOU DIDN'T HAVE ANY EQUITY,

1	RIGHT?	
2	DO YOU RECALL THAT TESTIMONY?	
3	I THINK YOU WERE YOU WERE BEING	
4	QUESTIONED ABOUT THIS, WHAT LOOKED LIKE SPOKE AND	
5	WHEELS CHART, BY MR. HELM. HERE WE GO.	09:55AM
6	REMEMBER HE ASKED YOU, DID YOU WERE	
7	YOU GETTING ANY BENEFIT, IF YOU KNOW, FROM THESE OTHER	
8	GROUPS OF THE COMPANY?	
9	DO YOU RECALL HIM ASKING YOU THAT?	
10	A YES.	09:56AM
11	Q AND YOU SAID, NOT AT ALL, AFTER 2001.	
12	DO YOU RECALL SAYING THAT?	
13	A NO, BUT I DON'T THINK I DID GET A BENEFIT.	
14	Q ALL RIGHT.	
15	TRUE, MR. GUNDLACH, IT'S TRUE THAT YOU	09:56AM
16	CONTINUED TO BE A SHAREHOLDER OF TCW RIGHT UP UNTIL	
17	2007; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?	
18	A YEAH, I GUESS, TECHNICALLY.	
19	THE FRENCH WERE BUYING THE FIRM IN	
20	STAGES, AND WE WERE SELLING IT OFF IN STAGES.	09:56AM
21	Q YES. YOU WERE SELLING YOUR STOCK IN STAGES,	
22	CORRECT?	
23	A I GUESS SO.	
24	Q AND IN JULY OF 2001, YOU SOLD 880,000 SHARES?	
25	A I DON'T KNOW.	09:56AM
26	Q AND THEN IN JUNE OF 19TH OF 2003, YOU SOLD	
27	81,000 SHARES?	
28	A A LOT OF SHARES, I DIDN'T SELL; I I SWAPPED	

1	INTO A A TAX EXCHANGE FUND. SO I'M NOT SURE. SELL	
2	VERSUS EXCHANGE.	
3	WHEN IT HAPPENED, I DON'T KNOW WHEN.	
4	Q SELL OR EXCHANGE, YOU EXCHANGED ANOTHER 81,000	
5	IN JUNE OF 2003, CORRECT?	09:57AM
6	A I DON'T KNOW.	
7	Q THEN ANOTHER 81,000 IN APRIL OF 2004?	
8	A I'M NOT GOING TO KNOW ANY OF THESE DATES OR	
9	SHARE AMOUNTS.	
10	I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH I SOLD, I DON'T	09:57AM
11	KNOW WHEN I SOLD IT. I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH I SWAPPED	
12	IN TAX EXCHANGE FUNDS.	
13	BUT I DON'T OWN ANY NOW.	
14	Q RIGHT.	
15	AND THE LAST BATCH OF TCW STOCK THAT YOU	09:57AM
16	HAD, YOU EITHER SOLD OR EXCHANGED, IT WAS 517,000	
17	SHARES, 2007, TRUE?	
18	A I DON'T THINK SO.	
19	Q LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT EXHIBIT 2186.	
20	THIS IS A MEMORANDUM FROM MR. STERN TO	09:58AM
21	YOU, DATED APRIL 30, 2007?	
22	A YES.	
23	MR. QUINN: WE'D OFFER THIS, YOUR HONOR.	
24	MR. HELM: NO OBJECTION.	
25	THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	09:58AM
26		
27	(EXHIBIT 2186 ADMITTED.)+	
28	///	

1	BY MR. QUINN:	
2	Q WHAT THIS INDICATES IS THAT ON APRIL 30, 2007,	
3	YOU HAD YOU STILL HAD A RETAINED INTEREST IN TCW	
4	SHARES OF 517,800 SHARES, CORRECT?	
5	A THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS.	09:58AM
6	Q AND THE VALUE OF THAT, 2007, WAS ALMOST	
7	\$26 MILLION, RIGHT?	
8	A THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS.	
9	I THINK THAT WAS DEPENDENT UPON THE	
10	VALUE OF SG STOCK. I THINK.	09:59AM
11	Q RIGHT.	
12	A I THINK SG STOCK FELL ABOUT 80 PERCENT FROM	
13	THERE.	
14	Q WELL, SIR, YOU SOLD OR EXCHANGED YOUR LAST	
15	PATCH OF TCW STOCK, 2007, SOLD THESE 517,000 SHARES	09:59AM
16	WE'RE LOOKING AT HERE, RIGHT?	
17	A I'M NOT REALLY SURE WHAT THE PROCEDURE IS.	
18	I'M NOT SURE THIS IS THE LAST SHARES I HAD. I'M NOT	
19	SURE IF THIS WAS SG OR TCW.	
20	BUT, OKAY.	09:59AM
21	Q SO YOU AGREE WITH ME?	
22	A I DON'T KNOW. I'M NOT I DON'T REALLY KNOW	
23	EXACTLY WHAT THESE DOCUMENTS MEAN.	
24	Q WELL, TAKE A LOOK AT EXHIBIT 2188.	
25	DOES THAT HAVE YOUR SIGNATURE ON IT,	09:59AM
26	SIR?	
27	A YES.	
28	MR. QUINN: WE'D OFFER THAT.	

1	MR. HELM: NO OBJECTION.	
2	THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	
3		
4	(EXHIBIT 2188 ADMITTED.)+	
5		09:59AM
6	BY MR. QUINN:	
7	Q YOU SIGNED THIS, SAYING YOU WISHED TO SELL	
8	THOSE 517,800 SHARES, CORRECT?	
9	A IF THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS	
10	THE COURT: THIS IS DATED 5-2-02.	10:00AM
11	IS THAT '02?	
12	THE WITNESS: IT LOOKS LIKE A 2.	
13	MY WRITING'S TERRIBLE. IT'S A SEVEN.	
14	THE COURT: FIVE-SEVEN SEVEN.	
15	THE WITNESS: YES. THAT'S WHAT IT IS.	10:00AM
16	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
17	BY MR. QUINN:	
18	Q THIS RELATES TO YOUR SALE OF THAT LAST BATCH	
19	OF STOCK IN 2007, RIGHT?	
20	A IF YOU SAY SO.	10:00AM
21	I DON'T REALLY REMEMBER OR KNOW MUCH	
22	ABOUT THESE DOCUMENTS.	
23	Q WELL, OKAY.	
24	IF YOU HAD STOCK IN TCW, I MEAN THE WAY,	
25	THIS WAS THE WAY SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE BOUGHT TCW, IS WHAT'S	10:00AM
26	CALLED AN EARN-OUT TRANSACTION? SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE?	
27	A OKAY.	
28	Q TRUE?	

1	A I DON'T KNOW.	
2	Q OKAY.	
3	A I REALLY DON'T KNOW.	
4	YOU'RE ASKING ME A LOT OF TECHNICAL	
5	QUESTIONS ABOUT LEGAL FORMS OF STUFF.	10:00AM
6	I DON'T KNOW.	
7	Q WELL	
8	A MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT WHEN TCW WAS BOUGHT	
9	BY SG, IT WAS SORT OF A VALUATION. YOU GOT YOUR SHARES	
10	OVER TIME, YOU HELD THEM OR SOLD THEM.	10:00AM
11	I'M NOT EXACTLY YOU'RE TRYING TO MAKE	
12	THE POINT THAT DURING THE MID OO'S, I BENEFITED FROM	
13	MARK ATTANASIO BEING SUCCESSFUL.	
14	IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE TRYING TO SAY,	
15	BECAUSE I OWNED THE STOCK?	10:01AM
16	Q I'M JUST ASKING QUESTIONS, SIR.	
17	A WELL, OKAY.	
18	Q THE WAY?	
19	A I DON'T GET IT.	
20	Q THE ISSUE IS, DOES THE JURY GET IT, YOUR	10:01AM
21	HONOR?	
22	THE WAY THE SALE WAS STRUCTURED	
23	MR. HELM: OBJECTION TO THE QUALIFIER, YOUR	
24	HONOR.	
25	THE COURT: GO AHEAD, MR. QUINN.	10:01AM
26	BY MR. QUINN:	
27	Q SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE BOUGHT TCW OVER TIME,	
28	CORRECT?	

1	A YES.	
2	Q AND YOU WERE A SHAREHOLDER, AND YOU SOLD YOUR	
3	SHARES OVER TIME, JUST LIKE EVERYONE ELSE?	
4	A OKAY.	
5	Q YES?	10:01AM
6	A I DON'T KNOW WHAT EVERYBODY ELSE DID.	
7	Q WELL, YOU SOLD YOUR SHARES OVER TIME?	
8	A OR EXCHANGED THEM, YEAH.	
9	Q OKAY. THE EXCHANGE RATE OR THE SALE PRICE WAS	
10	DETERMINED BASED ON SOMETHING CALLED EBITDA?	10:01AM
11	A THAT SOUNDS FAMILIAR.	
12	Q RIGHT?	
13	A YEAH.	
14	Q THAT'S BASICALLY HOW TCW IS DOING, HOW TCW AS	
15	A WHOLE IS DOING; DETERMINES THE EXCHANGE RATE OR THE	10:02AM
16	PRICE THAT YOU WOULD GET FOR YOUR SHARES, RIGHT?	
17	A I THINK IT DID, YEAH.	
18	IN LIKE, THE FIRST PART OF THE OO'S,	
19	THERE WAS SOMETHING LIKE THAT GOING ON.	
20	Q IT WENT RIGHT ON UP TILL THE LAST TIME YOU	10:02AM
21	SOLD YOUR BATCH IN LAST?	
22	A WE'RE TALKING ABOUT 2009, 2008 IN THESE	
23	EXHIBITS. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SG WAS PROMISING STOCK	
24	OF 30 PERCENT OF THE COMPANY IN '08 AND '09. AND THAT	
25	WAY, WE'D HAVE A BENEFIT FROM THE OTHER SQUARES AROUND	10:02AM
26	THE CIRCLES.	
27	YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT A TRANSACTION FROM	

2001 THAT HAD ALREADY COME AND GONE.

1	Q YES, BUT YOUR TESTIMONY YESTERDAY, SIR, WAS	
2	THAT AFTER 2001, YOU DIDN'T BENEFIT AT ALL FROM	
3	ANYTHING THAT MARK ATTANASIO OR THE REST OF THE COMPANY	
4	DID ISN'T THAT WHAT YOU SAID YESTERDAY?	
5	A YEAH, I SEE WHAT YOU'RE SAYING.	10:02AM
6	I GUESS TECHNICALLY, WHAT YOU'RE SAYING	
7	IS RIGHT. I WAS REALLY THINKING ABOUT 2007, 2008,	
8	2009.	
9	I GUESS FOR A FEW YEARS, BASED ON THE	
10	EBITDA FORMULA, AS A SORT OF A CARRIED-ON EFFECT FROM	10:03AM
11	THE EQUITY THAT I HAD, THAT WAS SOLD SG BACK IN '01,	
12	THERE WAS A PERIOD WHERE, BECAUSE OF THAT EQUITY I	
13	OWNED THEN, THAT I HAD A BENEFIT.	
14	THAT WASN'T CASE IN '08 AND '09.	
15	Q SIR, YEAH. THE ONLY YEARS WHEN YOU DID NOT	10:03AM
16	HAVE TCW STOCK WAS IN 2008 AND 2009?	
17	A OKAY. I SEE THE WAY YOU'RE THINKING ABOUT IT.	
18	I MEAN YEAH, I MEAN, THERE IS	
19	THAT'S A WAY OF LOOKING AT IT THAT IS LITERALLY TRUE.	
20	YEAH.	10:03AM
21	Q IT IS TRUE?	
22	A YEAH.	
23	Q LITERALLY AND FIGURATIVELY, IT'S TRUE.	
24	YOU HAD STOCK ALL THESE YEARS, EXCEPT	
25	FOR 2008 AND 2009, RIGHT?	10:03AM
26	A THE STOCK THAT WAS CALLED OUT FOR SURE, ON A	
27	FORMULAIC BASIS, ON A FAIT ACCOMPLI ON THE SALE IN '01.	
28	I SEE WHAT YOU MEAN, THOUGH.	

1	Q THERE'S BEEN SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT, YOU SHOWED	
2	US YESTERDAY SOME LANGUAGE FROM A 2001 PROXY	
3	STATEMENT THAT SAID SOMETHING LIKE A CERTAIN 30 PERCENT	
4	OF THE STOCK WOULD BE AVAILABLE FOR RECYCLING TO	
5	EMPLOYEES.	10:04AM
6	DO YOU RECALL THAT PROXY STATEMENT?	
7	A YES.	
8	Q AND THEY DID, TCW DID, AFTER THAT, SET UP A	
9	STOCK OPTION CLAIM, CORRECT?	
10	A STOCK OPTIONS ARE NOT STOCK.	10:04AM
11	Q NO, THEY'RE NOT.	
12	BUT WHEN YOU SET UP A STOCK OPTION PLAN,	
13	YOU ACTUALLY HAVE TO SET ASIDE SHARES, IN CASE SOMEBODY	
14	EXERCISES THOSE OPTIONS, RIGHT?	
15	A I'M NOT SURE.	10:04AM
16	Q YOU JUST DON'T KNOW?	
17	A I DON'T THINK YOU HAVE TO DO IT THAT WAY.	
18	Q LET'S CHANGE SUBJECTS NOW.	
19	YESTERDAY, YOU TESTIFIED ABOUT	
20	EXHIBIT 5107, A PRESS RELEASE, WHICH YOU SAID WAS A	10:05AM
21	VERY, VERY SCARY PRESS RELEASE.	
22	DO YOU RECALL SAYING THAT SOCIÉTÉ	
23	GÉNÉRALE, IN JANUARY OF 2007 OR I'M SORRY, 2009	
24	ISSUED A SCARY PRESS RELEASE?	
25	A I'M NOT SURE I I'M NOT SURE I SAID THAT.	10:05AM
26	BUT THIS IS THE PRESS RELEASE THAT THEY	
27	ISSUED ON JANUARY 26TH, 2009.	
28	Q WELL, YOU FOUND THIS PRESS RELEASE VERY	

1	ALARMING; ISN'T THAT TRUE?	
2	A I DON'T THINK I SAID IT WAS ALARMING.	
3	I WAS VERY CONCERNED THAT SG WAS EXITING	
4	THE BUSINESS.	
5	AND IT WASN'T THIS PRESS RELEASE THAT	10:05AM
6	GOT ME THERE. IT WAS TALKING ABOUT BEYER BEFORE THE	
7	PRESS RELEASE THAT GOT ME THERE.	
8	Q BY EXITING THE BUSINESS, YOU MEAN THE ASSET	
9	MANAGEMENT BUSINESS?	
10	A YES.	10:05AM
11	Q AND THE TRUTH OF THE MATTER IS, SOCIÉTÉ	
12	GÉNÉRALE DID NOT EXIT THE ASSET MANAGEMENT BUSINESS,	
13	AND THIS PRESS RELEASE DOESN'T SAY IT'S EXITING THE	
14	ASSET MANAGEMENT BUSINESS, CORRECT?	
15	A THE CONVERSATION I HAD WITH BOB BEYER, CLEARLY	10:06AM
16	WAS CENTERED ON SG EXITING THE ASSET MANAGEMENT	
17	BUSINESS.	
18	WHETHER THEY PHRASED THAT WAY IN THE	
19	PRESS RELEASE, I DON'T KNOW.	
20	BUT, BOB BEYER HAD A MEETING WITH ME A	10:06AM
21	WEEK EARLIER, AND IT STARTED OUT WITH HIM SAYING, SG'S	
22	EXITING THE ASSET MANAGEMENT BUSINESS.	
23	Q THEY DIDN'T?	
24	A I GUESS THEY STILL OWN TCW.	
25	BUT THEIR INTENTION WAS TO INTENTIONALLY	10:06AM
26	EXIT IN TOTAL BY SELLING TCW REALLY AS QUICKLY AS THEY	
27	COULD.	
28	IN THE PRESS RELEASE, IT SAYS FIVE	

1	YEARS. SORT OF A PLACE HOLDER FOR AIR TERM.	
2	THEY WERE TRYING TO SELL THE FIRM.	
3	AND I GUESS IF YOU SAY THAT THE 20	
4	PERCENT THEY STILL OWN IN THIS JOINT VENTURE MEANS	
5	THEY'RE STILL IN THE ASSET MANAGEMENT BUSINESS, YOU CAN	10:06AM
6	TRY IT THAT WAY.	
7	BUT THEY WANTED OUT OF THE ASSET	
8	MANAGEMENT BUSINESS.	
9	Q SIR, SG DID NOT SAY IT WAS GETTING OUT OF THE	
10	ASSET MANAGEMENT BUSINESS, AND IT HASN'T GOT OUT OF THE	10:07AM
11	ASSET MANAGEMENT BUSINESS TO THIS DAY, ISN'T THAT TRUE?	
12	A I DISAGREE.	
13	THEY MAY BE IN IT ON A SUB BASIS TODAY,	
14	BUT THEY SAID THEY WANTED OUT THE ASSET MANAGER	
15	BUSINESS.	10:07AM
16	Q MY QUESTION WASN'T ABOUT WHAT THEY SAID.	
17	A YES, IT WAS.	
18	THE COURT: SIR, JUST LISTEN CAREFULLY TO THE	
19	QUESTION.	
20	GO AHEAD.	10:07AM
21	BY MR. QUINN:	
22	Q SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE DID NOT ANNOUNCE IT'S GETTING	
23	OUT OF THE ASSET MANAGEMENT BUSINESS; ISN'T THAT TRUE?	
24	A IT WAS ANNOUNCED TO ME.	
25	Q SIR?	10:07AM
26	A IF THEY DIDN'T SAY IT IN THE PRESS RELEASE,	
27	THAT'S THAT'S A DIFFERENT MATTER.	
28	BUT IT WAS REPORTED TO ME BY THE CEO OF	

1	TCW THAT SG TOLD THEM THEY WERE GETTING OUT OF THE	
2	ASSET MANAGEMENT BUSINESS.	
3	Q YOU KNOW THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN.	
4	WE'RE NOW YOU KNOW, WE'RE NOW IN	
5	2011, AND WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SOMETHING MR. BEYER SAID	10:07AM
6	TO YOU IN 2009.	
7	YOU KNOW SG NEVER SAID IT WAS GETTING	
8	OUT OF THE ASSET MANAGEMENT BUSINESS. AND TO THIS DAY,	
9	IT HASN'T GOTTEN OUT OF THE ASSET MANAGEMENT BUSINESS;	
10	ISN'T THAT TRUE?	10:07AM
11	MR. HELM: ASKED AND ANSWERED.	
12	THE COURT: IT'S COMPOUND.	
13	YOU CAN BREAK IT UP.	
14	HE SAID TWO DIFFERENT THINGS. YOU CAN'T	
15	ASK THE SAME THING AND KEEP	10:08AM
16	MR. QUINN: ALL RIGHT.	
17	THE COURT: THE LAST HALF OF IT, ASK HIM.	
18	MR. QUINN: ALL RIGHT.	
19	THE WITNESS: SG TOLD ME THEY WERE GETTING	
20	ASSET MANAGEMENT.	10:08AM
21	THE COURT: NO QUESTIONS PENDING.	
22	MR. QUINN: MY QUESTION ISN'T GOING TO BE	
23	ABOUT WHAT BOB BEYER TOLD YOU, OKAY?	
24	Q SG, IN 2009, DID NOT ANNOUNCE THAT IT WAS	
25	GETTING OUT OF THE ASSET MANAGEMENT BUSINESS; ISN'T	10:08AM
26	THAT A TRUE STATEMENT?	
27	A I TAKE THIS PRESS RELEASE AT THE PRESS	

RELEASE SAYS WHAT IT SAYS.

1	IF YOU WANT TO SAY THEY'RE GETTING OUT	
2	OF IT, FINE.	
3	Q IT SAYS THAT THEY ARE	
4	THE COURT: I THINK HIS POINT ABOUT IT SAYING	
5	WHAT IT SAYS IS PERFECT.	10:08AM
6	MR. QUINN: IT'S A GOOD ONE.	
7	THE COURT: YEAH, IT'S A GOOD ONE.	
8	ANOTHER QUESTION.	
9	BY MR. QUINN:	
10	Q YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THEY SET UP A NEW ASSET	10:08AM
11	MANAGEMENT BUSINESS CALLED AMUNDI, WITH ANOTHER FRENCH	
12	BANK, TRUE? THAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING?	
13	A THEY WERE GETTING OUT THE ASSET MANAGEMENT	
14	BUSINESS BY DEPOSITING WHAT THEY HAD PUT TOGETHER INTO	
15	A JOINT VENTURE WITH CREDIT AGRICOLE TO GET IT OFF THE	10:09AM
16	BOOKS. THAT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING.	
17	Q LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT ALSO, THEY DIDN'T SAY	
18	THEY WERE THEY DIDN'T SAY THEY WERE SELLING TCW,	
19	EITHER, DID THEY?	
20	A THEY SAID THEY WERE GOING TO LIST TCW ON A	10:09AM
21	STOCK EXCHANGE IN THE NEXT FIVE YEARS.	
22	Q LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT THAT FOOTNOTE DOWN AT THE	
23	BOTTOM.	
24	IT SAYS, THE INTENTION IS TO LIST TCW ON	
25	A STOCK EXCHANGE IN THE NEXT FIVE YEARS, RIGHT?	10:09AM
26	A THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS IN THE PRESS RELEASE.	
27	I KNEW DIFFERENTLY.	
28	AT THE TIME, THERE WAS A LOT OF THINGS	

GOING ON WITH THEM, TRYING TO SELL THE FIRM TO ANOTHER	
FIRM.	
SO YOU CAN YOU CAN RELY ON THIS	
DOCUMENT AND SAY, THAT'S THE ONLY THING THAT WAS GOING	
ON; BUT I'M NOT GOING TO AGREE WITH YOU.	10:09AM
Q THEY OWN TCW TO THIS DAY, RIGHT?	
A OKAY. THERE WAS A REPORT FROM J.P. MORGAN	
THAT THEY WERE LOOKING TO SELL TCW STILL YET AGAIN.	
BUT YES, THEY OWN TCW TODAY.	
Q AND THIS FOOTNOTE THAT YOU REFERRED US TO,	10:10AM
DOES NOT SAY THAT THEY'RE GOING TO SELL ONE HUNDRED	
PERCENT OF THE STOCK, RIGHT?	
ON A THAT THEY'RE GOING TO LIST 100	
PERCENT OF THE STOCK ON THE STOCK EXCHANGE, IT DOESN'T	
SAY THAT, DOES IT?	10:10AM
A IT DOESN'T SAY ANY PERCENTAGE.	
Q OKAY.	
IT'S TRUE, ISN'T IT, THAT I MEAN, YOU	
UNDERSTOOD THAT YOU HAD NO OWNERSHIP INTEREST AT ALL IN	
THE MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES BUSINESS AT TCW, OR THE	10:10AM
REVENUE STREAM OR ANY OF THOSE ASSETS? YOU KNEW THAT?	
A I DISAGREE.	
MR. HELM: VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS.	
THE WITNESS: I DISAGREE.	
MR. HELM: ALSO.	10:10AM
THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
MR. HELM: WELL, I WOULD LIKE TO STATE THE	
	SO YOU CAN YOU CAN RELY ON THIS DOCUMENT AND SAY, THAT'S THE ONLY THING THAT WAS GOING ON; BUT I'M NOT GOING TO AGREE WITH YOU. Q THEY OWN TCW TO THIS DAY, RIGHT? A OKAY. THERE WAS A REPORT FROM J.F. MORGAN THAT THEY WERE LOOKING TO SELL TCW STILL YET AGAIN. BUT YES, THEY OWN TCW TODAY. Q AND THIS FOOTNOTE THAT YOU REFERRED US TO, DOES NOT SAY THAT THEY'RE GOING TO SELL ONE HUNDRED PERCENT OF THE STOCK, RIGHT? ON A THAT THEY'RE GOING TO LIST 100 PERCENT OF THE STOCK ON THE STOCK EXCHANGE, IT DOESN'T SAY THAT, DOES IT? A IT DOESN'T SAY ANY PERCENTAGE. Q OKAY. IT'S TRUE, ISN'T IT, THAT I MEAN, YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT YOU HAD NO OWNERSHIP INTEREST AT ALL IN THE MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES BUSINESS AT TCW, OR THE REVENUE STREAM OR ANY OF THOSE ASSETS? YOU KNEW THAT? A I DISAGREE. MR. HELM: VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS. THE WITNESS: I DISAGREE. MR. HELM: ALSO. THE COURT: OVERRULED.

ADDITIONAL OBJECTION, BEYOND THE SCOPE.

1	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
2	MR. HELM: THANK YOU.	
3	THE COURT: YOU MAY ANSWER THE QUESTION AND	
4	EXPLAIN YOUR ANSWER.	
5	THE WITNESS: I JUST TOTALLY DISAGREE WITH	10:10AM
6	YOU.	
7	WE HAD A SHARED REVENUE STREAM ON A	
8	BUSINESS THAT WE HAD BUILT TOGETHER UNDER THE LABEL OF	
9	PARTNERSHIP FOR 20 YEARS.	
10	BY MR. QUINN:	10:11AM
11	Q PLEASE TAKE A LOOK AT EXHIBIT 2150-B. IF YOU	
12	WOULD TURN TO PAGE DASH 5.	
13	THIS IS IN EVIDENCE, YOUR HONOR.	
14	THIS IS THE JANUARY 1, 2007 FORM OF	
15	EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT WHICH IS IN EVIDENCE, WHICH YOU	10:11AM
16	DID NOT SIGN?	
17	MR. HELM: YOUR HONOR, BEYOND THE SCOPE.	
18	THE COURT: I THINK IT IS.	
19	MR. QUINN, WE DIDN'T GO INTO THIS DURING	
20	THE CROSS-EXAMINATION.	10:11AM
21	MR. QUINN: ON THE POINT OF OWNERSHIP, YOUR	
22	HONOR, WE DID, IN EXPLAINING THE REVENUE STREAM.	
23	I'M GOING TO GO RIGHT TO THAT.	
24	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GO AHEAD.	
25	BY MR. QUINN:	10:11AM
26	Q THIS IS THAT DOCUMENT, THE LAST FORM OF	
27	CONTRACT, WHICH YOU DID NOT SIGN, CORRECT?	

MR. HELM: OBJECTION.

1	VOID HONOD WE DISCHED WHIS	
1	YOUR HONOR, WE DISCUSSED THIS	
2	PREVIOUSLY, ABOUT THE PROPER SCOPE OF THE	
3	THE COURT: WELL, YOU MAY ASK QUESTIONS ABOUT	
4	THE AGREEMENT, WITHOUT COMMENTARY ON THE ISSUES THAT WE	
5	SAID WERE APPROPRIATE.	10:12AM
6	MR. QUINN: UNDERSTOOD, YOUR HONOR.	
7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO ASK THE QUESTION.	
8	MR. QUINN: THERE'S ONE FOUNDATION QUESTION I	
9	HAVE TO ASK YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK.	
10	THE COURT: COME UP HERE FOR A MINUTE, PLEASE.	10:12AM
11		
12	(SIDE-BAR CONFERENCE HELD) +	
13		
14	THE COURT: PART OF IT IS, YOU GOT TO ASK A	
15	QUESTION. WE'RE NOT GOING INTO THE CONTRACT CLAIM.	10:12AM
16	MR. QUINN: UNDERSTOOD, YOUR HONOR.	
17	THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU WANT TO ASK?	
18	MR. QUINN: THIS DOCUMENT, WHICH HE SAYS FORMS	
19	HIS AGREEMENT, RECITES THAT HE HAS NO INTEREST IN ANY	
20	ASSETS, THE MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES BUSINESS OR	10:12AM
21	REVENUES.	
22	THE COURT: THIS IS THE AGREEMENT THAT YOU SAY	
23	WAS NEVER SIGNED, AND HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH ANYTHING.	
24	AND SO IF YOU WERE TO BRING IN ONE OF	
25	THE OLDER AGREEMENTS, OR SOMETHING, MAYBE WE'D GO	10:13AM
26	THERE.	
27	BUT, YOU KNOW	
- ·	,	

MR. QUINN: JUDGE, HE'S THE ONE WHO SAYS THAT

1	THAT'S HIS AGREEMENT.	
2	SO WHAT HE IS SAYING IS, HIS AGREEMENT	
3	RECITES THAT HE HAS NO OWNERSHIP INTEREST.	
4	THE COURT: JUST A MINUTE.	
5	MR. QUINN: THAT'S MY POINT. THAT'S ALL I	10:13AM
6	WANT TO DO.	
7	THE COURT: ALL YOU WANT TO DO IS GET INTO	
8	THEIR CONTRACT CLAIM.	
9	MR. QUINN: NO, NO.	
10	NO, I WOULDN'T BE DOING THAT, YOUR	10:13AM
11	HONOR.	
12	IF I THERE'S	
13	THE COURT: WHAT'S YOUR POINT?	
14	MR. HELM: HE'S SAYING IT'S HIS AGREEMENT.	
15	THERE'S NO TESTIMONY ON THAT. THAT	10:13AM
16	ISN'T WHAT HE'S GOING TO SAY.	
17	HE'S GOING TO SAY HIS UNDERSTANDING WAS	
18	BASED ON THE EXCHANGE OF SPREADSHEETS AND HANDSHAKE	
19	DEALS HE HAD WITH EVERY TERM IN 2007 AGREEMENT, WAS OR	
20	WASN'T PART OF THAT AGREEMENT, IS SUBJECT TO TESTIMONY.	10:13AM
21	THE COURT: IT GOES BEYOND THE SCOPE OF WHAT	
22	MR. HELM CROSS-EXAMINED HIM ON.	
23	I THINK YOU CAN WAIT, AND YOU CAN HAVE	
24	YOUR CHANCE, WHEN THEY PUT YOUR CASE ON, TO ASK HIM	
25	ABOUT WHAT RIGHTS HE HAD AND WHAT RIGHTS HE DIDN'T	10:14AM
26	HAVE.	
27	OKAY?	

MR. QUINN: OKAY.

```
THE COURT: THANK YOU.
 1
 2
                 (SIDE-BAR CONFERENCE CONCLUDED.) +
 3
 4
              THE COURT: WE USED UP THAT TIME.
 5
                    PLEASE BE CAREFUL. IT'S IMPORTANT TO
                                                                10:14AM
 6
    REMEMBER MY ADMONITIONS.
 7
      (PROCEEDINGS HELD OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.) +
 8
 9
10
              THE COURT: WE'RE OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE
                                                                10:15AM
11
    JURY.
12
                    ANY MATTERS ANYBODY WANTS TO ADDRESS?
1.3
             MR. BRIAN: I HAVE SOMETHING BRIEFLY, YOUR
    HONOR. JUST A STATUS REPORT, YOUR HONOR.
14
15
                    I THINK YOU HAVE NOW A COMPLETE VERSION
                                                                10:15AM
16
    OF THE CABANNES DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT. WE FILED
17
     SOMETHING TODAY, BECAUSE WE HADN'T GOT RESPONSES. THEY
18
    OBJECTED, AND WE DON'T HAVE TO GO INTO THE BACK AND
19
    FORTH.
20
                    BUT I THINK YOU HAVE A COMPLETE VERSION
                                                                10:15AM
21
    WITH YOU. IF NOT, WE'LL WORK WITH YOU TO MAKE SURE YOU
22
    DO.
23
                    BASED ON A SCHEDULE, AS THINGS ARE
24
    PROCEEDING, I DON'T THINK WE'LL GET TO MR. CONN
25
    TOMORROW. I'D ASK THE COURT TO INQUIRE OF THAT. IF WE
                                                                10:15AM
26
    WERE --
2.7
              THE COURT: I HAVE A LIST, TCW STATEMENT
28
    REGARDING DEFENDANT'S DESIGNATIONS FOR MICHAEL CONN.
```

1	SHOULD I HAVE SOMETHING ELSE?	
2	MR. BRIAN: I'LL ASK MR. KRIELKAMP TO ADDRESS	
3	THE COURT. YOU NOW HAVE A TRANSCRIPT.	
4	THE COURT: DID WE GET A NOTEBOOK ON THAT? IS	
5	THAT BEHIND YOU?	10:15AM
6	THE CLERK: NO, YOUR HONOR.	
7	THE COURT: WHAT'S THAT?	
8	THE CLERK: IT'S SOMETHING ELSE.	
9	MR. KRIELKAMP: WE'LL MAKE SURE A NOTEBOOK	
10	WITH THE TRANSCRIPT GETS DELIVERED TO YOU.	10:16AM
11	THE COURT: DID I GET A RAW TRANSCRIPT?	
12	MR. KRIELKAMP: I BELIEVE ALL YOU GOT WERE THE	
13	STATEMENTS.	
14	THE COURT: I HAVE ONE STATEMENT HERE. I'LL	
15	TRY TO FIND THE OTHER ONE.	10:16AM
16	MR. KRIELKAMP: I BELIEVE THE TCW RESPONSES	
17	THIS MORNING, THEY CAN TELL ME IF I'M WRONG, IS THE	
18	MOST UP-TO-DATE.	
19	MR. BRIAN: WE'LL GET YOU THAT.	
20	THE COURT: BY THE END OF THE DAY.	10:16AM
21	MR. BRIAN: I DID RESEARCH ON CIVIL TRIAL	
22	PRACTICE MANUAL, WHICH OF COURSE, I CAN USE EXCERPTS ON	
23	CROSS OF A PARTY, WHETHER OR NOT THERE IS INFRINGEMENT.	
24	WITH RESPECT TO MR. STERN, I HAVE	
25	PROVIDED FULL DEPO DESIGNATIONS USED DURING OUR CASE,	10:16AM
26	AND ALSO PROVIDED THREE OR FOUR VERY SHORT CLIPS THAT I	
27	INTEND TO USE TOMORROW.	

I ALSO BELIEVE, OF ALL THAT INVOLVE

10:16AM

PASSAGE, WHERE THERE WERE NO OBJECTIONS AT THE TIME, AND I DELIBERATELY CHOSE THAT, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: I HAVE TO LOOK AT THAT. I WON'T DEBATE IT NOW. MR. MADISON: I HAVEN'T HEARD ANYTHING WE NEED TO INTERRUPT THE STAFF'S BREAK FOR. (RECESS.) +

1	CASE NUMBER:	BC429385	
2	CASE NAME:	TRUST COMPANY OF THE WEST VS.	
3		JEFFREY GUNDLACH, ET AL	
4	LOS ANGELES,	WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2011	
5	CALIFORNIA		
6	DEPARTMENT 322	HON. CARL J. WEST, JUDGE	
7	APPEARANCES:	(AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)	
8	REPORTER:	WENDY OILLATAGUERRE, CSR #10978	
9	TIME:	10:39 A.M.	
10			
11			
12	(AT 1	0:39 A.M. THE JURY ENTERED	
13	THE	COURTROOM, AND THE FOLLOWING	
14	PROC	EEDINGS WERE HELD:)	
15			10:40AM
16	THE COURT:	ALL RIGHT. ALL COUNSEL AND	
17	MEMBERS OF OUR JURY	ARE AGAIN PRESENT.	
18	MR. Q	UINN, YOU MAY CONTINUE YOUR	
19	REDIRECT.		
20	MR. QUINN:	THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	10:40AM
21			
22			
23	REDIRECT	EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)	
24			
25	BY MR. QUINN:		
26	Q. MR. GUNDLAC	H, SIR, YOU WOULD AGREE THAT WHEN	
27	YOU WERE AT TCW, AS	A FIDUCIARY OF TCW, IT WOULD BE	
28	COMPLETELY WRONG FOR	YOU TO PLAN TO LEAVE THE COMPANY	

1	IN A WAY THAT WOULD BE DAMAGING TO THE COMPANY?	
2	A. YES.	
3	Q. OKAY.	
4	YOUR HONOR, BEFORE I GET TO THAT	
5	WE HAD SOME TESTIMONY YESTERDAY ABOUT,	10:41AM
6	YOU INDICATED THAT YOU HAD THE YOU AND MR. ATTANASIO	
7	HAD A MEETING WITH MR. DAY AND MR. STERN.	
8	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	
9	A. I THINK WE HAD MORE THAN ONE; BUT YES.	
10	Q. AND THAT YOU TESTIFIED THAT THE TWO OF YOU, IN	10:41AM
11	THAT MEETING, HAD LAUNCHED AN OFFENSIVE, AND THEN THEY	
12	HAD LAUNCHED A COUNTER-OFFENSIVE.	
13	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	
14	MR. HELM: MISCHARACTERIZES THE TESTIMONY.	
15	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	10:41AM
16	Q. BY MR. QUINN: DO YOU RECALL WRITING THAT THEY	
17	WERE, MEANING TCW AND MR. STERN, WERE LAUNCHING A	
18	COUNTER-OFFENSIVE?	
19	A. I WROTE THAT IN AN E-MAIL.	
20	Q. RIGHT. AND YOU TOLD US YESTERDAY WHAT THE	10:42AM
21	OFFENSIVE WAS, THAT THAT WAS COUNTER TO.	
22	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	
23	A. NO.	
24	Q. WELL, YOU SAID THAT YOU AND MR. ATTANASIO HAD	
25	A MEETING WHERE YOU HAD TAKEN CERTAIN POSITIONS AND	10:42AM
26	MADE CERTAIN DEMANDS.	
27	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	

A. WE HAD A MEETING.

1	I'M NOT SURE WE HAD DEMANDS, EXACTLY,	l
2	BUT CERTAINLY WE WERE AGAINST THE IDEAS THAT WERE BEING	İ
		l
3	PROPOSED, IN TERMS OF STERN COMING BACK AS CEO.	İ
4	Q. AND YOU TERMED THAT THAT WAS THE OFFENSIVE	ì
5	THAT YOU ARE REFERRING TO, AND THEY WERE GOING TO MOUNT	10:42AM
6	A COUNTER-OFFENSIVE TO THAT?	ı
7	A. I NEVER TERMED ANYTHING AN OFFENSIVE.	ì
8	MR. QUINN: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD LIKE TO PLAY	l
9	FROM THE DEPOSITION OF MR. GUNDLACH, PAGE 876, LINE	l
10	6 876, LINE 6, THROUGH 878 LINE 13.	10:43AM
11	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	l
12	MR. HELM OR MR. BRIAN, CAN YOU LOOK AT	ì
13	IT? ANY OBJECTIONS?	l
14	876, LINE 6 THROUGH 878, LINE 13?	l
15	WHAT VOLUME IS IT IN?	10:43AM
16	MR. HELM, WHAT VOLUME IS IT IN?	l
17	MR. HELM: IT'S VOLUME FOUR, YOUR HONOR.	İ
18	TO WHICH LINE, COUNSEL?	İ
19	MR. QUINN: 878, LINE 13.	İ
20	SO IT'S 876, LINE 6, TO 878, LINE 13.	10:43AM
21	AND I HAVE, FOR EASE OF REFERENCE, I	1
22	HAVE COPIES.	l
23	THE COURT: WE HAVE IT HERE.	l
		l
24	MR. QUINN: OKAY.	ì
25	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	10:44AM
26	MR. HELM: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.	l
27	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU MAY PROCEED.	ı

```
(DEPOSITION OF JEFFREY GUNDLACH WAS PLAYED.)
 1
 2
 3
              MR. QUINN: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR
 4
     HONOR.
 5
                    I WOULD JUST LIKE TO MOVE INTO EVIDENCE,
                                                                10:47AM
 6
     THE TRANSCRIPTS WHICH HAVE NOT YET BEEN RECEIVED, AND
 7
     THOSE DOCUMENTS WE DISCUSSED YESTERDAY.
 8
              THE COURT: LET'S JUST CLARIFY THE TRANSCRIPTS
 9
     OF THE PORTIONS OF THE DEPOSITION THAT WERE PLAYED?
10
              MR. QUINN: NO, YOUR HONOR, OF THE CALLS.
                                                                 10:47AM
11
                    I THINK -- TWO HAVE BEEN RECEIVED SO
12
    FAR, BOTH EXHIBITS -- THE TRANSCRIPTS OF THE
13
     DECEMBER 22ND AND 29TH.
14
              THE COURT: JUST GIVE ME THE EXHIBIT NUMBERS
15
    OF THE EXHIBITS YOU WANT TO MOVE IN. THEN WE CAN ADMIT
                                                                 10:47AM
16
     THEM, IF THERE'S NO OBJECTION, AND IT'S APPROPRIATE.
17
              MR. QUINN: I OFFER THE ADMISSION OF EXHIBIT
18
    2140, WHICH IS THE TRANSCRIPT OF THE DECEMBER 8TH CALL.
19
              MR. HELM: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
20
              MR. OUINN: THEN I OFFER THE JEFFREY GUNDLACH
                                                                 10:48AM
21
     ON DOUBLELINE TRANSCRIPT, DECEMBER 20, 2009, WHICH IS
22
    EXHIBIT 956.
23
              THE COURT: 12/20?
24
                    ANY OBJECTION?
25
              MR. HELM: I THINK WE DO OBJECT TO THAT, YOUR
                                                                 10:48AM
26
    HONOR.
27
              MR. QUINN: THAT'S THE ONE WE DISCUSSED AT
```

SIDEBAR YESTERDAY.

1	THE COURT: I'LL HAVE TO TAKE A LOOK AT IT. I	
2	JUST DON'T RECALL THE SPECIFIC DISCUSSION.	
3	MR. QUINN: DOES THE COURT WANT TO LOOK AT IT	
4	NOW, OR LATER?	
5	THE COURT: LATER.	10:48AM
6	MR. QUINN: LATER.	
7	AND THEN FINALLY, EXHIBIT 961, THE DEAR	
8	COLLEAGUE LETTER.	
9	THE COURT: THE DATE OF THAT LETTER?	
10	MR. QUINN: THAT LETTER IS NOT DATED.	10:48AM
11	THE COURT: OKAY. ANY OBJECTION TO 961,	
12	MR. HELM?	
13	MR. HELM: I THINK WE DO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR.	
14	I'M NOT SURE ABOUT THE FOUNDATION OF IT BEING SENT.	
15	THE COURT: IT WILL BE OFFERED, AND I'LL TAKE	10:49AM
16	A LOOK AT IT AND DEAL WITH IT.	
17	MR. QUINN: AND THEN, YOUR HONOR, THE CHARTS	
18	WE'LL MARK FOR IDENTIFICATION.	
19	2226 IS THE PASS-THROUGH MORTGAGE-BACKED	
20	SECURITIES DRAWING.	10:49AM
21	2227, THE CMO MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES	
22	DRAWING. AND THAT'S IT.	
23	THE COURT: THEY'LL BE MARKED FOR	
24	IDENTIFICATION ONLY.	
25		10:49AM
26	(EXHIBITS 2140, 956, 961, 2226 AND	
27	2227 WERE MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	

MR. QUINN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 1 2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 3 MR. HELM: JUST BRIEFLY, YOUR HONOR. 4 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 5 6 7 RECROSS EXAMINATION 8 9 BY MR. HELM: 10 Q. MR. QUINN ASKED YOU WHETHER YOU THOUGHT YOUR 10:49AM 11 FIDUCIARY DUTIES PREVENTED YOU FROM LEAVING TCW IN A 12 WAY THAT WOULD BE DAMAGING TO THE COMPANY? 13 DO YOU RECALL ANSWERING THAT OUESTION? A. YES. 14 15 Q. WHEN YOU SAID -- YOU WERE THINKING ABOUT 10:50AM 16 LEAVING IN A WAY THAT WOULD BE DAMAGING, DID YOU HAVE 17 IN MIND, CONCEPTS LIKE GIVING PROPER NOTICE, THINGS OF 18 THAT NATURE? 19 A. YES. 20 Q. YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT AT SOME POINT, AND UNDER 10:50AM 21 SOME CIRCUMSTANCES, YOU COULD LEAVE; OR DID YOU BELIEVE 22 YOU HAD COMMITTED TO STAY FOR YOUR WHOLE CAREER AT TCW? 23 A. WELL, OF COURSE, EMPLOYEES HAVE A RIGHT TO 24 LEAVE. I NEVER THOUGHT THAT I WAS AN INDENTURED 25 SERVANT. 10:50AM 26 O. AND SO DID YOU THINK THAT YOUR FIDUCIARY 27 DUTIES PREVENTED YOU FROM LEAVING UNDER PROPER CIRCUMSTANCES, EVEN IF IT WOULD BE DAMAGING TO TCW, IN

1	TERMS OF THEY MIGHT LOSE A LOT OF BUSINESS, THEY MIGHT	
2	LOSE A STAR INVESTOR, OR OTHER THINGS OF THAT NATURE?	
3	A. I THOUGHT THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE.	
4	MR. HELM: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.	
5	THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE, MR. QUINN?	10:50AM
6	MR. QUINN: NO, YOUR HONOR.	
7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, MR. GUNDLACH. THANK	
8	YOU FOR YOUR TESTIMONY. YOU MAY STEP DOWN.	
9	NICE TO SEE YOU SMILE.	
10	MR. QUINN, YOU MAY CALL YOUR NEXT	10:51AM
11	WITNESS.	
12	MR. QUINN: YES, YOUR HONOR.	
13	THE NEXT WITNESS WILL BE CASEY MOORE, BY	
14	VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION.	
15	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	10:51AM
16		
17	(VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION PLAYED OF CASEY MOORE)	
18		
19	MR. MADISON: YOUR HONOR, WE'D HAVE YOU LOOK	
20	AT EXHIBIT 993. AND I'D LIKE TO DISPLAY IT FOR THE	11:39AM
21	JURY.	
22	MR. WEINGART: CAN YOU PUT IT ON THE SCREEN?	
23	I THINK HE'LL HAVE TO TAKE THE VIDEO DOWN, IF HE DOES	
24	THAT.	
25	THE COURT: WE'RE GOING TO GET IT HERE.	11:39AM
26	MR. MADISON: IT'S DATA, YOUR HONOR.	
27	MR. WEINGART: NO OBJECTION.	
0.0		

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

1	IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	
	II WILL BE ADMITTED.	
2	(DVIII 000 ADMITTED)	
3	(EXHIBIT 993 ADMITTED.)	
4	/	
5	(VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION RESUMED OF CASEY MOORE)	10:51AM
6		
7	MR. MADISON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
9	DOES THAT CONCLUDE THE MOVIES?	
10	MR. MADISON: YES, IT DOES.	11:44AM
11	JUST THE MORNING MOVIE.	
12	WE MAY HAVE AN AFTERNOON MOVIE, TOO.	
13	THE COURT: WOULD YOU LIKE TO CALL YOUR NEXT	
14	WITNESS?	
15	MR. QUINN: OKAY, YOUR HONOR.	11:44AM
16	WE CALL PHIL BARACH.	
17		
18	PHILIP BARACH,	
19	CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PLAINTIFFS, WAS SWORN AND	
20	TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:	
21		
22	THE CLERK: YOU DO SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE	
23	TESTIMONY YOU ARE ABOUT TO GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW	
24	PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT, SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE	
25	WHOLE TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD?	11:44AM
26	THE WITNESS: I DO.	
27	THE CLERK: THANK YOU.	
28	PLEASE BE SEATED.	
28	PLEASE BE SEATED.	

1	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.	
2	THE COURT: SIR, PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR	
3	NAME FOR RECORD.	
4	THE WITNESS: PHILIP, P-H-I-L-I-P; BARACH,	
5	В-А-R-А-С-Н.	11:44AM
6	THE COURT: THANK YOU.	
7	GOOD MORNING, MR. BARACH.	
8	THE WITNESS: MORNING, YOUR HONOR.	
9	THE COURT: MR. QUINN, YOU MAY PROCEED.	
10		11:44AM
11		
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
13	BY MR. QUINN:	
14	Q. GOOD MORNING, MR. BARACH.	
15	MY NAME IS JOHN QUINN.	11:44AM
16	A. MORNING.	
17	Q. I REPRESENT TCW.	
18	YOU HADN'T PRIOR TO YOUR LEAVING TCW	
19	TO JOIN DOUBLELINE, HAD YOU KNOWN MARC STERN FOR A	
20	NUMBER OF YEARS?	11:45AM
21	A. CORRECT.	
22	Q. AND CAN YOU TELL US ROUGHLY HOW MANY YEARS YOU	
23	HAVE KNOWN HIM?	
24	A. I KNEW HIM GOING BACK TO THE LATE 1980S.	
25	Q. SO WE'RE TALKING ROUGHLY OVER 20 YEARS?	11:45AM
26	A. THAT'S CORRECT.	
27	Q. AND YOU FELT LIKE YOU HAD A GOOD RELATIONSHIP	

WITH HIM?

1	A. YES, I DID.	
2	Q. AND YOU SAW EACH OTHER OUTSIDE THE OFFICE	
3	SOMETIMES?	
4	A. PERHAPS ONCE OR TWICE IN 20 YEARS.	
5	Q. ALL RIGHT.	11:45AM
6	WELL, WAS THERE AN OCCASION WHERE HEI	
7	GUESS THERE WAS SOME TYPE OF PARTY, AND YOU TOOK ILL,	
8	AND HE TOOK YOU TO THE HOSPITAL?	
9	A. THAT'S CORRECT.	
10	Q. AND THEN WAITED FOR YOU THERE?	11:45AM
11	A. THAT'S CORRECT.	
12	Q. AND YOU TOLD HIM THAT YOU THOUGHT HE HAD	
13	TREATED YOU LIKE A BROTHER?	
14	A. I DON'T SPECIFICALLY REMEMBER THAT PHRASE, BUT	
15	IT COULD HAVE BEEN SAID.	11:45AM
16	Q. AND THEN YOU'VE ALSO INVITED EACH OTHER TO	
17	PERSONAL FAMILY CELEBRATIONS?	
18	A. THAT'S CORRECT.	
19	Q. AND YOU INVITED HIM TO I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S	
20	YOUR SON OR DAUGHTER'S BAR MITZVAH OR BAT MITZVAH?	11:46AM
21	A. THAT'S CORRECT.	
22	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.	
23	RELEVANCE.	
24	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
25	I'D ASSUME WE'RE MOVING ON?	11:46AM
26	MR. QUINN: WE ARE.	
27	Q. AND HE INVITED YOU TO HIS DAUGHTER'S WEDDING?	

A. THAT'S CORRECT.

1	Q. YOU ATTENDED A MEETING WITH MR. GUNDLACH AND	
2	SOME OF YOUR OTHER COLLEAGUES ON SEPTEMBER 3, 2009?	
3	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	
4	A. YES, I DO.	
5	Q. AND DO YOU RECALL ON THAT OCCASION,	11:46AM
6	MR. GUNDLACH BROUGHT UP THIS ISSUE ABOUT HOW HE HAD	
7	BEEN	
8	THE COURT: EXCUSE ME, MR. QUINN. JUST ONE	
9	MINUTE.	
10	I NOTICE WE MIGHT HAVE A HAND UP OR A	11:46AM
11	PENCIL ISSUE HERE. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE EVERYBODY	
12	HAS WHAT THEY NEED. WE'RE ALL SET? WE HAVE EVERYTHING	
13	WE NEED?	
14	I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE FOR NOW.	
15	EVERYBODY IS SET NOW.	11:47AM
16	OKAY. GO AHEAD, MR. QUINN.	
17	MR. QUINN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
18	Q. DO YOU RECALL, AT THAT SEPTEMBER 3RD MEETING,	
19	MR. GUNDLACH BRINGING UP AN ISSUE ABOUT HE WAS VERY	
20	UNHAPPY WITH MR. STERN, BECAUSE HE THOUGHT THAT	11:47AM
21	WHOOPS, I'M GOING THE WRONG DIRECTION.	
22	HE THOUGHT THAT SOME YEARS IN THE PAST,	
23	HIS STOCK OWNERSHIP INTEREST IN TCW HAD BEEN DILUTED?	
24	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	
25	A. YES, I DO.	11:47AM
26	Q. AND DILUTION, THAT'S SOMETHING THAT HAPPENS TO	
27	OTHER SHAREHOLDERS, EXISTING SHAREHOLDERS, WHEN NEW	

STOCK IS ISSUED TO SOMEONE ELSE?

A. I BELIEVE SO. 1 2 O. ALL RIGHT. AND DO YOU RECALL MR. GUNDLACH 3 EXPRESSING DISSATISFACTION THAT SOME STOCK HAD, AT SOME 4 POINT IN THE PAST, SOME TCW STOCK HAD BEEN ISSUED TO 5 SOME OTHER FOLKS, AND THAT THAT HAD DILUTED HIM, AND HE 11:47AM THOUGHT THIS WAS WRONG? 6 YES, I DO. 7 Α. AND AT THAT TIME, DO YOU RECALL THAT MR. STERN 8 Q. 9 EXPLAINED THE CIRCUMSTANCES, WHAT HAD HAPPENED? 10 I RECALL THAT MY STOCK WAS ALSO DILUTED. Α. 11:48AM 11 MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, HEARSAY. 12 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 13 I'LL ALLOW THE ANSWER TO STAND. 14 HOWEVER, MR. BARACH, LISTEN CAREFULLY TO 15 THE QUESTION, AND TRY AND ANSWER THE QUESTION. OKAY? 11:48AM 16 Ο. BY MR. QUINN: DO YOU RECALL MR. STERN SAYING, 17 YES, THAT MY STOCK -- MEANING I, MARC STERN STOCK, I 18 WAS ALSO DILUTED. EVERYBODY WAS DILUTED. 19 DO YOU RECALL HIM SAYING THAT? 20 MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION, HEARSAY. 11:48AM 21 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 22 THE WITNESS: I DON'T. 23 O. BY MR. OUINN: DO YOU RECALL THAT HE GAVE AN 24 EXPLANATION ABOUT WHAT HAD HAPPENED? 25 YES, I DO. Α. 11:48AM

Q. THAT THERE HAD BEEN SOME GROUPS IN THE COMPANY

WHO HAD BEEN CONTRIBUTING, SUBSTANTIALLY, TO THE
PROFITABILITY OF THE COMPANY, AND THAT THEY DIDN'T

26

27

HAVE, HE THOUGHT, AS MUCH STOCK OWNERSHIP INTEREST AS 1 2 HE THOUGHT THEY SHOULD HAVE? 3 THAT'S CORRECT. Α. 4 AND THOSE WERE LIKE THE SMALL CAP EQUITY GROUP Q. 5 AND A COUPLE OF OTHER GROUPS, THAT HE HAD RECOMMENDED 11:49AM 6 THE ISSUANCE OF STOCK FOR THEM. 7 A. I DON'T REMEMBER HIM SPECIFICALLY SAYING WHAT 8 GROUPS. 9 RIGHT. IN ANY EVENT, HE GAVE THIS 0. 10 EXPLANATION? 11:49AM 11 A. THAT'S CORRECT. 12 AND YOU THOUGHT THE EXPLANATION THAT HE GAVE Ο. 13 WAS VERY FORTHRIGHT, AS TO WHAT HAD HAPPENED? 14 A. THAT'S WHAT I SAID. 15 Q. AND YOU THOUGHT IT MADE SENSE? 11:49AM 16 I SAID TO HIM, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN MORE 17 APPRECIATED IF HE TOLD US BACK IN 2001, INSTEAD OF 18 WAITING TILL 2009. AND HE AGREED, THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN 19 20 BETTER. 11:49AM 21 O. OKAY. 22 BUT I GUESS MY QUESTION WAS, YOU THOUGHT 23 MR. STERN'S EXPLANATION MADE SENSE? 24 A. THAT'S CORRECT.

11:49AM

A. YES, HE DID.

25

26

27

28

BE FOR THE BENEFIT OF EVERYONE? HE TOLD YOU THAT?

AND HE TOLD YOU HOW HE THOUGHT THAT GIVING

STOCK TO MOTIVATE THESE OTHER GROUPS, NEW STOCK, WOULD

AND BY THE WAY, DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT HE 1 Q. 2 HAD GIVEN THAT SAME EXPLANATION TO MR. GUNDLACH 3 MULTIPLE TIMES IN THE PAST? 4 MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION. 5 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 11:50AM Q. BY MR. QUINN: DID MR. GUNDLACH EVER TELL YOU 6 7 THAT MR. STERN HAD GIVEN HIM THAT EXPLANATION IN THE PAST? 8 9 NO, HE DID NOT. Α. O. OKAY. LET ME THEN, MOVING FORWARD, THAT -- AT 10 11:50AM 11 SOME POINT IN NOVEMBER OR DECEMBER OF 2009, YOU HAD A 12 CONVERSATION WITH MR. GUNDLACH WHERE HE TOLD YOU ABOUT 13 TALKS HE HAD HAD WITH AN INVESTMENT BANKING FIRM CALLED GOLDMAN SACHS, CORRECT? 14 15 A. CORRECT. 11:50AM 16 Ο. AND HE TOLD YOU THAT HE HAD GONE TO GOLDMAN 17 SACHS TO TALK WITH THEM ABOUT A MANDATE, RIGHT? 18 I DON'T REMEMBER THE WORD MANDATE. Α. 19 Q. WELL, DO WE HAVE YOUR DEPOSITION? 20 DO WE HAVE MR. BARACH'S DEPOSITION, AND 11:50AM 21 A COPY FOR THE COURT, AS WELL? MR. QUINN: IF WE COULD TAKE A LOOK AT PAGE 51 22 23 OF YOUR JANUARY 25, 2011 DEPOSITION. 24 Q. AND IF YOU SEE ON PAGE 51, AND IF YOU MAYBE 25 CAN JUST READ TO YOURSELF, LINES 23, AT THE BOTTOM OF 11:51AM

II.SIAM

26 PAGE 51, UP TO THE TOP OF PAGE 52.

A. YES.

27

28

Q. DOES THAT REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION, WHEN

- 1 MR. GUNDLACH WAS RELATING TO YOU, HIS CONVERSATIONS
- 2 WITH GOLDMAN SACHS, HE SAID THAT HE HAD SPOKEN TO THEM
- 3 ABOUT A MANDATE?
- 4 A. YES.
- 5 Q. AND BY MANDATE, YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT TO MEAN
 6 THAT HE'S TALKING ABOUT ENGAGING THAT INVESTMENT

7 | BANKING FIRM?

- A. I'M NOT SURE WHAT THAT SPECIFICALLY MEANT, BUT

 IT MEANT TALKING ABOUT SOME SORT OF OPERATION OR

 SOMETHING THEY WOULD DO THAT WOULD HELP HIM OUT.
- 11 Q. JUST TO HIRE THEM, IF YOU WILL?
- 12 A. PERHAPS.
- 13 Q. OKAY.
- AND HE RELATED TO YOU WHAT THE FOLKS AT

 GOLDMAN SACHS SAID TO HIM WHEN HE TOLD THEM WHAT HE HAD

 IN MIND, DIDN'T HE?
- 17 A. YES.
- Q. AND WHAT HE TOLD YOU, WHEN HE TOLD -- ABOUT

 WHAT THE GOLDMAN SACHS FOLKS SAID, WHEN HE TOLD THEM

 WHAT HE HAD IN MIND, MR. GUNDLACH TOLD YOU THAT THE

 GOLDMAN SACHS PEOPLE SAID, IN NO UNCERTAIN TERMS, THAT

 THEY'D ONLY BE WILLING TO WORK WITH MR. GUNDLACH TO THE

 EXTENT THE DEAL WAS FULLY TRANSPARENT TO TCW.
- 24 ISN'T THAT WHAT MR. GUNDLACH TOLD YOU
- 25 THE GOLDMAN SACHS PEOPLE SAID?
- 26 A. YES.
- Q. AND HE ALSO TOLD YOU THAT IN RESPONSE TO HIS
 PROPOSAL TO THE GOLDMAN SACHS PEOPLE, THAT THEY'D ONLY

11:52AM

11:52AM

11:52AM

11:53AM

11:53AM

1 WORK WITH HIM TO THE EXTENT IT WAS BENEFICIAL TO TCW,
2 CORRECT?

- A. CORRECT.
- Q. AND THAT THEY ALSO TOLD HIM, IN RESPONSE TO

 HIS APPROACHING THEM, WAS THAT IT HAD TO BE ABOVEBOARD,

 AND TERMS THAT BOTH SIDES FOUND MUTUALLY ACCEPTABLE,

CORRECT?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- A. CORRECT.
- Q. DOES MR. -- AFTER THAT, DID MR. GUNDLACH EVER
 TELL YOU THAT HE HAD ENGAGED GOLDMAN SACHS?

A. NO, HE DIDN'T.

12 Q. ALL RIGHT. LET ME JUST BACK UP FOR A MINUTE.

13 AFTER THAT SEPTEMBER 3 MEETING, WHERE

THE NEW STOCK, DO YOU RECALL, AT THE CONCLUSION OF THAT

MR. STERN GAVE THE EXPLANATION ABOUT THE ISSUANCE OF

16 | MEETING, A FOLLOW-UP MEETING WAS SET UP WITH MR. STERN?

17 A. I DON'T THINK THERE WAS A DEFINITIVE FOLLOW-UP
18 MEETING THAT WAS SET UP; AT LEAST NOT AS FAR AS I WAS

19 | CONCERNED.

- Q. WELL, LET ME ASK IT THIS WAY: DO YOU RECALL THAT YOU DID, IN FACT, HAVE A FOLLOW-UP MEETING WITH MR. STERN ON SEPTEMBER 8TH, THE NEXT WEEK?
 - A. I DON'T SPECIFICALLY REMEMBER.
- Q. DOES IT JOG YOUR MEMORY AT ALL IF I SUGGEST

 THAT YOU, MR. GUNDLACH AND MR. LUCIDO WENT THE NEXT

 WEEK TO TALK TO MR. STERN, A SCHEDULED MEETING, TO TALK

 ABOUT ENLARGING THE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE TO INCLUDE

 MORE REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE FIXED INCOME GROUP?

11:53AM

11:54AM

11:54AM

11:54AM

11:54AM

1	DOES THAT RING A BELL?	
2	A. NO.	
3	WE TALKED ABOUT THAT DURING THE	
4	SEPTEMBER 3RD MEETING, BUT I DON'T BELIEVE THAT I MET	
5	WITH THOSE INDIVIDUALS AND MR. STERN AFTERWARDS,	11:55AM
6	DISCUSSING THE COMMITTEE MEETING, NO.	
7	Q. YOU DON'T REMEMBER THAT?	
8	A. NO.	
9	Q. IS YOUR MEMORY GOOD ENOUGH THAT YOU THINK YOU	
10	CAN RULE THAT OUT, THAT YOU ARE CONFIDENT THAT DID NOT	11:55AM
11	HAPPEN THE NEXT WEEK?	
12	A. I'M HIGHLY CONFIDENT, BUT I CAN'T REMEMBER FOR	
13	SURE.	
14	Q. YOU CAN'T BE ABSOLUTELY CERTAIN?	
15	A. IT WAS SOME TIME AGO.	11:55AM
16	Q. ALL RIGHT.	
17	AFTER DECEMBER 4, AND WHEN MR. GUNDLACH	
18	WAS RELIEVED OF HIS DUTIES, DO YOU RECALL HAVING	
19	CONVERSATIONS WITH MR. STERN WHERE MR. STERN APPROACHED	
20	YOU AND TRIED TO PERSUADE YOU TO STAY AT TCW?	11:55AM
21	A. YES.	
22	Q. AND HE ACTUALLY ARRANGED TO COME BY YOUR HOME,	
23	SATURDAY NIGHT, DECEMBER 5?	
24	A. THAT'S CORRECT.	
25	Q. DO YOU RECALL THAT?	11:56AM
26	A. YES, I DO.	
27	Q. AND I MEAN, OBVIOUSLY, YOU DECIDED TO GO WITH	

DOUBLELINE, RIGHT?

THAT'S CORRECT. 1 Α. O. AND YOU TOLD THAT TO MR. STERN? 2 3 I EVENTUALLY TOLD HIM. Α. YOU EVENTUALLY TOLD HIM THAT, NOT THAT 4 Q. SATURDAY NIGHT? 5 11:56AM A. THE FOLLOWING DAY. 6 7 O. WHEN YOU SPOKE TO HIM AT YOUR HOME THAT 8 SATURDAY NIGHT, ONE OF THE THINGS YOU SAID TO MR. STERN 9 WAS THAT AFTER THE SEPTEMBER 3 MEETING, I KNEW YOU HAD 10 TO DO SOMETHING. 11:56AM YOU SAID THAT, DIDN'T YOU? 11 12 A. I DON'T REMEMBER SAYING THAT -- I REMEMBER 13 TELLING HIM I WAS SORRY HOW THE SEPTEMBER 3RD MEETING 14 CAME OUT, BUT I DON'T REMEMBER SPECIFICALLY SAYING 15 THAT. 11:56AM YOU DON'T REMEMBER SPECIFICALLY SAYING THAT, 16 Ο. 17 STR? 18 IS YOUR MEMORY GOOD ENOUGH THAT YOU CAN 19 DENY THAT YOU ARE CERTAIN YOU DID NOT SAY THAT TO 20 MR. STERN, THAT AFTER SEPTEMBER 3, I KNEW WE HAD TO DO 11:56AM 21 SOMETHING? 22 A. I CAN'T SPECIFICALLY DENY IT. 23 IT'S BEEN SOME TIME AGO. A LOT OF 24 THINGS WERE SAID. 25 I DON'T SPECIFICALLY REMEMBER ANY OF 11:57AM 26 THAT, BUT I DON'T THINK I DID. 27 MR. OUINN: NOTHING FURTHER. 28 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION?	
2	MR. WEINGART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
3		
4		
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
6	(DIRECT EXAMINATION UNDER 776)	
7		
8	BY MR. WEINGART:	
9	Q. GOOD MORNING, MR. BARACH.	
10	A. GOOD MORNING.	11:57AM
11	Q. NOW, YOU WERE ASKED SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE	
12	SEPTEMBER 3RD MEETING WITH MR. STERN?	
13	A. YES.	
14	Q. HOW DID THAT MEETING START?	
15	A. THE ACTUAL MEETING ITSELF?	11:58AM
16	Q. YES.	
17	A. I THINK THAT JEFFREY GUNDLACH SAID TO	
18	MR. STERN, I HEARD RUMORS THAT THERE WERE A BUNCH OF	
19	LAWYERS ON THE 17TH FLOOR, PLANNING ON FIRING ME.	
20	Q. WHAT DID MR. STERN SAY IN RESPONSE?	11:58AM
21	A. HE SAID THAT WASN'T TRUE.	
22	Q. AT THAT MEETING, DID YOU RAISE ANY CONCERNS	
23	WITH MR. STERN?	
24	A. YES. YES, I DID.	
25	Q. WHAT CONCERNS WERE THOSE?	11:58AM
26	A. I RAISED A CONCERN, AND I SAID THAT I DIDN'T	
27	THINK IT WAS APPROPRIATE THAT HE RETURN AS CEO OF THE	

FIRM AFTER BEING IN ABSENCE FOR FOUR TO FIVE YEARS, AND

- 1 THAT I THOUGHT THAT JEFFREY GUNDLACH WOULD BE A BETTER
 2 CEO FOR THE FIRM, AND WOULD HELP GROW THE FIRM IN A
- 3 BETTER WAY.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

4 Q. WHAT DID MR. STERN SAY IN RESPONSE TO THAT?

5 A. HE SAID THAT HE TOOK THAT POSITION BECAUSE THE 6 FRENCH ASKED HIM TO DO IT.

Q. DO YOU RECALL ANY OTHER CONCERNS THAT YOU RAISED PERSONALLY, DURING THAT MEETING?

A. I BROUGHT UP THE ISSUE OF MY STOCK DILUTION.

AND ALSO THE ISSUE WAS BROUGHT UP, NOT BY ME, BUT IN TOTALITY, TO CONVEY TO MR. STERN THAT DESPITE THE FACT THAT HE SAID THAT MR. GUNDLACH WAS NOT BEING FIRED, THAT IF HE WERE TO BE FIRED, THE PEOPLE IN THAT ROOM WOULD NOT BE VERY HAPPY, AND IT WAS HIGHLY LIKELY THAT A LOT OF THEM MIGHT LEAVE.

Q. WHY DID YOU WANT MR. STERN TO KNOW THAT? YOU,
PERSONALLY?

A. WELL, BECAUSE I THOUGHT IT WOULD BE A VERY BAD DECISION FOR TCW TO FIRE MR. GUNDLACH.

AND THE PURPOSE WAS NOT TO THREATEN

MR. STERN, AND THE PURPOSE WAS NOT TO PLAN SOME SORT OF

LEAVING A MESS. IT WAS ACTUALLY TO JUST INFORM HIM

THAT HE'D BEEN THINKING ABOUT THIS, AND THERE COULD BE

CONSEQUENCES GREATER THAN HE, PERHAPS, THOUGHT.

- Q. AT SOME POINT DURING THE MEETING, DID MR. GUNDLACH MAKE AN OFFER TO BUY THE FIRM?
- A. YES, HE DID.
 - Q. WHAT DID MR. STERN SAY, IN RESPONSE TO THAT

11:59AM

11:59AM

11:59AM

12:00PM

12:00PM

OFFER? 1 2 A. HE TOOK OUT A PEN AND PAPER AND ASKED 3 MR. GUNDLACH WHAT SOME OF THE TERMS WERE, AND HE WROTE 4 THEM DOWN. 5 AND HE LOOKED AS IF HE WAS CONSIDERING 12:00PM 6 THEM, AND PUT IT IN HIS POCKET AND SAID, OKAY. 7 O. DID MR. STERN INDICATE WHETHER OR NOT HE WOULD GET BACK TO MR. GUNDLACH ABOUT THE OFFER? 8 9 A. I DON'T REMEMBER HIM SPECIFICALLY SAYING THAT. 10 HE SAID, I'VE HEARD WHAT YOU SAID. I'VE 12:01PM WRITTEN IT DOWN, AND THE BALL'S IN MY COURT. AND I 11 12 WILL PROBABLY GET BACK TO YOU. 13 Q. CAN YOU REMIND US AGAIN, WHO IS JACQUES 14 RIPOLL? 15 A. JACQUES RIPOLL WAS THE INDIVIDUAL DESIGNATED 12:01PM 16 BY SOCIETE GENERALE, THE FRENCH BANK THAT OWNED TCW, TO 17 BE THE INDIVIDUAL IN CHARGE OF THE TCW ENTITY THAT THEY 18 OWNED. 19 O. NOW, WE SAW SOME E-MAILS EARLIER ABOUT A 20 MEETING THAT YOU HAD WITH MR. RIPOLL ON SEPTEMBER 16TH. 12:01PM 21 DO YOU RECALL THOSE? 22 Α. YES. I JUST SAW THOSE E-MAILS. 23 O. WAS THAT THE FIRST TIME THAT YOU HAD MET 24 MR. RIPOLL? 25

YES, IT WAS. Α. 12:02PM

26 AND COULD WE PUT UP EXHIBIT 296. AND IF WE Ο. 27 COULD GO TO THE BOTTOM OF THAT E-MAIL CHAIN.

28

THAT'S AN E-MAIL THAT YOU WROTE ON

SEPTEMBER 16TH, 2009, AFTER YOU MET WITH MR. RIPOLL? 1 2 Α. YES. 3 Q. AND ON THE THIRD LINE THERE, YOU SAID, 4 (READING): 5 CLEARLY, HE IS ATTEMPTING TO 12:02PM CREATE ALLIES, AND IS STARTING THE 6 7 DIVIDE AND CONQUER STRATEGY I 8 EXPECTED FROM STERN. CAN YOU TELL US WHAT YOU MEANT BY THAT? 9 10 WELL, MY THOUGHT PROCESS WAS THAT IF THEY WERE Α. 12:03PM 11 PLANNING -- IT WASN'T AT ALL CERTAIN IF THEY WERE. 12 THEY WOULD PROBABLY WANT TO HAVE ME STAY, BECAUSE THAT 13 WOULD HELP RETAIN A LOT OF HIS CLIENTS. AND PERHAPS 14 THEIR GOAL AND OBJECTIVE WAS TO CREATE A RIFT BETWEEN 15 US, OR TO SOMEHOW CONVINCE ME TO STAY. 12:03PM 16 Ο. NOW LATER ON IN THIS E-MAIL CHAIN, YOU SAY 17 THAT YOU THOUGHT THAT -- THE WORDS, MR. RIPOLL THINKS 18 I'M AN IDIOT. 19 DO YOU RECALL THAT? 20 Α. YES, I DO. 12:03PM 21 Q. AND IT'S RIGHT THERE, WORDS, (READING:) 22 HE THINKS I'M AN IDIOT, AND WOULD FALL FOR THIS FEEBLE ATTEMPT. 23 24 THIS IS INSULTING. 25 CAN YOU TELL US WHAT YOU MEANT BY THAT? 12:04PM 26 Α. WELL, I MEANT, HERE'S SOMEONE I NEVER MET 27 BEFOREHAND, WHO WAS REALLY NOT FAMILIAR WITH THE MONEY

MANAGEMENT BUSINESS AT ALL.

1	HE'D BEEN DOING SOMETHING PRIOR IN	
2	FRANCE, WHEN HE WORKED FOR SOCIETE GENERALE. AND HE'S	
3	SUDDENLY MEETING ME FOR THE FIRST TIME, AND TELLING ME	
4	HOW GREAT AND WONDERFUL WE ARE, AND BESTOWING ALL THESE	
5	COMPLIMENTS ON ME.	12:04PM
6	AND IT SOUNDS TO ME AS IF HE WAS TRYING	
7	TO GET ME TO BE ON HIS SIDE.	
8	AND I HAD BEEN WORKING WITH PEOPLE AT	
9	SOCIETE GENERALE FOR A LONG TIME PERIOD, THAT I HAD	
10	KNOWN FOR A LONG TIME PERIOD, AND HAD A VERY GOOD	12:04PM
11	RELATIONSHIP WITH THEM; BUT THEY NEVER STARTED OUT	
12	CONVERSATIONS WITH ME THAT WAY.	
13	Q. COULD WE PUT UP EXHIBIT 295.	
14	THIS IS IN EVIDENCE, YOUR HONOR.	
15	WE SAW THIS E-MAIL EARLIER. AND IT'S A	12:05PM
16	CONTINUATION OF THE CHAIN. AND AT THE TOP, YOU SAY,	
17	(READING):	
18	I AGREE. BUT AT LEAST NOW, WE	
19	HAVE THE LUXURY OF TIME TO PLAN AND	
20	PREPARE.	12:05PM
21	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
22	A. YES, I DO.	
23	Q. CAN YOU TELL US WHAT YOU MEANT BY THE LUXURY	
24	OF TIME TO PLAN AND PREPARE?	
25	A. WELL, FIRST OF ALL, IT WAS IN RESPONSE TO	12:05PM
26	MR. GUNDLACH'S CONCERNS THAT SOMETHING WAS GOING TO	
27	HAPPEN TO HIM.	
28	AND SECONDLY, I MEANT BY THAT, THAT	

1	NOTHING IS GOING TO HAPPEN RIGHT AWAY.	
2	BUT IF YOU WANT TO FIGURE OUT A WAY TO	
3	EXIT FROM TCW, WE HAVE TIME TO FIGURE OUT A WAY TO DO	
4	THIS ON A MUTUALLY AGREEABLE, AMICABLE BASIS.	
5	Q. WE CAN TAKE THAT DOWN.	12:06PM
6	LET ME MOVE FORWARD NOW TO DECEMBER 4TH.	
7	MR. QUINN ASKED YOU A FEW QUESTIONS, I	
8	THINK, ABOUT DECEMBER 5TH.	
9	I'M GOING TO START ON DECEMBER 4TH.	
10	ON DECEMBER 4TH, WERE YOU PLACED ON ANY	12:06PM
11	KIND OF LEAVE BY TCW?	
12	A. NO, I WASN'T.	
13	Q. DID YOU MEET WITH ANY SENIOR TCW OFFICIALS ON	
14	THE 4TH?	
15	A. YES, I DID.	12:06PM
16	Q. WHO DID YOU MEET WITH?	
17	A. I MET WITH ROBERT DAY, MARC STERN, MARK	
18	GIBELLO, DAVE DEVITO.	
19	Q. AND MR. DAY, JUST REMIND US, HE WAS THE	
20	CHAIRMAN OF TCW?	12:06PM
21	A. YES, HE IS.	
22	Q. AND HOW DID THAT DID YOU MEET FIRST WITH	
23	MR. DAY AND OTHERS?	
24	A. FIRST I MET WITH MR. DAY AND MR. GIBELLO.	
25	Q. HOW DID THAT MEETING COME ABOUT?	12:06PM
26	A. AT ABOUT 1:15 ON DECEMBER 4TH, MR. DAY'S	

SECRETARY ASKED ME TO COME UP TO HIS OFFICE, AND I DID.

DID YOU KNOW AT THAT POINT THAT MR. GUNDLACH

27

HAD BEEN RELIEVED OF HIS DUTIES? 1 2 Α. NO, I DID NOT. 3 AND WAS ANYTHING SAID AT THAT MEETING ABOUT Q. 4 THE FACT THAT MR. GUNDLACH WAS BEING TERMINATED? 5 A. YES. 12:07PM 6 WHEN I GOT INTO THAT MEETING, MR. DAY 7 SAID, WE'RE SENDING OUT AN E-MAIL. IT'S GOING OUT 8 MOMENTARILY. 9 WE'RE ANNOUNCING THAT MR. GUNDLACH'S 10 BEEN FIRED. 12:07PM 11 MET WEST WAS -- HAS BEEN ACQUIRED. 12 WE'LL DO SOME MAJOR CHANGES, AND WE WANT 13 TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT IT. O. DID YOU ASK MR. DAY WHY THIS WAS HAPPENING? 14 A. YES. I EXPRESSED SHOCK. 15 12:07PM 16 AND I SAID, WHY DID YOU DO THIS? 17 WHAT DID MR. DAY SAY? Q. 18 HE SAID A VARIETY OF THINGS. BUT THREE 19 THINGS, I SPECIFICALLY REMEMBER, BECAUSE THEY SEEMED 20 SOMEWHAT ODD. 12:07PM 21 ONE OF THE REASONS HE MENTIONED, HE SAID 22 THAT JEFFREY GUNDLACH DID NOT GO TO HIS PARTIES. 23 AND I RESPONDED THAT HE ACTUALLY HAD 24 GONE TO THE LAST PARTY OF HIS, BECAUSE MR. GUNDLACH HAD 25 TOLD ME THAT, JUST BY CHANCE. 12:08PM

26

27

28

Ο.

DID NOT CALL THE LOW, THE STOCK MARKET, IN MARCH OF

WHAT WAS THE -- THERE WAS A SECOND REASON?

A. THE SECOND REASON, HE SAID THAT MR. GUNDLACH

'09. 1 2 Q. AND WAS THERE A THIRD REASON? 3 AND THE THIRD REASON, HE SAID MR. GUNDLACH WAS 4 MAKING WAY TOO MUCH MONEY. HE WAS GOING TO MAKE 5 SOMETHING LIKE \$50 MILLION IN 2009, AND SOME NUMBER 12:08PM LIKE \$100 MILLION IN 2010. 6 7 HE THOUGHT THAT THAT WAS TOO MUCH. 8 NOW, AT THE CONCLUSION OF THAT MEETING, WERE Ο. 9 YOU ASKED TO MEET WITH ANYONE? 10 YES, I WAS. Α. 12:08PM 11 WHO WERE YOU ASKED TO MEET WITH? 0. 12 I WAS ASKED TO MEET WITH THE SENIOR OFFICIALS Α. 13 OF METROPOLITAN WEST. DID YOU, IN FACT, MEET WITH THEM? 14 Q. A. YES, I DID. 15 12:08PM 16 0. WHO DID YOU MEET WITH? 17 I MET WITH TAD RIVELLE AND SOME FELLA BY THE Α. 18 NAME OF LAIRD, AND DAVID LIPPMAN, AND A FEW OTHER 19 PEOPLE. I DON'T REMEMBER THEIR NAMES. 20 Ο. AND WHAT WERE YOU TOLD DURING THAT MEETING? 12:09PM 21 Α. WELL, I WAS TOLD THAT MET WEST WOULD BE TAKING 22 OVER THE FIXED INCOME OPERATION, AND I COULD CONTINUE 23 ON AS CO-CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER. 24 WHAT DID YOU SAY IN RESPONSE? Ο. 25 MY RESPONSE WAS, WELL, REGARDLESS OF WHAT 12:09PM

12.05111

HIM, OBVIOUSLY, IT WASN'T FOR PERFORMANCE REASONS.

HAPPENS WITH GUNDLACH, WHATEVER YOUR CONCERNS WERE WITH

SO I DIDN'T SEE ANY REASON WHY THE MET

26

27

WEST TEAM SHOULD BE TAKING OVER THE FIXED INCOME AREA. 1 2 IF ANYTHING, THE EXISTING TEAM SHOULD BE 3 THE ONES TAKING OVER, AND THE PEOPLE IN THE MET WEST 4 FIXED INCOME AREA WOULD BE THE ONES WHO WILL BE 5 ELIMINATED. 12:09PM 6 O. HOW DID THAT MEETING CONCLUDE? 7 A. IT DIDN'T GO THAT WELL. 8 AND AFTER A SHORT WHILE, I ESSENTIALLY 9 LEFT THE BUILDING AND WENT BACK HOME. 10 AND THEN YOU -- WAS THE NEXT MEETING YOU HAD, Ο. 12:10PM 11 THE MEETING WITH MR. STERN, THAT MR. QUINN ASKED YOU 12 ABOUT? 13 THE NEXT MEETING I HAD WITH ANYONE FROM TCW Α. 14 WAS MR. STERN. Q. FAIR ENOUGH. 15 12:10PM 16 AND THAT MEETING, I THINK YOU SAID, TOOK 17 PLACE AT YOUR HOME; IS THAT RIGHT? 18 YES. Α. O. AND DID MR. STERN MAKE AN OFFER TO YOU AT THAT 19 20 TIME, TO TRY TO GET YOU TO STAY? 12:10PM 21 A. YES, HE DID. 22 I HAD RESIGNED SATURDAY MORNING, SENT 23 HIM A LETTER OF RESIGNATION. 24 AND HE CALLED ME AND SAID HE WANTED TO

TALK TO ME ABOUT THAT, AND COME OVER TO MY HOUSE; SO I 12:10PM

Q. WHAT WAS THE PACKAGE THAT HE PUT ON THE TABLE
28 FOR YOU?

25

26

AGREED TO TALK TO HIM.

1	A. THE PACKAGE WAS ONE OF A TITLE INCREASE TO	
2	VICE CHAIRMAN OF THE FIRM.	
3	HE SAID I WOULD GET MORE STOCK IN THE	
4	COMPANY THAN ANYONE ELSE, INCLUDING HIM, AND THAT I	
5	WOULD GET A PERCENTAGE OF REVENUES OF THE ENTIRE FIXED	12:11PM
6	INCOME GROUP, INCLUDING THE MET WEST REVENUES FOR A	
7	PERIOD OF SIX YEARS, AT WHICH I BASICALLY ONLY HAD TO	
8	WORK FOR THREE OF THEM.	
9	Q. I THINK YOU MENTIONED YOU TURNED THAT DOWN,	
10	AND ENDED UP GOING TO DOUBLELINE?	12:11PM
11	A. I TOLD THEM I WOULD THINK ABOUT IT.	
12	AND THE NEXT DAY, IN THE AFTERNOON, I	
13	SENT THEM A CORDIAL E-MAIL, THANKING HIM FOR HIS OFFER,	
14	THANKING HIM FOR THE PAST RELATIONSHIP, BUT I DECIDED	
15	TO LEAVE TCW.	12:11PM
16	Q. NOW, WHEN DOUBLELINE WAS STARTED, DID IT HAVE	
17	A MUTUAL FUND?	
18	A. NO, IT DID NOT.	
19	MR. QUINN: BEYOND THE SCOPE, YOUR HONOR.	
20	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	12:12PM
21	MR. WEINGART: MAY I HAVE ONE MOMENT, YOUR	
22	HONOR?	
23	THE COURT: YES, YOU MAY.	
24	Q. BY MR. WEINGART: COULD I ASK YOU TO TAKE A	
25	LOOK AT EXHIBIT 764, WHICH IS IN EVIDENCE.	12:13PM
26	MR. QUINN: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS ALSO BEYOND	
27	THE SCOPE.	

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

1	MR. BARACH, YOU CAN CALL IN YOUR CASE IN	
2	CHIEF, BUT IT SEEMS THERE ARE SOME LIMITATIONS HERE.	
3	MR WEINGART: I THINK THAT'S WHAT WE'LL END UP	
4	DOING, YOUR HONOR; SO WE'LL SEE YOU AGAIN IN A FEW	
5	DAYS.	12:14PM
6	THE COURT: RECROSS, MR. QUINN?	
7	MR. QUINN: JUST VERY BRIEFLY.	
8	THE COURT: HOW BRIEF? DO YOU WANT TO TAKE A	
9	BREAK, OR FINISH IT?	
10	MR. QUINN: I CAN FINISH IT.	12:14PM
11	THE COURT: OKAY.	
12		
13		
14	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
15		
16	BY MR. QUINN:	
17	Q. YOU INDICATED THAT YOU TOLD MR. STERN THAT YOU	
18	DIDN'T THINK IT WAS A GOOD IDEA FOR HIM TO COME BACK AS	
19	CEO?	
20	AND HE SAID IN RESPONSE, THE FRENCH	12:14PM
21	ASKED ME TO DO IT	
22	A. THAT'S CORRECT.	
23	Q BASICALLY.	
24	BUT YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THE SHAREHOLDER	
25	WITH SOCIETE GENERALE HAS A RIGHT TO DECIDE WHO THEY	12:14PM
26	WANT TO BE CEO?	
27	A. I UNDERSTAND THAT.	
		1

Q. AND THEY ARE THE ONES THAT ELECT THE

1	DIRECTORS?	
2	A. I UNDERSTAND THAT.	
3	Q. INCLUDING MR. STERN AND MR. GUNDLACH?	
4	A. YES.	
5	Q. YOU INDICATED YOU TOLD US ABOUT THIS	12:14PM
6	CONVERSATION YOU HAD WITH MR. DAY.	
7	NOW, TERMINATING MR. GUNDLACH TO SAVE	
8	MONEY WOULD MAKE NO SENSE AT ALL; ISN'T THAT TRUE?	
9	MR WEINGART: OBJECTION. ARGUMENTATIVE.	
10	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	12:14PM
11	Q. BY MR. QUINN: WELL, YOU SAID THAT MR. DAY	
12	TOLD YOU THAT MR. GUNDLACH WAS GOING TO BE PAID A LOT	
13	OF MONEY, IN RESPONSE TO YOUR QUESTION AS TO WHY	
14	MR. GUNDLACH WAS RELIEVED OF HIS DUTIES.	
15	AND MR. DAY SAID THREE THINGS.	12:15PM
16	THAT WAS ONE OF THEM?	
17	A. YES.	
18	Q. AND AS FAR AS YOU ARE CONCERNED, JUST AS FAR	
19	AS YOU ARE CONCERNED, THAT MAKES NO SENSE AT ALL,	
20	RIGHT?	12:15PM
21	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. ARGUMENTATIVE.	
22	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
23	Q. BY MR. QUINN: DID THAT MAKE ANY SENSE TO YOU?	
24	MR. WEINGART: SAME OBJECTION.	
25	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	12:15PM
26	Q. BY MR. QUINN: DID YOU AGREE WITH THAT, THAT	
27	MR GUNDLACH SHOULD BE TERMINATED BECAUSE HE MAKES TOO	

MUCH MONEY?

1	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE,		
2	ARGUMENTATIVE.		
3	THE COURT: I THINK IT MISCHARACTERIZES THE		
4	TESTIMONY, IN TERMS OF THE GROSS PICTURE.		
5	I'LL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION.	12:15PM	
6	Q. BY MR. QUINN: YOU ARE AWARE THAT MR. GUNDLACH		
7	MADE A LOT OF MONEY FOR THE FIRM?		
8	A. YES.		
9	Q. AND TERMINATING HIM TO SAVE MONEY WOULD MAKE		
10	ABOUT AS MUCH SENSE AS TERMINATING HIM FOR NOT GOING TO	12:15PM	
11	PARTIES?		
12	MR. WEINGART: SAME OBJECTIONS, YOUR HONOR.		
13	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.		
14	MR. QUINN: NOTHING FURTHER.		
15	THE COURT: NOTHING FURTHER?	12:15PM	
16	MR. WEINGART: NO, YOUR HONOR.		
17	THE COURT: MR. BARACH, YOU MAY STEP DOWN.		
18	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE'LL TAKE OUR		
19	NEXT RECESS. 20 MINUTES.		
20		12:16PM	
21	(AT 12:16 P.M. THE JURY WAS		
22	EXCUSED, AND THE FOLLOWING		
23	PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:)		
24			
25	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'RE OUT OF THE	12:16PM	
26	PRESENCE OF THE JURY.		
27	ANY MATTERS ANYBODY WANTS TO TAKE UP?		
28	MR. BRIAN: UNFORTUNATELY I DO HAVE ONE.		

1	YOUR HONOR, WHAT'S HAPPENED THE LAST	
2	COUPLE DAYS IS, TCW HAS MANAGED TO GIVE THE JURY A HALF	
3	AND MISLEADING PICTURE ABOUT THIS GOLDMAN SACHS	
4	CONVERSATION. THEY PERSUADED YOUR HONOR TO PUT OFF	
5	MR. GUNDLACH'S TESTIMONY ON THE SUBJECT.	12:17PM
6	IT'S NOT HEARSAY, FOR ALL THE REASONS WE	
7	ARGUED, BUT HE WAS ORDERED TO COME BACK AND DO IT	
8	LATER.	
9	THEY THEN ASKED THIS WITNESS ABOUT WHAT	
10	MR. GUNDLACH SAID, AND THEN ASKED A QUESTION THAT WAS	12:17PM
11	HIGHLY MISLEADING, AND I THINK, INCONSISTENT WITH WHAT	
12	THE FACTS ARE.	
13	THAT IS, THE QUESTION WAS, DID	
14	MR. GUNDLACH THEN EVER RETAIN GOLDMAN SACHS. THE	
15	EVIDENCE IS, AND I THOUGHT BOTH MR. MADISON AND I	12:17PM
16	AGREED ABOUT THAT THE OTHER DAY.	
17	THE EVIDENCE IS, GOLDMAN SACHS DECIDED	
18	THAT THEY WERE NOT ABLE TO REPRESENT MR. GUNDLACH	
19	BECAUSE THEY HAD A CONFLICT, IN THAT THEY HAD	
20	REPRESENTATIONS OF TCW AND SOC-JEN.	12:17PM
21	AND THE IMPRESSION THAT WAS LEFT WITH	
22	THE JURY WAS THAT MR. GUNDLACH DECIDED NOT TO RETAIN	
23	THEM BECAUSE THEY INSISTED ON DOING SO PURSUANT TO A	
24	NEGOTIATED SEPARATION. THAT WAS THE IMPRESSION THAT	
25	WAS LEFT THROUGH THAT QUESTION; AND THAT'S	12:17PM
26	INCONSISTENT.	
27	I THINK THAT'S THE TESTIMONY AND THE	

QUESTION AND ANSWER SHOULD BE STRICKEN. IT'S WRONG,

- 1 AND IT'S MISLEADING.
 2 THE COURT: WELL, IT'S AN INFERE
- THE COURT: WELL, IT'S AN INFERENCE THEY WANT

 TO BE DRAWN FROM THIS.
- BUT THE QUESTION IS, WHY -- YOU CAN PUT

 YOUR EVIDENCE ON IN RESPONSE. AND TO THE EXTENT THAT
- 6 YOU WANT TO BRING MR. GUNDLACH BACK, YOU ARE ABLE TO DO
 7 THAT.
- I APPRECIATE YOUR CONCERN, BUT THERE ARE
 Two sides to it.
- MR. BRIAN: I APPRECIATE THAT. AND I'M HAPPY

 11 TO GO IN THE RIGHT ORDER.
- BUT WHAT THEY ARE DOING IS THEY WANT TO

 13 GO IN ORDER THAT THEN SAYS, WELL, WE DON'T GET TO
- 15 THE NEXT THING WE'RE GOING TO HAVE IS
 16 MR. WARD'S VIDEOTAPE, WITHOUT THE HANDWRITTEN NOTES,
- 17 WHICH OUGHT TO COME IN --

24

NOT.

PRESENT HALF THE PICTURE.

- 18 THE COURT: WELL, WE ARE GOING TO HAVE THE 19 HANDWRITTEN NOTES.
- WELL, THEY'VE OBJECTED. AND I DIDN'T -
 I DIDN'T GIVE YOU A RULING ON IT, BECAUSE TO BE HONEST

 WITH YOU, I WASN'T SURE IF WE WERE GOING TO -- THAT WAS

 ONE OF THE THINGS YOU WERE GOING TO FILE A BRIEF ON OR
- MR. BRIAN: WE ARE.

BUT THAT'S MY POINT, YOUR HONOR. WHAT
THEY ARE DOING IS, THEY WANT TO GO WITH THEIR ORDER,
BUT THEY DON'T WANT TO GO WITH THE PARTS OF THE ORDER

12:18PM

12:18PM

12:18PM

12:18PM

12:19PM

THAT HELP US; AND THAT'S NOT PROPER. 1 2 THE COURT: WELL, I'M INCLINED TO ADMIT THE 3 NOTES AS REDACTED. AND WE'LL ADMIT THEM FOR PURPOSES 4 OF SHOWING THE DEPOSITION, SO THAT THEY CAN BE SHOWN 5 WHILE THE DEPOSITION IS BEING SHOWN. 12:19PM MR. BRIAN: THAT'S WHAT WE THINK SHOULD 6 7 HAPPEN. 8 MR. MADISON: YOUR HONOR, WE'RE NOT DOING WHAT 9 MR. BRIAN IS SUGGESTING. WE JUST THINK THE CASE SHOULD 10 BE TRIED THROUGH ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE. 12:19PM 11 AND ON THE DEPO THAT WE'RE GOING TO 12 HEAR, THE WITNESS ACTUALLY TESTIFIES ABOUT THE 13 CONVERSATION, AND HE USES THE NOTES WHILE HE'S DOING 14 IT. 15 I WOULD ASK YOUR HONOR TO JUST RESERVE 12:19PM 16 RULING ON THE ADMISSIBILITY OF WHAT IS ACTUALLY TRIPLE 17 HEARSAY, THE NOTES, UNTIL YOU'VE SEEN -- WE'VE GOT A 18 BRIEF. I'VE BEEN WORKING ON IT, ACTUALLY, THIS 19 MORNING. 20 THEY ARE GOING TO FILE A RESPONSIVE 12:19PM 21 BRIEF. IT'S AN IMPORTANT ISSUE, AND I WOULD ASK YOUR 22 HONOR, RESPECTFULLY, NOT TO REVERSE YOURSELF ON THIS. 23 THE COURT: THIS IS TESTIMONY REGARDING THE 24 SECOND ENCOUNTER WITH GOLDMAN SACHS, WHICH IS THE 25 CONFERENCE CALL THAT INCLUDED MR. WARD AND 12:20PM

27 MR. BRIAN: CORRECT.

26

28

MR. GUNDLACH.

THE COURT: AND MR. WARD TESTIFIED ABOUT WHAT

```
THEY SAID AND WHAT THEY RECOMMENDED, DID HE NOT?
 1
              MR. BRIAN: CORRECT.
 2
 3
                    AND HE TOOK CONTEMPORANEOUS NOTES OF
 4
     THAT CONVERSATION.
 5
                    THOSE NOTES ARE, IN FACT, THE BEST
                                                                12:20PM
 6
     EVIDENCE OF WHAT WAS SAID.
              THE COURT: WELL, I'M NOT SURE THERE HAS TO
 7
 8
     BE -- MY INCLINATION IS TO ADMIT THOSE NOTES BECAUSE --
 9
     BUT -- YOU WANT TO BRIEF IT, AND I SAID YOU COULD BRIEF
10
     IT.
                                                                 12:20PM
11
              MR. BRIAN: I DON'T WANT TO BRIEF IT.
12
              THE COURT: WELL, BUT I SAID YESTERDAY, THAT'S
13
    WHY I DIDN'T PUT SOMETHING IN TODAY AND COME OUT WITH A
14
    RULING ON IT, BECAUSE THERE WAS AN AGREEMENT THAT A
15
    BRIEF WOULD BE FILED TODAY. YOU WOULD FILE A RESPONSE,
                                                                12:20PM
16
    AND THEN WE'D GO WITH IT.
17
              MR. BRIAN: YOUR HONOR, I DON'T WANT TO ARGUE
18
     IT FOREVER. WE THOUGHT YOU HAD RULED ON THE RECORD.
19
    MR. MADISON OFFERED TO BRIEF IT, AND THEN I SAW THIS
20
    DISPLAY WITH MR. BARACH, AND I THINK IT'S MISLEADING.
                                                                12:21PM
21
     AND I THINK THE JURY OUGHT TO HEAR THE EVIDENCE --
22
              THE COURT: BUT THAT'S THE NATURE OF THE
23
     PROCESS, HERE. YOU KNOW THEY WANT TO MISLEAD HIM ONE
24
     WAY, YOU WANT TO MISLEAD HIM ANOTHER WAY.
25
                    I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE TRUTH IS. THAT'S
                                                                12:21PM
26
    WHAT THE JURY IS GOING TO DECIDE. THE JURY MAKES THAT
27
    DETERMINATION.
```

I DON'T NEED TO GO ANY MORE ON THIS.

```
MR. MADISON: YOUR HONOR, I DID HAVE SOME
 1
 2
     IMPORTANT BUSINESS TO SAY.
 3
              THE COURT: WHAT IS THAT IMPORTANT BUSINESS?
 4
              MR. MADISON: WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO IS MOVE INTO
 5
    EVIDENCE THE EXHIBITS THAT ARE COMING IN THROUGH THE
                                                                12:21PM
    WARD DEPOSITION TESTIMONY, SO WE DON'T HAVE TO WASTE
 6
 7
     TIME DURING THE PRESENTATION.
 8
                    CAN I JUST READ THOSE EXHIBITS INTO THE
 9
    RECORD, AND PERHAPS WHEN WE RECONVENE, IF THEY HAVE AN
10
    OBJECTION, THEY CAN LET US KNOW.
                                                                12:21PM
              THE COURT: I'LL TELL YOU WHAT, I'M GOING TO
11
12
    PROVISIONALLY ACCEPT THE WARD NOTES, TOO, SO THAT THEY
13
     WILL BE SHOWN, JUST AS THE OTHER EXHIBITS, WHEN
    MR. WARD'S TESTIMONY IS BEING HEARD.
14
15
                    AND I'LL TAKE YOUR BRIEF, AND I'LL TAKE
                                                                12:21PM
16
    HIS BRIEF. AND TO THE EXTENT THAT I DETERMINE THAT IT
17
     SHOULD BE STRICKEN, I'LL SO ADVISE THE JURY.
18
                    BUT YOU CAN'T -- YOU PUSH THE ENVELOPE.
    YOU CAN'T HAVE IT ALL WAYS.
19
20
                    AND WHAT ARE THE EXHIBITS YOU WANT?
                                                                12:22PM
21
              MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR. MAY I JUST
22
    SAY, ON THE WARD NOTES --
23
              THE COURT: LET'S GET THIS DONE.
              MR. MADISON: EXHIBIT 330, 337, 336, 386, 414,
24
25
     421, 430, 441, 411, 452, 453, 464, 470, 479, 483, 484,
                                                                12:22PM
26
     491, 886, 950, 1059, 508, 513, 526, 514, 527.
27
              THE COURT: JUST A MINUTE. 514?
```

MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR.

1	AND THEN 527, AND 528.	
2	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
3	AND WHAT IS THE EXHIBIT NUMBER? I HAVE	
4	IT IN MY NOTES, OR IN MY RULINGS ON THE	
5	MR. MADISON: THE NOTES WERE DEPO EXHIBIT 30.	12:23PM
6	AND I CAN GIVE YOU THE TRIAL EXHIBIT IF YOU WOULD LIKE.	
7	THE ONLY THING I WAS GOING TO POINT OUT	
8	ON THAT IS, THE BEST ARGUMENT FOR THE NOTES IS PAST	
9	RECOLLECTION, RECORDED; IN WHICH CASE THEY COULD BE	
10	READ INTO THE RECORD, BUT NOT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.	12:23PM
11	AND THAT'S BASICALLY WHAT HAPPENS IN THE	
12	DEPOSITION.	
13	THE COURT: WELL, AND THAT'S WHY THEY SAID	
14	THEY HAD TO BE REDACTED. SO I'LL ALLOW THEM THE SAME	
15	WAY.	12:24PM
16	TELL ME AGAIN WHAT THE TRIAL EXHIBIT	
17	NUMBER ON THOSE NOTES WAS.	
18	MR. MADISON: 506, YOUR HONOR.	
19	THE COURT: AND IS THERE ANY OBJECTION TO THE	
20	EXHIBITS MR. MADISON READ OFF?	12:24PM
21	I'M SURE HE GAVE YOU A LIST OF THOSE, SO	
22	HE COULD THINK ABOUT IT TEN MINUTES BEFORE WE WERE	
23	GOING TO DISPLAY THE DEPO.	
24	MR. MADISON: WELL, THE DEPO, YOUR HONOR, HAS	
25	BEEN THE SUBJECT.	12:24PM
26	MR. BRIAN: WE'LL LOOK AT THEM QUICKLY.	
27	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANKS.	

MR. BRIAN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

```
1
                       (RECESS TAKEN.)
 2
 3
                       (THE NEXT PAGE NUMBER IS 3801.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

1	CASE NUMBER:	BC 429385	
2	CASE NAME:	TCW VS. GUNDLACH	
3	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	AUGUST 17, 2011	
4	DEPARTMENT 322	HON. CARL J. WEST, JUDGE	
5	APPEARANCES:	(AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.)	
6	REPORTER:	RAQUEL A. RODRIGUEZ, CSR	
7	TIME:	C SESSION; 12:35 P.M.	
8			
9		-0	
10			12:45PM
11	THE COURT: ALL	RIGHT IN THE TCW VERSUS	
12	GUNDLACH MATTER ALL THE C	JURORS ARE AGAIN PRESENT. AS	
13	ARE COUNSEL.		
14	MR. QUINN: MAY	WE APPROACH ON ONE MATTER?	
15	THE COURT: MR.	QUINN, MR. MADISON, COME ON	12:45PM
16	UP.		
17			
18	(SIDE-BAR (CONFERENCE HELD) +	
19			
20	THE COURT: WHAT	r do you have?	12:45PM
21	MR. QUINN: YOU	WERE GOING TO CONSIDER WHETHER	
22	YOU WERE GOING TO EXAMINE	E THE JUROR.	
23	MR. MADISON: WE	E ARE GOING THROUGH THE END OF	
24	THE DAY SO YOU DON'T HAVE	E TO	
25	THE COURT: I'M	REALLY NOT INCLINED TO DO THAT	12:46PM
26	AT THIS POINT. I HAVE TO	D DO IT BRIEFLY BECAUSE BUT	
27	I WILL IF YOU INSIST.		
28	MR. MADISON: II	F YOU CAN.	

```
THE COURT: I'LL HAVE HIM STAY. IT WILL BE
 1
 2
     BRIEF.
 3
          (DISCUSSION HELD OFF THE RECORD AT SIDE-BAR) +
 4
 5
                (SIDE-BAR CONFERENCE CONCLUDED.) +
                                                                12:46PM
 6
 7
              MR. QUINN: YOUR HONOR, THE NEXT WITNESS WILL
    BE GREG WARD TESTIFYING BY VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
10
              MR. BRIAN: COUNSEL HAVE CONFERRED WE
                                                                12:47PM
11
    STIPULATED THE EXHIBITS THAT WILL BE MENTIONED IN THE
12
     COURSE OF THIS MAY BE DISPLAYED TO THE JURY SUBJECT TO
1.3
    MOTION TO STRIKE ON EITHER SIDE.
14
              MR. QUINN: THAT'S RIGHT.
15
              THE COURT: THEY HAVE NOT BEEN ADMITTED,
                                                                12:47PM
16
    LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IF EXHIBITS HAVE BEEN ADMITTED
17
     YOU'LL HAVE THEM AVAILABLE. IF YOU SEE SOMETHING I
18
     DON'T ADMIT I'LL TELL YOU THAT.
19
                   AND YOU'RE NOT TO REGARD IT. BUT YOU'LL
2.0
    BE INFORMED.
                                                                12:47PM
21
             MR. MADISON: AS WAS THE CASE WITH OTHER
22
    VIDEO. VIDEO IS PRESENTED BY JOINT, BOTH PARTIES.
23
     THIS IS ESSENTIALLY THE DIRECT AND THE CROSS.
24
              THE COURT: LET'S SEE IT.
25
              MR. MADISON: AND THE TRIAL EXHIBIT NUMBERS
                                                                12:48PM
26
    ARE DISPLAYED EVEN THOUGH IN THE DEPOSITION THEY MAY BE
2.7
    TALKING ABOUT DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBERS WE WILL SHOW
28
    THE TRIAL EXHIBIT FOR THE RECORD.
```

1	THE COURT: WE WILL PROVIDE THEM WITH A	
2	CROSS-REFERENCE TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY'RE DIFFERENT	
3	NUMBERS.	
4	MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR.	
5	THE COURT: GO AHEAD.	12:48PM
6	MR. MADISON: THANK YOU.	
7		
8	(VIDEO DEPOSITION OF GREGORY WARD PLAYED.) +	
9		
10	THE COURT: MR. MADISON, I KNOW HOW MUCH WE	01:58PM
11	GOT DO WE HAVE MORE THAN THAT? WE'LL RECESS THEN.	
12	PLEASE REMEMBER THE ADMONITION; DON'T	
13	DISCUSS THE MATTER AMONG YOURSELF OR WITH ANYONE ELSE	
14	OR FORM ANY OPINIONS OR CONCLUSIONS.	
15	AND MR. POWELL, WOULD YOU WAIT JUST FOR	01:58PM
16	A MOMENT WITH US, PLEASE. THANK YOU. LADIES AND	
17	GENTLEMEN.	
18		
19	(FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS HELD IN CHAMBERS.) +	
20		02:00PM
21	THE COURT: IN THE MATTER OF TCW VERSUS	
22	GUNDLACH, WE'RE OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY. WE'RE	
23	ACTUALLY IN CHAMBERS. MR. POWELL, JUROR NO. 8, WE HAVE	
24	HERE.	
25	IT'S COME TO MY ATTENTION THERE WAS AN	02:00PM
26	ENCOUNTER BETWEEN YOU AND MR. GUNDLACH YESTERDAY IN THE	
27	ELEVATOR. AND THE SOURCE OF THAT IS OF NO CONSEQUENCE.	
28	AND YOU'RE NOT IN TROUBLE IN ANY WAY.	

1	BUT I'D LIKE YOU TO RELATE TO ME EXACTLY	
2	WHAT, IF ANYTHING, WAS SAID BY YOU OR MR. GUNDLACH.	
3	JUROR POWELL: YES, ACTUALLY I DIDN'T EVEN	
4	KNOW HE WAS IN THE ELEVATE. I CAME IN THE ELEVATOR AND	
5	I HAD MY LUNCH BOX AND I KNOW JUROR NO. 7 HE'S GOT A	02:00PM
6	BAD BACK. HE PUTS HIS ICE PACK THERE ON HIS BACK AND I	
7	WAS REALLY TALKING TO HIM.	
8	AND I SAID, I FEEL BAD FOR YOU. AND	
9	MR. GUNDLACH TURNED AROUND AND SAID, I FEEL BAD FOR YOU	
10	GUYS.	02:00PM
11	THE COURT: OKAY.	
12	WAS MR. GUNDLACH ALREADY IN THE ELEVATOR	
13	WHEN YOU WERE IN THERE?	
14	JUROR POWELL: I GUESS HE SNUCK RIGHT IN. NOW	
15	WE HIT HIT THE BUTTON, I DIDN'T KNOW WHO WAS WHO	02:01PM
16	CAME IN.	
17	THE COURT: YOUR COMMENT WAS DIRECTED TO JUROR	
18	NO. 7?	
19	JUROR POWELL: BECAUSE OF HIS BACK, YES.	
20	THE COURT: AND MR. GUNDLACH SAID?	02:01PM
21	JUROR POWELL: I FEEL SORRY FOR YOU GUYS	
22	WASTING YOUR TIME.	
23	AND I DIDN'T SAY ANOTHER WORD.	
24	THE COURT: WAS THERE ANY OTHER CONVERSATION?	
25	JUROR POWELL: NONE.	02:01PM
26	THE COURT: ANYBODY ELSE PRESENT IN THE	
27	ELEVATOR?	

JUROR POWELL: NO. JUST THREE OF US.

1	THE COURT: DON'T TAKE THIS PERSONALLY, I HAVE	
2	TO EXPLORE ANYTHING THAT COMES UP.	
3	JUROR POWELL: YES. ABSOLUTELY.	
4	THE COURT: ANYTHING THAT GIVES POTENTIAL OR	
5	POSSIBILITY OF IMPROPRIETY. THIS IS PERFECTLY	02:01PM
6	INNOCENT. NO PROBLEM. YOU'RE DOING A GREAT JOB.	
7	THANKS FOR YOUR SERVICE AND YOU'RE	
8	EXCUSED. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.	
9	JUROR POWELL: TO BE HONEST, AFTER ALL THAT I	
10	SAID, OH, MY GOD, I ACTUALLY I HOPE I DON'T GET IN	02:01PM
11	TROUBLE OVER THIS.	
12	THE COURT: YOU'RE NOT IN TROUBLE. WE DO	
13	WATCH WHAT GOES ON.	
14	JUROR POWELL: ABSOLUTELY.	
15	THE COURT: I WANT TO MAKE SURE EVERYTHING'S	02:01PM
16	DONE RIGHT IN THIS TRIAL.	
17	JUROR POWELL: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.	
18	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I GUESS WE CAN STAY ON	
19	THE RECORD. JUST A MOMENT.	
20	(PAUSE.)	02:02PM
21		
22	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'RE NOW OUT OF THE	
23	PRESENCE OF MR. POWELL. WE'RE STILL ON THE RECORD.	
24	I'M SATISFIED THAT HIS COMMENT TO JUROR NO. 7 NOT BEING	
25	EVEN DIRECTED TO MR. GUNDLACH IN NO WAY EVIDENCES ANY	02:02PM
26	PREJUDGMENT OF THE CASE OR THAT HE'S REACHED ANY	
27	CONCLUSIONS.	

I TRUST, MR. MADISON, YOU AGREE WITH ME.

MR. MADISON: I DO AGREE, YOUR HONOR.	
THE COURT: I'M GLAD WE DID THIS. IT CLEARS	
THE AIR. WE DON'T HAVE AN ISSUE.	
MR. MADISON: IT CLEARS THE AIR AS TO THE	
JUROR. I'M REALLY DISMAYED THAT THE DEFENDANT WOULD	02:02PM
SEEK TO MAKE A COMMENT TO THE JUROR LIKE THAT. AND THE	
JUROR'S VERSION WAS DIFFERENT FROM MR. GUNDLACH'S.	
HE SAID THAT IT'S A WASTE OF TIME TO BE	
HERE.	
THE COURT: RIGHT.	02:02PM
MR. MADISON: I REALLY THINK YOU SHOULD	
STERNLY ADMONISH MR. GUNDLACH. AND CERTAINLY PEOPLE	
RECALL THINGS DIFFERENTLY.	
THE COURT: I'LL JUST SAY, I'M NOT GOING TO	
TAKE A LOT OF DEBATE ON THIS. AT THE END OF THE DAY,	02:03PM
IT APPEARS TO ME MR. GUNDLACH WAS OF THE IMPRESSION	
THAT THE STATEMENT WAS MADE TO HIM.	
THE JUROR, IN FACT, WAS MAKING IT TO	
JUROR NO. 7, REGARDING HIS BACK. AND THE FACT THAT HE	
HAS PROBLEMS.	02:03PM
MR. GUNDLACH RELATED THAT HE SAID HE	
FELT SORRY FOR THEM. MR. POWELL MENTIONED HE FELT	
SORRY FOR THEM AND THE WASTE OF TIME.	
I'M NOT SURE WHERE THAT COMES OUT. I'M	
SURE MR. BRIAN WILL ADMONISH HIS CLIENT AS I DID THIS	02:03PM
MORNING NOT TO HAVE ANY CONTACTS. BUT I DO NOT SEE	
THIS AS IN ANY WAY, YOU KNOW, EVIDENCING SOME EFFORT BY	
MR. GUNDLACH INFLUENCING THE JUROR.	
	THE COURT: I'M GLAD WE DID THIS. IT CLEARS THE AIR. WE DON'T HAVE AN ISSUE. MR. MADISON: IT CLEARS THE AIR AS TO THE JUROR. I'M REALLY DISMAYED THAT THE DEFENDANT WOULD SEEK TO MAKE A COMMENT TO THE JUROR LIKE THAT. AND THE JUROR'S VERSION WAS DIFFERENT FROM MR. GUNDLACH'S. HE SAID THAT IT'S A WASTE OF TIME TO BE HERE. THE COURT: RIGHT. MR. MADISON: I REALLY THINK YOU SHOULD STERNLY ADMONISH MR. GUNDLACH. AND CERTAINLY PEOPLE RECALL THINGS DIFFERENTLY. THE COURT: I'LL JUST SAY, I'M NOT GOING TO TAKE A LOT OF DEBATE ON THIS. AT THE END OF THE DAY, IT APPEARS TO ME MR. GUNDLACH WAS OF THE IMPRESSION THAT THE STATEMENT WAS MADE TO HIM. THE JUROR, IN FACT, WAS MAKING IT TO JUROR NO. 7, REGARDING HIS BACK. AND THE FACT THAT HE HAS PROBLEMS. MR. GUNDLACH RELATED THAT HE SAID HE FELT SORRY FOR THEM. MR. POWELL MENTIONED HE FELT SORRY FOR THEM AND THE WASTE OF TIME. I'M NOT SURE WHERE THAT COMES OUT. I'M SURE MR. BRIAN WILL ADMONISH HIS CLIENT AS I DID THIS MORNING NOT TO HAVE ANY CONTACTS. BUT I DO NOT SEE THIS AS IN ANY WAY, YOU KNOW, EVIDENCING SOME EFFORT BY

		İ
1	OR BY THE JUROR HAVING PREDETERMINED ANY	
2	ISSUES OR REACHED ANY CONCLUSIONS.	
3	WITH THAT SAID, THAT'S ENOUGH. WE'VE	
4	ALL MADE OUR RECORD.	
5	MR. BRIAN: ONE THING, YOUR HONOR, I KNOW YOU	02:03PM
6	HAVE TO RUN. I DON'T THINK THE INFERENCE THAT	
7	MR. MADISON WANTS TO DRAW IS FAIR. I CAN'T REMEMBER	
8	WHETHER MR. GUNDLACH SAID THIS THIS MORNING OR I	
9	RECALLING OTHER CONVERSATIONS THAT BETWEEN COUNSEL	
10	AND MR. GUNDLACH.	02:04PM
11	BUT, I THINK THE RECORD WAS THAT WE GOT	
12	INTO THE ELEVATOR AND MR. HELM'S INDICATED, FOR	
13	EXAMPLE, HE WAS LOOKING DOWN. HE DIDN'T KNOW WHETHER	
14	THE JUROR'S THERE OR NOT. HE DOESN'T PAY ATTENTION	
15	OFTEN WHEN HE'S IN A SITUATION LIKE THAT.	02:04PM
16	THE COURT: IT APPEARS HE ENTERED AFTER THE	
17	JURORS. YOU KNOW YOUR VIEW, MR. MADISON'S VIEW,	
18	EVERYBODY'S VIEW IS ON THE RECORD. AND THE BOTTOM	
19	LINE, I DON'T THINK IT'S AN ISSUE. I WON'T TAKE ANY	
20	ACTION ON IT. WE'LL GO FORWARD.	02:04PM
21	MR. BRIAN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
22	THE COURT: THANK YOU.	
23		
24	(AT 2:05 P.M., AN ADJOURNMENT WAS	
25	TAKEN UNTIL 8-18-11 AT 8:30 A.M.)	02:04PM
26		
27		