```
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 1
 2
                   FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
 3
    DEPARTMENT 322
                                  HON. CARL J. WEST, JUDGE
 4
     TRUST COMPANY OF THE WEST,
 5
 6
                                PLAINTIFFS,)
 7
                     VS.
                                            ) NO. BC 429385
 8
    JEFFREY GUNDLACH, ET AL,
 9
                                DEFENDANTS.)
10
11
            REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12
                         AUGUST 31, 2011
13
    APPEARANCES:
14
    FOR PLAINTIFF:
                          QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN
                          BY:
                               JOHN QUINN, ESQ.
15
                               ERIC J. EMANUEL, ESQ.
                               STEVEN MADISON, ESO.
16
                               DAVID SERGENIAN, ESQ.
                               KARA BORDEN, ESQ.
17
                               SUSAN ESTRICH, ESQ.
                               JOHN M. PIERCE, ESQ.
18
                               DOMINIC SURPRENANT, ESQ.
                               DIANE CAFFERATA HUTNYAN, ESQ.
19
                               RANDA OSMAN, ESQ.
                               COURTNEY BALLARD, ESQ.
20
                          865 SO. FIGUEROA STR. 10TH FLR.
                          LOS ANGELES, CA 90017
21
                          213.443.3000
22
    FOR DEFENDANT:
                          MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
                               BRAD D. BRIAN, ESO.
                          BY:
23
                               MARK B. HELM, ESQ.
                               KEVIN S. ALLRED, ESQ.
24
                               LAURA D. SMOLOWE, ESQ.
                               ALLISON B. STEIN, ESQ.
25
                               JACOB S. KRIELKAMP, ESQ.
                               GREGORY J. WEINGART, ESQ.
26
                          355 SO. GRAND AVE. 35TH FLR.
                          LOS ANGELES, CA 90071
2.7
                          213.683.5113
28
```

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	WENDY OILLATAGUERRE, CSR 10978 RAQUEL A. RODRIGUEZ, CSR 9485
22	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS 600 SOUTH COMMONWEALTH AVENUE
23	DEPARTMENT 322 - 17TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90005
24	213.351.8610
25	
26	
27	
28	

-	
1	INDEX
2	AUGUST 31, 2011; PAGES 6001 - 6300
3	
4	WITNESSES
5	
6	LEGEND:
7	MR. QUINN (Q) MR. BRIAN (B)
8	MR. MADISON (M) MR. HELM (H)
9	MS. OSMAN (O) MR. WEINGART (W)
10	MR. SURPRENANT (S) MR. ALLRED (A)
11	
12	
13	
14	PLF'S WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
15	WILLIAM SONNEBORN 6010-Q 6038-H 6051-Q
16	
17	DEFENSE+
18	
19	DFN'S WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
20	PAUL DEITCH 6055-W
21	(RESUMED) 6101 6129-M
22	
23	(VIDEO DEPOSITION PLAYED OF ROBERT DAY 6164)
24	(VIDEO DEPOSITION RESUMED 6201)
25	PETER SULLIVAN 6203-W
26	
27	
28	

EXHIBITS:	(FOR I.D.)	ADMIT
961	DEAR COLLEAGUE LETTER	6009
956	GUNDLACH "MANIFESTO"	6009
620	TERM SHEET	6064
5708	OAKTREE ORGANIZATIONAL TOOL DOC	6072
6170	OAKTRE DEPT. CHART (6083)	
6171	LIST OF VENDORS (6105)	
5573	DOUBLELINE "CURRENT THINKING"	6116
5580	MATERIALS FOR KICKOFF MEETING	6117
5620	STATUS REPORT AS OF DEC. 18	6120
632	SYSTEM DIAGRAM	6122
798 A	DIAGRAM JAN. 3	6125
5747	PROJECT STATUS REPORT	6126
5641	TRANSITIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT	6142
624	E-MAIL FROM MOLZ	6152
2293	E-MAIL FROM PRASAD	6153
5031	E-MAIL CHAIN	6212
5035	E-MAIL CHAIN FRM SONNEBORN	6219
5037	E-MAIL CHAIN	6226
61	CAHILL/GUNDLACH E-MAIL	6229
63	SULLIVAN/SONNEBORN E-MAIL	6232
41	ECON. MODEL DOC "EXHIBIT A"	6239

1	CASE NUMBER:	BC 429385	
2	CASE NAME:	TCW VS. GUNDLACH	
3	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	AUGUST 31, 2011	
4	DEPARTMENT 322	HON. CARL J. WEST, JUDGE	
5	APPEARANCES:	(AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.)	
6	REPORTER:	RAQUEL A. RODRIGUEZ, CSR	
7	TIME:	A SESSION; 8:30 A.M.	
8			
9		0	
10			
11	(PROCEEDINGS HELD OUTSI	DE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.) +	
12			
13	THE COURT: GOO	D MORNING, LADIES AND	
14	GENTLEMEN.		
15	IN THE TC	W VERSUS GUNDLACH MATTER, WE'RE	08:27AM
16	OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF T	HE JURY.	
17	I UNDERST	AND SOMEBODY WANTS TO TAKE UP A	
18	MATTER.		
19	MR. BRIAN: SEV	ERAL.	
20	THE COURT: OKA	Y. LET'S GO.	08:27AM
21	MR. QUINN: YOU	R HONOR, OUR LAST WITNESS IS	
22	BILL SONNEBORN. HE WAS	HERE YESTERDAY. HE'S HERE	
23	TODAY. HE LIVES UP IN S	AN FRANCISCO, AND HE'S GOING TO	
24	BE GOING BACK UP TO SAN	FRANCISCO.	
25	AND WE'D	LIKE TO CALL HIM AND COVER THE	08:27AM
26	CONTRACT ISSUES AT THIS	TIME, SO HE DOESN'T HAVE TO	
27	COME BACK.		
		.	

THAT'S NOT SOMETHING THE DEFENDANTS ARE

EAGER TO SEE HAPPEN. BUT WE'D LIKE TO AVOID THE 1 2 INCONVENIENCE OF BRINGING HIM BACK. MR. BRIAN: WE OBJECT TO THAT. OBVIOUSLY, WE 3 4 TALKED ABOUT THIS BEFORE. THE COURT HAS RULED WE'RE 5 GOING TO PUT ON OUR CONTRACT CLAIM IN OUR CASE. 08:28AM 6 WE DO NOT PRESENTLY INTEND TO CALL MR. SONNEBORN. WE DISCUSSED THIS YESTERDAY. AND 7 8 MR. QUINN PROPOSED WE CALL HIM AS OUR FIRST WITNESS 9 UNDER 776. 10 THEY WOULD THEN CROSS-EXAMINE HIM. THE 08:28AM 11 PROBLEM WITH THAT, WE DON'T INTEND TO CALL HIM. WE 12 INTEND TO CALL PAUL DEITCH AS OUR FIRST WITNESS. IT'S 1.3 NOT APPROPRIATE FOR TCW TO DICTATE WHO WE CALL OR IN 14 WHAT ORDER. 15 IF WE THINK WE CAN ESTABLISH OUR 08:28AM 16 COMPENSATION CLAIM THROUGH THE WITNESSES WE INTEND TO 17 CALL, IF THEY CHOOSE TO CALL MR. SONNEBORN IN REBUTTAL, 18 AND THEY HAVE TIME, SO BE IT. HE SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED 19 TO TESTIFY IN THEIR CASE, OR WE SHOULD NOT BE REQUIRED 2.0 TO CALL HIM FIRST IN OUR CASE. 08:29AM 21 MR. QUINN: I HAVE --22 THE COURT: I DON'T NECESSARILY DISAGREE WITH 23 THAT. 24 MR. QUINN: TECHNICALLY, EVERYTHING MR. BRIAN 25 SAYS IS RIGHT. BUT WHEN WE TALKED ABOUT THIS, TOO, AT 08:29AM 26 THE BEGINNING OF THE TRIAL, WE TALKED ABOUT LOOKING TO 2.7 SEE IF WE COULD ACCOMMODATE ANY OUT-OF-TOWN WITNESSES.

MR. SONNEBORN DOES NOT WORK FOR TCW

ANYMORE. HE'S PRESIDENT OF KKR ASSET MANAGEMENT 1 COMPANY. HE'S GOT A FULL-TIME AND IMPORTANT JOB. 2 HE'LL --3 4 THE COURT: I DON'T DOUBT THAT, MR. QUINN. IF 5 MR. SONNEBORN WANTS -- HE'S OUTSIDE THE SUBPOENA POWER 08:29AM 6 OF THE COURT. 7 MR. QUINN: NO. HE'S IN CALIFORNIA, SO HE CAN 8 BE SUBPOENAED. 9 THE COURT: WELL, YOU KNOW, I DON'T SEE -- I 10 GOT PEOPLE COMING DOWN HERE FROM SAN FRANCISCO EVERY 08:29AM 11 DAY. AND WE CAN ACCOMMODATE SCHEDULING FOR HIM. IF 12 YOU WANT TO CALL HIM AS A REBUTTAL WITNESS. 1.3 IN ALL FAIRNESS. DEFENDANTS OUGHT TO BE 14 ABLE TO PUT THEIR CASE ON. WE CROSSED THIS BRIDGE 15 EARLIER ON. THERE WAS ONE SUGGESTION DEFENDANTS SHOULD 08:30AM 16 GO FIRST ON THEIR CLAIM. WE SAID NO. WE'LL DO IT IN A TRADITIONAL MANNER. 17 18 YOU PUT MR. SONNEBORN ON IN YOUR CASE. 19 HE CAN BE CROSS-EXAMINED, AND IF HE WANT TO BRING HIM 2.0 BACK AS A REBUTTAL WITNESS, YOU CAN DO THAT. 08:30AM 21 IT'S NOT A TERRIBLE INCONVENIENCE FOR 22 PEOPLE TO COMMUNICATE -- TO COMMUTE BETWEEN SAN FRANCISCO AND LOS ANGELES. IF HE WAS IN NEW YORK, 23 24 I MIGHT HAVE A DIFFERENT FEELING ABOUT IT. 25 MR. QUINN: VERY WELL, YOUR HONOR. 08:30AM 26 MR. BRIAN: YESTERDAY WE HAD A DISCUSSION OF 2.7 THE MICHAEL CONN DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS YESTERDAY.

AND YOU RECALL THERE WAS A DISCUSSION ABOUT A

PARTICULAR ANSWER. I THINK I INDICATED ON THE RECORD, 1 2 I THOUGHT THERE HAD BEEN AN OBJECTION. THEN OFF THE 3 RECORD, YOUR HONOR NOTICED -- WE BOTH NOTICED, 4 ACTUALLY -- IT HADN'T BEEN DESIGNATED. I WANTED TO 5 MAKE SURE IT WAS ON THE RECORD. MY STATEMENT WAS 08:30AM 6 ACTUALLY INCORRECT. 7 THE COURT: I WENT BACK, AND I LOOKED AT THE DEPOSITION CLIPS OF MR. CONN'S TESTIMONY THAT HAD BEEN 8 9 SUBMITTED AND DESIGNATED AND COUNTER-DESIGNATED. AND 10 THE INFORMATION THAT MR. MADISON WAS ASKING BE ADDED, 08:31AM 11 WAS NOT DESIGNATED, WAS NOT RULED ON; AND SO I SAID 12 WE'D GO FORWARD WITH WHAT HAD BEEN SUBMITTED. 1.3 MR. BRIAN: I WANTED TO CORRECT MY INACCURATE 14 STATEMENT ON THE RECORD. 15 MR. MADISON: SINCE HE BROUGHT IT UP, PERHAPS 08:31AM 16 WE MISSED IT, BUT IT APPEARS WHAT THE DEFENDANTS DID, 17 THEY DESIGNATED ONLY PART OF AN ANSWER. AND WE JUST 18 THINK THAT'S WRONG. 19 BUT THE JURY SHOULD HEAR THE ENTIRE 2.0 ANSWER. 08:31AM 21 THE COURT: I LOOKED AT IT. AND WHAT I 22 RECALL, AS I SIT HERE NOW, WITHOUT IT BEFORE ME, IS THE 23 PORTION THAT WAS NOT INCLUDED SAID, I CAN ONLY 24 SPECULATE. THEN HE WENT ON TO SAY SOME MORE THINGS. 25 AND SINCE WE DON'T REALLY GENERALLY 08:31AM

SOMEBODY ON YOUR TEAM, THAT WAS REVIEWING IT, THOUGHT

APPROPRIATE THAT IT NOT BE INCLUDED. AND PERHAPS

ALLOW SPECULATIVE EVIDENCE, I THOUGHT IT WAS

26

2.7

1	THE SAME THING, WHEN THEY DIDN'T DESIGNATE IT.	
2	WHAT'S DONE IS DONE. WE'VE GOT IT IN	
3	THE RECORD. IT'S BEEN SHOWN. AND WE MOVE FORWARD.	
4	MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR.	
5	WE HAD COUPLE ISSUES ALSO, YOUR HONOR.	08:32AM
6	ONE IS, WE DID FILE YESTERDAY A	
7	CUSTODIAL DECLARATION UNDER THE CODE OF MR. BRAINARD	
8	ACTING AS CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS FROM STUDLEY. WE HAVE A	
9	NUMBER OF EXHIBITS WE WOULD MOVE INTO EVIDENCE AS PART	
10	OF THAT.	08:32AM
11	WE DON'T NECESSARILY NEED TO DO THAT	
12	RIGHT NOW, ALTHOUGH WE WILL REST AFTER MR. SONNEBORN.	
13	AND I EXPECT WE WILL REST SUBJECT TO THE ADMISSION OF	
14	OUR YOU KNOW, ALL OUR EXHIBITS THAT HAVE BEEN MOVED.	
15	I ACTUALLY HAVE ANOTHER LIST OF	08:32AM
16	EXHIBITS	
17	THE COURT: YOU HAVE THE COURT'S LIST? WE	
18	HAVE ADMITTED EXHIBITS AS THEY'VE BEEN OFFERED. WE	
19	SHOULD BE PRETTY CURRENT ON THAT.	
20	AS FAR AS THIS STUDLEY DOCUMENTS, I	08:32AM
21	HAVE THE STACK GIVEN TO ME YESTERDAY AT 3 O'CLOCK. I	
22	HAVEN'T GONE THROUGH THEM.	
23	WHAT'S THE DEFENDANT'S VIEW?	
24	MR. BRIAN: I WENT THROUGH THEM. WE NEED TO	
25	PUT ON THE RECORD THE EXHIBIT NUMBERS. WE DON'T HAVE	08:32AM
26	OBJECTION ON BUSINESS RECORDS OR AUTHENTICATION	
27	GROUNDS. OUR ONLY CONCERN AND ONLY OBJECTION IS, THEY	
28	DO SEEM CUMULATIVE, YOUR HONOR.	
		İ

1	I THINK THE RECORD IS PRETTY CLEAR, AND	
2	WHAT OF WHAT DID AND DID NOT HAPPEN WITH RESPECT TO	
3	STUDLEY. THEY'RE CUMULATIVE.	
4	I WOULD SUBMIT IT TO THE COURT, IN	
5	REVIEWING THOSE, THEY SHOULD BE ADMITTED, OR SOME OR	08:33AM
6	ALL SHOULD BE EXCLUDED AS CUMULATIVE.	
7	THE COURT: YOU HAVE NO SUBSTANTIVE OBJECTION	
8	TO ANY OF THESE?	
9	MR. BRIAN: NO. I DO NOT.	
10	THE COURT: I'LL TAKE A LOOK AT THEM. YOU MAY	08:33AM
11	REST, SUBJECT TO ADMISSION OF ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS,	
12	DOCUMENTS YOU WISH TO OFFER.	
13	I'LL TAKE A LOOK AT THEM. MY SENSE,	
14	THEY'LL PROBABLY COME IN. BUT THAT IS NOT YOU KNOW,	
15	THAT'S FOCUSED ON THE STUDLEY DOCUMENTS.	08:33AM
16	MR. MADISON: IT IS NOW.	
17	THE COURT: I'M NOT LOOKING AT OTHER DOCUMENTS	
18	PROPOSED TO BE OFFERED AFTER YOU REST.	
19	MR. MADISON: THERE ARE A HANDFUL.	
20	THE COURT: HAVE YOU SHARED THEM WITH THE	08:33AM
21	DEFENDANTS?	
22	MR. MADISON: THIS ADDITIONAL HANDFUL, EIGHT	
23	OR TEN? I DON'T BELIEVE I HAVE YET. BUT THESE ARE	
24	ONES DURING THE COURSE OF THE TRIAL SO FAR HAVE BEEN	
25	AUTHENTICATED, IDENTIFIED, IN SOME CASES OFFERED, AND	08:34AM
26	THE COURT DEFERRED A RULING ON IT.	
27	IN SOME CASES, I WOULD THINK THEY WERE	

28

NOT YET EVEN OFFERED.

SO I CAN SHARE THAT ALSO. 1 2 THE COURT: SHARE THAT LIST WITH THEM NOW. 3 LET PEOPLE LOOK AT IT BEFORE THE END OF THE DAY, SO WE 4 CAN ADDRESS IT. I DIDN'T WANT A CARTE BLANCHE WHOLE 5 LOT OF NEW EXHIBITS COMING IN. 08:34AM 6 MR. BRIAN: I HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THAT 7 PROCEDURE TODAY OF THEM SHOWING ME A LIST OF DOCUMENTS 8 THAT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED SO FAR. I WOULD OBJECT TO 9 SORT OF A, YOU KNOW, FREE RANGE TO OFFER EXHIBITS NEXT 10 WEEK. 08:34AM 11 THE COURT: THAT'S WHY I RAISE THE ISSUE. 12 YOU'LL GET THE LIST OF EIGHT OR TEN. TAKE A LOOK AT 1.3 IT. ANYTHING ELSE? 14 15 MR. WEINGART: THERE'S A CHART THAT'S ON YOUR 08:34AM 16 SCREEN THERE I INTEND TO USE IT WITH MR. DEITCH. 17 UNDERSTAND MR. MADISON DOESN'T HAVE OBJECTION TO IT 18 BEING SHOWN. I WOULD LIKE TO OFFER IT, BECAUSE IT IS A 19 SUMMARY OF A NUMBER OF VOLUMINOUS THINGS. 2.0 SO I DON'T NEED TO GO THROUGH, WITH THIS 08:35AM 21 WITNESS OR OTHER WITNESSES, INDIVIDUAL CONTRACTS OR THE 22 LIKE, WITH THESE VENDORS. 23 SO I WOULD LIKE TO OFFER IT INTO 24 EVIDENCE. I UNDERSTAND MR. MADISON OBJECTS TO THAT. 25 MR. MADISON: THESE ARE DOCUMENTS -- THERE ARE 08:35AM 26 TWO OTHER VISUALS THEY'VE CREATED FOR MR. DEITCH'S 2.7 TESTIMONY. ALL THREE OF THEM WERE TREATED FOR THE

TESTIMONY. THEY WERE NEVER PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY.

1	MY POSITION, I THOUGHT WAS REASONABLE.	
2	USE THEM AS A DEMONSTRATIVE, BUT THEY SHOULDN'T BE	
3	ADMITTED AS SUBSTANTIVE EVIDENCE.	
4	FOR SUMMARIES, I BELIEVE THE CODE	
5	REQUIRES THAT ALL OF THE UNDERLYING DOCUMENTS HAVE TO	08:35AM
6	HAVE BEEN PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY. AND I DON'T THINK	
7	THERE'S A SHOWING OR EVEN AN ATTEMPTED SHOWING THAT	
8	THAT'S THE CASE HERE.	
9	THE COURT: WHEN WERE THESE GIVEN TO YOU?	
10	MR. MADISON: YESTERDAY. YESTERDAY OR TWO	08:35AM
11	DAYS AGO.	
12	THE COURT: YOU MAY USE THEM FOR AS	
13	DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBITS DURING YOUR QUESTIONING.	
14	I'LL PASS FOR NOW ON WHETHER THEY SHOULD	
15	BE ADMITTED OR NOT.	08:35AM
16	MR. WEINGART: FINE, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.	
17	THE COURT: MR. QUINN?	
18	MR. QUINN: COULD I MOVE IN TWO DOCUMENTS?	
19	DOESN'T HAVE TO BE DONE BEFORE THE JURY. MR. HELM WAS	
20	AWARE OF THESE EXHIBITS, 961, 956.	08:36AM
21	961 IS A DEAR COLLEAGUE LETTER, WRITTEN	
22	BY MR. GUNDLACH.	
23	956 IS A, WE CALL IT MR. GUNDLACH'S	
24	MANIFESTO. APPEARS TO BE A TAPE-RECORDING OF SOME	
25	COMMENTS THAT MR. GUNDLACH MADE	08:36AM
26	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	
27	MR. HELM: NO, YOUR HONOR.	

THE COURT: THEY'LL BE ADMITTED WITHOUT

```
OBJECTION.
 1
 2
              MR. QUINN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
 3
 4
                  (EXHIBIT 961 & 956 ADMITTED.) +
 5
                                                                 08:36AM
              THE COURT: 961. AND 956?
 6
 7
              MR. QUINN: YES, YOUR HONOR.
 8
              THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE?
 9
                    LET'S BRING THE JURY IN.
10
                             (PAUSE) +
                                                                  08:36AM
11
12
              THE COURT: GOOD MORNING. IN THE TCW GUNDLACH
1.3
    MATTER, WE'RE SET TO CONTINUE THE TRIAL. ALL COUNSEL
14
     ARE PRESENT, AND ALL MEMBERS OF THE JURY.
15
                    MR. QUINN, YOU MAY CALL YOUR NEXT
                                                                 08:39AM
16
     WITNESS.
17
              MR. QUINN: GOOD MORNING.
18
                    TCW CALLS AS ITS LAST WITNESS, IN ITS
19
     CASE IN CHIEF, BILL SONNEBORN.
2.0
21
                        WILLIAM SONNEBORN +
22
     CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PLAINTIFF WAS SWORN AND
23
     TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
24
25
              THE CLERK: YOU DO SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE
26
     TESTIMONY YOU ARE ABOUT TO GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW
2.7
     PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT, SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE
28
     WHOLE TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD?
```

1	THE WITNESS: I DO.	
2	THE CLERK: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED.	
3	SIR, PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR NAME	
4	FOR THE RECORD.	
5	THE WITNESS: WILLIAM SONNEBORN,	08:40AM
6	W-I-L-L-I-A-M, S-O-N-N-E-B-O-R-N.	
7	THE CLERK: THANK YOU.	
8	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, MR. SONNEBORN.	
9	THE WITNESS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.	
10	THE COURT: MR. QUINN, YOU MAY PROCEED.	08:40AM
11		
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION +	
13	BY MR. QUINN:	
14	Q GOOD MORNING, MR. SONNEBORN?	
15	A GOOD MORNING, MR. QUINN.	08:40AM
16	Q YOU WERE PREVIOUSLY PRESIDENT OF TRUST COMPANY	
17	OF THE WEST?	
18	A YES, I WAS.	
19	Q AND IN LATE AUGUST OF 2009, YOU HAD A	
20	CONVERSATION WITH MR. STERN ABOUT MR. GUNDLACH?	08:41AM
21	A YES.	
22	Q I WANT TO GET TO THAT.	
23	BUT BEFORE WE DO, I'D LIKE TO GET	
24	BACKGROUND ON YOU AND WHAT YOU KNOW ABOUT MR. GUNDLACH,	
25	AND THE BASIS FOR THE ADVICE THAT YOU GAVE TO MR. STERN	08:41AM
26	AT THAT TIME.	
27	WHERE DO YOU PRESENTLY WORK?	
28	A I WORK AT KKR, KOHLBERG, KRAVIS, ROBERTS & CO.	

1	Q WHAT IS YOUR POSITION THERE?	
2	A I'M A PARTNER OF THE FIRM AND CEO OF KKR	
3	ASSEST MANAGER.	
4	Q DESCRIBE WHAT IT IS?	
5	A AN INVESTMENT FIRM THAT OWNS A VARIETY OF	08:41AM
6	BUSINESSES, FROM TOYS R US TO ENERGY FUTURE HOLDINGS,	
7	WHICH IS THE LARGEST UTILITY IN TEXAS, TO DOLLAR	
8	GENERAL, THE LARGEST DISCOUNT RETAILER IN THE U.S.	
9	AND WE HAVE INVEST IN LEGG MASON, WHICH	
10	IS A PARENT COMPANY OF WAMCO.	08:41AM
11	Q CAN YOU GIVE US, BALLPARK, ABOUT THE TOTAL	
12	REVENUE OR NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES OF ALL DIFFERENT	
13	COMPANIES THAT KKR HAS INVESTMENTS IN?	
14	A NUMBERS, TOTAL REVENUES OF THE CONSOLIDATED	
15	PORTFOLIO COMPANY OF THE FIRMS, IS IN THE KIND OF THE	08:42AM
16	4 BILLION RANGE; AND WE EMPLOY OVER 2.2 MILLION PEOPLE	
17	AROUND THE WORLD.	
18	Q YOU ARE PRESIDENT OF KKR ASSET MANAGEMENT?	
19	A CEO.	
20	Q HOW OLD ARE YOU?	08:42AM
21	A I'M 41.	
22	Q NOT TO EMBARRASS YOU, BUT YOU'VE GOTTEN SOME	
23	RECOGNITION FOR BEING ONE OF THE TOP CEO'S IN THE	
24	COUNTRY. I GUESS YOU GOT IT BEFORE YOU WERE 40?	
25	A I GUESS, YES, THAT'S ACCURATE.	08:42AM
26	Q JUST TO STEP BACK, SO WE CAN LEARN A BIT ABOUT	
27	WHO YOU ARE AND YOUR BACKGROUND, YOU'RE FROM MONTANA?	
0.0	T COUNTY TO THE CONTROL OF THE MONTH AND	

I GREW UP IN SOUTHEASTERN MONTANA.

28

Α

1	Q STAB IN THE DARK, DID YOU GROW UP ON A FARM,	
2	OR?	
3	A I GREW UP IN A RANCHING COMMUNITY, WHERE ALL	
4	MY FRIENDS GREW UP ON RANCHES OUTSIDE THE LITTLE	
5	COMMUNITY, YES.	08:42AM
6	Q WHERE DID YOU ATTEND COLLEGE	
7	A I WENT TO COLLEGE IN GEORGETOWN, IN D.C.	
8	Q YOU HAD RICH PARENTS THAT WERE ABLE TO PAY THE	
9	BILLS?	
10	A I DID NOT. I WENT TO COLLEGE ON A NAVY ROTC	08:42AM
11	SCHOLARSHIP, WHICH IS THE ONLY WAY I COULD REALLY	
12	AFFORD TO GO.	
13	Q I UNDERSTAND YOU WERE SPECIAL FORCES TRAINING?	
14	A MY DREAM WAS TO BECOME A SEAL OFFICER IN THE	
15	NAVY IN SPECIAL WARFARE. SO I DID TRAINING DURING MY	08:43AM
16	SUMMERS WHEN I WAS IN COLLEGE, YES.	
17	Q DID YOU DO THAT SWIM THING, WHERE THEY TIE	
18	YOUR HANDS AND FEET YOU HAVE TO SWIM	
19	A DID LOTS OF TORTURE YES.	
20	MR. HELM: OBJECTION. YOUR HONOR.	08:43AM
21	BY MR. QUINN:	
22	Q WHAT DID YOU DO AFTER GRADUATING FROM COLLEGE?	
23	A AFTER GRADUATING COLLEGE, AS A RESULT OF THE	
24	FIRST GULF WAR HAD ENDED, THERE WAS AN OPPORTUNITY	
25	WITHIN THE MILITARY TO BUY BACK YOUR SCHOLARSHIP.	08:43AM
26	AND SO I TOOK ADVANTAGE OF THOSE	
27	CUTBACKS IN THE MILITARY IN THE PEACETIME. AND KIND OF	
28	TO EFFECTIVELY DO THAT. AND TAKE ON DEBT TO THE U.S.	

1	GOVERNMENT, EQUIVALENT TO TUITION, ROOM AND BOARD, AND	
2	SIPHONS THAT THEY HAD PAID.	
3	Q DID YOU GET A JOB TO HELP YOU PAY THAT BACK?	
4	A I DID. I GOT A JOB IN INVESTMENT BANK IN	
5	NEW YORK AT GOLDMAN SACHS.	08:43AM
6	Q WHAT WAS YOUR POSITION THERE?	
7	A I STARTED OFF AS A FINANCIAL ANALYST IN A	
8	2-YEAR PROGRAM.	
9	Q IS THERE, IS THAT A LOT OF COLLEGE GRADUATES	
10	THAT GOLDMAN HIRES ON FOR THESE TWO-YEAR PROGRAMS?	08:44AM
11	A THEY TYPICALLY, AT THE TIME, THERE WERE A	
12	HUNDRED COLLEGE GRADUATES FROM AROUND THE WORLD IN MY	
13	2-YEAR PROGRAM AND WHEN I STARTED AT GOLDMAN.	
14	Q DID YOU GET OFFERED A PERMANENT POSITION AFTER	
15	YOUR INTERNSHIP?	08:44AM
16	A IT'S PRETTY RARE. MOST INDIVIDUALS GO BACK TO	
17	BUSINESS SCHOOL AFTER THE 2-YEAR PROGRAM, BUT I WAS	
18	HIRED AS A FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE AFTER THAT.	
19	THERE WERE TWO OF US, OUT OF MY CLASS OF	
20	100, THAT WERE GIVEN THAT OPPORTUNITY.	08:44AM
21	Q IT'S PRETTY UNUSUAL TO GET A FULL-TIME JOB	
22	AFTER THAT?	
23	A IT WAS PRETTY RARE, NOT TO HAVE TO GO TO	
24	BUSINESS SCHOOL, YES.	
25	Q AND HAVE YOU BEEN IN THE BUSINESS WORLD SINCE	08:44AM
26	THEN?	
27	A YES, I HAVE.	
28	Q HOW DID YOU HAPPEN TO GET HIRED BY TCW	

1	ORIGINALLY?	
2	A IN 1996, TCW STARTED A STRATEGIC REVIEW. NOT	
3	SORT OF SIMILAR FROM THE STRATEGIC REVIEW IT WENT	
4	THROUGH WITH CITIBANK, LOOKING AT A VARIETY OF OPTIONS.	
5	AND AT THAT TIME, TCW ENGAGED	08:45AM
6	MORGAN STANLEY AND GOLDMAN SACHS AS ADVISORS, AND I	
7	WORKED ON THAT ASSIGNMENT.	
8	Q IN THAT CONNECTION, DID YOU GET TO KNOW THE	
9	FOLKS AT TCW?	
10	A I GOT TO KNOW ALL THE FOLKS AT TCW, FROM THE	08:45AM
11	MANAGEMENT TEAM TO THE SENIOR PORTFOLIO MANAGERS ACROSS	
12	THE FIRM, YES.	
13	Q AND HOW DID YOU DID THEY MAKE YOU A JOB	
14	OFFER?	
15	A IN 1987, AFTER THE COMPLETION OF THE STRATEGIC	08:45AM
16	REVIEW, I DID RECEIVE A JOB OFFER TO BECOME CHIEF	
17	FINANCIAL OFFICER, IN DECEMBER OF '97.	
18	Q YOU TOOK THE JOB?	
19	A I TALKED ABOUT IT WITH MY WIFE, AND ACCEPTED	
20	THE JOB.	08:45AM
21	Q HOW OLD WERE YOU WHEN YOU TOOK THE JOB OF CFO	
22	OF TCW?	
23	A I WAS 27.	
24	Q SO YOU MOVED AT THAT POINT FROM NEW YORK TO	
25	CALIFORNIA?	08:45AM
26	A YES.	
27	Q DID YOU WHEN YOU THIS AGAIN WAS 1997,	
28	DID YOU SAY?	

A YES. 1997. IT STARTED -- I STARTED TCW 1 2 FEBRUARY 12TH, '98. Q '98. 3 4 AFTER YOU STARTED AT TCW DID YOU GET TO 5 KNOW MR. GUNDLACH? 08:46AM A I KNEW JEFFREY GUNDLACH FROM THE TIME OF THE 6 7 STRATEGIC REVIEW. AND I GOT TO KNOW HIM EVEN BETTER 8 AFTER I STARTED IN FEBRUARY OF 1998, YES. 9 O CAN YOU GIVE US SOME IDEA OF THE NATURE OF THE 10 INTERACTIONS THAT YOU HAD WITH MR. GUNDLACH WHILE AT 08:46AM 11 TCW? 12 YOU KNOW, AT FIRST, INTERACTIONS WITH JEFFREY 1.3 WERE A LITTLE INTIMIDATING. BUT OVER TIME, I THINK WE 14 DEVELOPED A PRETTY GOOD WORKING RELATIONSHIP, DESPITE 15 SOME OF THE CHALLENGES WORKING WITH HIM EARLY ON, IN 08:46AM KIND OF THAT '98, '99 TIME PERIOD. 16 17 DID YOU HAVE ANY IMPRESSION AS TO WHETHER, YOU 18 KNOW, HOW YOUR WORKING RELATIONSHIP WITH MR. GUNDLACH 19 COMPARED WITH OTHER MEMBERS OF SENIOR MANAGEMENT? 20 A AT THE TIME, I BELIEVE I HAD THE BEST WORKING 08:46AM RELATIONSHIP OF THE MANAGEMENT TEAM WITH JEFFREY, IN 21 22 THE CONTEXT OF HAVING HIM DO THINGS THAT MADE SENSE IN 23 THE CONTEXT OF THE FIRM. 24 AND HAVING KIND OF A SPIRIT OF 25 COOPERATION AND UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT WE WERE TRYING TO 08:47AM 26 DO. 2.7 O I MEAN, WOULD PEOPLE COME TO YOU TO TRY TO

INTERFACE WITH MR. GUNDLACH, TO DELIVER MESSAGES, TO

1	TRY TO GET HIS AGREEMENT TO THINGS?	
2	A YES.	
3	SO IN NUMEROUS COMPENSATION OR OTHER	
4	BUSINESS ISSUES, I WOULD BE THE PERSON THAT WOULD BE	
5	THE POINT PERSON IN DEALING WITH JEFFREY, YES.	08:47AM
6	Q AND AT SOME POINT, YOU ACTUALLY BECAME	
7	PRESIDENT OF TCW?	
8	A IN 2005, I WAS NAMED PRESIDENT OF TCW, YES.	
9	Q NOW, DID YOU, AS PRESIDENT OF TCW, AND MAYBE	
10	BEFORE THAT, DID YOU HAVE RESPONSIBILITY FOR	08:47AM
11	NEGOTIATING THE FINANCIAL TERMS OF DEALS WITH PORTFOLIO	
12	MANAGERS, INCLUDING MR. GUNDLACH?	
13	MR. HELM: OBJECTION. BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THE	
14	CASE.	
15	MR. QUINN: IT'S JUST THE ONE QUESTION I WAS	08:47AM
16	GOING TO ASK.	
17	THE COURT: AS BACKGROUND. WE'RE NOT GOING	
18	INTO THAT AREA.	
19	MR. QUINN: RIGHT. UNDERSTOOD, YOUR HONOR.	
20	THE WITNESS: YES, I WAS THE	08:47AM
21	THE COURT: JUST ANSWER THE QUESTION, SIR.	
22	THE WITNESS: YES.	
23	THE COURT: THANK YOU.	
24	BY MR. QUINN:	
25	Q LET ME ASK YOU ABOUT A SPECIFIC ISSUE THAT THE	08:48AM
26	JURY HAS HEARD ABOUT.	
27	AND THAT IS, MR. GUNDLACH'S FEELING THAT	
28	HIS STOCK, HIS STOCK OWNERSHIP IN TCW WAS DILUTED AT	

1	SOME POINT.	
2	IS THAT SOMETHING THAT HE EVER BROUGHT	
3	UP WITH YOU?	
4	A ONLY MUCH LATER.	
5	SO, YES, IT WAS PROBABLY AROUND THE 2006	08:48AM
6	OR -7 TIME PERIOD, YES.	
7	Q AND COULD YOU TELL US, THE JURY, WHAT THE	
8	EVENT WAS THAT HAD RESULTED IN DILUTION?	
9	A THERE WERE A NUMBER OF TALENTED PORTFOLIO	
10	MANAGERS WITHIN THE FIRM. IN THE CONTEXT OF	08:48AM
11	NEGOTIATING THEIR COMPENSATION ARRANGEMENTS, THEY	
12	AGREED TO TAKE LESS CASH AND MORE EQUITY AS A FORM OF	
13	COMPENSATION.	
14	Q SO THEY THE PORTFOLIO MANAGERS BASICALLY	
15	HAD A CHOICE?	08:48AM
16	A PORTFOLIO MANAGERS HAD A CHOICE, YES.	
17	Q SOME OF THEM DECIDED TO TAKE LESS CASH, AND	
18	THEY GOT SOME MORE STOCK?	
19	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
20	Q DID THAT RESULT IN DILUTING THE STOCK HOLDINGS	08:49AM
21	OF THE OTHER EMPLOYEES, STOCKHOLDERS?	
22	A YES, IT DID.	
23	BUT IN A WAY THAT, AT LEAST AT THE TIME,	
24	WE FELT WAS CREATIVE TO THE OVERALL VALUE OF THE	
25	ENTERPRISE, BECAUSE THERE WAS LESS ABOVE THE LINE	08:49AM
26	COMPENSATION EXPENSE.	
27	Q IS THAT SOMETHING THAT FOR FOR EVERYONE,	

THAT IF YOU DIDN'T TAKE THIS DEAL THAT WAS OFFERED,

1	THEN EVERYONE GOT DILUTED, OR WAS MR. GUNDLACH SINGLED	
2	OUT?	
3	A EVERYONE WAS DILUTED.	
4	Q INCLUDING YOURSELF?	
5	A INCLUDING MYSELF.	08:49AM
6	Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT MR. GUNDLACH HAD	
7	BEEN GIVEN THIS OPPORTUNITY TO TAKE LESS CASH	
8	COMPENSATION AND GET MORE STOCK?	
9	A YES.	
10	MR. HELM: FOUNDATION, YOUR HONOR.	08:49AM
11	THE COURT: I'LL ALLOW THE ANSWER.	
12	YOU CAN FOLLOW UP, IF YOU WANT.	
13	THE WITNESS: YES, THEY DO.	
14	BY MR. QUINN:	
15	Q HOW IS IT YOU KNOW THAT MR. GUNDLACH WAS	08:49AM
16	OFFERED THE OPPORTUNITY TO TAKE LESS CASH COMPENSATION	
17	AND TO BUY MORE STOCK?	
18	A BECAUSE I HAD THOSE DISCUSSIONS WITH HIM.	
19	Q WHEN WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN?	
20	A THAT WAS IN THE 2000, IN THE MID 2000 TIME	08:49AM
21	PERIOD.	
22	Q WHAT WAS HIS RESPONSE TO THAT?	
23	A HE DID NOT BELIEVE IN THE VALUE OF THE EQUITY	
24	OF THE FIRM, AND PREFERRED TO HAVE HIGHER CASH	
25	COMPENSATION.	08:50AM
26	Q IS THAT WHAT HE TOLD YOU?	
27	A YES.	

WHEN WAS IT THAT HE FIRST RAISED THIS ISSUE

1	WITH YOU THAT HE THOUGHT THIS WAS UNFAIR, OR	
2	A 2005 OR -6, WHERE HE USED IT IN THE CONTEXT OF	
3	A BUSINESS POINT WE WERE ADDRESSING.	
4	Q WERE YOU SURPRISED THAT HE BROUGHT IT UP YEARS	
5	LATER?	08:50A
6	A IT WAS THERE WAS A GENERAL VIEW AMONGST A	
7	NUMBER OF PEOPLE CONCERNED ABOUT IT; SO I WAS NOT	
8	PARTICULARLY SURPRISED. NO.	
9	Q IS THAT SOMETHING THAT YOU, AT THAT POINT,	
LO	EXPLAINED TO HIM, JEFFREY, REMEMBER WHAT HAPPENED,	08:50A
L1	ET CETERA?	
L2	A YES, I DID.	
L3	Q DID HE SEEM SATISFIED WITH THE EXPLANATION AT	
L 4	THE TIME?	
L5	A HE SEEMED REASONABLY SATISFIED, YES.	08:50A
L 6	Q NOW HE'S TESTIFIED IN THIS TRIAL THAT WHEN HE	
L7	LEARNED ABOUT THIS, HE NEVER HE SAYS HE LEARNED	
L8	ABOUT IT IN 2001.	
L 9	I TAKE YOUR RECOLLECTION IS DIFFERENT?	
20	A THAT'S CORRECT. IT WAS VERY TRANSPARENT, THE	08:51AN
21	ISSUANCE OF EQUITY WITHIN THE FIRM TO THE SHAREHOLDERS.	
22	Q HOW WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN TRANSPARENT?	
23	A WE SENT MONTHLY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS TO EACH	
24	OF OUR SHAREHOLDERS THAT CLEARLY DELINEATED SHARES	
25	OUTSTANDING. AND EVERYONE KNEW HOW MANY SHARES THEY	08:51A
26	OWNED.	
7	AND YOU FASTLY COULD FIGURE OUT WHAT	

PERCENTAGE YOU OWNED, BY DIVIDING THE NUMBER OF SHARES

1	YOU OWNED BY THE SHARES OUTSTANDING IN THE MONTHLY	
2	DISCLOSURES. WE SENT OUT QUARTERLY DISCLOSURES.	
3	Q WOULD MR. GUNDLACH, AS SHAREHOLDER, HAVE	
4	RECEIVED THOSE MONTHLY SHAREHOLDERS?	
5	A YES.	08:51AM
6	Q MR. GUNDLACH TESTIFIED IN THIS TRIAL THAT WHEN	
7	HE LEARNED ABOUT THIS IN 2001, HE YELLED AT YOU, HE'D	
8	"NEVER YELLED SO MUCH IN MY LIFE."	
9	DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION OF HIM	
10	YELLING AT YOU	08:51AM
11	A NO.	
12	Q ABOUT THIS?	
13	A NO, I DO NOT.	
14	Q AT ANY TIME, DID HE EVER TELL YOU THAT	
15	MR. STERN HAD PROMISED THAT HIS SHARE OF THE STOCK	08:52AM
16	HOLDINGS WOULD NEVER BE DILUTED?	
17	A NO. HE DID NOT.	
18	Q IS THAT SOMETHING THAT MR. STERN WOULD HAVE	
19	THE ABILITY TO DO?	
20	A NO.	08:52AM
21	Q WHY NOT?	
22	A THE ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH EQUITY GRANT IN	
23	ULTIMATE COMPENSATION WERE SUBJECT TO COMPENSATION	
24	COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD, WHICH INCLUDED INDEPENDENT	
25	DIRECTORS, APPROVING.	08:52AM
26	Q ALL RIGHT.	
27	ANOTHER TIME YOUR NAME CAME UP IN	
0.0		

MR. GUNDLACH'S TESTIMONY WAS, HE SAID THAT HE SPOKE TO

1	YOU ABOUT RESTRUCTURING IDEAS AT THE FIRM, AND THAT	
2	YOUR RECOLLECTION WAS A BLANK STARE.	
3	DO YOU RECALL TALKING WITH MR. GUNDLACH	
4	ABOUT HIS IDEAS RESTRUCTURING THE FIRM, ABOUT	
5	A I RECALL HAVING NUMEROUS DISCUSSIONS WITH HIM	08:52AM
6	ABOUT WHAT THE FUTURE STATE OF TCW SHOULD LOOK LIKE.	
7	Q DID HE TELL YOU WHAT HE THOUGHT TCW SHOULD DO,	
8	OR HOW IT SHOULD CHANGE?	
9	A HE DID HAVE A SPECIFIC IDEA IN MIND, WHICH HE	
10	RAISED WITH ME, YES.	08:53AM
11	Q WHAT WAS THAT?	
12	A HIS IDEA WAS TO TRANSFORM THE FIRM INTO ONLY	
13	KIND OF A HIGH GRADE FIXED INCOME BUSINESS, TO MODEL	
14	AFTER KIND OF THE HERITAGE OF HOW PIMCO OR BLACKROCK OR	
15	WAMCO STARTED.	08:53AM
16	AND FELT THAT WE SHOULD TERMINATE AND	
17	REMOVE ALL OF THE INVESTMENT AREAS OUTSIDE OF HIGH	
18	GRADE FIXED INCOME AND REMOVE THEM FROM THE	
19	ORGANIZATION, AND SHRINK TO GROW	
20	Q DID YOU THINK THAT WAS A GOOD IDEA?	08:53AM
21	A I DID NOT.	
22	Q DID YOU TELL HIM THAT?	
23	A I DID.	
24	Q DID YOU TELL HIM WHY YOU DIDN'T THINK IT WAS A	
25	GOOD IDEA?	08:53AM
26	A I TOLD HIM IN MANY WAYS, I FELT IT WASN'T A	
27	GOOD IDEA, YES.	

WHAT YOU DID HE TELL HIM IN THAT REGARD?

I EXPLAINED WHERE OUR PROFITS AT THE BUSINESS 1 2 WERE COMING IN, WHICH THE MAJORITY OF PROFITS WERE 3 COMING FROM THE AREAS THAT HE WANTED TO TERMINATE THE 4 INDIVIDUALS AND REMOVE FROM THE ORGANIZATION. 5 I EXPLAINED THE VALUE OF THE 08:53AM 6 DIVERSIFICATION IN THE CONTEXT OF THE GROWTH 7 OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE FIRM. 8 AND I ALSO DEMONSTRATED HOW SOME OF 9 THESE FORMER FIXED INCOME ONLY FIRMS WERE RAPIDLY 10 NEEDING AND WANTING TO EXPAND INTO EQUITIES AND 08:54AM 11 ALTERNATIVES, LIKE PIMCO, LIKE BLACKROCK. 12 AND WE HAD ALREADY ASSEMBLED THAT, SO WE 1.3 WERE BETTER OFF THAN WE WERE. 14 WHEN YOU SAY, OTHER FIXED INCOME FIRMS WERE DIVERSIFYING, WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT? 15 08:54AM 16 WELL, IF YOU LOOK AT KIND OF PIMCO TODAY, OR 17 BLACKROCK TODAY; BLACKROCK, TEN YEARS AGO, WAS PRETTY 18 MUCH 100 PERCENT FIXED INCOME. TODAY, IT'S HALF IN 19 OTHER ALTERNATIVE ASSET CLASSES, WHETHER IT'S EQUITIES, 2.0 OR ALTERNATIVES LIKE REAL ESTATE OR PRIVATE EQUITY. 08:54AM 21 AND THE REASON FOR THAT IS WANTING TO 22 REACH MORE POCKETS OF YOUR EXISTING INVESTORS' PIE, IF 23 YOU WILL, OF HOW THEY ALLOCATE CAPITAL, AND ALSO RISK 24 MANAGEMENT, IN THE CONTEXT OF THE OVERALL BUSINESS. 25 BECAUSE CERTAIN TIMES, CERTAIN PRODUCTS 08:54AM 26 DON'T PERFORM PARTICULARLY WELL, NOR ARE DESIROUS OF 2.7 INVESTORS, IN TERMS HOW THEY WANT TO ALLOCATE --

Q IN THE INVESTMENT WORLD, ARE -- SOMETIMES

1	BONDS ARE STRONG AND STOCKS OR EQUITIES AREN'T SO	
2	STRONG; AND OTHER TIMES, IT'S REVERSED?	
3	A YES. IF YOU LOOK AT THE HISTORY OF TCW, IT'S	
4	A PERFECT EXAMPLE OF THAT PHENOMENON.	
5	Q IS IT TYPICAL OR NOT TYPICAL, THAT TCW'S	08:55AM
6	CLIENTS WOULD HAVE DIVERSIFIED PORTFOLIOS?	
7	IN OTHER WORDS, THEY WANT SOME	
8	INVESTMENTS IN EQUITIES, AND SOME MAYBE ALTERNATIVES	
9	AND SOME FIXED INCOME?	
10	A YES. THE TYPICAL INVESTOR ALLOCATION OF THE	08:55AM
11	BULK OF OUR CLIENTS INCLUDED ALLOCATIONS TO ALL THOSE	
12	ASSET CLASSES, AND VARYING PERCENTAGES.	
13	Q NOW, WE KNOW FROM EVIDENCE THAT MR. GUNDLACH	
14	BECAME CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER OF TCW IN 2005?	
15	A YES.	08:55AM
16	Q DID YOU HAVE ANY ROLE IN MR. GUNDLACH BEING	
17	PROMOTED TO CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER?	
18	A YES, I DID.	
19	Q WHAT WAS YOUR ROLE IN THAT REGARD?	
20	A I WAS HIGHLY SUPPORTIVE OF IT.	08:56AM
21	I FELT CAN I EXPLAIN?	
22	Q YES, IF YOU WOULD, PLEASE.	
23	A I FELT JEFFREY HAD ELEMENTS OF SKILL AND	
24	TALENT, BOTH AS EXTERNAL SPOKESMAN FOR ALL OF THE FIRM,	
25	AS WELL AS A CAPABILITY TO HELP DEVELOP YOUNG	08:56AM
26	CURRENT INVESTMENT TALENT ACROSS ALL INVESTMENT	
27	PLATFORMS WE HAD AT THE FIRM.	

AND I FELT BEING ABLE TO CAPTURE THAT

INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL CAPABILITY WOULD BE VERY 1 2 VALUABLE, AS PART OF THE KIND OF EVOLUTION, IF YOU 3 WILL, OF THE MANAGEMENT TEAM OF THE FIRM. 4 DID YOU TALK TO HIM ABOUT WHAT YOU SAW AS THE 5 EXTERNAL ROLE, BEING THE FACE OF THE FIRM FOR THE CHIEF 08:56AM 6 INVESTMENT OFFICER, AS WELL AS THE INTERNAL ROLE, THAT 7 IS MENTORING AND HELPING OUT MANAGERS IN ALL DIFFERENT ASSETS CLASSES? 8 9 A YES, I DID. 10 I EXPLAINED IN A LOT OF DETAIL, WHAT THE 08:56AM 11 TITLE REALLY MEANT, IN THE CONTEXT OF RESPONSIBILITIES 12 AS A LEADER OF THE ENTIRE FIRM, NOT JUST THE AREA HE 1.3 WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR. 14 Q WHEN YOU FIRST SPOKE TO HIM ABOUT THIS, DID HE 15 SEEM RECEPTIVE TO THESE IDEAS? 08:57AM 16 HE WAS VERY RECEPTIVE TO THOSE IDEAS, AND 17 JUMPED RIGHT IN IN EVERY WAY, SHAPE AND FORM. ΤТ 18 STARTED OFF IN AN AMAZING WAY. 19 AT THE TIME MR. GUNDLACH BECAME CHIEF 20 INVESTMENT OFFICER IN 2005, WAS HE VERY WELL KNOWN 08:57AM 21 OUTSIDE THE FIRM, ON WALL STREET AND IN THE INVESTMENT 22 COMMUNITY? 23 A HE HAD SOME NOTORIETY, BUT VERY LITTLE, 24 COMPARED TO WHAT HE'S KNOWN FOR TODAY. 25 AS PRESIDENT OF THE COMPANY, DID YOU PUT 08:57AM 26 COMPANY RESOURCES BEHIND MR. GUNDLACH TO PROMOTE HIM 2.7 AND MAKE HIM BETTER KNOWN?

28

A YES.

WHAT -- CAN YOU TELL US SOME OF THE THINGS YOU 1 Q 2 DID? 3 IT WAS PART OF THE EXTERNAL ROLE, SEEING HOW WITH JEFFREY, WE SAID WE THAT WERE GOING TO INVEST A 4 5 LOT OF RESOURCES IN BUILDING THE FIRM'S BRAND, USING 08:57AM 6 HIM AS THE SPOKESPERSON. 7 SO WE HIRED AND REPLACED AND ADDED TO OUR COMMUNICATIONS STAFF, OUR P.R. STAFF, DEALING WITH 8 9 PUBLIC RELATION AND MEDIA RELATIONS. 10 AND WE PUSHED VERY HEAVILY WITH MORNING 08:58AM 11 STAR, IN THE CONTEXT OF TRYING TO GET JEFFREY AND PHIL 12 TO BE NAMED MORNING STAR MANAGER OF THE YEAR. AS WELL 1.3 AS GETTING CNBC AND KUDLOW AND KRAMER AND OTHER 14 TELEVISION NETWORKS TO PICK UP JEFFREY AS SPOKESPERSON 15 ON THESE MARKETS. 08:58AM 16 0 WERE THESE EFFORTS SUCCESSFUL? 17 Α YES, VERY. 18 CAN YOU TELL US HOW MR. GUNDLACH DID, FROM 19 YOUR PERSPECTIVE, AS PRESIDENT, AS CHIEF INVESTMENT 2.0 OFFICER? 08:58AM 21 A FOR THE FIRST SIX, SEVEN, EIGHT MONTHS, HE DID 22 A REALLY, REALLY GREAT JOB. I MEAN, MODEL PERFORMANCE. 23 HE SPENT TIME WITH SOME OF OUR YOUNGER INVESTMENT 24 TALENT IN EQUITIES AREA. 25 AND STARTED TO DO THE THINGS THAT WE HAD 08:58AM 26 TALKED ABOUT HIM DOING, IN TERMS OF DEVELOPING THEM AND 2.7 MAKING THEM FEEL LIKE THEY HAD A SENIOR ACCOMPLISHED

MENTOR WITHIN THE FIRM.

1	AS WELL AS EXTERNALLY, HIS	
2	REPRESENTATION WAS VERY BROAD OF TCW'S INVESTMENT	
3	CAPABILITIES.	
4	Q DID THERE COME A POINT WHERE THAT REALLY GOOD	
5	PERFORMANCE STARTED TO CHANGE?	08:59AM
6	A YES. AT THE SAME TIME THAT WE WERE STARTING	
7	TO GET A LOT OF SUCCESS IN PUSHING JEFFREY AS	
8	SPOKESPERSON EXTERNALLY, AND HE STARTED TO PICK UP A	
9	LOT OF NOTORIETY, HE STARTED TO BE ON CNBC ON	
10	TELEVISION MORE FREQUENTLY, OR QUOTED IN BLOOMBERG OR	08:59AM
11	IN THE WALL STREET JOURNAL.	
12	AT THE SAME TIME THAT THAT WAS	
13	HAPPENING, HE STARTED TO PULL BACK FROM THOSE INTERNAL	
14	RESPONSIBILITIES AND CAPABILITIES, WHETHER THAT WAS	
15	DEVELOPING PEOPLE, PRESENTING THE OVERALL INVESTMENT	08:59AM
16	PERFORMANCE OF OUR VARIOUS STRATEGIES TO THE BOARD OR	
17	OF THE FIRM, OR THE MUTUAL FUND BOARD AND THE	
18	INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS THAT REPRESENTED THE MUTUAL FUND	
19	INVESTORS.	
20	HE JUST BECAME LESS FOCUSED, LESS	08:59AM
21	PREPARED.	
22	Q DID HE START TO ENGAGE IN SOME BEHAVIOR WHICH	
23	WAS REALLY CONTRARY TO WHAT YOU HAD TOLD HIM YOU HOPED	
24	HE COULD DO INTERNALLY, AS CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER?	
25	A YES.	09:00AM
26	Q OKAY.	
27	A HE STARTED TO NO LONGER DEVELOP AND SERVE AS	

MENTOR TO THE YOUNGER TALENT. HE STARTED TO GO MORE

1	DEROGATORY TOWARDS THEM. AND MORE OFF-PUTTING IN	
2	CONVERSATIONS THAT HE'D HAVE, EITHER DIRECTLY OR	
3	INDIRECTLY, ABOUT OTHERS WITHIN THE FIRM.	
4	Q WAS IT PART OF HIS JOB AS CHIEF INVESTMENT	
5	OFFICER TO BE A LEADER AND TO TAKE RESPONSIBILITY WHEN	09:00AM
6	INVESTMENTS DIDN'T COME OUT SO WELL?	
7	A YES. AND HE HAD RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL OF OUR	
8	INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE ACROSS THE FIRM, AS THE C.I.O.	
9	OF THE FIRM.	
10	Q WERE THERE OCCASIONS WHERE HE DID SIMPLY	09:00AM
11	DIDN'T TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR FAILURES OR PROBLEMS,	
12	INVESTMENTS THAT HAD GONE BAD?	
13	A YES.	
14	JEFFREY, A NUMBER OF SITUATIONS WHEN	
15	IN AREAS THAT HE WAS DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE FOR SUFFERED	09:01AM
16	FROM PERFORMANCE OR ISSUES, HE WOULD STEP AWAY, AND	
17	POINT HIS FINGER AT SOMEONE ELSE AND BLAME THEM.	
18	Q OKAY?	
19	A EVEN WITHIN HIS OWN TEAM.	
20	Q WITHIN HIS OWN TEAM?	09:01AM
21	A YES.	
22	Q CAN YOU GIVE SPECIFIC EXAMPLES?	
23	A SURE.	
24	ONE EXAMPLE IS APEX MORTGAGE, WHICH IS A	
25	MORTGAGE THAT TCW SERVED AS EXTERNAL MANAGER, OF WHICH	09:01AM
26	JEFFREY WAS C.I.O. OF, PREVIOUS TO HIM BECOMING C.I.O.	
27	OF THE FIRM.	
0.0	TV	

IN THAT PARTICULAR SITUATION, THE

1	PORTFOLIO WAS CONSTRUCTED TO CREATE WHAT'S CALLED	
2	NEGATIVE CONVEXITY	
3	Q I WON'T ASK YOU TO EXPLAIN IT.	
4	A WHICH MEANS THAT THERE'S A LOT OF INTEREST	
5	RATE RISK, UP OR DOWN. AND WHEN THE STOCK PRICE AND 09	:01A
6	THE PERFORMANCE OF THAT ENTITY DECLINED, JEFFREY	
7	STEPPED AWAY.	
8	AND IT WAS UP TO ME, WORKING WITH OTHER	
9	MEMBERS OF HIS TEAM, TO ULTIMATELY FIX IT.	
10	Q WHEN YOU SAY DECLINED, CAN YOU GIVE US SOME 09	:02A
11	IDEA OF THE MAGNITUDE OF THE ISSUE?	
12	A IT WAS PRETTY SEVERE. AND IT WAS PUTTING	
13	REPUTATION RISK, NOT ONLY IN OUR MORTGAGE CAPABILITIES	
14	AS AN INVESTOR AT RISK, BUT THE ENTIRE FIRM'S BRAND AT	
15	RISK, BECAUSE OF ITS PUBLICLY TRADED NATURE IN THE 09	:02A1
16	MARKETPLACE.	
17	Q AND WAS THIS SOMETHING ACTUALLY IN THE FIXED	
18	INCOME AREA?	
19	A IT WAS IN THE FIXED INCOME AREA.	
20	IT WAS 100 PERCENT MORTGAGES, YES. 09	:02A1
21	Q DID MR. GUNDLACH BLAME SOMEBODY ELSE FOR THAT?	
22	A YES, HE DID.	
23	Q WHO DID HE BLAME?	
24	A HE BLAMED PHIL BARACH.	
25	Q WERE THERE OTHER EXAMPLES OF INVESTMENTS THAT 09	:02A1
26	WENT VERY BAD, THAT HE DIDN'T TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR?	
27	A YES, IN THE CDO AREA.	
28	Q IS THAT SOMETHING ALSO THAT WAS HIS GENERAL	

1	AREA?	
2	A YES. IT WAS AN AREA THAT HE HAD DIRECT	
3	RESPONSIBILITY FOR, AND REPRESENTED, OUT OF THE	
4	MAJORITY OF HIS COMPENSATION.	
5	Q WHO WAS THE PERSON UNDER HIM WHO HAD	09:02AM
6	RESPONSIBILITY FOR THAT AREA?	
7	A LOU LUCIDO.	
8	Q CAN YOU GIVE US AN IDEA OF THE MAGNITUDE OF	
9	THE ISSUES WITH CDO'S?	
10	A THE ISSUES OF THE CDO'S, THEY WERE BASICALLY	09:03AM
11	PREDOMINANTLY INVESTED IN CREDIT MORTGAGES. INCLUDING	
12	A VARIETY OF SUBPRIME MORTGAGES, BOTH ON A CASH BASIS	
13	AND SYNTHETIC BASIS.	
14	AND IT ALSO INCLUDED CDO'S OF CDO'S. A	
15	NUMBER OF THOSE, IN 2007, STARTED TO SUFFER	09:03AM
16	SUBSTANTIALLY RESULTING IN THE EQUITY INVESTORS FOR	
17	LOSS OF THEIR CAPITAL.	
18	Q ALL THEIR CAPITAL?	
19	A YES.	
20	Q DID MR. GUNDLACH TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THESE	09:03AM
21	FAILURES IN THE CDO AREA?	
22	A NO.	
23	HE HAD NOT HAD HISTORIC EXPERTISE IN	
24	CREDIT MORTGAGES. LOU LUCIDO HAD BEEN THAT EXPERT. HE	
25	BLAMED THAT ON LOU AND ROLAND. AND THEN TRIED TO USE	09:03AM
26	THE HERITAGE OF THE CDO EFFORT TO BUILD THE SPECIAL	
27	MORTGAGE CREDITS FUNDS.	
28	Q ROLAND, WOULD BE WHO?	

1	A ROLAND HO, WHICH WAS THE NO. 2 WORKING FOR	
2	LOU LUCIDO UNDER JEFFREY IN MANAGING CREDIT MORTGAGES.	
3	Q DID SOME OF THESE INVESTMENTS THAT YOU	
4	DESCRIBED FOR US THAT DID VERY POORLY, INVOLVE MORTGAGE	
5	DERIVATIVES LIKE P.O.'S, PRINCIPLE ONLY, INSTRUMENTS,	09:04AM
6	INTEREST ONLY INSTRUMENTS, INVERSE FLOATERS, THINGS	
7	LIKE THAT?	
8	MR. HELM: RELEVANCE. I THINK IT'S FAR AFIELD	
9	HERE.	
10	THE COURT: I'LL ALLOW HIM TO ANSWER.	09:04AM
11	BUT THAT'S IT.	
12	THE WITNESS: YES.	
13	MR. QUINN: ALL RIGHT.	
14	Q ONE LAST QUESTION, YOUR HONOR.	
15	DID THOSE INSTRUMENTS TEND TO BE MORE	09:04AM
16	VOLATILE?	
17	MR. HELM: SAME OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.	
18	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
19	BY MR. QUINN:	
20	Q AT THE TIME WHEN YOU WERE FIRST PRESIDENT,	09:04AM
21	WHEN YOU BECAME PRESIDENT IN EARLY ON, WAS THERE KIND	
22	OF A PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN MR. GUNDLACH AND MR. BARACH?	
23	A YES. THERE HISTORICALLY WAS, ALWAYS HAD BEEN	
24	AN EQUAL PARTNERSHIP AMONGST THE TWO OF THEM FOR MANY,	
25	MANY YEARS THAT I WAS AT TCW.	09:05AM
26	Q DID YOU SEE THAT CHANGE?	
27	A YES.	
28	Q HOW DID THAT CHANGE?	

1	A IT STARTED AROUND THE 2004, 2005 TIME PERIOD,	
2	WHERE JEFFREY STARTED TO FEEL THAT HE WAS ADDING A LOT	
3	MORE VALUE THAN PHIL BARACH'S PARTNER.	
4	Q DID HE TALK TO YOU ABOUT THAT?	
5	A YES, HE DID.	09:05AM
6	Q WHAT DID HE SAY?	
7	A HE FELT THAT PHIL BARACH SHOULD BE COMPENSATED	
8	A LOT LESS.	
9	AND THAT JEFFREY SHOULD BE COMPENSATED A	
10	LOT MORE.	09:05AM
11	MR. HELM: YOUR HONOR, MOVE TO STRIKE. I	
12	THINK THIS IS RELEVANCE.	
13	AND ALSO, WE'RE GETTING INTO THE OTHER	
14	PART OF THE CASE.	
15	THE COURT: WE'RE NOT GOING THERE, MR. QUINN.	09:05AM
16	MR. QUINN: I UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR.	
17	THE COURT: GO AHEAD.	
18	MR. QUINN: THERE HAS BEEN TESTIMONY ABOUT	
19	MR. GUNDLACH'S RELATIONSHIP WITH MR. BARACH.	
20	IT GOES BACK TO, I THINK THE FIRST WEEK	09:06AM
21	OF THE TRIAL, YOUR HONOR.	
22	THE COURT: IF YOU WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE	
23	RELATIONSHIP, THAT'S FINE.	
24	MR. QUINN: ALL RIGHT.	
25	Q IN TERMS OF WHAT HE TOLD YOU ABOUT MR. BARACH,	09:06AM
26	WHAT DID HE TELL YOU?	
27	A HE TOLD ME WHAT I JUST SAID.	

DID HE MENTION SPECIFIC NUMBERS?

1	А	HE DID.		
2	Q	WHAT DID HE SAY?		
3		MR. HELM: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.		
4		THE COURT: SUSTAINED.		
5	BY MR. Q	UINN:	09:06AM	
6	Q	WHEN DID YOU LEAVE TCW?		
7	А	I LEFT TCW IN JULY 2008.		
8	Q	TO GO TO YOUR PRESENT EMPLOYER, KKR?		
9	А	YES.		
10	Q	HOW DID THAT COME ABOUT, THAT YOU LEFT TCW?	09:06AM	
11	А	KKR HAD APPROACHED TCW IN CONSIDERING		
12	SUPPORTI	NG AN EMPLOYEE BUYOUT OF THE FIRM IN FEBRUARY		
13	OF 2008.			
14		AND SO I STARTED TO HAVE A COUPLE OF		
15	MEETINGS	AND DISCUSSIONS WITH THEM ON THE TOPIC AROUND	09:06AM	
16	THAT TIME.			
17	Q	NOW, AT THAT TIME, DID YOU HAVE A CONTRACT		
18	WITH TCW	?		
19	А	I DID NOT. MY CONTRACT HAD EXPIRED AT THE END		
20	OF 2007.		09:07AM	
21	Q	BUT YOU HAD A COMPENSATION ARRANGEMENT?		
22	А	I HAD A FORMULAIC COMPENSATION ARRANGEMENT FOR		
23	MY INCEN	TIVE COMP, YES.		
24	Q	WHEN YOU FIRST BEGAN TO HAVE I TAKE IT YOU		
25	WERE APP	ROACHED BY KKR OR DID THEY APPROACH YOU?	09:07AM	
26	А	THEY APPROACHED ME, ON THE IDEA OF		
27	FACILITA	TING AN EMPLOYEE BUYOUT OF TCW FROM SOCIÉTÉ		

Q AND AT SOME POINT, DID THAT CONVERSATION MORPH	
INTO, CAN WE PERSUADE YOU, BILL SONNEBORN, TO COME JOIN	
US AT KKR?	
A YES.	
Q DID YOU ADVISE MR. STERN OR MR. BEYER THAT YOU	09:07AM
HAD THIS CONTACT?	
A YES. RELATIVELY QUICKLY AFTER THE	
CONVERSATIONS TURNED TO THEM WANTING TO HIRE ME, I	
DISCLOSED TO, FIRST TO MR. BEYER, AND THEN SECONDLY TO	
MR. STERN, THAT I WAS HAVING THIS DIALOGUE WITH KKR.	09:07AM
Q AND DID YOU DISCLOSE THAT BEFORE YOU GOT AN	
OFFER FROM THEM, OR AFTER?	
A YES, BEFORE I GOT THE OFFER.	
Q THAT WAS HOW LONG BEFORE YOU ACTUALLY ENDED UP	
LEAVING TCW, THAT YOU DISCLOSED THAT YOU WERE HAVING	09:08AM
THESE DISCUSSIONS?	
A IT WAS APPROXIMATELY FOUR MONTHS.	
Q CAN YOU TELL US WHETHER OR NOT THE ISSUES	
YOU'VE DESCRIBED WITH MR. GUNDLACH HAD ANYTHING TO DO	
WITH YOUR LEAVING TCW?	09:08AM
A IN A NUMBER OF WAYS, YES. YOU COULD POINT TO	
THEM BEING PARTIALLY RESPONSIBLE	
MR. HELM: RELEVANCE.	
THE COURT: THE ANSWER'S IN.	
AND YOU CAN GO AHEAD, MR. QUINN.	09:08AM
BY MR. QUINN:	
Q LOOKING BACK ON IT, DO YOU THINK THE DECISION	
	INTO, CAN WE PERSUADE YOU, BILL SONNEBORN, TO COME JOIN US AT KKR? A YES. Q DID YOU ADVISE MR. STERN OR MR. BEYER THAT YOU HAD THIS CONTACT? A YES. RELATIVELY QUICKLY AFTER THE CONVERSATIONS TURNED TO THEM WANTING TO HIRE ME, I DISCLOSED TO, FIRST TO MR. BEYER, AND THEN SECONDLY TO MR. STERN, THAT I WAS HAVING THIS DIALOGUE WITH KKR. Q AND DID YOU DISCLOSE THAT BEFORE YOU GOT AN OFFER FROM THEM, OR AFTER? A YES, BEFORE I GOT THE OFFER. Q THAT WAS HOW LONG BEFORE YOU ACTUALLY ENDED UP LEAVING TOW, THAT YOU DISCLOSED THAT YOU WERE HAVING THESE DISCUSSIONS? A IT WAS APPROXIMATELY FOUR MONTHS. Q CAN YOU TELL US WHETHER OR NOT THE ISSUES YOU'VE DESCRIBED WITH MR. GUNDLACH HAD ANYTHING TO DO WITH YOUR LEAVING TOW? A IN A NUMBER OF WAYS, YES. YOU COULD POINT TO THEM BEING PARTIALLY RESPONSIBLE MR. HELM: RELEVANCE. THE COURT: THE ANSWER'S IN. AND YOU CAN GO AHEAD, MR. QUINN.

TO MAKE MR. GUNDLACH CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER WAS A

1	GOOD DECISION OR A BAD DECISION?	
2		
	MR. HELM: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE. 352.	
3	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
4	BY MR. QUINN:	
5	Q WHAT IS YOUR ASSESSMENT OF HOW MR. GUNDLACH	09:08AM
6	PERFORMED AS CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER.	
7	MR. HELM: SAME OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.	
8	THE COURT: AT WHAT POINT IN TIME?	
9	MR. QUINN: OVERALL, RIGHT UP TO THE TIME HE	
10	LEFT, IN JULY OF 2000	09:09AM
11	THE COURT: OBJECTION WILL BE OVERRULED.	
12	GO AHEAD.	
13	THE WITNESS: JEFFREY'S BRILLIANT. VERY	
14	TALENTED GUY.	
15	IF YOU COULD DRIVE THAT BRILLIANCE	09:09AM
16	TOWARDS, YOU KNOW, WHAT ARE OBJECTIVES AS A FIRM WERE	
17	IN ITS ENTIRETY, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN FANTASTICALLY	
18	SUCCESSFUL.	
19	BUT UNFORTUNATELY, IT DID NOT WORK.	
20	BY MR. QUINN:	09:09AM
21	Q AS YOU OBSERVED THE THINGS YOU DESCRIBED TO	
22	THE JURY, DID YOU TALK TO MR. GUNDLACH ABOUT THE ISSUES	
23	THAT YOU SAW IN HIS PERFORMANCE?	
24	A YES.	
25	Q DID THAT HAPPEN ONCE, OR MORE THAN ONCE?	09:09AM
26	A MORE THAN ONCE. PARTICULARLY AROUND THE ISSUE	
27	OF ARROGANCE.	
28	Q AND THEN AFTER YOU WERE AT KKR DOES KKR	

1	HAVE SOME RELATIONSHIP WITH WAMCO?	
2	A WE HAVE AN INVESTMENT IN LEGG MASON, WHICH IS	
3	100 PERCENT OWNER OF WAMCO.	
4	Q AND IN THAT CAPACITY, DID YOU LEARN ABOUT	
5	DISCUSSIONS THAT MR. GUNDLACH WAS HAVING WITH WAMCO IN	09:09AM
6	2009?	
7	A YES.	
8	MR. HELM: HEARSAY, YOUR HONOR.	
9	THE COURT: HE CAN ANSWER. HE LEARNED OF IT.	
10	I'M NOT SURE WE'RE GOING ANY FURTHER.	09:10AM
11	THE WITNESS: WE HAVE A BOARD	
12	THE COURT: SIR, YOU JUST ANSWER THE QUESTION,	
13	AND THEN THE FOLLOW-UP QUESTION COMES.	
14	THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.	
15	THE COURT: THANKS.	09:10AM
16	BY MR. QUINN:	
17	Q DOES KKR HAVE A BOARD SEAT ON THE BOARD OF	
18	WAMCO?	
19	A A BOARD SEAT ON LEGG MASON THE PARENT TO	
20	WAMCO.	09:10AM
21	Q I TAKE IT IN THAT CAPACITY, YOU DID LEARN THAT	
22	MR. GUNDLACH WAS HAVING DISCUSSIONS WITH WAMCO?	
23	MR. HELM: OBJECTION. HEARSAY, YOUR HONOR.	
24	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
25	BY MR. QUINN:	09:10AM
26	Q LET'S GO FORWARD, THEN, TO WHERE WE STARTED	
27	OUR DISCUSSION HERE THIS MORNING.	

YOU TOLD US LATE IN AUGUST, OR THE

SECOND HALF OF AUGUST OF 2009, YOU HAD A CONVERSATION 1 2 WITH MR. STERN REGARDING MR. GUNDLACH? 3 YES. SOME --Α 4 CAN YOU TELL US HOW THAT CAME ABOUT? 5 SOMETIME DURING THE LAST TWO WEEKS OF AUGUST, 09:11AM 6 2009, I HAD COME DOWN FROM SAN FRANCISCO TO LOS ANGELES 7 TO MOVE MY FAMILY UP TO THE BAY AREA. 8 AND MR. STERN HAD LEARNED OF MY BEING IN 9 THE AREA TO FACILITATE THE MOVE WITH MY WIFE AND 10 CHILDREN, AND ASKED ME TO SPEND SOME TIME WITH HIM. 09:11AM 11 AND DID YOU DO THAT? 12 Α I DID. 1.3 AND WHERE WAS IT THAT YOU MET WITH HIM? Q HE ASKED ME TO COME TO HIS HOUSE. 14 15 AND DID THE SUBJECT OF MR. GUNDLACH COME UP AT 09:11AM 16 THAT TIME? 17 YES. HE ASKED ME TO TAKE A WALK WITH HIM, AND Α 18 THE SUBJECT OF MR. GUNDLACH DID COME UP. 19 DID HE BRING IT UP, OR DID YOU BRING IT UP? 0 2.0 Α I BROUGHT IT UP. 09:11AM 21 WHAT DID YOU SAY TO HIM? 22 I SUGGESTED BASED UPON WHAT I HAD SEEN DURING Α 23 MY TIME AT TCW, AND SINCE LEAVING TCW, OVER THAT 12 24 MONTHS, THAT HE HAD A -- A REAL AND PRESENT PROBLEM AND 25 DANGER ON HIS HANDS. AND I ENCOURAGED HIM TO TERMINATE 09:12AM 26 JEFFREY. 2.7 Q YOU ENCOURAGED MR. STERN TO DO THAT?

28

A YES.

1	Q	DID YOU TELL HIM WHY YOU WERE ENCOURAGING HIM	
2	TO DO TH	AT?	
3	A	YES. I FELT THAT JEFFREY HAD GOTTEN TO THE	
4	POINT OF	BECOMING A DISEASE OR A CANCER ON THE FIRM.	
5		AND I WAS REALLY PROUD OF MY TEN AND A	09:12AM
6	HALF YEA	RS AT TCW, AND I DID NOT WANT TO SEE THE	
7	BUSINESS	HARMED.	
8	Q	SINCE YOU LEFT TCW, HAD YOU STAYED IN TOUCH	
9	WITH PEO	PLE AT TCW?	
10	A	YES.	09:12AM
11	Q	DID YOU HAVE FRIENDS THERE?	
12	A	YES.	
13	Q	DID YOU STAY IN TOUCH WITH MR. BEYER?	
14	A	YES.	
15	Q	WHEN YOU MADE THIS RECOMMENDATION TO	09:12AM
16	MR. STER	N, IN THAT MR. GUNDLACH WAS A CANCER AND SHOULD	
17	BE TERMI	NATED, WHAT RESPONSE DID HE MAKE TO YOU?	
18		MR. HELM: HEARSAY.	
19		MR. QUINN: STATE OF MIND.	
20		THE COURT: OVERRULED.	09:12AM
21		THE WITNESS: HE SAID HE COULDN'T DO IT.	
22	BY MR. Q	UINN:	
23	Q	DID HE SAY WHY?	
24	A	HE SAID JEFFREY HAD BECOME TOO IMPORTANT. AND	
25	HE COULD	N'T. HE DIDN'T KNOW HOW HE COULD DO IT.	09:13AM
26		AND I TOLD HIM, I STILL FELT HE SHOULD.	
27		MR. QUINN: NOTHING FURTHER.	
28		THE COURT: CROSS-EXAMINATION, MR. HELM?	

1	MR. HELM: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
2		
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION +	
4	BY MR. HELM:	
5	Q GOOD MORNING, MR. SONNEBORN?	09:13AM
6	A GOOD MORNING, MR. HELM.	
7	Q YOU HAVE A VERY IMPRESSIVE RECORD.	
8	YOU MUST BE VERY PROUD OF YOURSELF?	
9	A I'VE BEEN VERY FORTUNATE.	
10	Q LET ME ASK YOU ABOUT THIS DILUTION QUESTION.	09:13AM
11	I FIRST WANT TO MAKE SURE I UNDERSTAND	
12	WHAT YOU'RE SAYING.	
13	THE TIME WHEN YOU SAID THAT THE STOCK	
14	OWNERSHIP BROUGHT WAS BROUGHT UP WITH MR. GUNDLACH	
15	WAS WHEN? WHEN DID YOU SAY YOU DISCUSSED IT WITH HIM?	09:13AM
16	A AROUND 2005 OR 2006.	
17	AND THE QUESTION OF DILUTION, I THINK,	
18	IF THAT'S THE QUESTION YOU'RE ASKING.	
19	Q YES.	
20	A YES.	09:14AM
21	Q DID YOU HAVE ANY DISCUSSIONS WITH HIM BEFORE	
22	THAT, ABOUT THE FACT THAT HE WAS BEING DILUTED?	
23	A I DID NOT HAVE ANY DIRECT DISCUSSIONS WITH HIM	
24	ABOUT HIM BEING DILUTED, OTHER THAN WHAT I DISCLOSED,	
25	WHICH IS IN THE 2000 TIME PERIOD, OFFERING HIM	09:14AM
26	ADDITIONAL STOCK, IN A SIMILAR WAY TO HOW THE DILUTION	
27	OCCURRED.	
28	Q THAT WAS STOCK FOR COMPENSATION, CORRECT?	

1	A YES.	
2	Q NOW, WHEN DID YOU UNDERSTAND THE DILUTION	
3	FIRST OCCURRED?	
4	A WHEN DID I IT OCCURRED IN 2000.	
5	Q ALL RIGHT.	09:14AM
6	SO MR. QUINN ASKED YOU ABOUT A	
7	CONVERSATION THAT MR. GUNDLACH TESTIFIED TO ABOUT WHEN	
8	HE LEARNED HE WAS BEING DILUTED.	
9	YOUR TESTIMONY IS THAT YOU WEREN'T THE	
10	ONE WHO TOLD HIM ABOUT THE DILUTION; IS THAT TRUE?	09:14AM
11	A THAT IS TRUE.	
12	BUT MY TESTIMONY, IT WAS DISCLOSED TO	
13	HIM AT LEAST QUARTERLY.	
14	Q DISCLOSED IN THE SENSE OF ANYONE WHO LOOKED AT	
15	THE FINANCIAL RECORDS COULD FIGURE IT OUT?	09:14AM
16	A YES.	
17	Q YOU'RE NOT AWARE OF SOMEBODY COMING TO	
18	MR. GUNDLACH, TO SHOW HIM THE COURTESY OF SAYING HIS	
19	STOCK HAD BEEN DILUTED, CORRECT?	
20	A NO.	09:15AM
21	Q NOW, YOU DO NOT KNOW WHAT CONVERSATIONS	
22	MR. GUNDLACH DID OR DID NOT HAVE WITH MARC STERN IN THE	
23	LATE 90'S ABOUT WHETHER HE WOULD BE DILUTED, DO YOU?	
24	A I DO NOT KNOW OF THOSE CONVERSATIONS.	
25	Q SO YOU DO NOT KNOW WHETHER OR NOT MARC STERN	09:15AM
26	MADE PROMISES TO MR. GUNDLACH AT THAT TIME, THAT HE	
27	WOULD NOT BE DILUTED, DO YOU, SIR?	
28	A I DO NOT KNOW FOR SURE.	

1	Q NOW, YOU TALKED ABOUT SOME OF THESE	
2	RESTRUCTURING IDEAS THAT MR. GUNDLACH HAD.	
3	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	
4	A YES.	
5	Q AND DISCUSSIONS ABOUT WHETHER THERE SHOULD BE	09:15AM
6	MORE OR LESS FOCUS ON FIXED INCOME, IS THAT TRUE?	
7	A THAT IS TRUE.	
8	Q NOW YOU MENTIONED THAT YOU THOUGHT IT WAS NOT	
9	A GOOD IDEA, IN PART BECAUSE THE PROFITS CAME FROM THE	
10	PEOPLE THAT MR. GUNDLACH WANTED TO TERMINATE OR GET RID	09:16AM
11	OF.	
12	DID I HEAR YOU RIGHT ON THAT?	
13	A YES.	
14	Q NOW YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT MR. GUNDLACH WAS NOT	
15	NECESSARILY ADVOCATING ONLY TERMINATING OR ELIMINATING	09:16AM
16	PEOPLE IN THE EQUITY WORLD, DIDN'T YOU?	
17	A HE MADE IT PRETTY CLEAR THAT THAT'S WHAT HIS	
18	RESTRUCTURING PLAN WAS.	
19	Q DIDN'T HE SUGGEST TO YOU, THE POSSIBILITY OF	
20	FORMING TWO DIFFERENT SUBSIDIARIES OF TCW, SO THAT ONE	09:16AM
21	MIGHT BE A BUSINESS FOCUSED ON FIXED INCOME, AND	
22	ANOTHER MIGHT BE A BUSINESS FOCUSED ON OTHER PRODUCTS?	
23	A HE NEVER DISCUSSED THAT PROPOSAL WITH ME.	
24	Q NOW, YOU WOULD AGREE WITH ME, WOULDN'T YOU,	
25	THAT THERE ARE A RANGE OF DIFFERENT ASSET MANAGEMENT	09:16AM
26	COMPANIES, IN TERMS OF HOW FOCUSED THEY ARE IN ONE	
27	AREA, VERSUS HOW DIVERSIFIED THEY ARE, CORRECT?	
28	A YES.	
	1	

1	Q AND THERE ARE SOME BUSINESSES WHICH SEE THE	
2	BENEFITS OF BEING VERY DIVERSIFIED, RIGHT, AND HAVING	
3	LOTS OF DIFFERENT PRODUCTS, TRUE?	
4	A TRUE.	
5	Q AND THERE ARE OTHER BUSINESSES WHICH DECIDE AS	09:17AM
6	THEIR BUSINESS MODEL, THEY WANT TO FOCUS ON A	
7	PARTICULAR THING, CORRECT?	
8	A YES.	
9	Q SO IT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN STEW AND PEA	
10	SOUP, SOMETIMES. THEY'RE BOTH DELICIOUS, RIGHT, BUT	09:17AM
11	THEY'RE TOTALLY DIFFERENT. SOME FIRMS ARE FOCUSED ONLY	
12	ON THEIR PEA SOUP. THEY DO ONLY ONE THING, BUT THEY DO	
13	IT WELL.	
14	MR. QUINN: I OBJECT TO PEA SOUP, YOUR HONOR.	
15	MR. BRIAN: THIS IS ONE OF THE FEW TIMES I	09:17AM
16	AGREE WITH MR. QUINN, YOUR HONOR.	
17	THE COURT: YOU'VE ASKED THE QUESTION.	
18	JUST MOVE ON.	
19	THE WITNESS: WAS THAT A QUESTION?	
20	MR. HELM: I'LL REPHRASE IT.	09:17AM
21	THE COURT: WE'VE BEEN THROUGH FRIED CHICKENS,	
22	LOTS OF FARMS. WE'RE GOING ON.	
23	MR. HELM: THEY DON'T LIKE THE PEA SOUP.	
24	WE'LL TRY IT A DIFFERENT WAY.	
25	Q SOME FIRMS FOCUS ON ONE PARTICULAR THING, AND	09:17AM
26	THEY DO DID WELL, CORRECT?	
27	A YES.	
28	Q THEY CAN BE VERY SUCCESSFUL DOING THAT,	

1	CORRECT?	
2	A THE BIGGEST AND MOST VALUABLE FIRMS DO MORE	
3	THAN ONE PRODUCT.	
4	Q BUT THERE ARE SOME VERY SUCCESSFUL FIRMS THAT	
5	FOCUS, SPECIALIZE IN ONE PRODUCT, CORRECT?	09:18A
6	A NOT VERY LARGE ONES. BUT, YES.	
7	Q ALL RIGHT.	
8	SO YOU WOULD, YOU WOULD AGREE WITH ME,	
9	WOULDN'T YOU, THAT THERE COULD BE A LEGITIMATE GROUND	
10	FOR DISAGREEMENT ON WHETHER IT'S BETTER FOR A	09:18A
11	PARTICULAR COMPANY TO BE MORE DIVERSIFIED OR TO BE LESS	
12	DIVERSIFIED, CORRECT?	
13	A IT'S WORTHY OF A DISCUSSION, ABSOLUTELY.	
14	Q NOW YOU MENTIONED HOW SUPPORTIVE TCW WAS OF	
15	MR. GUNDLACH AS A SPOKESMAN.	09:18A
16	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	
17	A YES.	
18	Q AND YOU SAID THAT THEY PUT HIM FORWARD, AND	
19	THERE WERE INVESTMENTS IN THE P.R. DEPARTMENT, AND SO	
20	FORTH.	09:18A
21	THAT'S WHAT YOU TESTIFIED TO, CORRECT?	
22	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
23	Q YOU'RE NOT SAYING, ARE YOU, SIR, THAT THE ONLY	
24	REASON THAT MR. GUNDLACH GOT PUBLIC ACCLAIM WAS THAT	
25	TCW INVESTED SOME MORE PEOPLE IN THE P.R. DEPARTMENT,	09:18A
26	ARE YOU, SIR?	
27	A I'M SAYING IT'S NOT THE ONLY REASON, BUT IT	

WAS A MAJOR CONTRIBUTING FACTOR.

1	Q DON'T YOU THINK ONE OF THE REASONS	
2	MR. GUNDLACH GOT ACCLAIM WAS BECAUSE OF THE INVESTMENT	
3	PERFORMANCE OF HIS FUNDS?	
4	A IT CERTAINLY HELPED, YES.	
5	Q AND IT HELPED THAT HE HAD AMAZING INVESTMENT	09:19AM
6	PERFORMANCE DURING SOME OF THE WORST FINANCIAL	
7	DOWNTURNS OUR COUNTRY EVER EXPERIENCED; ISN'T THAT	
8	TRUE, SIR?	
9	A I THINK THAT DEFINITELY WAS A CONTRIBUTING	
10	FACTOR.	09:19AM
11	Q WAS IT ALSO A CONTRIBUTING FACTOR THAT	
12	MR. GUNDLACH MADE SOME FAIRLY PROMINENT AND PRESCIENT	
13	PREDICTIONS ABOUT WHAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN IN THE U.S.	
14	ECONOMY?	
15	DIDN'T THAT CONTRIBUTE TO HIS ACCLAIM,	09:19AM
16	SIR?	
17	A THAT WAS AFTER WE STARTED HIS PROMOTION AND	
18	GETTING HIM ON TV.	
19	BUT YES, THAT FURTHER ENHANCED HIS	
20	REPUTATION.	09:19AM
21	Q SO ARE YOU SAYING THAT IF THEY HADN'T INVESTED	
22	IN THOSE P.R., THOSE ADDITIONAL P.R. PEOPLE AT TCW,	
23	THAT THE FACT HE PREDICTED A FEW WEEKS BEFORE IT	
24	HAPPENED, THAT CITI STOCK WOULD BE GOING TO NOTHING.	
25	AND IT WOULD NEED A GOVERNMENT BAILOUT,	09:20AM
26	IF IT WEREN'T FOR THE P.R. INVESTMENTS, THAT WOULDN'T	
27	HAVE GOTTEN HIM ANY ACCLAIM; IS THAT YOUR TESTIMONY?	
28	MR. QUINN: ASSUMES FACTS, MISSTATES THE	

1 TESTIMONY. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 2 3 BY MR. HELM: 4 YOU RECALL MR. GUNDLACH MADE A PREDICTION AT A 5 CONFERENCE ABOUT WHAT WOULD HAPPEN TO CITI STOCK DIDN'T 09:20AM YOU, SIR? 6 7 A I DON'T RECALL THAT. NO. YOU DON'T RECALL THAT? 8 9 Α NO. I RECALL --10 THE COURT: SIR, YOU'VE ANSWERED THE QUESTION. 09:20AM 11 WE'RE TRYING TO MOVE ALONG HERE. 12 BY MR. HELM: 1.3 ALL RIGHT. ON THE -- IN TERMS OF SAYING THAT Q 14 YOU THOUGHT MR. GUNDLACH WAS STARTING TO PULL BACK FROM 15 INTERNAL COMMITMENTS AND MENTORING, AND SO FORTH, WHEN 09:20AM 16 DID YOU SAY THAT THAT HAPPENED, IN YOUR OBSERVATION? 17 COINCIDENT WITH HIS FAME EXTERNALLY BUILDING. Α 18 WHAT YEAR ARE WE TALKING? THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN IN THE '06 -- END OF '05, 19 2.0 '06 TIME PERIOD. 09:21AM 21 AND DID YOU THINK THAT IT CONTINUED THEREAFTER 22 OR --23 Α IT CONTINUED ON THAT SAME TRAJECTORY, YES. 24 DID HIS FOCUS ON INTERNAL MATTERS AT ALL 0 25 CORRESPOND WITH INCREASED ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT THAT 09:21AM 26 HE HAD? 2.7 MOST OF THE ASSETS THAT HAD GROWN AT THAT Α

PERIOD WERE UNDER LOU'S RESPONSIBILITY, NOT HIS.

1	MR. LUCIDO'S RESPONSIBILITY.	
2	Q WELL, FROM WHAT WAS THE GROWTH IN THE TOTAL	
3	RETURN BOND FUND FROM 2007 TO 2009? DO YOU KNOW, SIR?	
4	A I DON'T KNOW THE NUMBER, NO.	
5	Q WELL, FROM 2007 TO 2008, WHEN WERE THERE, DO	09:21AM
6	YOU RECALL THAT THERE WAS A HUGE INCREASE IN THE ASSETS	
7	UNDER MANAGEMENT AND THE TOTAL RETURN BOND FUND, WHEN	
8	YOU WERE THERE, SIR?	
9	A YES. WE MARKETED PRETTY HEAVILY, AND IT GREW	
10	BY APPROXIMATELY A BILLION DOLLARS DURING THE TIME.	09:21AM
11	Q YOU MARKETED IT; BUT IT ALSO HAD AN AMAZING	
12	TRACK RECORD. IT HAD AMAZING PERFORMANCE, RIGHT?	
13	YOU'RE NOT CLAIMING THE GROWTH WAS	
14	BECAUSE OF THE MARKETING DEPARTMENT, ARE YOU?	
15	A THE FUND HAD AMAZING PERFORMANCE IN 2005, FROM	09:22AM
16	2004; BUT THEN NO GROWTH	
17	Q HAVING AMAZING PERFORMANCE REQUIRES A LOT OF	
18	FOCUS ON THE INVESTMENTS, DOESN'T IT?	
19	A YES. IT DOES.	
20	Q AS THERE ARE MORE ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT,	09:22AM
21	THERE ARE MORE INVESTMENTS THAN A PERSON HAS TO MAKE,	
22	AREN'T THERE?	
23	A SIR?	
24	NO. JUST BUYING MORE OF THE SAME	
25	INVESTMENT.	09:22AM
26	Q I SEE.	
27	YOU THINK IT REQUIRES NO ADDITIONAL	
0.0		

FOCUS OR EFFORT AS ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT GROW?

THE NUMBERS OF ACCOUNTS GROWS, YES.	
BUT MUTUAL FUNDS GROWS, IT'S VERY	
ITIONAL EFFORT TO DEAL WITH THE SIZE AND	
D THE SEPARATE ACCOUNTS GROW DURING THIS	09:22AM
WELL, SIR?	
LITTLE BIT.	
W, YOU MENTIONED THAT HE WAS C.I.O. OF THE	
NY, BUT HE DIDN'T TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR	
FAILURES.	09:23AM
DID I GET THAT RIGHT?	
THINK THE QUESTION, THE TESTIMONY WAS ON	
Y FAILURES WITHIN HIS TEAM ENOUGH OF AN	
D I MISHEAR YOU, YOU WERE NOT CRITICIZING	09:23AM
LING TO TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY FAILURES	
ED OUTSIDE OF THE M.B.S. GROUP?	
AT'S CORRECT.	
ANK YOU FOR CLARIFYING THAT.	
YOU AGREE WITH ME THAT EVEN THOUGH, IN	09:23AM
S THE CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER, THAT TCW WAS	
NG THE TIME YOU WERE THERE, A CONFEDERATION	
S OF DIFFERENT SILOS, CORRECT?	
WOULD DISAGREE WITH THAT.	
U DISAGREE.	09:23AM
YOU SAY IT'S NOT A CONFEDERATION	
DID NOT THINK IT WAS A CONFEDERATION.	
D MR. GUNDLACH HAVE AUTHORITY TO TELL DIANE	
D	MR. GUNDLACH HAVE AUTHORITY TO TELL DIANE

1	JAFFEE WHETHER TO SELL A STOCK IN HER PORTFOLIO?	
2	A HE HAD AUTHORITY TO GIVE HIS ADVICE TO HER.	
3	YES.	
4	Q SHE HAD THE AUTHORITY TO DISREGARD IT, IF SHE	
5	SO CHOSE, CORRECT?	09:24AM
6	A YES, JUST LIKE I HAD AUTHORITY TO TELL JEFFREY	
7	NOT TO BUY A MORTGAGE, AND HE DIDN'T NECESSARILY HAVE	
8	TO DO WHAT I SAID.	
9	Q NOW, YOU MENTIONED SOME CIRCUMSTANCES IN WHICH	
10	MR. GUNDLACH BLAMED MR. LUCIDO AND MR. HO FOR SOME	09:24AM
11	INVESTMENTS THAT HAD BEEN MADE, CORRECT?	
12	A YES.	
13	Q YOU MENTIONED THAT YOU THOUGHT THAT MR. BARACH	
14	AND MR. GUNDLACH WERE EQUALS, AT ONE POINT, BUT THEN	
15	MR. GUNDLACH SORT OF CHANGED HIS APPROACH AT THAT	09:24AM
16	POINT? CORRECT?	
17	A YES.	
18	Q WHERE IS MR. LUCIDO CURRENTLY EMPLOYED?	
19	A AT DOUBLE LINE.	
20	Q WHERE IS MR. BARACH CURRENTLY EMPLOYED?	09:25AM
21	A AT DOUBLE LINE.	
22	Q NOW, IN THE YOU MENTIONED YOU WERE	
23	INVOLVED, IN FEBRUARY OF 08, WITH RESPECT TO A POSSIBLE	
24	EMPLOYEE BUYOUT.	
25	DID I GET THAT RIGHT?	09:25AM
26	A YES. NUMEROUS PRIVATE EQUITY FIRMS CONTACTED	
27	TCW AROUND THAT TIME PERIOD TO SEE IF THERE WAS AN	

OPPORTUNITY TO FACILITATE AN EMPLOYEE BUYOUT.

1	Q DID YOU TRAVEL WITH MR. BEYER TO PARIS TO TRY	
2	TO MAKE AN OFFER TO SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE TO BUY BACK THE	
3	FIRM FROM THE FRENCH?	
4	A WE DID TRAVEL THERE TO TALK ABOUT A VARIETY OF	
5	THINGS, THAT BEING ONE OPTION, YES.	09:25AM
6	Q AND THAT EFFORT WAS ULTIMATELY UNSUCCESSFUL,	
7	WASN'T IT?	
8	A YES. THE INDIVIDUALS AT SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE THAT	
9	WE MET WERE WERE RELIEVED OF THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES.	
10	Q I DIDN'T HEAR THAT THE ONES YOU MET WITH	09:26AM
11	WERE LATER RELIEVED?	
12	A YES, WERE IN THE PROCESS OF BEING RELIEVED OF	
13	THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES.	
14	Q WHAT DID THAT HAVE TO DO WITH THE OFFER?	
15	A WELL, THE DISCUSSIONS ON THE VARIOUS OPTIONS	09:26AM
16	WE HAD TALKED ABOUT, ONE OF WHICH WAS AN EMPLOYEE	
17	BUYBACK, WERE WITH INDIVIDUALS THAT NO LONGER HAD	
18	AUTHORITY TO CONTINUE THAT DIALOGUE.	
19	Q THE EFFORTS THAT YOU MADE IN EARLY 2008 TO BUY	
20	BACK TCW FROM THE FRENCH, THOSE EFFORTS WERE	09:26AM
21	UNSUCCESSFUL, CORRECT, SIR?	
22	A AGAIN, THAT WAS ONE OPTION, OF SEVERAL WE	
23	DISCUSSED.	
24	Q YOU WERE ALSO AWARE THERE WAS SOME	
25	DISSATISFACTION OF TCW OVER THE FACT THAT SOCIÉTÉ	09:26AM
26	GÉNÉRALE DID NOT GRANT EQUITY TO THE LEADERS OF THE	
27	FIRM; IS THAT TRUE?	

IT HAD GRANTED -- THAT'S NOT TRUE.

28

1	THEY HAD GRANTED EQUITY TO LEADERS OF	
2	THE FIRM, BUT NOT AT THE PACE THAT BOB AND I FELT WAS	
3	WARRANTED, IN THE CONTEXT OF BUILDING A CONTINUED	
4	KIND OF GROWTH IN THE BUSINESS.	
5	Q AND SO YOU AND MR. BEYER ADVOCATED INCREASED	09:27AM
6	EQUITY FOR THE PEOPLE AT TCW, CORRECT?	
7	A THAT WAS ONE OF THE OPTIONS WE DISCUSSED IN	
8	2008.	
9	Q AND DISCUSSED WITH THE FRENCH, CORRECT?	
10	A YES.	09:27AM
11	Q WE'VE SEEN EVIDENCE THAT MR. GUNDLACH ONCE	
12	DESCRIBED YOU AND MR. BEYER AS BEING A BUFFER BETWEEN	
13	MR BETWEEN THE MANAGEMENT AT TCW AND THE FRENCH.	
14	WOULD YOU SAY THAT'S A FAIR	
15	CHARACTERIZATION?	09:27AM
16	A I WOULDN'T NECESSARILY CHARACTERIZE IT THAT	
17	WAY.	
18	Q YOU ADVOCATED THE INTEREST OF TCW MANAGEMENT	
19	IN DISCUSSIONS WITH SG, CORRECT?	
20	A WE WERE FOCUSED ON TRYING TO DO WHAT WAS RIGHT	09:27AM
21	FOR TCW AND ITS EMPLOYEES.	
22	JUST LIKE ANY EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR	
23	DIRECTOR OF A BUSINESS WOULD, YES.	
24	Q AND WERE YOU ON ANY SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE BOARDS	
25	WHILE YOU WERE AT TCW?	09:27AM
26	A I WAS NOT.	
27	Q WERE YOU AN HONORARY COMMANDEUR IN FRANCE?	

I WAS NOT.

28

1	Q	DO YOU KNOW IF MARC STERN, AFTER HE RETURNED,	
2	PARTICIPA	ATED IN ANY EFFORTS TO BUY BACK TCW FROM THE	
3	FRENCH?		
4	А	I DO NOT KNOW.	
5	Q	YOU SAID YOU TALKED TO MR. GUNDLACH ABOUT	09:28AM
6	ARROGANCE	I ISSUES.	
7		DID I GET THAT RIGHT?	
8	А	YES.	
9	Q	YOU'VE BEEN IN THE ASSET MANAGEMENT NOW FOR	
10	HOW LONG,	MR. SONNEBORN?	09:28AM
11	А	FOR AROUND 15 YEARS.	
12	Q	YOU'VE MET A LOT OF FUND MANAGERS, HAVEN'T	
13	YOU, SIR?		
14	А	I'VE MET MANY, YES.	
15	Q	IS MR. GUNDLACH THE ONLY ARROGANT FUND MANAGER	09:28AM
16	YOU'VE EV	JER MET?	
17	А	HE'S THE MOST. NOT THE ONLY	
18	Q	THERE'S A LOT?	
19	А	YES.	
20	Q	ALSO ONE OF THE MOST BRILLIANT, ISN'T HE?	09:28AM
21	А	HE'S A VERY TALENTED INVESTOR.	
22	Q	BRILLIANT COULD DESCRIBE HIM COULDN'T	
23	YOU		
24	А	THAT'S YOUR OPINION.	
25		I MEAN, I DESCRIBED HIM AS A VERY	09:28AM
26	UNIQUELY	TALENTED INVESTOR IN AN AREA OF FIXED INCOME.	
27	Q	HAVE YOU EVER USED THE WORD BRILLIANT, TO	
28	DESCRIBE	ANYTHING MR. GUNDLACH HAD EVER DONE?	

1	A YES, I HAVE.	
2	MR. HELM: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER.	
3	THE COURT: REDIRECT, MR. QUINN?	
4		
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION +	09:29AM
6	BY MR. QUINN:	
7	Q MR. HELM POINTED OUT THAT MR. BARACH WENT TO	
8	WORK WITH MR. GUNDLACH AT DOUBLE LINE.	
9	DID YOU EVER TELL MR. BARACH ABOUT THOSE	
10	CONVERSATIONS YOU HAD WITH MR. GUNDLACH, OR	09:29AM
11	MR. GUNDLACH CAME TO YOU AND SAID HE WANTED TO LOWER	
12	MR. BARACH'S SALARY?	
13	MR. HELM: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.	
14	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
15	THE WITNESS: NO.	09:29AM
16	BY MR. QUINN:	
17	Q DID YOU EVER TELL MR. BARACH	
18	A NO.	
19	Q WHAT MR. GUNDLACH HAD SAID TO YOU?	
20	A NO.	09:29AM
21	Q MR. HELM ASKED YOU ABOUT THE PROFITABILITY OF	
22	THE MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES AND THE FIXED INCOME	
23	GROUP.	
24	IN THE GROUP, I THINK AT ONE POINT, YOU	
25	SAID IT WASN'T THE MOST PROFITABLE AREA OF THE FIRM.	09:29AM
26	COULD YOU EXPLAIN THAT?	
27	A YES.	
28	BECAUSE OF THE HIGH VARIABLE COST OF THE	

1	GROUP, INCLUDING THE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES IN THE AREA,	
2	IT WAS TO MY BEST RECOLLECTION, IT WAS THE 7TH MOST	
3	PROFITABLE BUSINESS WITHIN THE FIRM IN 2007.	
4	Q 7TH MOST PROFITABLE?	
5	A YES.	09:30AM
6	Q THAT'S BECAUSE OF WHAT COSTS?	
7	A BECAUSE OF THE COMPENSATION COSTS OF THE TEAM.	
8	Q AND DID THE I.T. COST, THE COST FOR THE	
9	TECHNICAL PLATFORM, DID THAT HAVE A PLAY INTO THAT, AS	
10	WELL?	09:30AM
11	A THAT HAD A PLAY INTO THAT AS WELL, YES.	
12	Q AND IN TERMS OF USAGE OF I.T. AND TECHNICAL	
13	INVESTMENT, HOW WOULD THE MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES	
14	DEPARTMENT COMPARE TO OTHER DEPARTMENTS WITHIN THE	
15	FIRM?	09:30AM
16	MR. HELM: BEYOND THE SCOPE, YOUR HONOR.	
17	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
18	THE WITNESS: IT WAS THE HEAVIEST USER OF	
19	FIRM-WIDE RESOURCES.	
20	BY MR. QUINN:	09:30AM
21	Q MR. HELM TALKED TO YOU ABOUT MR. GUNDLACH'S	
22	COMMENTS ABOUT SUBPRIME, AND, YOU KNOW, CITIBANK STOCK.	
23	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	
24	A HE MENTIONED CITIBANK, YES.	
25	Q RIGHT.	09:31AM
26	THESE CDO'S THAT MR. GUNDLACH'S	
27	DEPARTMENT HAD ORGANIZED AND INVESTED IN, DID THESE	

HAVE HEAVY INVESTMENTS IN SUBPRIME MORTGAGES?

1	MR. HELM: BEYOND THE SCOPE.	
2	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
3	BY MR. QUINN:	
4	Q WELL, THE MR. GUNDLACH'S ANNOUNCEMENT	
5	RELATED TO SUBPRIME, CORRECT? DO YOU RECALL READING	09:31AM
6	ABOUT AN ANNOUNCEMENT HE MADE REGARDING SUBPRIME?	
7	MR. HELM: LACKS FOUNDATION, YOUR HONOR.	
8	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
9	MR. QUINN: I THINK THERE'S BEEN EVIDENCE IN	
10	THIS CASE THAT THE DEFENDANTS HAVE INTRODUCED ABOUT	09:31AM
11	MR. GUNDLACH HAVING MADE A PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT THAT THE	
12	SUBPRIME AREA WAS A DISASTER.	
13	Q DO YOU RECALL EVER HEARING THAT?	
14	MR. HELM: BEYOND THE SCOPE, YOUR HONOR.	
15	THE COURT: SUSTAINED. THAT WASN'T PART OF	09:31AM
16	HIS TESTIMONY.	
17	MR. QUINN: NOTHING FURTHER.	
18	THE COURT: THANK YOU.	
19	NOTHING FURTHER, MR. HELM?	
20	MR. HELM: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.	09:32AM
21	THANK YOU.	
22	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
23	MR. SONNEBORN, THANK YOU FOR YOUR	
24	TESTIMONY.	
25	I DO APOLOGIZE FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF	09:32AM
26	BRINGING YOU BACK.	
27	THE WITNESS: I UNDERSTAND.	
28	THE COURT: IT'S A PRETTY EASY COMMUTE. I'M	

1	SURE YOU'RE ACCUSTOMED TO IT.	
2	THE WITNESS: I UNDERSTAND.	
3	THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
4	THE COURT: THANK YOU.	
5	MR. MADISON: TCW RESTS, SUBJECT TO THE	09:32AM
6	ADMISSION OF EXHIBITS WE DISCUSSED EARLIER, YOUR	
7	HONOR.	
8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
9	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, YOU'VE HEARD THE	
10	PLAINTIFF'S CASE AT THIS POINT.	09:32AM
11	AND NOW THE DEFENSE WILL PUT ON THEIR	
12	CASE.	
13	AS YOU KNOW, WE DISCUSSED EARLIER, THE	
14	DEFENDANTS HAVE AN AFFIRMATIVE CLAIM, THEY WILL ALSO	
15	PUT THE EVIDENCE ON ON THAT PORTION OF THEIR CASE.	09:33AM
16	MR. BRIAN, ARE YOU READY TO CALL YOUR	
17	FIRST WITNESS?	
18		
19	DEFENSE+	
20		09:33AM
21	MR. BRIAN: MR. WEINGART WILL CALL THE FIRST	
22	WITNESS.	
23	MR. WEINGART: YOUR HONOR, THE DEFENSE CALLS	
24	PAUL DEITCH.	
25	THE CLERK: RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN.	09:33AM
26		
27	PAUL DEITCH +	
28	CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE DEFENSE, WAS SWORN AND	

1	TESTIFIE	D AS FOLLOWS:	
2			
3		THE CLERK: YOU DO SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE	
4	TESTIMON	Y YOU ARE ABOUT TO GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW	
5	PENDING	BEFORE THIS COURT, SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE	
6	WHOLE TR	UTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD?	
7			
8		THE WITNESS: I DO.	
9		THE CLERK: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED.	
10		SIR, PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR NAME	09:33AM
11	FOR THE	RECORD.	
12		THE WITNESS: PAUL DEITCH, P-A-U-L,	
13	D-E-I-T-	С-Н.	
14		THE CLERK: THANK YOU.	
15		THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, MR. DEITCH.	09:33AM
16		THE WITNESS: GOOD MORNING.	
17		THE COURT: YOU MAY PROCEED.	
18		MR. WEINGART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
19			
20		DIRECT EXAMINATION +	09:33AM
21	BY MR. W	EINGART:	
22	Q	GOOD MORNING?	
23	A	GOOD MORNING.	
24	Q	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED?	
25	А	OAKTREE CAPITAL MANAGEMENT.	09:34AM
26	Q	WHAT'S YOUR POSITION AT OAKTREE?	
27	А	MANAGING DIRECTOR.	
28	Q	AND WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESS IS OAKTREE CAPITAL	

1	MANAGEMENT?	
2	A AN INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT FIRM.	
3	Q HOW LARGE IS OAK TREE?	
4	A WE HAVE ABOUT \$80 BILLION IN ASSETS IN	
5	MANAGEMENT, AND OVER 600 EMPLOYEES WORLDWIDE.	09:34AM
6	Q WHAT TYPE OF ASSETS DOES OAKTREE MANAGE?	
7	A WE INVEST IN DISTRESSED DEBT, CORPORATE BONDS,	
8	CONVERTIBLE SECURITIES, REAL ESTATE.	
9	Q AND WHERE ARE OAKTREE'S OFFICES?	
10	A WE HAVE 14 OFFICES, GLOBALLY HEADQUARTERED IN	09:34AM
11	LOS ANGELES. WE HAVE OFFICES IN NEW YORK AND STANFORD,	
12	EUROPE AND ASIA.	
13	Q WHEN WAS DO YOU KNOW WHEN OAKTREE WAS	
14	FOUNDED?	
15	A I BELIEVE	09:34AM
16	Q ABOUT?	
17	A I BELIEVE IN 1995.	
18	Q DO YOU KNOW WHO FOUNDED IT?	
19	A HOWARD MARKS, BRUCE KARSH, SHELDON STONE,	
20	RICHARD MASSON AND LARRY KEELE, I BELIEVE.	09:34AM
21	Q DO YOU KNOW WHERE MR. MARKS AND MR. KARSH	
22	WORKED BEFORE THEY FOUNDED OAKTREE?	
23	A AT TRUST COMPANY OF THE WEST.	
24	Q I'D LIKE TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT YOUR	
25	BACKGROUND.	09:35AM
26	CAN YOU BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR	
27	EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND?	
28	A I HAVE A UNDERGRADUATE DEGREE IN BIOLOGY FROM	

1	UCL. AND A MASTERS IN BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION FROM	
2	USC.	
3	Q AFTER YOU GRADUATED FROM USC, WHAT WAS YOUR	
4	FIRST JOB?	
5	A I SPENT MY FIRST DECADE IN BANKING AT SECURITY	09:35AM
6	PACIFIC, WHICH WAS PURCHASED DURING THAT TIME BY BANK	
7	OF AMERICA.	
8	Q AND GENERALLY, HIGH LEVEL, WHAT WERE YOUR JOB	
9	RESPONSIBILITIES DURING THAT TIME?	
10	A I WORKED IN SEVERAL CAPACITIES: IN FINANCE,	09:35AM
11	CUSTOMER SERVICE, PRODUCT MANAGEMENT, PRIMARILY AROUND	
12	BANKING OPERATIONS.	
13	I DID SPEND ONE YEAR ALSO IN THE TRUST	
14	COMPANY.	
15	Q WHAT WAS YOUR NEXT JOB AFTER THAT?	09:35AM
16	A I THEN WENT TO KPMG.	
17	Q WHO'S KPMG?	
18	A IS ONE OF THE BIG FOUR GLOBAL AUDIT, TAX	
19	CONSULTING FIRMS.	
20	Q WHAT WAS YOUR POSITION THERE?	09:36AM
21	A I WAS A PARTNER.	
22	Q DID YOU HAVE A PARTICULAR FOCUS IN YOUR	
23	PRACTICE?	
24	A YES. WE FOCUSED THE PRACTICE ON THE WEST	
25	COAST, PRIMARILY IN THE AREA OF FINANCIAL SERVICES	09:36AM
26	COMPANIES. WE WORKED IN THE AREA OF TECHNOLOGY,	
27	OPERATION, RISK MANAGEMENT, REPORTING.	

WE FOCUSED ON HELPING COMPANIES, YOU

1	KNOW, ARCHITECT AND DESIGN SOLUTIONS, AND THEN	
2	IMPLEMENT THOSE SOLUTIONS.	
3	Q WOULD THOSE INCLUDE TECHNOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS?	
4	A SOME WOULD.	
5	Q WHAT OTHER KIND OF SOLUTIONS?	09:36AM
6	A PROCESS ENERGY OF OPERATIONS, IMPLEMENTATION	
7	OF COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS. IMPLEMENTATION OF REPORTING.	
8	IT WAS VERY BROAD GENERAL MANAGING	
9	CONSULTING PRACTICE.	
10	Q HOW LONG WERE YOU AT KPMG?	09:36AM
11	A SEVEN YEARS.	
12	Q WHERE DID YOU SO JUST TELL US, TIMEWISE,	
13	ARE WHERE ARE WE NOW?	
14	A WE ARE IN BEGINNING OF 2006.	
15	Q WHERE DID YOU WORK NEXT?	09:37AM
16	A I WENT TO RUN TECHNOLOGY AND OPERATIONS FOR	
17	COUNTRYWIDE BANK.	
18	Q WHAT DID YOU DO? WHAT DID THE JOB ENTAIL?	
19	A I WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE I.T. DEPARTMENT, THE	
20	PAYMENT AND DEPOSIT OPERATIONS, LOSS PREVENTION,	09:37AM
21	BUSINESS CONTINUITY, OUTSOURCING, VENDOR MANAGEMENT,	
22	COMPLIANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT, PROJECT MANAGEMENT;	
23	THOSE SORTS OF ITEMS.	
24	Q HOW MANY PEOPLE REPORTED TO YOU?	
25	A BETWEEN 6- AND 700.	09:37AM
26	Q AND DID YOU OVERSEE ANY PROJECTS, WHEN YOU	
27	WERE AT COUNTRYWIDE BANK?	
0.0		

MANY PROJECTS.

28

1	Q CAN YOU GIVE US AN EXAMPLE OF ONE OF THOSE	
2	PROJECTS?	
3	A ONE OF THE PROJECTS INVOLVED OPENING UP OR	
4	DOUBLING THE SIGN OF OUR FINANCIAL CENTERS.	
5	IN A PERIOD OF FOUR MONTHS, WE HAD	09:37AM
6	APPROXIMATELY 100, AND WE ADDED 100 NEW FINANCIAL	
7	CENTERS, IN THE PERIOD OF FOUR MONTHS.	
8	Q WHAT WAS YOUR ROLE IN THAT PROJECT?	
9	A I OVERSAW THE PROJECT MANAGEMENT TEAM,	
10	TECHNOLOGY TEAM AND OPERATIONS TEAM.	09:38AM
11	Q YOU SAID YOU GOT EVERYTHING DONE IN FOUR	
12	MONTHS?	
13	A YES, WE DID.	
14	Q AND AT A HIGH LEVEL, HOW IS THAT POSSIBLE TO	
15	GET 100 HUNDRED OFFICES OPEN, IN THAT PERIOD OF	09:38AM
16	TIME?	
17	A WE MOBILIZED RESOURCES ACROSS MULTIPLE	
18	DISCIPLINES, WORKED IN PARALLEL.	
19	IT WAS VERY ORCHESTRATED, AND WE HAD A	
20	LOT OF INDIVIDUALS WORKING ON THE INITIATIVE, IN ORDER	09:38AM
21	TO GET THE OFFICES OPENED.	
22	Q NOW, AT ONE POINT, I GUESS LATER ON, BANK OF	
23	AMERICA ACQUIRED COUNTRYWIDE; IS THAT CORRECT?	
24	A YES, IT DID.	
25	Q DID YOU PLAY A ROLE IN THE INTEGRATION OF	09:38AM
26	THOSE TWO COMPANIES?	
27	A I DID. I WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PROGRAM	

MANAGEMENT OFFICE, WHICH COORDINATES THE PROJECT

1	MANAGERS	INVOLVED IN THE INTEGRATION OF COUNTRYWIDE,	
2	COMPANY A	ABOUT 50,000 EMPLOYEES, INTO BANK OF AMERICA.	
3		SO THERE ARE ABOUT 300 PEOPLE ON THE	
4	PROJECT 1	TEAM. AND IN FULL-TIME PEOPLE ON THE	
5	PROJECT 1	TEAM, AND APPROXIMATELY 1600 PEOPLE INVOLVED IN	09:39AM
6	THE PROJE	ECT.	
7	Q	WHAT WAS YOUR ROLE IN THAT PROJECT?	
8	A	I RAN THE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT OFFICE, THE GROUP	
9	OF INDIV	IDUALS THAT DOES ALL THE COORDINATION PROJECT	
10	PLANNING	AND FACILITATION, ADMINISTRATION,	09:39AM
11	COORDINAT	TION, TO EXECUTE EACH OF THE DELIVERABLES TO	
12	INTEGRATE	E THE TWO FIRMS.	
13	Q	NOW, I'M SORRY.	
14		ABOUT HOW MANY PEOPLE WORKED ON THAT	
15	PROJECT?		09:39AM
16	A	DURING MY TIME, THERE WERE 300 FULL-TIME AND	
17	1600 PART	T-TIME.	
18	Q	NOW, AT SOME POINT, YOU LEFT COUNTRYWIDE?	
19	A	YES.	
20		IN 2008.	09:39AM
21	Q	AND AFTER YOU LEFT, WHERE DID YOU GO TO WORK?	
22	А	OAKTREE CAPITAL MANAGEMENT.	
23	Q	WHEN DID YOU START AT OAKTREE?	
24	A	AUGUST 25TH, 2008.	
25	Q	AND YOU GAVE US YOUR TITLE.	09:40AM
26		YOU'RE MANAGING DIRECTOR, YOU SAID?	
27	A	YES.	
28	Q	WHAT ARE YOUR JOB RESPONSIBILITIES AT OAKTREE?	

1	A I'M RESPONSIBLE FOR THE TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT,	
2	THE OPERATIONS DEPARTMENT, THE PROJECT MANAGEMENT	
3	DEPARTMENT, AND SEVERAL MARKETING COMPANIES.	
4	Q ABOUT HOW MANY PEOPLE REPORT TO YOU AT	
5	OAKTREE?	09:40AM
6	A ABOUT 200.	
7	Q AND HAVE YOU BEEN INVOLVED IN ANY SIGNIFICANT	
8	PROJECTS TO OPEN OFFICES FOR OAKTREE?	
9	A YES. WE'VE HAD MULTIPLE PROJECTS SINCE I'VE	
10	BEEN THERE.	09:40AM
11	WE'VE OPENED SEVERAL OFFICES, PROBABLY	
12	EITHER THREE TO FIVE OFFICES DURING THAT TIME. WE'VE	
13	IMPLEMENTED ACCOUNTING SOFTWARE ON MORE THAN ONE	
14	OCCASION, MULTIPLE INITIATIVES INVOLVING TECHNOLOGY AND	
15	OPERATIONS.	09:40AM
16	Q NOW, I WANT TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO	
17	DECEMBER OF 2009, OKAY?	
18	A OKAY.	
19	Q IN DECEMBER OF 2009, DID YOU AND OTHERS AT	
20	OAKTREE PARTICIPATE IN A PROJECT TO HELP GET DOUBLELINE	09:41AM
21	UP AND RUNNING?	
22	A WE DID.	
23	Q WHEN WERE YOU FIRST INFORMED ABOUT THE	
24	POSSIBILITY OF THIS PROJECT?	
25	A ON DECEMBER 7TH.	09:41AM
26	Q AND HAD YOU PARTICIPATED IN ANY DISCUSSIONS	
27	ABOUT THIS POSSIBLE PROJECT BEFORE DECEMBER 7?	
28	A NO.	

1	Q WELL, FIRST OF ALL, WHAT HAPPENED ON	
2	DECEMBER 7?	
3	A I GOT A PHONE CALL FROM MY SUPERVISOR, SAYING	
4	THERE WAS A POSSIBILITY THAT WE WOULD BE ASSISTING IN	
5	BUILDING OUT THIS NEW FIRM. AND THAT WE AGREE TO	09:41A
6	COORDINATE A MEETING, AN INTERNAL MEETING, WITH	
7	OAKTREE WITHIN OAKTREE ON TUESDAY OF THAT WEEK.	
8	I THINK THAT WAS DECEMBER 8.	
9	Q AND ABOUT HOW MANY PEOPLE FROM OAKTREE	
10	PARTICIPATED IN THAT DECEMBER 8TH MEETING?	09:41A
11	A IT WOULD BE BETWEEN TEN AND 20, I WOULD GUESS.	
12	Q AND JUST GENERALLY, WHO WERE THESE PEOPLE, AND	
13	WHY WERE THEY AT THE MEETING?	
14	A WE HAD PEOPLE IN THE MEETING FROM THE	
15	TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT, FROM OUR OPERATIONS DEPARTMENT,	09:42A
16	FROM OUR FUND ACCOUNTING, CORPORATE ACCOUNTING, LEGAL,	
17	AND PROBABLY SEVERAL OTHER AREAS.	
18	AND THE FOCUS OF THAT MEETING ON TUESDAY	
19	WAS TO BRAINSTORM ABOUT HOW WE, OAKTREE, COULD HELP	
20	THIS NEW COMPANY GET OPERATIONALLY READY FOR BUSINESS.	09:42A
21	Q NOW, WAS A DECISION EVENTUALLY REACHED ABOUT	
22	WHETHER OAKTREE WOULD PROVIDE ASSISTANCE TO DOUBLELINE	
23	IN STARTING ITS OPERATION?	
24	A YES.	
25	Q AND WHAT SORT OF ASSISTANCE DID OAKTREE AGREE	09:42A
26	TO PROVIDE IN A HIGH LEVEL	
27	A WE PRIMARILY PROVIDED RESOURCES, PEOPLE WHO	

WERE INVOLVED IN THE INITIATIVE. WE PROVIDED PROJECT

1	MANAGERS TO HELP ORGANIZE ALL OF THE WORK STREAMS.	
2	WE ALSO PROVIDED A LOT OF INTRODUCTIONS	
3	IN SUPPORT, IN ENGAGING VENDORS TO SUPPORT THE	
4	IMPLEMENTATION.	
5	Q WHAT WAS YOUR ROLE IN THE PROJECT?	09:43AM
6	A I WAS THE PROJECT SPONSOR FROM THE OAKTREE	
7	PROJECT SPONSOR.	
8	Q WHEN YOU SAY PROJECT SPONSOR, CAN YOU DESCRIBE	
9	FOR US WHAT THAT MEANS?	
10	A RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVIDING OVERSIGHT TO THE	09:43AM
11	RESOURCES WHO WERE ENGAGED ON THE PROJECT.	
12	IN THAT ROLE, YOU WOULD OVERSEE THE	
13	COORDINATION BETWEEN EACH OF THE WORK STREAMS.	
14	THERE WERE APPROXIMATELY SIX DIFFERENT	
15	WORK STREAMS THAT WERE HAPPENING SIMULTANEOUSLY TO MAKE	09:43AM
16	DOUBLELINE OPERATIONALLY READY, TO THE COORDINATION	
17	ISSUE RESOLUTION AND INSURING THERE WERE ADEQUATE	
18	RESOURCES ASSIGNED TO EACH INITIATIVE.	
19	Q WHAT WAS IN IT FOR OAKTREE, TO PROVIDE THIS	
20	HELP?	09:43AM
21	A I HEARD WE HAD AN EQUITY STAKE IN THIS NEW	
22	FIRM.	
23	Q SO IN RETURN FOR RECEIVING BY EQUITY STAKE,	
24	YOU MEAN AN OWNERSHIP INTEREST?	
25	A YES.	09:44AM
26	Q AND SO IN RETURN FOR THAT OWNERSHIP INTEREST,	
27	OAKTREE WOULD PROVIDE THE SERVICES YOU DESCRIBED?	
28	A YES.	

1	Q	NOW, LET ME ASK YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT	
2	EXHIBIT	1620. IT SHOULD BE IN THE BINDER UP THERE.	
3	А	WHAT NUMBER?	
4	Q	I'M SORRY, 620.	
5	A	THANK YOU.	09:44AM
6	Q	CAN YOU IDENTIFY 620?	
7	A	YES. IT'S A TERM SHEET.	
8	Q	A TERM SHEET BETWEEN WHO AND WHO?	
9	А	BETWEEN OAKTREE AND DOUBLELINE.	
10	Q	DATED DECEMBER 13TH, 2009?	09:44AM
11	А	YES, IT IS.	
12		MR. WEINGART: I WOULD OFFER EXHIBIT 620.	
13		THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	
14		MR. MADISON: NO OBJECTION.	
15		THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	09:45AM
16			
17		(EXHIBIT 620 ADMITTED.)+	
18			
19		MR. WEINGART: COULD WE PUT BLOW UP JUST	
20	THE TOP	THERE.	09:45AM
21	Q	THERE WAS A TERM SHEET.	
22		THESE WOULD BE KIND OF BASIC DEAL TERMS?	
23	A	YES, THEY WOULD BE.	
24	Q	AND THIS WAS BETWEEN OAKTREE, ON THE ONE HAND	
25	AND DOUB	LELINE, ON THE OTHER?	09:45AM
26	А	YES.	
27	Q	IF WE COULD GO TO PAGE 2, WHERE IT SAYS EQUITY	
28	EXCHANGE		

1	A YES.	
2	Q IS THAT WHAT YOU WERE DESCRIBING, IN TERMS OF	
3	THE OWNERSHIP INTEREST THAT OAKTREE WOULD RECEIVE IN	
4	RETURN FOR PROVIDING THESE SERVICES?	
5	A YES.	09:45AM
6	Q IF WE COULD GO TO PAGE 9 OF THE EXHIBIT. IT	
7	SAYS EXHIBIT A.	
8	WHAT IS EXHIBIT A TO EXHIBIT 620?	
9	A EXHIBIT A IS A HIGH LEVEL OUTLINE OF THE TYPE	
10	OF SUPPORT THAT WE WERE PLANNING ON PROVIDING	09:46AM
11	DOUBLELINE.	
12	Q AND THERE ARE THERE'S A COLUMN ON THE LEFT,	
13	PROJECT MANAGEMENT, I.T., COMPLIANCE, RESEARCH AND	
14	ANALYTICS TRADING, TRADE SETTLEMENT, AND OTHERS.	
15	WHAT DO THOSE FUNCTIONS REPRESENT; WHAT	09:46AM
16	ARE THOSE?	
17	A SO ALONG THE LEFT ARE THE VARIOUS FUNCTIONS	
18	THAT WERE NECESSARY TO CREATE AN OPERATIONALLY READY	
19	BUSINESS. AND SO ALL THE AREAS THAT WE WOULD	
20	POTENTIALLY PROVIDE SUPPORT WERE DOWN ON THE VERY	09:46AM
21	LEFT-HAND COLUMN.	
22	AS YOU MOVE	
23	Q LET ME YES.	
24	THEN THERE ARE THREE COLUMNS TO THE	
25	RIGHT OF THAT?	09:46AM
26	A YES.	
27	Q AND THE FIRST ONE, I REALIZE IT'S A LITTLE	

HARD TO READ. IT SAYS: INTERIM OPERATIONAL SUPPORT.

1	DOES IT NOT?	
2	TRANSITIONAL SERVICES?	
3	A YES, IT DOES.	
4	Q WHAT DOES THAT COLUMN REPRESENT?	
5	A THAT WAS ONE TYPE OF SUPPORT THAT WE WERE	09:47A
6	OFFERING DOUBLELINE, WHICH WAS TO ACTUALLY RUN	
7	COMPONENTS OF THEIR BACK OFFICE OPERATION FOR A	
8	SPECIFIC DURATION.	
9	Q AND WE'LL TALK ABOUT THAT A LITTLE BIT MORE IN	
10	A BIT.	09:47A1
11	THE NEXT COLUMN IS ADVISORY SERVICES?	
12	A RIGHT.	
13	Q WHAT DOES THAT REPRESENT?	
14	A THIS WOULD BE THE DURATION OF TIME THAT WE	
15	WOULD ASSIST THEM BY PROVIDING ADVICE OR ACCESS TO OUR	09:47A
16	SUBJECT MATTER PROFESSIONALS IN EACH OF THE DISCIPLINES	
17	THAT THEY WOULD NEED TO BE OPERATIONALLY READY.	
18	Q THEN THE THIRD COLUMN SAYS: SET UP SERVICES.	
19	WHAT DOES THAT REPRESENT?	
20	A THAT REPRESENTS THE ALLOCATION OF TIME, AND	09:47A
21	TIME DURATION THAT WE WOULD DEVOTE TO HELPING THEM GET	
22	SET UP TO BE OPERATIONALLY INDEPENDENT FROM OAKTREE.	
23	Q WAS THERE A TIME BY WHICH YOU WERE AIMING TO	
24	HAVE DOUBLELINE OPERATIONAL?	
25	A WE WERE TARGETING THEM TO BE OPERATIONALLY	09:48A
26	READY FOR BUSINESS BY JANUARY 11.	
27	Q WHY SO SOON?	
28	A IT WAS A NO. 1 PRIORITY FOR OUR FIRM.	

1	AND THEY NEEDED TO BE ABLE TO INVEST, IN	
2	ORDER TO BE IN BUSINESS.	
3	Q FROM WORKING ON THE PROJECT, DID YOU LEARN	
4	WHETHER DOUBLELINE HAD ANY CLIENTS DURING ITS FIRST FEW	
5	WEEKS OF OPERATION?	09:48AM
6	A THEY DID NOT.	
7	Q AND DID THAT LACK OF CLIENTS MEAN THERE WAS	
8	MORE TIME TO HELP ACCOMPLISH THESE TASKS?	
9	A YES.	
10	Q WHY WAS THAT?	09:48AM
11	A BECAUSE THERE WERE NO CLIENTS TO SERVE. THERE	
12	WAS NO REAL INVESTING TO BE DONE, AS I UNDERSTAND IT.	
13	Q DID THAT ALSO REINFORCE THE NEED TO GET THE	
14	PROJECT DONE MORE QUICKLY?	
15	A IT DID.	09:48AM
16	Q NOW, DID YOU WE CAN TAKE THAT DOWN. THANK	
17	YOU.	
18	DID YOU PARTICIPATE IN ANY FACE-TO-FACE	
19	MEETINGS WITH ANYBODY FROM DOUBLELINE, AS PART OF THIS	
20	PROJECT?	09:49AM
21	A I DID.	
22	Q WHEN WAS THE FIRST SUCH MEETING?	
23	A ON WEDNESDAY OF THAT FIRST WEEK. SO I THINK	
24	THAT WOULD BE DECEMBER 9TH.	
25	Q SO THAT WOULD BE THE DAY AFTER YOUR INTERNAL	09:49AM
26	MEETING ON DECEMBER 8TH?	
27	A EXACTLY.	
28	Q DO YOU RECALL WHO ATTENDED THAT MEETING?	

A I REMEMBER PHIL BARACH. I BELIEVE CRIS SANTA	
ANA. I BELIEVE DAVID KENNEDY. AND I A RUSSIAN	
GENTLEMAN, BUT I CAN'T REMEMBER HIS NAME. VITALLY	
VITALLY.	
Q LEIBERMAN VITALLY?	09:49AM
A YES. THAT'S CORRECT.	
Q OAKTREE PEOPLE THERE?	
A YES, THERE WERE.	
Q WERE THE SAME PEOPLE AT YOUR INTERNAL MEETING	
THE DAY BEFORE?	09:49AM
A YES.	
Q WAS MR. GUNDLACH THERE?	
A NO.	
Q HAVE YOU EVER MET MR. GUNDLACH?	
A NO.	09:49AM
Q WHO DID MOST OF THE TALKING DURING THIS	
MEETING ON THE 9TH?	
A MR. BARACH.	
Q AND WITHOUT GETTING INTO THE SUBSTANCE OF WHAT	
WAS SAID, WHAT WAS THE GENERAL TOPIC OF THE MEETING?	09:50AM
A HE SPOKE ABOUT THEIR INVESTMENT PHILOSOPHY.	
Q AND DID YOU FIND THAT STATEMENTS ABOUT THE	
INVESTMENT PHILOSOPHY HELPFUL OR NOT HELPFUL TO YOU, IN	
THINKING ABOUT HOW TO SET UP DOUBLE LINE AS A BUSINESS?	
A THEY WERE NOT HELPFUL.	09:50AM
Q WHY IS THAT?	
A BECAUSE THERE ARE FROM THE PREVIOUS	
EXHIBIT, THERE'S A WHOLE SERIES OF ACTIVITIES THAT NEED	
	ANA. I BELIEVE DAVID KENNEDY. AND I A RUSSIAN GENTLEMAN, BUT I CAN'T REMEMBER HIS NAME. VITALLY VITALLY. Q LEIBERMAN VITALLY? A YES. THAT'S CORRECT. Q OAKTREE PEOPLE THERE? A YES, THERE WERE. Q WERE THE SAME PEOPLE AT YOUR INTERNAL MEETING THE DAY BEFORE? A YES. Q WAS MR. GUNDLACH THERE? A NO. Q HAVE YOU EVER MET MR. GUNDLACH? A NO. Q WHO DID MOST OF THE TALKING DURING THIS MEETING ON THE 9TH? A MR. BARACH. Q AND WITHOUT GETTING INTO THE SUBSTANCE OF WHAT WAS SAID, WHAT WAS THE GENERAL TOPIC OF THE MEETING? A HE SPOKE ABOUT THEIR INVESTMENT PHILOSOPHY. Q AND DID YOU FIND THAT STATEMENTS ABOUT THE INVESTMENT PHILOSOPHY HELPFUL OR NOT HELPFUL TO YOU, IN THINKING ABOUT HOW TO SET UP DOUBLE LINE AS A BUSINESS? A THEY WERE NOT HELPFUL. Q WHY IS THAT? A BECAUSE THERE ARE FROM THE PREVIOUS

1	TO TAKE PLACE THAT ARE NOT AT ALL INVESTMENT RELATED,	
2	IN ORDER TO GET THE BUSINESS UP AND RUNNING, IN ORDER	
3	TO GET THE SYSTEMS UP AND RUNNING.	
4	THE FACILITIES UP AND RUNNING. THE	
5	PEOPLE IN PLACE. THE OPERATIONS IN PLACE.	09:50AM
6	WHICH REALLY WASN'T RELEVANT. THE	
7	DISCUSSION WASN'T RELEVANT TO ANY OF THOSE SUBJECTS.	
8	Q DID ANYONE FROM DOUBLELINE SAY ANYTHING DURING	
9	THIS MEETING THAT INDICATED THAT THEY WERE CLOSE TO	
10	BEING READY TO OPEN FOR BUSINESS?	09:51AM
11	A NO. I DIDN'T HEAR ANYTHING OR SEE ANYTHING	
12	THAT WOULD INDICATE THERE WAS ANY PLANS PREPARED TO DO	
13	THE ACTIVITIES TO BE OPERATIONALLY READY.	
14	Q DID ANYONE FROM DOUBLELINE TALK ABOUT WHAT	
15	ANALYTICS SYSTEM THEY WANTED TO USE?	09:51AM
16	A NO, THEY DIDN'T.	
17	Q DID ANYONE TALK ABOUT WHAT TRADING SYSTEM THEY	
18	WANTED TO USE?	
19	A NO.	
20	Q DID ANYONE FROM DOUBLELINE TALK ABOUT WHAT	09:51AM
21	SYSTEM THEY WANTED TO USE TO KEEP TRACK OF CLIENT	
22	HOLDING?	
23	A NO.	
24	Q DID ANYONE FROM DOUBLELINE TALK ABOUT WHAT	
25	SYSTEMS THEY WANTED TO USE TO TRACK COMPLIANCE WITH	09:51AM
26	CLIENT INVESTMENT GUIDELINES?	
27	A NO.	

DID ANYONE TALK ABOUT ANY DATA OR SYSTEMS FROM

1	TCW THAT THEY WANTED TO USE?	
2	A NO.	
3	Q AND BASED ON THE MEETING, WHAT DID YOU THINK	
4	ABOUT HOW MUCH OR HOW LITTLE WORK YOUR TEAM HAD TO DO	
5	TO HELP GET DOUBLELINE OPERATIONAL?	09:51AM
6	A WE HAD A LOT OF WORK TO DO.	
7	Q NOW, AS PART OF THE DOUBLELINE START UP	
8	PROJECT, DID YOU ASSESS DOUBLELINE'S PROPOSED	
9	ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE?	
10	A YES, WE DID.	09:52AM
11	Q AND I USED ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE.	
12	CAN YOU JUST DESCRIBE FOR US, WHEN WE	
13	SAY ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE, WHAT WE ARE TALKING	
14	ABOUT?	
15	A WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT IS WHICH PEOPLE REPORT	09:52AM
16	TO WHICH PEOPLE, WHICH FUNCTIONS THEY'RE RESPONSIBLE	
17	FOR.	
18	AND THEN WITHIN THOSE FUNCTIONS, WHAT	
19	ARE THE ACTIVITIES THAT THOSE FUNCTIONS ARE ACCOUNTABLE	
20	FOR.	09:52AM
21	Q AND YOU SAID THAT YOU DID AN ASSESSMENT, AS A	
22	PART OF YOUR OVERSIGHT OF THIS PROJECT, OR YOUR TEAM	
23	DID, OF THAT ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE?	
24	A DOUBLELINE PROVIDED A VERY HIGH LEVEL	
25	ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE.	09:52AM
26	BUT AT THE TIME, IT HAD NO CLARITY ABOUT	
27	THE BRIGHT LINES BETWEEN GROUPS AND WHAT ACTIVITIES	

WOULD HAPPEN WITHIN EACH GROUP.

1	SO WE ASSISTED THEM IN THAT PROCESS OF	
2	BRINGING THAT TO CLARITY.	
3	Q WHY DID YOU NEED TO DO THAT?	
4	A BECAUSE EVERYONE NEEDED TO KNOW WHAT THEY'RE	
5	GOING TO DO, IN ORDER TO HAVE AN OPERATIONALLY READY	09:53AM
6	COMPANY. EACH OF THE DETAILED FUNCTIONS NEEDS TO TAKE	
7	PLACE, AND SOMEONE NEEDS TO BE ACCOUNTABLE AND	
8	RESPONSIBLE FOR IT.	
9	Q TAKE A LOOK AT EXHIBIT 5708. 5708.	
10	A YES.	09:53AM
11	Q DO YOU HAVE THAT BEFORE YOU, SIR?	
12	A I DO.	
13	Q CAN YOU IDENTIFY EXHIBIT 5708 FOR US?	
14	A WELL, THERE'S A COUPLE OF PIECES OF	
15	INFORMATION HERE.	09:53AM
16	AND I'LL GO ACTUALLY TO THE SECOND	
17	PAGE NO.	
18	Q LET ME STOP YOU, SIR.	
19	WHAT IS THIS DOCUMENT?	
20	A THIS IS HOW WE HELPED THEM DEVELOP THEIR	09:53AM
21	ORGANIZATION, EACH TOOL.	
22	Q WAS THIS PREPARED AT YOUR DIRECTION, AS PART	
23	OF THIS PROJECT?	
24	A PART OF IT, YES.	
25	MR. WEINGART: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OFFER 5708?	09:54AM
26	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	
27	MR. MADISON: NO OBJECTION.	
28	THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	

1	(EXHIBIT 5708 ADMITTED.)+	
2		
3	BY MR. WEINGART:	
4	Q IF WE COULD PUT THAT UP. IF WE COULD BLOW UP	
5	JUST THE TOP OF THE FIRST PAGE THERE.	09:54AM
6	WHAT WAS THIS DOCUMENT DESIGNED TO	
7	ACCOMPLISH?	
8	A THIS FIRST PAGE OUTLINED HOW WE WERE GOING TO	
9	ACHIEVE BUILDING OUT THAT ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE.	
10	IT INCLUDED THE OBJECTIVES, AND	09:54AM
11	IDENTIFIED THE DOUBLELINE REPRESENTATIVES AND THE	
12	OAKTREE REPRESENTATIVES, WE CALL THEM PAIRING MEETINGS,	
13	THAT WOULD MEET TO TALK ABOUT EACH OF THE DETAILED	
14	FUNCTIONS THAT OAKTREE KNEW NEEDED TO BE IN PLACE.	
15	SO THAT DOUBLELINE COULD DECIDE WHERE	09:54AM
16	WITHIN THEIR ORGANIZATION THOSE FUNCTIONS WOULD RESIDE.	
17	Q BY PAIRING, THAT WOULD MEAN, WHO WOULD BE	
18	RESPONSIBLE AT DOUBLELINE FOR IT, AND WHO AT OAKTREE	
19	WOULD HELP WITH THAT FUNCTION?	
20	A EXACTLY.	09:55AM
21	Q COULD WE GO TO THE SECOND PAGE?	
22	WHAT IS THE SECOND PAGE OF EXHIBIT 5708?	
23	A THIS WAS THE ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE	
24	DOUBLELINE PROPOSED, THAT I MENTIONED EARLIER AT A	
25	IT WAS A VERY HIGH LEVEL, AND DIDN'T PROVIDE CLARITY,	09:55AM
26	WHAT ACTIVITIES WOULD OCCUR WITHIN THESE FUNCTIONS.	
27	Q WHERE IS THIS, IN TERMS OF THE DOUBLELINE	

STARTUP PROJECT? IS THIS EARLY ON, LATER ON? WHERE

1	ARE WE?	
2	MR. MADISON: OBJECTION. VAGUE. FOUNDATION.	
3	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
4	YOU CAN CLARIFY THAT.	
5	THIS WAS CLARIFY THE SOURCE OF THIS.	09:55AM
6	BY MR. WEINGART:	
7	Q WHERE DID THIS WHERE DID THIS PARTICULAR	
8	PAGE COME FROM, SIR?	
9	A THIS PAGE CAME FROM DOUBLELINE. I MEAN	
10	YES, FROM DOUBLELINE. EXCUSE ME.	09:56AM
11	Q AND DO YOU REMEMBER TIMEWISE, IN TERMS OF THE	
12	DOUBLELINE STARTUP PROJECT, APPROXIMATELY WHEN YOU	
13	WOULD HAVE RECEIVED THIS EARLY DRAFT?	
14	A WITHIN THE FIRST FEW WEEKS.	
15	Q WHAT DID YOU THEN DO, LOOKING AT THIS	09:56AM
16	ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE, IN YOUR REVIEW AND ANALYSIS	
17	OF IT?	
18	A WE THEN DESIGNED THE APPROACH THAT WAS ON THE	
19	PREVIOUS PAGE TO HAVE PAIRING MEETINGS.	
20	AND WE SUBSEQUENTLY BEGAN EXECUTING	09:56AM
21	THOSE PAIRING MEETINGS, TO HELP DOUBLELINE IDENTIFY	
22	WHICH ACTIVITIES WOULD OCCUR WITHIN EACH FUNCTIONAL	
23	AREA.	
24	Q SO IF WE GO TO MAYBE GIVE AN EXAMPLE.	
25	CAN WE GO TO PAGE 5 OF EXHIBIT 5708.	09:56AM
26	THIS TALKS ABOUT MARKETING AND	
27	COMMUNICATION IN INVESTOR RELATIONS.	
28	WHAT DOES THIS REPRESENT?	

1	A THIS IS THE TOOL THAT WE USED TO DEVELOP AND	
2	PLACE EACH OF THOSE FUNCTIONS WITHIN THE ORGANIZATION.	
3	YOU CAN SEE AT THE TOP, DOUBLELINE HAD	
4	IDENTIFIED THAT LOU LUCIDO AND BARBARA VAN EVERY WOULD	
5	RUN THIS FUNCTION; BUT THE DETAILS OF WHAT THEY WOULD	09:57AM
6	ACTUALLY DO WAS NOT IDENTIFIED.	
7	SO OAKTREE PROVIDED THE CONTENT OF WHAT	
8	HAPPENS IN MARKETING AND VARIOUS FUNCTIONS, TO BEGIN TO	
9	FILL IN HOW THEY WOULD STRUCTURE THEIR ORGANIZATION.	
10	YOU CAN LOOK BELOW, WHERE YOU SEE	09:57AM
11	MARKETING SALES, CLIENT RELATIONSHIP, SALES	
12	RELATIONSHIP, THAT BEGINS TO DETAIL OUT WHAT TYPES OF	
13	THINGS THAT NEED TO TAKE PLACE IN THAT ORGANIZATION.	
14	AND BRING CLARITY TO WHAT KIND OF PERSON	
15	WOULD NEED TO BE RECRUITED AND PUT IN THE POSITION OF	09:57AM
16	MARKETING.	
17	Q I TAKE IT FOR OTHER FUNCTIONS WE WON'T GO	
18	THROUGH ALL OF THEM THERE ARE SIMILAR ANALYSES?	
19	A THERE WERE.	
20	Q COULD WE TURN TO PAGE 9 OF THE EXHIBIT.	09:57AM
21	THIS SAYS, ADDITIONAL FUNCTIONS DEFINED	
22	BY OAKTREE, NOT CAPTURED WITHIN D.L.'S PROPOSED	
23	ORGANIZED STRUCTURE. O.R.G.	
24	THE DATE OF THIS IS DECEMBER 15TH, 2009;	
25	IS THAT CORRECT?	09:58AM
26	A YES.	
27	Q WHAT DOES THIS PAGE REPRESENT?	
20		

WE HAD AN INVENTORY OF ALL THE ACTIVITIES THAT

1	NEEDED TO TAKE PLACE WITHIN THE, YOU KNOW, OPERATING	
2	INFRASTRUCTURE OF THE COMPANY.	
3	AS OF DECEMBER 15TH, THIS SET OF	
4	ACTIVITIES HAD NOT YET FOUND A HOME ON DOUBLELINE'S	
5	ORGANIZATIONAL CHARTS. SO IT WAS AN ITERATIVE PROCESS	09:58AM
6	OF MEETINGS, TO FIND THE SPOT FOR EACH FUNCTION THAT	
7	NEEDED TO TAKE PLACE.	
8	Q SO, WE'VE GOT, THERE'S VARIOUS TRADING AND	
9	TRADE SETTLEMENT FUNCTIONS. THAT'S THE FIRST COLUMN?	
10	A YES, IT IS.	09:58AM
11	Q AND AS OF THE 15TH, DOUBLELINE HAD NOT YET	
12	FIGURED OUT WHERE THOSE FUNCTIONS WOULD BE IN THEIR	
13	ORGANIZATION?	
14	A NO.	
15	Q I'M SORRY. IS THAT CORRECT OR NOT CORRECT?	09:59AM
16	A THAT'S CORRECT, THEY HAD NOT. THEY HAD NOT.	
17	Q IF WE GO THERE'S ALSO A COLUMN, TRADE	
18	PROCESSING.	
19	THAT WOULD BE THE SAME?	
20	A YES. ANYTHING ON THIS PAGE.	09:59AM
21	Q AND THEN THERE'S ALSO A COLUMN: DATA	
22	MANAGEMENT. RIGHT?	
23	A YES.	
24	Q NOW, YOU CAN TAKE THAT DOWN.	
25	I WANT TO GO BACK NOW TO THE	09:59AM
26	DECEMBER 9TH MEETING WITH MR. BARACH THAT WE WERE	
27	DISCUSSING.	
28	A YES.	

1	Q AFTER THAT MEETING, WHAT DID YOU AND YOUR TEAM	
2	DO NEXT?	
3	A WE SCHEDULED A SERIES OF MEETINGS FOR THE NEXT	
4	DAY BETWEEN DOUBLELINE AND OAKTREE INDIVIDUALS, AND	
5	THEIR AREA OF EXPERTISE, TO START TO DEVELOP WHAT WOULD	10:00AM
6	BE REQUIRED TO MAKE DOUBLELINE OPERATIONALLY READY.	
7	Q DID THE WORK THAT NEEDED TO BE DONE FALL INTO	
8	VARIOUS CATEGORIES?	
9	A IT DID.	
10	Q AND HAVE YOU HELPED PREPARE A CHART THAT HELPS	10:00AM
11	TO EXPLAIN THOSE CATEGORIES?	
12	A YES.	
13	MR. WEINGART: YOUR HONOR, IF WE COULD PUT UP	
14	EXHIBIT 6169. THIS IS JUST A DEMONSTRATIVE.	
15	I UNDERSTAND THERE'S NO OBJECTION.	10:00AM
16	THE COURT: IT'S BEEN MARKED FOR	
17	IDENTIFICATION.	
18	AND WE HAVE NO OBJECTION TO PUBLISHING	
19	IT TO THE JURY.	
20	MR. MADISON: THIS IS A DEMONSTRATIVE ONLY.	10:00AM
21	WE DISCUSSED THIS THIS MORNING, YOUR HONOR.	
22	THE COURT: YES. YOU MAY PUT IT UP.	
23	BY MR. WEINGART:	
24	Q SO COULD YOU EXPLAIN TO US WHAT EXHIBIT 6169	
25	REPRESENTS?	10:01AM
26	A THE TOP PORTION OF THE EXHIBIT REPRESENTS	
27	FUNCTIONS AT A VERY HIGH LEVEL THAT EXISTS IN AN	

INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT COMPANY.

1	AND THE BOTTOM SECTION REPRESENTS MORE	
2		
3	GENERALLY AND ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTIONS THAT WOULD ALSO	
	EXIST.	
4	Q AND SO THESE WERE THE VARIOUS CATEGORIES THAT	
5	YOU NEEDED TO ADDRESS, IN TERMS OF THE DOUBLELINE	10:01AM
6	STARTUP PROJECT, TO GET THE FIRM OPERATIONAL?	
7	A YES. ALL OF THESE NEED TO BE IN PLACE, TO BE	
8	OPERATIONALLY READY.	
9	Q DID OAKTREE PROVIDE VARYING LEVELS OF	
10	ASSISTANCE, DEPENDING ON THE PARTICULAR BOX?	10:01AM
11	A WE DID.	
12	Q DID YOU PROVIDE SOME ASSISTANCE WITH REGARD TO	
13	EACH BOX?	
14	A WE DID.	
15	Q SO, SALES LET ME JUST FIRST OF ALL, LET	10:02AM
16	ME ASK, CORE INVESTMENT FUNCTIONS. I THINK YOU SAID	
17	THOSE ARE FOR WHAT AN INVESTMENT MANAGER NEEDS TO HAVE	
18	FOR THE INVESTMENT RELATED FUNCTIONS?	
19	A CORRECT.	
20	Q AND THEN THE ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTIONS WOULD BE	10:02AM
21	THINGS THAT AN INVESTMENT MANAGER OF MOST OTHER	
22	BUSINESSES WOULD NEED, RIGHT?	
23	A EXACTLY.	
24	Q SO LET'S TALK FIRST ABOUT MAYBE THE	
25	ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTIONS, AND THEN WE CAN COME TO THE	10:02AM
26	CORE INVESTMENT FUNCTIONS.	
27	HUMAN RESOURCES IS WHAT?	

HOW THEY MANAGE PEOPLE.

1	Q AND WHAT ASSISTANCE DID OAKTREE PROVIDE WITH	
2	REGARD TO THAT FUNCTION?	
3	A WE PROVIDED A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF	
4	ASSISTANCE. WE SUPPORTED FILINGS AND H1 VISAS. WE DID	
5	THEIR PAYROLL, AND WE DID ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPED	10:02AM
6	WHAT WAS OUTLINED. AND WE I THINK HELPED THEM RECRUIT	
7	SOMEONE FOR HR.	
8	WE BASICALLY WERE OPERATING IN THAT	
9	FUNCTION FOR THEM FOR THE FIRST FEW WEEKS.	
10	RECRUITING WAS ANOTHER AREA WE SUPPORTED	10:03AM
11	THEM IN.	
12	Q INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, THAT WOULD BE SYSTEMS,	
13	COMPUTERS AND THE LIKE?	
14	MR. MADISON: OBJECTION. LEADING.	
15	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	10:03AM
16	BY MR. WEINGART:	
17	Q WHAT DOES INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY REPRESENT?	
18	A COMPUTER SYSTEMS AND COMPUTER APPLICATIONS.	
19	NETWORK. TELEPHONE.	
20	Q FACILITIES, WHAT DOES THAT REPRESENT?	10:03AM
21	A SPACE. THE WORK SPACE THAT WAS REQUIRED TO	
22	OPERATE IN.	
23	Q WHERE WAS DOUBLELINE AT THE BEGINNING OF THIS	
24	PROJECT? WHERE WAS IT LOCATED?	
25	A AT THE VERY BEGINNING, THEY WERE IN A	10:03AM
26	CONFERENCE ROOM ON THE 27TH FLOOR OF OUR BUILDING.	
27	AND THEN THEY MOVED TO, I BELIEVE IT WAS	
28	THE 19TH 18TH AND 19TH FLOOR, SAME BUILDING AS	

1	OAKTREE IN LOS ANGELES.	
2	Q WAS THERE A POINT OF TIME WHERE THEY HAD SPACE	
3	IN THE U.S. BANK TOWER?	
4	A OH, YEAH. YES. AT THE VERY, VERY BEGINNING.	
5	THAT'S TRUE.	10:04AM
6	Q AND THEN THEY WERE WORKING OUT OF CONFERENCE	
7	ROOMS IN YOUR OFFICES?	
8	A YES.	
9	Q AND YOU SAID THAT THEY MOVED INTO YOUR	
LO	BUILDING, OR THE BUILDING THAT OAKTREE WAS IN.	10:04A
L1	WAS THERE A REASON FOR THAT?	
L2	A YES. IT WAS HELPFUL THAT THEY MOVED INTO THAT	
L3	BUILDING, BECAUSE IT ALLOWED US TO SET UP THE	
L 4	NETWORKING COMPUTER SYSTEM MUCH MORE RAPIDLY, BECAUSE	
L5	WE PROVIDED THEIR DATA CENTER WITHIN OUR DATA CENTER.	10:04AN
L 6	ALLOWED US TO JUST DRILL FROM OUR DATA	
L7	CENTER, DOWN SEVERAL FLIGHTS, TO GET THE CABLING IN.	
L 8	THAT ACCELERATED GETTING THE SYSTEMS UP	
L 9	AND RUNNING.	
20	Q WAS THAT SOMETHING THAT WAS DISCUSSED AMONGST	10:04AM
21	YOU AND THE DOUBLELINE FOLKS, ABOUT HAVING THEM MOVE	
22	INTO THE BUILDING TO EXPEDITE THE PROCESS?	
23	A YES. THE TECHNOLOGY FOLKS CLARIFIED IT WAS	
24	IMPORTANT TO THEM TO GET THE BUSINESS UP AND RUNNING	
25	QUICKLY, THEN THEY WOULD NEED TO BE IN OUR BUILDING.	10:05A
26	Q LEGAL AND COMPLIANCE, WHAT'S THAT REPRESENT?	
27	A LEGAL SUPPORT. AND COMPLIANCE SUPPORT.	

WE DID VERY LITTLE LEGAL SUPPORT.

1	WE DID SOME COMPLIANCE SUPPORT.	
2	Q JUST AT A HIGH LEVEL, COMPLIANCE, IN THIS	
3	CONTEXT, MEANS WHAT?	
4	A COMPLIANCE CAN MEAN EITHER COMPLIANCE FOR THE	
5	ORGANIZATION; BUT IT ALSO MEANS PRE-TRADE, COMPLIANCE	10:05AM
6	WITH PRE-TRADE GUIDELINES. CLIENT ESTABLISHED	
7	PRE-TRADE AND POST TRADE COMPLIANCE RELEASE.	
8	Q IN OTHER WORDS, YOU'RE ADHERING TO THE	
9	DIRECTION A CLIENT GIVES?	
10	A EXACTLY.	10:05AM
11	Q ACCOUNTING AND FINANCE, I I ASSUME THAT'S	
12	ACCOUNTING AND FINANCE?	
13	A YES, THAT'S THAT CAN BE CORPORATE	
14	ACCOUNTING. AND CORPORATE FINANCE.	
15	Q SO, LET'S GO UP NOW TO CORE INVESTMENT	10:06AM
16	FUNCTIONS. SALES AND INVESTOR RELATIONS.	
17	WHAT DOES THAT REPRESENT?	
18	A THAT WOULD BE THE MARKETING FUNCTION AND THE	
19	CLIENTS SERVICING FUNCTION, THE ON-BOARDING FUNCTIONS	
20	OF CLIENTS.	10:06AM
21	Q WHEN YOU SAY ON-BOARDING, WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?	
22	A CLIENTS, WHEN THEY DECIDE THEY'RE GOING TO USE	
23	AN INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT FIRM, THEY NEED TO BE BROUGHT	
24	ONTO THE SYSTEMS, AND ESTABLISHED AS CLIENTS.	
25	SO THAT'S WHAT I MEAN WHEN I SAY	10:06AM
26	ON-BOARDING.	
27	Q AND OAKTREE PROVIDED ASSISTANCE WITH REGARD TO	

SALES AND INVESTOR RELATIONS?

1	A NOT NOT SUBSTANTIALLY. WE MAY HAVE	
2	DISCUSSED IT AT THE BEGINNING, BUT I DON'T BELIEVE WE	
3	REALLY DID A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF WORK IN THAT AREA.	
4	Q PRE-TRADE RESEARCH AND ANALYTICS, WHAT DOES	
5	THAT REPRESENT?	10:06AM
6	A THAT REPRESENTS THE PRIOR TO MAKING A	
7	TRADE, PORTFOLIO MANAGERS ANALYZE SECURITIES, MAKE	
8	SECURITY SELECTION DECISIONS, AND DO RESEARCH.	
9	WE PROVIDED ASSISTANCE IN THAT AREA. WE	
10	PROVIDED	10:07AM
11	MR. MADISON: OBJECTION. GOING BEYOND THE	
12	SCOPE OF THE QUESTION.	
13	MR. WEINGART: I'LL ASK THE NEXT QUESTION.	
14	Q WHAT ASSISTANCE DID YOU PROVIDE?	
15	A WE INTRODUCED DOUBLELINE TO RESEARCH VENDORS,	10:07AM
16	AS WELL AS TECHNOLOGY VENDORS, TO SUPPORT THEM IN THAT	
17	PROCESS.	
18	Q WE'LL TALK A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT THAT IN A	
19	BIT.	
20	TRADE PROCESSING, WHAT DOES THAT	10:07AM
21	REPRESENT?	
22	A ONCE THE INVESTMENT DECISION IS MADE, THE	
23	INVESTMENT IS INPUT INTO A SYSTEM.	
24	AND SEVERAL STEPS NEED TO TAKE PLACE.	
25	THERE'S REVIEWS, COMPLIANCE REVIEWS, YOU KNOW, ENSURING	10:07AM
26	IT MEETS WITH EXCUSE ME, WITH CLIENT GUIDELINES.	
27	AND THEN PROCESSING THE SETTLEMENT OF	
28	THAT SECURITY, AND THEN PUTTING THAT INFORMATION INTO	

1	THE ACCOUNTING SYSTEM, SO THAT YOU CAN MAINTAIN AND	
2	ACCOUNT FOR THAT SECURITY, AND REPORT ON IT OVER ITS	
3	LIFE.	
4	Q THAT WOULD INCLUDE REPORTING TO CLIENTS?	
5	A YES, BUT THAT WOULD HAPPEN IN PORTFOLIO AND	10:08AM
6	INVESTOR ACCOUNTS.	
7	Q LET'S TALK ABOUT THAT ONE.	
8	LET ME GO BACK, BEFORE WE DO THAT.	
9	AGAIN, A HIGH LEVEL, WHAT ASSISTANCE DID	
10	OAKTREE PROVIDE WITH REGARD TO TRADE PROCESSING?	10:08AM
11	A WE WERE VERY ACTIVE IN BOTH SETTING UP THAT	
12	PROCESS, AND BEGINNING STAGES, WE WERE ACTUALLY	
13	OPERATING THAT PROCESS FOR DOUBLELINE.	
14	Q PORTFOLIO AND INVESTOR ACCOUNTING, WHAT DOES	
15	THAT REPRESENT?	10:08AM
16	A THAT REPRESENTS THE ADMINISTRATION.	
17	SO ONCE THE SECURITY IS PURCHASED, IT	
18	GETS POSTED TO AN ACCOUNTING SYSTEM, WHERE IT WILL LIVE	
19	FOR ITS LIFE, UNTIL IT'S SOLD.	
20	YOU NEED TO ACCOUNT FOR ITS VALUE. AND	10:08AM
21	YOU NEED TO MAKE CHANGES, IF SOMETHING HAPPENS TO THAT	
22	SECURITY, IF THERE'S A CORPORATE ACTION.	
23	ALL OF THAT TAKES PLACE IN THAT	
24	FUNCTION.	
25	Q I THINK YOU SAID EARLIER, THAT WOULD INCLUDE	10:08AM
26	ALSO REPORTING TO INVESTORS?	
27	A WE HAVE REPORTING ON A SEPARATE	

I KEEP SKIPPING AHEAD.

1	WHAT IS REPORTING AND PRICING?	
2	A REPORTING WOULD INVOLVE REPORTING TO CLIENTS,	
3	STATEMENTS.	
4	PRICING IS, AGAIN, PRICING THOSE	
5	SECURITIES THROUGHOUT THEIR LIFE.	10:09AM
6	Q AND I GUESS, BOTH FOR PURPOSES OF ANALYZING	
7	THE PORTFOLIO AND ALSO REPORTING TO CLIENTS WHAT THE	
8	VALUE OF HOLDINGS ARE?	
9	A YES.	
10	Q YOU CAN TAKE THAT DOWN.	10:09AM
11	HOW MANY PEOPLE FROM OAKTREE WORKED ON	
12	THIS PROJECT?	
13	A THERE WERE AT LEAST 57.	
14	Q DO THOSE PEOPLE FALL ROUGHLY INTO CERTAIN	
15	CATEGORIES?	10:09AM
16	A THEY DO.	
17	Q DID YOU HELP PREPARE A SUMMARY THAT EXPLAINED	
18	THOSE CATEGORIES?	
19	A I DID.	
20	MR. WEINGART: YOUR HONOR, IF I COULD DISPLAY	10:09AM
21	6170.	
22	AGAIN, THIS IS JUST FOR DEMONSTRATIVE	
23	PURPOSES.	
24	THE COURT: IT WILL BE MARKED FOR	
25	IDENTIFICATION ONLY, AND BE SHOWN TO THE JURY AS A	10:10AM
26	DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT AT THIS POINT OF THIS WITNESS'S	
27	TESTIMONY.	
28	(EXHIBIT 6170 MARKED FOR I.D.) +	

1	MR. WEINGART: CAN WE DISPLAY THAT?	
2	Q CAN YOU EXPLAIN TO US WHAT EXHIBIT 6170 IS?	
3	A THIS REPRESENTS THE INDIVIDUALS AND THE	
4	DEPARTMENTS THEY CAME FROM THAT WORKED ON THE PROJECT	
5	ON THE LEFT SIDE OF THE CHART.	10:10AM
6	I MENTIONED EARLIER, IT TAKES A LOT	
7	DIFFERENT FUNCTIONS TO SET UP AN INVESTMENT COMPANY.	
8	THAT'S A LIST OF THE DEPARTMENTS THAT	
9	WERE INVOLVED IN SETTING UP DOUBLELINE.	
10	Q NOW, THERE'S ALSO A COLUMN: TOTAL YEARS OF	10:10AM
11	EXPERIENCE.	
12	WHAT DOES THAT REPRESENT?	
13	A WELL, THE TOTAL YEARS OF EXPERIENCE REPRESENTS	
14	THE CUMULATIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE THAT THE IS	
15	REPRESENTED BY THE HEAD COUNT IN THE PREVIOUS COLUMN.	10:10AM
16	SO THAT, FOR EXAMPLE, IN THE ACCOUNTS	
17	PAYABLE, WE HAD ONE INDIVIDUAL SUPPORTING WORKING ON	
18	THE INITIATIVE, AND SHE HAD 13 YEARS OF EXPERIENCE FOR	
19	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	
20	Q IN ACCOUNTS PAYABLE?	10:11AM
21	A YEAH, IN ACCOUNTS PAYABLE.	
22	IN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, WE HAD 14	
23	INDIVIDUALS WORKING ON THE PROJECT. THEIR CUMULATIVE	
24	YEARS OF EXPERIENCE IN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY WAS 239	
25	YEARS.	10:11AM
26	Q LET ME PAUSE ON INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY THERE.	
27	WAS THERE SOMEBODY WHO LED THAT	
28	PARTICULAR EFFORT?	

1	A YES. OUR CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER, BOB	
2	FRANK.	
3	Q WHERE DID MR. FRANK WORK BEFORE HE CAME TO	
4	OAKTREE?	
5	A HE WORKED AT COUNTRYWIDE CAPITAL MARKETS.	10:11AM
6	Q WHAT IS COUNTRYWIDE CAPITAL MARKETS OR WHAT	
7	WAS IT?	
8	A IT WAS A DIVISION OF COUNTRYWIDE THAT WAS	
9	INVOLVED IN MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES.	
10	Q TRADING MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES?	10:11AM
11	A YES, I BELIEVE SO.	
12	Q THERE WERE 13 OTHER PEOPLE THAT WERE WORKING	
13	WITH HIM?	
14	A YES, THERE WERE.	
15	Q NOW, THERE IS, GOING DOWN A LITTLE BIT,	10:11AM
16	PROJECT MANAGEMENT.	
17	I ASSUME ONE OF THOSE EIGHT IS YOU?	
18	A I DON'T THINK SO.	
19	I'M NOT SURE THAT I WAS I'M I'M	
20	NOT SURE I WAS ON THAT CHART, TO BE HONEST WITH YOU.	10:12AM
21	Q WE MIGHT HAVE 58?	
22	A WE MIGHT HAVE 58.	
23	BUT I DON'T I WAS NOT ON THE PROJECT	
24	MANAGEMENT, BECAUSE I KNOW WE HAD EIGHT PROJECT	
25	MANAGERS.	10:12AM
26	WE PULLED MOST OF THE PROJECT MANAGERS	
27	OFF OF THEIR PROJECTS AND PUT THEM ON THE DOUBLELINE	
28	PROJECT FULL TIME.	

1	Q PROJECT MANAGEMENT, WHAT WERE THOSE EIGHT	
2	PEOPLE DOING?	
3	A THEY DID THE THEY WORKED FULL TIME ON THE	
4	INITIATIVE TO COORDINATE ALL OF THE OTHER EXPERTS, IN	
5	TERMS OF WHAT ACTIVITIES AND DELIVERABLES WERE	10:12AM
6	NECESSARY IN ORDER TO GET DOUBLELINE OPERATIONALLY	
7	READY.	
8	SO THEY WOULD DEVELOP PROJECT PLANS THAT	
9	HAD A SERIES OF TASKS. THEY WOULD MONITOR ALL THOSE	
10	TASKS GOT COMPLETED. IF THERE WERE ISSUES, THEY WOULD	10:12AM
11	REPORT ON ISSUES.	
12	THEY WOULD DEVELOP STATUS REPORTS. THEY	
13	WOULD FACILITATE CROSS-FUNCTIONAL MEETINGS BETWEEN TEAM	
14	MEMBERS, IN ORDER TO ORCHESTRATE AND ORGANIZE WHAT	
15	NEEDED TO BE DONE.	10:13AM
16	BECAUSE ALL THESE DEPARTMENTS NEEDED TO	
17	WORK TOGETHER TO ACCOMPLISH THE END RESULTS.	
18	Q THEIR JOB WAS TO MAKE SURE EVERYBODY WAS	
19	ROWING IN THE SAME DIRECTION?	
20	A EXACTLY.	10:13AM
21	Q AND WAS GOING TO REACH THE FINISH LINE IN	
22	TIME?	
23	A CORRECT.	
24	Q HOW MANY PROJECT MANAGERS DOES OAKTREE HAVE?	
25	A I WOULD SAY AT THAT TIME, MAYBE TEN OR 12.	10:13AM
26	Q SO THIS IS EIGHT OUT OF THAT TEN OR 12?	
27	A YES, IT IS.	
28	Q AND DID THOSE EIGHT THAT ARE LISTED HERE, DID	

THEY CONTINUE TO HAVE OTHER PROJECTS THAT THEY WERE 1 2 WORKING ON DURING THIS FIRST MONTH OR SO OF THE 3 DOUBLELINE STARTUP PROJECT? 4 NO, NOT DURING THE DURATION OF THE PROJECT 5 WITH DOUBLELINE. 10:13AM 6 O WHY WAS THAT? 7 THEY WERE DEDICATED. WE PULLED THEM OFF OF THE PROJECTS THEY WERE WORKING ON. WE PAUSED THOSE 8 9 PROJECTS, AND PUT THEM ON THE DOUBLELINE PROJECT FULL 10 TIME. 10:14AM 11 O WHAT ABOUT ALL THESE OTHER PEOPLE; I ASSUME 12 THEY HAD OTHER JOB RESPONSIBILITIES? 1.3 A THEY DID. THERE WERE AT LEAST TWO OTHER FULL-TIME 14 15 PEOPLE. ONE WAS IN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, AND ONE WAS 10:14AM 16 IN TRADE PROCESSING AND SETTLEMENT. 17 THE OTHERS WERE ALL WORKING PART TIME, 18 BUT IT WAS A VERY SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF THEIR OVERALL 19 TIME. 20 WERE THEY GIVEN ANY DIRECTION AS TO THE 10:14AM 21 PRIORITY OF THE DOUBLELINE STARTUP PROJECT VERSUS THEIR 22 OTHER WORK? A YES. IT WAS CLEARLY THE NO. 1 PRIORITY OF THE 23 24 FIRM AT THAT TIME, NO. 1 PRIORITY OF THE BACK OFFICE OF 25 THE FIRM. 10:14AM 26 TO GET DOUBLELINE UP AND RUNNING? 0 2.7 YES. Α

Q HAD OAKTREE EVER TAKEN EIGHT PROJECT MANAGERS,

1	PUT THEM ON ONE PROJECT LIKE THIS?	
2	A NO, NOT BEFORE OR SINCE.	
3	Q YOU SAID THEY WERE TAKEN OFF TO DO THAT FOR	
4	ABOUT A MONTH.	
5	DID I HAVE THAT RIGHT?	10:15AM
6	A YES, ABOUT APPROXIMATELY A MONTH.	
7	Q AND WAS THE WORK ALL COMPLETE IN A MONTH?	
8	A NO.	
9	Q SO WHO TOOK OVER THE PROJECT MANAGEMENT AT	
10	THAT POINT?	10:15AM
11	A WE WERE BEGINNING, AROUND JANUARY 11TH, OR	
12	THAT NEXT WEEK, TO TRANSITION THE PROJECT MANAGEMENT	
13	RESPONSIBILITIES TO KPMG.	
14	Q WHY DID YOU DO THAT?	
15	A WE KNEW THAT WE WANTED WE DIDN'T WANT TO	10:15AM
16	STOP ALL OUR PROJECTS FOR THE DURATION TO GET	
17	EVERYTHING FINISHED FOR DOUBLELINE.	
18	SO WE HAD AGREED WITH THEM IN ADVANCE,	
19	THAT WE WOULD TRANSITION THAT WORK, SO WE COULD GET OUR	
20	PROJECT MANAGERS BACK TO OUR INITIATIVES AT OAKTREE.	10:15AM
21	THE COURT: OKAY.	
22	MR. DEITCH, MR. WEINGART, LET'S TAKE OUR	
23	FIRST MORNING RECESS.	
24	WE'LL TAKE 20 MINUTES, LADIES AND	
25	GENTLEMEN.	10:16AM
26		
27	///	
28		

10:16AM

```
(PROCEEDINGS HELD OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.) +
 1
 2
 3
               THE COURT: WE'RE OUT OF THE PRESENCE.
 4
                     ANY MATTERS ANYBODY WISHES TO ADDRESS?
 5
              MR. QUINN: NO.
 6
              THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
 7
 8
                            (RECESS.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

1	CASE NUMBER:	BC429385	
2	CASE NAME:	TRUST COMPANY OF THE WEST VS.	
3		JEFFREY GUNDLACH, ET AL	
4	LOS ANGELES,	WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 31, 2011	
5	CALIFORNIA		
6	DEPARTMENT 322	HON. CARL J. WEST, JUDGE	
7	APPEARANCES:	(AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)	
8	REPORTER:	WENDY OILLATAGUERRE, CSR #10978	
9	TIME:	10:38 A.M.	
10			
11			
12	(AT 1	0:38 A.M. THE JURY ENTERED	
13	THE	COURTROOM, AND THE FOLLOWING	
14	PROC	EEDINGS WERE HELD:)	
15			10:39AM
16	THE COURT:	OKAY. IN THE TCW MATTER, ALL	
17	MEMBERS OF OUR JURY	ARE PRESENT, AS ARE COUNSEL.	
18	MR. W	EINGART, YOU MAY CONTINUE YOUR	
19	EXAMINATION OF MR. D	EITCH.	
20	MR. WEINGAR	T: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	10:40AM
21			
22			
23	DIRE	CT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)	
24			
25	BY MR. WEINGART:		
26		N WE BROKE, WE WERE TALKING ABOUT	
27		NT BEING TRANSFERRED TO KPMG?	
28			

AND HOW DID THAT COME ABOUT? 1 Q. 2 A. WE DEVELOPED A REOUEST FOR A PROPOSAL EARLY ON 3 IN THE PROJECT, AND SUBMITTED THAT TO SEVERAL FIRMS, 4 AND THEN SELECTED KPMG TO PERFORM THAT WORK. 5 Ο. SO I TAKE IT THERE WAS STILL WORK TO BE DONE, 10:40AM AS OF EARLY JANUARY, IN TERMS OF THE STARTUP PROJECT? 6 7 Α. YES. THE JANUARY 11TH DATE WAS DESIGNED TO BE 8 OPERATIONALLY READY TO BE ABLE TO ON-BOARD CLIENTS, 9 TRADE SECURITIES, ACCOUNT FOR THOSE SECURITIES. 10 IT WAS NOT NECESSARILY THE FINAL STATE 10:40AM 11 OF WHERE DOUBLELINE WOULD END UP, OPERATIONALLY. 12 Ο. BUT ENOUGH TO BE READY TO START, WITH MORE 13 STILL TO DO? YES. 14 Α. Q. NOW, IN ADDITION TO THESE, I GUESS, 58 PEOPLE, 15 10:41AM 16 IF WE INCLUDE YOURSELF, WERE THERE OTHERS WHO HELPED 17 WITH THE DOUBLELINE STARTUP PROJECT? 18 YES. WE UTILIZED VENDORS. Α. 19 O. AND I TAKE IT THERE WERE ALSO SOME PEOPLE FROM 20 DOUBLELINE WHO HELPED, AS WELL? 10:41AM 21 Α. YES, THERE WERE. 22 NOW, WITH REGARD TO VENDORS, WHAT'S YOUR --WHEN YOU SAY VENDOR, WHAT DO YOU MEAN? 23 24 THERE WOULD BE SOFTWARE VENDORS, FACILITIES Α. 25 VENDORS, ACCOUNTING ORGANIZATIONS THAT CAN PERFORM THE 10:41AM 26 FUND ACCOUNTING AND PORTFOLIO ACCOUNTING ACTIVITIES.

ARE USED IN THE BUSINESS, TO EXECUTE AND TO IMPLEMENT.

THERE'S A WHOLE RANGE OF VENDORS THAT

27

SO PEOPLE WHO PROVIDE GOODS AND SERVICES? 1 Q. 2 Α. EXACTLY. 3 AND ABOUT HOW MANY VENDORS CONTRIBUTED TO THE Q. 4 DOUBLELINE STARTUP PROJECT? 5 Α. I WOULD SAY ABOUT 50. 10:42AM 6 O. AND WAS THERE A -- AT LEAST ONE PERSON FROM 7 EACH OF THOSE VENDORS WHO WAS HELPING? 8 Α. YEAH. THERE HAD TO BE A MINIMUM OF ONE, AND 9 FOR SOME, MORE. Q. AND FOR OTHERS? 10 10:42AM A. AND FOR OTHERS, THERE WOULD BE MORE THAN --11 12 THE COURT: SIR, COULD YOU MOVE THE MICROPHONE 13 UP SO EVERYBODY CAN HEAR YOU. THE WITNESS: RIGHT. 14 15 Q. MR. WEINGART: THANK YOU. ACTUALLY, I HAD A 10:42AM 16 HARD TIME HEARING YOU, TOO. 17 A. FOR EACH VENDOR, THERE WAS A MINIMUM OF ONE 18 PERSON; AND FOR SOME VENDORS, THERE WOULD HAVE BEEN 19 MORE THAN ONE PERSON SUPPORTING THE INITIATIVE. SO THAT TAKES US, THEN, UP TO OVER A HUNDRED 20 10:42AM 21 PEOPLE THAT WERE HELPING TO HELP GET THE BUSINESS UP 22 AND RUNNING? 23 A. CORRECT. 24 NOW, STICKING WITH VENDORS, GIVEN THAT OAKTREE 25 HAS ABOUT 80 BILLION IN ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT, DOES

10:43AM

A. YES. WE HAVE RELATIONSHIPS -- AND FOR MANY OF

IT ALREADY HAVE RELATIONSHIPS WITH VENDORS WHO SUPPLY

SYSTEMS AND DATA FOR INVESTMENT MANAGERS?

26

27

THOSE VENDORS, WE ACTUALLY HAVE A INDIVIDUAL 1 2 RELATIONSHIP MANAGER THAT WE WORK WITH, BECAUSE OF OUR SCALE, OUR SIZE. 3 4 AND I ASSUME YOU HAD THOSE RELATIONSHIPS, IN Q. 5 DECEMBER OF 2009? 10:43AM 6 A. WE DID. AND DID THOSE RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE VENDORS 7 Ο. 8 HELP WITH REGARD TO THE DOUBLELINE STARTUP PROJECT? 9 ABSOLUTELY. Α. 10 THE VENDOR FOR --10:43AM 11 O. CAN YOU EXPLAIN TO US WHY. 12 Α. THE VENDORS WERE RESPONDING TO -- WELL, WE'RE 13 80 BILLION NOW, WE WERE 65 BILLION, I BELIEVE, AT THAT 14 TIME. 15 AND THEY WERE RESPONDING TO A 10:43AM 16 65-BILLION-DOLLAR FIRM, NOT A START UP COMPANY; ONE 17 THAT THEY HAD BOTH RELATIONSHIPS WITH AND SIGNIFICANT 18 REVENUES FROM. 19 SO THEIR RESPONSIVENESS WOULD BE VERY 20 DIFFERENT FOR A STARTUP ORGANIZATION THAN FOR OAKTREE. 10:43AM 21 O. DID THAT HELP EXPEDITE CONTRACTING WITH THE 22 VENDORS? 23 A. YEAH, WHAT HELPED EXPEDITE CONTRACTING IS THAT 24 WE HAD CONTRACTS WITH THESE VENDORS. 25 AND AS A RESULT, THE INTERACTION WITH 10:44AM 26 THE VENDOR WAS MORE SIMPLISTIC THAN IT WOULD BE IF WE

WERE A START UP COMPANY. WE COULD REQUEST THAT THE

VENDOR PROVIDE THE SAME TERMS AND PRICING, ET CETERA,

27

FOR DOUBLELINE; AND SO WE EXPEDITED THE CONTRACTING 1 2 PROCESS SIGNIFICANTLY BY USING OUR VENDORS. O. DID THAT AVOID HAVING TO INDIVIDUALLY 3 4 NEGOTIATE PRICES WITH THE WHOLE HOST OF VENDORS? 5 A. IN MOST CIRCUMSTANCES, IT ELIMINATED 10:44AM 6 NEGOTIATING PRICING, AND ALSO MANY LEGAL TERMS THAT ARE 7 IMBEDDED IN THE CONTRACTS. 8 Q. DID THAT SAVE TIME? 9 A. SIGNIFICANTLY. 10 Q. NOW, HAVE YOU PREPARED A CHART THAT HELPS 10:44AM 11 EXPLAIN THE VARIOUS VENDORS WHO ASSISTED? 12 YES, I HAVE. Α. 13 MR. WEINGART: AND, YOUR HONOR, IF WE COULD 14 PUT UP 6171. 15 THAT AGAIN IS JUST FOR DEMONSTRATIVE 10:45AM 16 PURPOSES. 17 THE COURT: IT WILL BE MARKED FOR 18 IDENTIFICATION ONLY. 19 AND IT MAY BE PUBLISHED TO THE JURY. 20 10:45AM 21 (MARKED FOR ID: EXHIBIT 6171.) 22 O. BY MR. WEINGART: CAN YOU DESCRIBE FOR US WHAT 23 24 6171 IS? 25 A. THIS IS A LIST OF VENDORS THAT WE USED FOR THE 10:45AM 26 DOUBLELINE IMPLEMENTATION. 27 O. AND YOU'VE GOT THEM IN FOUR DIFFERENT

CATEGORIES; IS THAT CORRECT?

1 A. CORRECT. Q. THE FIRST CATEGORY, TECHNOLOGY, WHAT DOES THAT 2 3 REPRESENT? 4 A. THESE REPRESENT, GENERALLY, SOFTWARE 5 PROVIDERS -- SYSTEMS PROVIDERS. IN SOME INSTANCES, 10:45AM 6 THERE ARE ALSO NETWORK AND TELEPHONE PROVIDERS, THAT 7 WERE USED TO IMPLEMENT THE DOUBLELINE BACK OFFICE. 8 O. SO WE'VE HEARD REFERENCE IN THE TRIAL --9 THERE'S A BLOOMBERG LISTED AND SOME VARIOUS BLOOMBERG 10 PRODUCTS. 10:46AM 11 JUST GENERALLY SPEAKING, WHAT PURPOSES 12 WOULD THOSE SERVE? 13 A. BLOOMBERG IS USED IN SEVERAL INSTANCES. IN 14 THIS, THE SOFTWARE THEY PROVIDED -- BECAUSE THEY ALSO 15 PROVIDE TERMINALS WITH THE DATA, WHICH IS IN THE NEXT 10:46AM 16 COLUMN. 17 BUT THE SOFTWARE THAT WE'RE REFERRING TO 18 IN THE FIRST COLUMN WAS THE TRADE ORDER MANAGEMENT 19 SYSTEM. 20 I EXPLAINED THAT TRADE HAD TO BE 10:46AM 21 IMPLEMENTED THROUGH THE SYSTEM AND FLOW THROUGH 22 COMPLIANCE RULES, TO BE APPROVED, AND THEN TRANSMITTED 23 TO THE ACCOUNTING SYSTEM. 24 BLOOMBERG ALSO PROVIDED THE COMPLIANCE

MANAGER. WE SET UP THOSE COMPLIANCE RULES IN

10:46AM

ACCORDANCE WITH THE CLIENT GUIDELINES. AND A GATEWAY

27 PRODUCT THAT ALLOWED YOU TO EXTRACT INFORMATION OUT OF

28 THEIR OTHER PRODUCT.

25

WHY WOULD YOU NEED TO EXTRACT THE INFORMATION 1 Q. 2 OUT OF THEIR PRODUCT? 3 MOST INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT FIRMS WILL RETAIN THE DATA OF THEIR TRADES AND POSITIONS IN HOUSE, SO 4 5 THAT THEY CAN DO ANALYTICS WITH THOSE; SO THE GATEWAY 10:47AM PRODUCT IS A WAY TO GET THAT FOR ANALYTICS. 6 7 IT'S ALSO A WAY TO GET AT THAT DATA TO 8 DO RECONCILEMENTS BETWEEN SYSTEMS, TO MAKE SURE THAT 9 ALL OF YOUR ACCOUNTING IS BALANCED. 10 WHEN YOU SAY RECONCILING BETWEEN SYSTEMS, WHAT Ο. 10:47AM 11 WOULD BE THE SYSTEMS YOU WOULD BE RECONCILING IN 12 BETWEEN? 13 A. AN EXAMPLE WOULD BE THE NEXT CATEGORY, BANK OF NEW YORK, MELLON, WHICH DID THE ACCOUNTING FOR THE --14 15 THEY DID THE ACCOUNTING AND ASSET MAINTENANCE AND MANY 10:47AM 16 FUNCTIONS FOR DOUBLELINE. 17 AND YOU WOULD WANT THE ACCOUNTING SYSTEM 18 TO HAVE THE SAME INFORMATION THAT YOU HAD IN THE TRADE 19 ORDER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM. 20 SO WOULD -- AND THAT -- IT'S BNY MELLON. IS 10:47AM Ο. 21 THAT SOMETIMES CALLED BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON, OR BNY? 22 Α. EXACTLY. 23 O. AND THE BNY SYSTEMS, OR TECHNOLOGY, EXCUSE ME, 24 THAT'S REFERENCED THERE, WHAT ARE THOSE? 25 THE IAS IS THE FUND ACCOUNTING SYSTEM. THAT'S Α. 10:48AM 26 WHERE THE SECURITY LIVES DURING ITS LIFE. THAT'S WHERE

PRICING IS DONE. IF THERE ARE CORPORATE ACTIONS, THEY

27

28

ARE APPLIED.

1	STATEMENTS WOULD BE GENERATED FROM A	
2	SYSTEM SUCH AS THAT, THE WORKBENCH.	
3	Q. WHEN YOU SAY STATEMENTS, THAT WOULD BE CLIENT	
4	STATEMENTS OR PORTFOLIO STATEMENTS?	
5	A. YES.	10:48AM
6	Q. VIEWS OF HOLDINGS, AND THE LIKE?	
7	A. CORRECT.	
8	Q. AND WHAT'S WORKBENCH?	
9	A. WORKBENCH IS A PRODUCT THAT BANK OF NEW YORK	
10	MELLON OFFERS TO HAVE ACCESS TO THAT INFORMATION, NOT	10:48AM
11	TOO DISSIMILAR FROM THE GATEWAY PRODUCT.	
12	Q. WHAT IS O-M-G-E-O?	
13	A. OMGEO?	
14	Q. OMGEO.	
15	A. IS USED FOR TRADE COMMUNICATION AND	10:48AM
16	SETTLEMENT.	
17	Q. WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT?	
18	A. THE TRADE PROCESS, THE SECURITY WILL FLOW	
19	THROUGH A SERIES OF APPROVALS AND COMPLIANCE CHECKS	
20	THAT WE DISCUSSED.	10:49AM
21	AND THEN THERE'S A JUNCTURE AT WHICH THE	
22	SECURITY NEEDS TO BE SETTLED. MONEY AND THE SECURITY	
23	NEED TO EXCHANGE HANDS, AND THEY NEED TO EXCHANGE HANDS	
24	AT THE SAME TIME. THAT'S THE SETTLEMENT PROCESS.	
25	OMGEO SUPPORTS AND ASSISTS IN THAT	10:49AM
26	PROCESS.	
27	Q. THE NEXT ONE IS VICHARA.	

WHAT IS THAT?

1	Α.	VICHARA IS A THIRD PARTY SOFTWARE PROVIDER OF	
2	MORTGAGE	ANALYTICS.	
3	Q.	DOES OAKTREE USE VICHARA?	
4	А.	YES, WE DO.	
5	Q.	WHAT DOES OAKTREE USE VICHARA FOR?	10:49AM
6	А.	WE'VE USED THEM ON TWO OCCASIONS.	
7		ONE WAS IN 2009. I BELIEVE IT WAS 2009.	
8		MR. MADISON: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR. I	
9	OBJECT.	FOUNDATION.	
10		AND I COULD TAKE THE WITNESS ON VOIR	10:49AM
11	DIRE FROM	THE DEPOSITION, IF IT WOULD BE HELPFUL,	
12	BUT		
13		THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I'LL SUSTAIN THE	
14	OBJECTION	J.	
15		YOU CAN LAY THE FOUNDATION, OR WE CAN	10:49AM
16	MOVE ON.		
17	Q.	BY MR. WEINGART: ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH ANY	
18	PURPOSES	TO WHICH OAKTREE HAS USED VICHARA?	
19	А.	YES, TO BUILD OUT OUR MORTGAGE	
20		MR. MADISON: EXCUSE ME. SAME OBJECTION, YOUR	10:50AM
21	HONOR.		
22		THE COURT: LET ME SEE DO YOU HAVE A	
23	REFERENCE	Σ?	
24		MR. MADISON: I DO, YOUR HONOR.	
25		THE COURT: COULDN'T THIS BE COVERED IN	10:50AM
26	CROSS-EXA	AMINATION?	
27		MR. MADISON: WELL, I OBJECT TO THE WITNESS	

28

TESTIFYING.

```
THE COURT: GIVE ME THE DEPOSITION, AND LET ME
 1
 2
    LOOK AT IT.
 3
              MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR.
 4
              THE COURT: DO I HAVE THE WITNESS' DEPOSITION
 5
    UP HERE?
                                                                 10:50AM
              MR. WEINGART: THIS IS CROSS-EXAMINATION. I
 6
 7
     DON'T WANT TO ARGUE IT IN FRONT OF THE JURY.
 8
              THE COURT: WELL, I DON'T WANT YOU TO, EITHER.
 9
              COME ON UP, MR. WEINGART. LET'S FIGURE THIS
10
    OUT.
                                                                 10:50AM
11
12
                    (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE
13
                    HELD AT SIDEBAR OUTSIDE THE
14
                    PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)
15
                                                                 10:50AM
16
              THE COURT: WHAT PAGE?
              MR. MADISON: IT'S GOING TO BE PAGE 38, YOUR
17
18
    HONOR.
19
              THE COURT: I MAY BE MISSING THE SIGNIFICANCE
20
    OF THIS, MR. MADISON; BUT IT'S A FOUNDATIONAL,
                                                                 10:51AM
21
     BASICALLY BACKGROUND INFORMATION QUESTION. AND THIS IS
22
     JUST --
23
              MR. MADISON: IT'S OBSTREPEROUS.
24
              THE COURT: LET ME LOOK AT IT. 38, LINE WHAT?
25
              MR. MADISON: WELL, IT STARTS ON THIS POINT
                                                                 10:51AM
26
    THAT HE'S MAKING NOW, WITH WHAT OAKTREE USED FITCH FOR
27
    LINE 22.
28
              THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET ME LOOK AT IT.
```

1	WELL, JUST BECAUSE IT SAYS HE WASN'T INVOLVED	
2	IN IT DOESN'T MEAN HE WAS WASN'T INVOLVED IN IT.	
3	MR. MADISON: WELL, THIS IS THE PERSON MOST	
4	KNOWLEDGEABLE THAT WHO DESIGNATED OAKTREE.	
5	THE COURT: NOT THE DEFENDANT?	10:51AM
6	MR. MADISON: I'M SORRY. YOU ARE RIGHT ON ALL	
7	THESE TOPICS. SO I SAID, WHO SHOULD I TALK TO IF	
8	WANTED TO KNOW ABOUT THESE DISCUSSIONS, AND HE REFERRED	
9	ME TO SOMEBODY ELSE.	
10	THE COURT: ALL IT SAYS IS, HE DIDN'T	10:52AM
11	WASN'T RESPONSIBLE FOR ACQUISITION. THAT DOESN'T MEAN	
12	HE DOESN'T KNOW WHETHER THEY USED THEM.	
13	MR. MADISON: BUT THE NEXT QUESTION IS, WHO	
14	WOULD I TALK TO, IF I WANTED TO KNOW ABOUT THAT.	
15	AND HE SAYS, SOMEONE ELSE.	10:52AM
16	THE COURT: SO GO TALK TO BOB FRANK.	
17	MR. MADISON: FINE. BUT THEY CAN'T ELICIT	
18	TESTIMONY.	
19	THE COURT: YOU ARE MISSING THE POINT.	
20	THE QUESTION IS NOT WHETHER YOU WERE	10:52AM
21	INVOLVED IN THE ACQUISITION OF VICHARA. THE QUESTION	
22	IS, DID OAKTREE USE IT. AND THEY ARE TWO DIFFERENT	
23	THINGS.	
24	MR. MADISON: I'LL DO IT ON CROSS, YOUR HONOR.	
25		10:52AM
26	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS	
27	WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN	
28	THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)	

1	Q. BY MR. WEINGART: WHAT DOES OAKTREE USE	
2	VICHARA FOR?	
3	A. FOR OUR MORTGAGE ANALYTICS, FOR OUR COMMERCIAL	
4	MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES.	
5	IT'S A SISTER SECURITY TO THE	10:53AM
6	RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES AT DOUBLELINE	
7	TRADES.	
8	Q. DIFFERENCE BEING WHAT, COMMERCIAL MORTGAGES,	
9	AS OPPOSED TO RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGES?	
10	A. CORRECT.	10:53AM
11	Q. AND DO YOU KNOW HOW OAKTREE LEARNED ABOUT	
12	VICHARA?	
13	A. FROM OUR CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER, BOB FRANK.	
14	Q. HAD HE USED VICHARA BEFORE?	
15	A. YES, HE HAD.	10:53AM
16	Q. AT COUNTRYWIDE?	
17	A. YES, COUNTRYWIDE.	
18	Q. THEN IT LOOKS LIKE WE'VE GOT NETWORKS,	
19	TELEPHONES, IT INFRASTRUCTURE.	
20	I ASSUME THOSE ARE SELF-EXPLANATORY?	10:53AM
21	A. YES.	
22	Q. THE NEXT COLUMN IS RESEARCH AND DATA	
23	PROVIDERS.	
24	CAN YOU DESCRIBE FOR US WHO THOSE	
25	VENDORS ARE?	10:53AM
26	A. THESE ARE A SERIES OF VENDORS THAT PROVIDE	
27	INFORMATION. SOME OF IT CAN BE INFORMATION ABOUT	

SECURITIES. OTHERS CAN BE THE SECURITIES RATINGS; FOR

EXAMPLE, SMP AND MOODY'S AND FITCH AT THE BOTTOM; SO 1 2 THEY ARE INFORMATION PROVIDERS. Q. THE NEXT IS SPECIALTY PROVIDERS. 3 CAN YOU DESCRIBE FOR US WHAT THAT IS? 4 5 Α. THAT WAS FOR ANYONE ELSE THAT THEY DIDN'T HAVE 10:54AM 6 A CATEGORY FOR. 7 SO BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON, THEY NOT 8 ONLY PROVIDED THE SYSTEM, AS I MENTIONED, IN THE FIRST 9 COLUMN, THEY ACTUALLY PROVIDED THE OUTSOURCED PEOPLE TO 10 DO THE ADMINISTRATION FUND ACCOUNTING, PRICING, 10:54AM 11 CORPORATE ACTIONS, FOR DOUBLELINE. SO IT'S A 12 DIFFERENT --13 Q. TO BUILD OUT THE SYSTEMS, YOU MEAN? A. NO, TO PERFORM THE FUNCTIONS. 14 15 PAYROLL VENDORS AND RECRUITING. 10:54AM 16 SO THERE WERE HR VENDORS, THERE WAS AP 17 AND ACCOUNTING WOULD PROVIDE RESOURCES TO KIND OF LIKE 18 A TEMPORARY CFO, TO OPERATE THE BUSINESS AND DEVELOP

10:55AM

10:55AM

THE GENERAL LEDGER ACCOUNT.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHAT IS GIPS PERFORMANCE METRICS CONSULTING? Ο.

THE -- THERE ARE RULES ABOUT HOW REPORTING PERFORMANCE IS GOVERNED. AND THAT'S HOW -- WHAT GIPS IS USED FOR, I ACTUALLY DON'T KNOW WHAT THE ABBREVIATION IS FOR, BUT WE INTRODUCED THEM TO THE PERSON WHO WE GO TO WHEN WE NEED ASSISTANCE IN THAT AREA, TO DO PERFORMANCE REPORTING AND TRACK RECORD PERFORMING.

Q. AND THAT WOULD BE PERFORMANCE, IN TERMS OF HOW

WELL A PORTFOLIO IS DOING? 1 2 Α. CORRECT. 3 AND THEN FACILITIES, I ASSUME THAT THOSE WOULD Q. 4 BE THINGS RELATED TO THE OFFICE SPACE IN THE BUILD OUT? 5 Α. YES. 10:55AM 6 Q. NOW, HOW DID THE VENDOR SELECTION PROCESS 7 WORK? DID DOUBLELINE SUGGEST THESE NAMES? DID YOU 8 SUGGEST THESE NAMES? HOW DID THEY GET INTRODUCED INTO 9 THE MIX? 10 A. WE WENT THROUGH A PROCESS TO START, TO 10:56AM 11 UNDERSTAND WHAT THE NEEDS WERE OF THE ORGANIZATION, IN 12 TERMS OF WHAT THEY NEEDED FROM THE BACK OFFICE 13 OPERATION SYSTEMS. 14 SO THERE WAS DIALOGUE BETWEEN OUR 15 DEPARTMENTS THAT WE SHARED BEFORE, AND THEIR 10:56AM 16 INDIVIDUALS, AND CAME TO A CONCLUSION ON WHO WOULD BE 17 THE RIGHT VENDORS TO ENGAGE WITH. 18 AND THEN WE MADE THESE INTRODUCTIONS, 19 THROUGH OUR RELATIONSHIP MANAGERS. 20 NOW, I WOULD ASSUME IN SOME INSTANCES, THERE'S 10:56AM 21 MORE THAN ONE VENDOR THAT PROVIDES THESE SERVICES? 22 Α. YES. 23 O. WAS THERE SOME KIND OF GUIDING PRINCIPLE IN 24 TERMS OF WHICH VENDOR TO SELECT, IN THOSE

10:56AM

A. WELL, WE GENERALLY WOULD USE VENDORS THAT WE
HAD RELATIONSHIPS WITH, IN ORDER TO EXPEDITE THE
PROCESS.

25

CIRCUMSTANCES?

BUT WE ALSO NEEDED VENDORS THAT COULD 1 2 SUPPORT MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES; SO IT WAS REALLY 3 TWOFOLD. AND WHEN YOU SAY VENDORS YOU HAD RELATIONSHIPS 4 Q. 5 WITH, THAT WAS, AGAIN, PART OF TRYING TO EXPEDITE THE 10:57AM 6 PROCESS? 7 A. CORRECT. 8 WE CAN TAKE THAT DOWN. THANK YOU. Q. 9 NOW, AS OAKTREE WORKED ON THIS STARTUP PROJECT, WERE THERE VARIOUS DOCUMENTS GENERATED TO KEEP 10 10:57AM 11 TRACK OF WHAT THE VARIOUS TEAMS WERE DOING? 12 Α. YES, THERE WERE. 13 AND I'M NOT GOING TO GO THROUGH ALL OF THOSE. Ο. BUT JUST TO PICK A COUPLE OF EXAMPLES, 14 15 COULD YOU TAKE A LOOK AT EXHIBIT 5573? 10:57AM DO YOU HAVE THAT BEFORE YOU, SIR? 16 17 T DO. Α. Q. CAN YOU IDENTIFY 5573? 18 19 A. THIS WAS A DOCUMENT WE USED ON THURSDAY, THE 20 WEEK THAT WE MET -- THE WEEK WE MET DOUBLELINE; SO THAT 10:58AM 21 WOULD BE THE 10TH. 22 AND IT WAS A WAY THAT WE WERE ABLE TO 23 ORGANIZE ALL OF THE FUNCTIONS THAT NEEDED TO BE SET UP 24 AND IN PLACE TO RUN AN OPERATION, RUN AN INVESTMENT 25 COMPANY, AND WHAT TYPE OF SUPPORT OAKTREE WOULD 10:58AM 26 PROVIDE. 27 OUR INITIAL THOUGHTS, SORT OF LIKE A

WHITEBOARD OF OUR INITIAL THOUGHTS, WHAT KIND OF

SUPPORT MIGHT WE PROVIDE TO DOUBLELINE. 1 2 O. SO A MEMORIALIZATION OF YOUR BRAINSTORMING 3 SESSIONS? 4 Α. CORRECT. 5 MR. WEINGART: I WOULD OFFER 5573. 10:58AM THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION? 6 7 MR. MADISON: NO OBJECTION. 8 THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED. 9 10 (EXHIBIT 5573 ADMITTED.) 10:58AM 11 12 BY MR. WEINGART: AND EXCUSE ME, SO DOUBLELINE Ο. 13 LAUNCHED CURRENT THINKING AT THE TOP THERE, CORRECT? A. YES. 14 15 Q. AND THEN THERE ARE CATEGORIES, AND I'M NOT 10:59AM 16 GOING TO GO THROUGH THEM ALL, BUT WE SEE I.T. HERE, AND 17 THERE ARE OTHER CATEGORIES AKIN TO THE CHART THAT WE 18 SAW WITH THE CORE INVESTMENT FUNCTIONS, THROUGH THE 19 REST OF THIS DOCUMENT? 20 Α. RIGHT. THERE ARE 15 PAGES OF ACTIVITIES THAT 10:59AM 21 NEED TO BE DONE, INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT COMPANY. AND WE 22 DOCUMENTED THOSE, AND BEGAN DISCUSSING WITH DOUBLELINE 23 ON THE 10TH, WHAT OAKTREE MIGHT HELP WITH, AND HOW WE 24 MIGHT SUPPORT THEM IN THOSE THINGS THAT NEEDED TO GET 25 DONE. 10:59AM 26 NOW, AFTER YOU KIND OF WHITEBOARDED WHAT IT Ο. 27 WAS YOU WERE GOING TO ACCOMPLISH, DID YOU THEN HAVE A

2.8

KICKOFF MEETING?

1	A. WE DID.	
2	Q. AND WHAT'S THE POINT OF HAVING A KICKOFF	
3	MEETING?	
4	A. TO ORGANIZE ALL OF THE RESOURCES AROUND THE	
5	VARIOUS WORK STREAMS THAT WE WANTED TO OCCUR,	10:59AM
6	CONCURRENTLY AND PARALLEL, TO GET THE BACK OFFICE UP	
7	AND RUNNING.	
8	Q. AND COULD YOU TAKE A LOOK AT EXHIBIT 5580.	
9	CAN YOU IDENTIFY 5580 FOR US?	
10	A. THESE ARE THE MATERIALS THAT WERE USED IN THE	11:00AM
11	KICKOFF MEETING.	
12	MR. WEINGART: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OFFER 5580.	
13	MR. MADISON: NO OBJECTION.	
14	THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	
15		11:00AM
16	(EXHIBIT 5580 ADMITTED.)	
17		
18	Q. BY MR. WEINGART: SO WAS THIS SOME KIND OF A	
19	HANDOUT THAT WAS USED DURING THE MEETING?	
20	A. YES.	11:00AM
21	Q. WHO ATTENDED THIS MEETING?	
22	A. WE HAD PROBABLY ABOUT I WOULD BE GUESSING,	
23	BUT IT COULD BE 20, MAYBE 30 PEOPLE FROM OAKTREE AND A	
24	HANDFUL OF PEOPLE FROM DOUBLELINE.	
25	Q. WHERE DID THE MEETING TAKE PLACE, DO YOU	11:00AM
26	REMEMBER?	
27	A. ON THE 27TH FLOOR OF OUR OFFICE BUILDING.	

Q. AT THE OAKTREE OFFICES?

AT THE OAKTREE OFFICES. 1 Α. 2 O. AND COULD WE GO TO PAGE 3 OF THE DOCUMENT. THIS SAYS, DOUBLELINE IMPLEMENTATION 3 4 PROGRAM STRUCTURE OVERVIEW. 5 WHAT DOES THIS REPRESENT? 11:01AM 6 A. I MENTIONED THERE WERE WORK STREAMS, SO THIS 7 REPRESENTS THE STRUCTURE OF THE PROJECT. THERE WERE 8 SIX WORK STREAMS, THE FIRST THERE BEING FACILITIES AND 9 INSURANCE --Q. COULD WE BLOW UP THAT SECOND ROW OF BOXES SO 10 11:01AM 11 IT'S A LITTLE EASIER TO SEE? 12 WE USED THIS TO OUTLINE THE KEY MEMBERS OF THE 13 STREAM, AND THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES, IN TERMS OF LEADING 14 THE WORK STREAMS OR PARTICIPATING IN THE WORK STREAMS, 15 OR BEING THE PROJECT MANAGER, AS I MENTIONED EARLIER, 11:01AM 16 FOR THAT WORK STREAM. 17 O. SO PROGRAM OFFICE THAT'S THERE AT THE TOP, AND 18 IT LOOKS LIKE IT SAYS OCM SPONSOR. 19 THAT'S OAKTREE CAPITAL MANAGEMENT? 20 Α. YES. 11:02AM 21 Q. AND YOUR NAME? 22 Α. CORRECT. 23 O. AND WHO'S THE DOUBLELINE SPONSOR? 24 A. CRIS SANTA ANA. 25 AND THEN AS WE GO ACROSS, FOR FACILITIES 11:02AM 26 INSURANCE, SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY, OPERATIONAL READINESS, 27 AND SO FORTH, THERE'S AN OAKTREE PERSON AND A 28 DOUBLELINE PERSON?

A. YES. IN SOME CASES, THERE WOULD BE TWO 1 2 CO-SPONSORS. 3 NOW, THIS MEETING TOOK PLACE THE DAY AFTER Q. 4 YOUR, I THINK YOU CALLED IT WHITEBOARDING SESSION? 5 Α. CORRECT. 11:02AM O. SO WHAT WORK WENT INTO THE WHITEBOARDING 6 7 SESSION TO GET IT PREPARED FOR THE KICKOFF MEETING? 8 WE PREPARED THIS DOCUMENT AND HAD THE MEETING. Α. 9 I'M NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND THE OUESTION. 10 WELL, DID YOU TAKE THAT -- THE WHITEBOARDING Ο. 11:02AM 11 IDEAS AND DIVIDE THEM INTO WORK STREAMS, TO THEN GET 12 READY TO --13 BASED ON WHAT NEEDED TO BE ACCOMPLISHED, THIS Α. 14 SEEMED LIKE THE MOST LOGICAL ORGANIZATION OF PEOPLE 15 THAT WOULD WORK IN UNITS TO GET THE THINGS ACCOMPLISHED 11:03AM 16 THAT WE NEEDED TO BUILD OUT. 17 WE WERE STILL FORMING IDEAS AROUND WHAT 18 NEEDED TO TAKE PLACE. WE WERE ONLY, AT THIS JUNCTURE, 19 ABOUT TWO DAYS IN. SO -- BUT THESE GROUPS WOULD THEN 20 FIGURE THAT OUT. THEY ALSO HAD TO WORK ACROSS GROUPS. 11:03AM 21 Ο. AND PERSISTENCE IN TECHNOLOGY, IT LOOKS LIKE 22 THEY'D BE -- THE OAKTREE LEAD, THAT WAS MR. FRANK 23 AGAIN? 24 A. CORRECT. 25 AND AS THE PROJECT WENT ALONG, WERE THERE Q. 11:03AM

A. YES, THERE WAS.

UPDATES TO SEE HOW WELL YOU WERE DOING AGAINST GOALS?

Q. OKAY. I'M NOT GOING TO GO THROUGH ALL OF

26

27

		I
1	THESE, BUT LET'S JUST TAKE A LOOK AT EXHIBIT 5620.	
2	DO YOU HAVE THAT?	
3	A. I DO.	
4	Q. AND WHAT IS EXHIBIT 5620?	
5	A. THIS WOULD HAVE REPRESENTED THE STATUS REPORT	11:04AM
6	AS OF DECEMBER 18TH.	
7	MR. WEINGART: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OFFER 5620.	
8	MR. MADISON: NO OBJECTION.	
9	THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	
10		11:04AM
11	(EXHIBIT 5620 ADMITTED.)	
12		
13	MR. WEINGART: CAN WE PUT THAT UP?	
14	Q. IT SAYS DOUBLELINE LLC LAUNCH PROJECT STATUS	
15	UPDATE AS OF DECEMBER 18TH, 2009?	11:04AM
16	A. CORRECT.	
17	Q. AND THEN IF WE COULD GO MAYBE TO PAGE 3 OF THE	
18	DOCUMENT, TO GIVE THE JURY AN IDEA.	
19	THIS WOULD BE THE SYSTEMS AND TECHNOLOGY	
20	WORK STREAM?	11:04AM
21	A. CORRECT.	
22	Q. IN TERMS OF WHAT HAD BEEN ACCOMPLISHED, WHAT	
23	THE TIMELINE WAS, WHAT THE NEXT STEPS WERE, AND SO	
24	FORTH?	
25	A. YES.	11:05AM
26	EACH OF THOSE SIX WORK STREAMS PRESENTED	
27	THIS STATUS REPORT AT THOSE STATUS MEETINGS.	
2.0		İ

BENEATH THESE STATUS REPORTS, THEY HAD

DETAILED PROJECT PLANS, WITH A LOT OF THE DETAILS THAT 1 2 NEEDED TO BE ACCOMPLISHED. 3 BUT ON THE TOP LEFT HERE, THEY WOULD 4 PRESENT THE ACCOMPLISHMENTS, WHAT'S BEEN DONE. THEY 5 WOULD PRESENT, AT THE BOTTOM, WHAT DID THEY THINK THE 11:05AM 6 KEY RISKS WERE TO GETTING ACCOMPLISHED, OR MEETING OUR 7 TIMELINE. 8 AND TO THE RIGHT WHAT THE KEY MILESTONES 9 WERE, AND THE TIMELINE. AND TO THE BOTTOM, WHAT THEIR KEY NEXT 10 11:05AM 11 STEPS WERE. AND THESE WERE SOMEHOW COORDINATED BETWEEN 12 GROUPS. 13 AND THERE'S A PROJECT STATUS UP THERE IN 14 THE TOP RIGHT, GREEN. 15 Q. I ASSUME THE GREEN, YELLOW, RED, DEPENDING ON 11:05AM 16 WHETHER YOU ARE ON TRACK OR NOT? 17 THE LEGEND'S AT THE BOTTOM; SO GREEN IS IN Α. 18 PROCESS AND ON PLAN. 19 Q. AND YELLOW WOULD BE IF YOU WERE BEHIND, FOR 20 EXAMPLE? 11:06AM 21 A. YEAH, IF YOU WERE BEHIND -- IF YOU WERE BEHIND

11:06AM

26 Α. CORRECT.

YOU TALKED ABOUT BEFORE?

Ο.

PLAN.

22

23

24

25

27 Q. AND IN ADDITION TO THIS, HOW OFTEN WOULD YOU DO THESE SORTS OF STATUS UPDATES? 2.8

AND THE COMPLETION DATE THAT'S TARGETED UP

THERE, THAT'S THE JANUARY 11TH -- THE TOP RIGHT, THAT

1	A. GENERALLY, WEEKLY.	
2	Q. SO THERE'S A SIMILAR DOCUMENT LIKE THIS SORT	
3	OF WEEKLY, AS WE GO ALONG?	
4	A. CORRECT.	
5	Q. NOW, AS PART OF ITS WORK, DID OAKTREE HELP	11:06AM
6	DESIGN DOUBLELINE'S INVESTMENT OPERATIONS PROCESS?	
7	A. WE HELPED THEM IDENTIFY THE PROCESSES AND THE	
8	SYSTEMS ASSOCIATED WITH RUNNING THEIR INVESTMENT	
9	OPERATIONS.	
10	Q. COULD YOU TAKE A LOOK AT EXHIBIT 632.	11:06AM
11	AND CAN YOU IDENTIFY EXHIBIT 632 FOR US?	
12	A. YES. THIS IS A DIAGRAM OF THE SYSTEM AND DATA	
13	FLOWS, AS WE WERE THINKING ABOUT THEM ON DECEMBER 16TH.	
14	AND I IDENTIFIED THE PROCESS AND THE PEOPLE INVOLVED TO	
15	PROCESS SECURITY TO TRADE AND PROCESS SECURITY AFTER	11:07AM
16	THE INVESTMENT DECISION WAS MADE.	
17	MR. WEINGART: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OFFER 632.	
18	MR. MADISON: NO OBJECTION.	
19	THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	
20		11:07AM
21	(EXHIBIT 632 ADMITTED.)	
22		
23	Q. BY MR. WEINGART: SO BEFORE WE AND WE'RE	
24	NOT GOING TO TALK ABOUT ALL THESE BOXES, I GUARANTEE.	
25	JUST GENERALLY, THIS HAS A START AND AN	11:07AM
26	END.	
27	WHAT'S STARTING, AND WHAT'S ENDED?	
	<u> </u>	

A. ONCE THE INVESTMENT DECISION IS MADE, THE

SECURITY THAT'S GOING TO BE PURCHASED NEEDS TO BE SET 1 2 UP ON THE TRADING SYSTEM; THAT'S THE START, AND THEN 3 ENTERED INTO THAT TRADING SYSTEM. 4 THE END WOULD BE WHEN ALL OF THE 5 ACCOUNTING AND STATEMENTS WERE COMPLETED. 11:08AM 6 Q. NOW, IF WE COULD BLOW UP KIND OF THE BOTTOM 7 LEFT THERE. 8 THERE'S A LIST OF DATA PROVIDERS COMING 9 IN. 10 ARE THOSE OF THE DATA PROVIDERS THAT WE 11:08AM 11 TALKED ABOUT WITH REGARD TO THE VENDOR CHART THAT WE 12 SAW EARLIER? 13 A. YES, IT IS. IT'S THE SAME CONCEPT. 14 I DON'T KNOW IF THEY ARE ALL THE SAME 15 LIST. 11:08AM 16 Ο. AND THEN THERE'S AN ARROW THAT GOES INTO A BOX 17 THAT SAYS DATA PROVIDERS INTEX LOAN PERFORMANCE, ET AL. 18 DO YOU SEE THAT? 19 A. CORRECT. 20 AND THEN THE ARROW THAT GOES UP, THAT SAYS 0. 11:08AM 21 VICHARA DATABASE? 22 Α. YES. O. AND THAT'S THE VICHARA PRODUCT THAT YOU WERE 23 24 SPEAKING ABOUT EARLIER? 25 CORRECT. Α. 11:09AM

Q. AND WHAT'S A CUSIP?

26

27

28

Q. AND WHAT DOES IT SAY, IN BETWEEN THERE?

A. IT SAYS LOAN LEVEL PERFORMANCE DATA BY CUSIP.

11:10AM

A. IT'S A SECURITY IDENTIFIER. 1 2 O. EACH INDIVIDUAL SECURITY HAS ITS OWN SORT OF 3 IDENTIFIER, OR CUSIP? 4 A. CORRECT. 5 Q. AND THEN IT -- OUT OF VICHARA, THERE ARE THESE 11:09AM BOXES. 6 7 IS THAT REPORTING OF THE DATA OUT OF 8 VICHARA? 9 YEAH. THAT WOULD REPRESENT REPORTING. Α. Q. AND THEN IF WE COULD SORT OF COME BACK UP TO 10 11:09AM 11 SEE THE WHOLE DOCUMENT. 12 AND THEN ACTUALLY, CAN WE GO UP A LITTLE 13 BIT, DENNIS. THEN IT'S A LITTLE HARD TO READ HERE, 14 15 BUT THAT WOULD BE SOME OF THE BLOOMBERG, BNY AND OTHER 11:10AM 16 SYSTEMS THAT YOU TALKED ABOUT IN INTEGRATING THEM 17 TOGETHER. 18 A. CORRECT. THIS IS AN EARLIER VERSION. 19 THERE'S LATER VERSIONS. WE DID SEVERAL 20 OF THESE THAT SORT OF START TO CRYSTALIZE EXACTLY WHICH 11:10AM 21 VENDORS WERE IN WHICH SPOTS. 22 Q. SO TO FOLLOW UP ON A QUESTION I WAS GOING TO 23 ASK. 24 WAS THIS, ONCE IT WAS SORT OF THOUGHT OF 25 AS DECEMBER 16TH, WAS THIS SET IN STONE, AS TO HOW THE

28

A. ON THE 16TH?

Q. YES.

26

27

TRADING PROCESS WAS GOING TO WORK?

1	Α.	NO.	
2		WE EVOLVED THIS PROCESS SEVERAL MORE	
3	TIMES BE	FORE WE GOT TO MORE CLARITY ON EXACTLY HOW IT	
4	WAS GOIN	G TO WORK.	
5	Q.	AS A RESULT OF WORKING WITH THE VARIOUS	11:10AM
6	VENDORS,	AND OTHER SYSTEMS, AND THE LIKE?	
7	Α.	CORRECT.	
8	Q.	LET ME ASK YOU TO LOOK AT EXHIBIT 798A.	
9		CAN YOU IDENTIFY 798A FOR US?	
10	Α.	THIS IS ALSO A SIMILAR DIAGRAM, BUT FROM THE	11:11AM
11	THINKING	AS OF FEBRUARY 3RD.	
12		MR. WEINGART: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OFFER 798A.	
13		MR. MADISON: NO OBJECTION.	
14		THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	
15			11:11AM
16		(EXHIBIT 798A ADMITTED.)	
17			
18	Q.	BY MR. WEINGART: ACTUALLY, IT LOOKS LIKE IT'S	
19	GROWN SON	MEWHAT IN COMPLEXITY?	
20	Α.	I GUESS. DEPENDS UPON WHO YOU ASK.	11:11AM
21	Q.	AND AGAIN, DOWN IN THE BOTTOM LEFT THERE,	
22	WE'VE GO	T THE DATA PROVIDERS AND ANALYTIC TOOLS FROM	
23	VENDORS;	IS THAT RIGHT?	
24	Α.	CORRECT.	
25	Q.	THEN FLOWING INTO THIS VICHARA DATABASE.	11:11AM
26	Α.	CORRECT.	
27	Q.	AND THEN INTO SOMETHING CALLED A DOUBLELINE	
28	ANALYTICS	S?	

1	A. YES.	
2	Q. AND OUT OF THAT, INTO VARIOUS REPORTS THAT	
3	COULD BE GENERATED OUT OF IT?	
4	A. CORRECT.	
5	Q. AND THEN I ASSUME THERE WERE ALSO SOME CHANGES	11:12AM
6	THAT WERE MADE IN BLOOMBERG AND BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON	
7	AND THE LIKE, INTERACTIONS?	
8	A. THERE MAY BE.	
9	Q. LET ME ASK YOU TO LOOK REAL QUICKLY AT 5747.	
10	CAN YOU IDENTIFY THAT FOR US?	11:12AM
11	A. THAT'S THE PROJECT STATUS REPORT AS OF	
12	JANUARY 12TH, 2010.	
13	MR. WEINGART: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OFFER 5747.	
14	MR. MADISON: NO OBJECTION.	
15	THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	11:13AM
16		
17	(EXHIBIT 5747 ADMITTED.)	
18		
19	Q. BY MR. WEINGART: SO THIS IS LIKE ONE OF THE	
20	DOCUMENTS WE WERE TALKING ABOUT BEFORE; ONLY NOW, WE'RE	11:13AM
21	A LITTLE FURTHER DOWN THE ROAD?	
22	A. CORRECT.	
23	Q. SO LET'S GO TO PAGE 4, AND CLIENT ON-BOARDING.	
24	IN THE TOP THERE, IT'S ONE CLIENT, FULLY	
25	OPERATIONAL AND ACTIVELY TRADING, AND THEN FOUR	11:13AM
26	ADDITIONAL CLIENTS IN PROCESS?	
27	A. CORRECT.	

Q. AND THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN THE STATUS AS OF

1	JANUARY 12TH?	
2	A. CORRECT.	
3	Q. THERE'S BEEN TESTIMONY IN THE CASE THAT IT	
4	TYPICALLY TAKES SIX TO NINE MONTHS TO START AN	
5	INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT BUSINESS.	11:14AM
6	DID IT TAKE OAKTREE THAT LONG TO HELP	
7	DOUBLELINE GET STARTED?	
8	A. NO, IT DID NOT.	
9	Q. HOW LONG DID IT TAKE?	
10	A. ABOUT FOUR OR FIVE WEEKS.	11:14AM
11	Q. AND WHY WERE YOU ABLE TO GET IT DONE SO	
12	QUICKLY?	
13	A. WE PUT A LOT OF PEOPLE ON IT FROM OAKTREE, A	
14	LOT OF VENDORS. WE HAD PROJECT MANAGERS, SO THAT WE	
15	COULD WORK SIX DIFFERENT WORK STREAMS SIMULTANEOUSLY.	11:14AM
16	THE PEOPLE THAT WE PUT ON THE PROJECT HAD YEARS OF	
17	EXPERIENCE, SO THEY KNEW WHAT NEEDED TO BE BUILT.	
18	AND THE CONTRACTING WAS RAPIDLY	
19	ACCELERATED, BECAUSE THE TERMS THAT WERE ACCEPTABLE TO	
20	OAKTREE WERE ACCEPTABLE TO DOUBLELINE.	11:14AM
21	SO THERE WAS LIMITED, IF ANY,	
22	NEGOTIATION REQUIRED TO SOLIDIFY THOSE TERMS.	
23	ADDITIONALLY	
24	Q. SORRY. WAS THERE SOMETHING ELSE YOU	
25	A. FOR SOME FUNCTIONS, WE PROVIDED THE PEOPLE TO	11:14AM
26	ACTUALLY PERFORM THE FUNCTIONS, SO THEY DID	
27	DOUBLELINE DIDN'T ACTUALLY HAVE TO HAVE IT UP AND	

RUNNING. WE DID THE WORK.

1	Q. NOW, IN ALL OF YOUR WORK ON THE DOUBLELINE	
2	PROJECT, DID YOU EVER COME INTO CONTACT WITH ANY TCW	
3	INFORMATION?	
4	A. NO, I DID NOT.	
5	Q. DID YOU EVER LEARN FROM ANYBODY ELSE AT	11:15AM
6	OAKTREE THAT THEY HAD COME ACROSS ANY OTHER PIECE OF	
7	INFORMATION?	
8	MR. MADISON: OBJECTION. HEARSAY.	
9	THE COURT: IT'S A YES OR NO ANSWER.	
10	OVERRULED.	11:15AM
11	THE WITNESS: CAN YOU ASK THE QUESTION AGAIN?	
12	Q. BY MR. WEINGART: DID YOU EVER LEARN FROM	
13	ANYBODY ELSE AT OAKTREE WHETHER THEY HAD COME ACROSS	
14	ANY TCW INFORMATION?	
15	A. NO.	11:15AM
16	Q. DID YOU USE ANY TCW INFORMATION TO HELP SET UP	
17	DOUBLELINE SYSTEMS?	
18	A. NO.	
19	Q. ARE YOU PROUD OF THE WORK THAT YOU DID ON THIS	
20	PROJECT?	11:15AM
21	A. I AM.	
22	MR. WEINGART: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS,	
23	YOUR HONOR.	
24	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
25	CROSS-EXAMINATION?	11:15AM
26	MR. MADISON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
27		

		ì
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION	ì
2		İ
3	BY MR. MADISON:	İ
4	Q. MORNING, MR. DEITCH.	ì
5	A. MORNING.	11:15AM
6	MR. MADISON: MAY MS. CONNOR APPROACH WITH	ì
7	SOME BINDERS, YOUR HONOR?	ì
8	THE COURT: YES.	ì
9	Q. BY MR. MADISON: SO MR. DEITCH, YOU ARE A	ì
10	MANAGING DIRECTOR AT OAKTREE, CORRECT?	11:16AM
11	A. CORRECT.	ì
12	Q. YOU ARE IN CHARGE OF A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT	ì
13	FUNCTIONS AT OAKTREE, INCLUDING ALL OF INFORMATION	ì
14	TECHNOLOGY AT OAKTREE, CORRECT?	ì
15	A. CORRECT.	11:16AM
16	Q. YOU ARE AWARE THAT OAKTREE OWNS ABOUT 22	ì
17	PERCENT OF DOUBLELINE, RIGHT?	ì
18	A. RIGHT.	ì
19	Q. AND YOU ARE AWARE, OF COURSE, THAT DOUBLELINE	ì
20	IS A PARTY IN THIS LITIGATION?	11:16AM
21	A. CORRECT.	ì
22	Q. YOU ARE AWARE THAT TRUST COMPANY OF THE WEST	ì
23	IS SUING DOUBLELINE FOR MISAPPROPRIATING THE TRADE	ì
24	SECRETS?	ì
25	A. YES.	11:16AM
26	Q. AND DO YOU HAVE A GENERAL UNDERSTANDING OF	l
27	WHAT THE TRADE SECRETS ARE THAT TRUST COMPANY OF THE	l
28	WEST HAS ALLEGED DOUBLELINE AND OTHERS MISAPPROPRIATED?	l

1	A. NOT SPECIFICS, NO.	
2	Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH TRADING ANALYTICS?	
3	A. I AM.	
4	Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS?	
5	A. YES.	11:17AM
6	Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH SOFTWARE PROGRAMS THAT	
7	ARE USED TO EXPEDITE AND FACILITATE INVESTMENT	
8	DECISIONS?	
9	A. YES.	
10	Q. YOU HAVE SOME OF THOSE THINGS, IF NOT ALL OF	11:17AM
11	THEM, AT OAKTREE, DON'T YOU, SIR?	
12	A. CORRECT.	
13	Q. SO YOU'VE BEEN TALKING TO US THIS MORNING	
14	ABOUT DIFFERENT SYSTEMS AND SERVICES THAT OAKTREE	
15	HELPED DOUBLELINE WITH, RIGHT?	11:17AM
16	A. RIGHT.	
17	Q. SO TELL US WHAT OAKTREE DID TO PROGRAM	
18	ANALYTICS FOR DOUBLELINE?	
19	A. THE PRIMARY WORK THAT WE DID WAS TO INTRODUCE	
20	THEM TO VICHARA, WHICH PROVIDES ANALYTIC SOFTWARE.	11:18AM
21	WE DID PROVIDE HARDWARE FOR THEM TO RUN	
22	THEIR SYSTEMS ON, AND NETWORK.	
23	AND WE BUILT THE DATABASE TO HOLD THEIR	
24	POSITIONS.	
25	Q. BY MR. MADISON: SO ALL OF THOSE THINGS ARE	11:18AM
26	LET'S PUT VICHARA ASIDE, FOR A MOMENT, BECAUSE THERE	
27	YOU MADE AN INTRODUCTION, RIGHT?	
2.0		

A.

CORRECT.

1	Q. AND YOU PERSONALLY WERE INVOLVED IN ONE WEB	
2	CONVERSATION AND THEN ONE FACE-TO-FACE MEETING; AND	
3	AFTER THAT, YOU WEREN'T INVOLVED AT ALL, WERE YOU?	
4	A. NO. I WAS INVOLVED IN TWO MEETINGS.	
5	Q. AND AFTER THAT, NOT AT ALL?	11:18AM
6	A. CORRECT.	
7	Q. AND THOSE WERE PRELIMINARY MEETINGS, TO GET	
8	THAT RELATIONSHIP GOING, RIGHT?	
9	A. TO TALK ABOUT WHICH MODULES THEY WOULD BE	
10	BUYING FROM VICHARA.	11:18AM
11	Q. OKAY.	
12	AND AFTER THAT YOU WEREN'T INVOLVED?	
13	A. RIGHT.	
14	Q. SO, OTHER THAN THAT, THE ONLY THINGS THAT	
15	OAKTREE PROVIDED TO DOUBLELINE WERE I.T.	11:18AM
16	INFRASTRUCTURE, CORRECT?	
17	A. CORRECT.	
18	Q. NOT ANY PROGRAMMING OF ANY ANALYTICS TO	
19	ACTUALLY BE USED IN MAKING INVESTMENT DECISIONS?	
20	A. CORRECT.	11:19AM
21	Q. SO LET ME PUT UP ON THE SCREEN.	
22	AND WITH HIS HONOR'S PERMISSION, AN	
23	EXHIBIT THAT'S ALREADY BEEN ADMITTED.	
24	IT'S 2141.	
25	AND THIS IS THE TRANSCRIPT OF A CALL	11:19AM
26	THAT JEFFREY GUNDLACH HAD ON BEHALF OF DOUBLELINE ON	
27	DECEMBER 22ND, 2009.	
28	AND SO I TAKE IT, FROM YOUR TESTIMONY,	

1	BY DECEMBER 22ND, 2009, YOUR EFFORTS AS THE PROJECT	
2	MANAGER AT OAKTREE, WORKING WITH DOUBLELINE, WERE WELL	
3	UNDER WAY, CORRECT?	
4	A. CORRECT.	
5	Q. AND I THINK WE SAW, AND WE'LL COME BACK TO IT	11:19AM
6	IN A MOMENT, THERE WAS A TERM SHEET THAT ALREADY HAD	
7	BEEN EXECUTED, BY THAT TIME, CORRECT?	
8	A. CORRECT.	
9	Q. AND THEN THERE WOULD LATER BE A TRANSITION	
10	SERVICES AGREEMENT, ALSO, RIGHT?	11:20AM
11	A. YES.	
12	Q. SO IF WE GO TO PAGE 2141-4, AND WE LOOK DOWN	
13	AT THE VERY LAST FOUR LINES OF THE PAGE	
14	AND LET ME JUST ASK, DID YOU LISTEN IN	
15	TO THIS WEBCAST TO MR. GUNDLACH ON DECEMBER 22ND, 2009?	11:20AM
16	A. NO, I DID NOT.	
17	Q. SO THIS IS IN EVIDENCE.	
18	AND THIS IS MR. GUNDLACH SPEAKING. HE	
19	SAID, (READING):	
20	WE HIRED THE THREE FINEST	11:20AM
21	PROGRAMMERS IN THE TCW TEAM, AND	
22	THEY ARE HARD AT WORK REBUILDING;	
23	AND BEYOND THAT, IMPROVING THE	
24	TECHNOLOGY PLATFORM THAT WE HAVE IN	
25	PLACE.	11:20AM
26	AND HE GOES ON TO SAY, (READING):	
27	IN FACT, I AM TOLD BY THE	
2.0	CHIEF OPERATING OPERATE CDIC	

CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER, CRIS

1	SANTA ANA, THAT WE EXPECT THAT THE	
2	TECHNOLOGY PLATFORM WILL BE	
3	COMPLETELY REBUILT AND ENHANCED	
4	BEFORE SUCH TIME AS WE RECEIVE A	
5	REGISTRATION FROM THE SEC AS AN	11:21AM
6	INVESTMENT ADVISOR, WHICH WE EXPECT	
7	TO RECEIVE IN EARLY JANUARY.	
8	SO DOUBLELINE WAS TAKING CARE OF	
9	REBUILDING AND ENHANCING THE TECHNOLOGY PLATFORM, AS	
10	MR. GUNDLACH DESCRIBED, CORRECT?	11:21AM
11	A. CORRECT.	
12	Q. OAKTREE WASN'T DOING THAT?	
13	A. OAKTREE WAS NOT.	
14	Q. AND YOU ARE AWARE, SIR, AREN'T YOU, THAT IN	
15	THIS LAWSUIT, THE TRADE SECRETS THAT WE ALLEGE THAT	11:21AM
16	DOUBLELINE MISAPPROPRIATED ARE PART OF THAT TECHNOLOGY	
17	PLATFORM?	
18	A. THAT'S WHAT I HAVE HEARD.	
19	Q. SO YOU CAN'T HELP US UNDERSTAND ANYTHING ABOUT	
20	WHETHER OR NOT DOUBLELINE USED TCW'S INFORMATION TO	11:21AM
21	REBUILD AND CREATE ANALYTIC SYSTEMS AT DOUBLELINE, CAN	
22	YOU, SIR?	
23	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. ARGUMENTATIVE.	
24	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
25	THAT MEANS YOU CAN ANSWER, SIR.	11:21AM
26	THE WITNESS: NO. I CANNOT.	
27	Q. BY MR. MADISON: NOW, MR. GUNDLACH ALSO MADE	

SOME STATEMENTS ABOUT OAKTREE IN THIS WEBCAST.

1	IF WE GO TO PAGE 34, DOWN AT THE VERY	
2	BOTTOM, THERE'S A PARAGRAPH.	
3	AND IN THIS PART OF THE BROADCAST, IT	
4	APPEARS THAT MR. GUNDLACH IS ANSWERING QUESTIONS.	
5	AND HE SAYS, (READING):	11:22AM
6	HERE'S ONE. HOW LONG WILL IT	
7	TAKE FOR YOU TO GET YOUR ANALYTIC	
8	SYSTEMS SO YOU HAVE THE INFORMATION	
9	TO ACTUALLY MANAGE THE PORTFOLIO?	
10	AND THEN IT SAYS, UNINTELLIGIBLE,	11:22AM
11	ASSUMING IT TAKES A SUBSTANTIAL	
12	AMOUNT OF TIME TO BUILD A BACKBONE.	
13	AND MR. GUNDLACH RESPONDS,	
14	(READING):	
15	NO, IT DOESN'T. I THINK I	11:22AM
16	TOUCHED ON THIS, BUT LET ME MAKE IT	
17	CLEAR, BECAUSE IT'S AN IMPORTANT	
18	POINT.	
19	WE BELIEVE THAT THE ANALYTIC	
20	PLATFORM WILL BE FULLY IN PLACE,	11:22AM
21	AND IMPROVED, BEFORE WE GET OUR	
22	AND IT SAYS ICC REGISTRATION, IN	
23	THE MIDDLE OF JANUARY.	
24	I AM CONSTANTLY, ALONG WITH MY	
25	TEAM, IMPROVING THE ANALYTICS IN	11:23AM
26	THE SYSTEMS AT TCW.	
27	SO I WOULD ASK YOU JUST TO STOP	
28	THERE, AND ASK YOU THE SAME QUESTION, SIR; THAT THOSE	

ANALYTICS AND SYSTEMS THAT MR. GUNDLACH IS TALKING 1 2 ABOUT, OAKTREE DID NOT HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THAT, 3 RIGHT? Α. NOTHING BEYOND WHAT I DESCRIBED EARLIER. 5 Q. WELL, IN TERMS OF ACTUALLY BUILDING THE 11:23AM SOFTWARE PROGRAM AND ENHANCING IT, AND REBUILDING IT? 6 7 A. JUST THE DATABASE, AND THE INVITATION AT 8 VICHARA. 9 Q. AND IT SAYS, (READING): BASICALLY, THE TCW SYSTEMS 10 11:23AM 11 THAT WE DESIGNED ARE A DATA FILTER, 12 WHERE WE BUY EVERY DATA POINT KNOWN 13 TO MAN. AND WE WANT TO GET OUR HANDS ON THAT, BUT IT'S AN 14 15 OVERWHELMING AMOUNT OF DATA. 11:23AM 16 SO WHAT WE NEED TO DO IS GET 17 FILTERS AND EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT 18 REPORTS AND TRADE REPORTS MADE FROM 19 THAT DATA TO FACILITATE 20 DECISION-MAKING. BUT THE DESIGN OF 11:23AM 21 THOSE REPORTS, AND UNDERSTANDING 22 WHAT DATA TO USE, IS CONSTANTLY 23 CHANGING. 24 AND I HAVE AT LEAST THREE 25 ENHANCEMENTS THAT ARE INCREDIBLY 11:24AM 26 IMPORTANT, THAT WERE ALREADY KEYED 27 UP TO BE ACCOMPLISHED IN 2010; BUT 28 I WAS GOING TO WAIT UNTIL JANUARY

1	TO TALK TO THE I.T. MANAGER ABOUT	
2	IT.	
3	SO THERE ARE THREE MAJOR	
4	ENHANCEMENTS ALREADY THAT WILL	
5	CREATE THE DOUBLELINE SYSTEM TO A	11:24AM
6	SIGNIFICANT ORDER OF MAGNITUDE OF	
7	BETTER RESOURCES THAN WHAT WE HAD	
8	DECEMBER 4TH, WHEN I LEFT TCW.	
9	SO I'LL JUST STOP THERE AGAIN.	
10	AND THOSE ENHANCEMENTS THAT HE IS	11:24AM
11	TALKING ABOUT FROM THE TCW SYSTEMS WERE NOT ANYTHING	
12	OAKTREE WORKED ON?	
13	A. NO, THEY WERE NOT.	
14	Q. AND THEN HE TALKS ABOUT SOME OTHER	
15	ENHANCEMENTS.	11:24AM
16	BUT THEN, DOWN AT THE BOTTOM OF THE PAGE	
17	ENDING IN 36, HE SAYS, (READING):	
18	THERE'S A COUPLE MORE	
19	ENHANCEMENTS THAT AND I DON'T	
20	WANT TO GET TOO TECHNICAL HERE.	11:24AM
21	JUST REST ASSURED THAT THE SYSTEMS	
22	ARE CONSTANTLY BEING UPGRADED WITH	
23	THE IDEAS OF THE PORTFOLIO	
24	MANAGERS, AND THANKS TO OAKTREE'S	
25	ASSISTANCE, AND THEIR DOMINANT	11:25AM
26	PLATFORM.	
27	SO WAS THAT A TRUE STATEMENT, THAT	
28	OAKTREE WAS ASSISTING IN THE ENHANCEMENTS OF THE	

SYSTEMS THAT CAME OVER FROM TCW? 1 2 MR WEINGART: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 3 MISSTATES -- ASSUMES FACTS. 4 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 5 YOU CAN ANSWER THE QUESTION. 11:25AM THE WITNESS: I THINK -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT 6 7 DOMINANT PLATFORM MEANT. 8 BUT IN TERMS OF OUR INFRASTRUCTURE, IF 9 IT REFERS TO OUR INFRASTRUCTURE, THAT'S THE ONLY THING 10 I WOULD THINK IT'S ASSOCIATED WITH. 11:25AM Q. THEN IT SAYS, IN FACT, THERE'S DATA THAT 11 12 OAKTREE HAD BOUGHT WITH THEIR RELATIONSHIP WITH 13 COUNTRYWIDE THAT ACTUALLY WASN'T AVAILABLE TO US AT TCW, BECAUSE OAKTREE HAD A SOMEWHAT EXCLUSIVE 14 15 RELATIONSHIP; AND WE'LL BE DATA MINING THAT DATA. 11:25AM 16 NOW, WITH REGARD TO THAT STATEMENT, SIR, 17 YOU, AS WE ALREADY HEARD, OVERSAW ALL INFORMATION 18 TECHNOLOGY AT OAKTREE; AND YOU DO TODAY, CORRECT? 19 A. CORRECT. 20 AND YOU PREVIOUSLY HAD BEEN IN INFORMATION 0. 11:26AM 21 TECHNOLOGY AT COUNTRYWIDE, RIGHT? 22 A. RIGHT. 23 O. AND THAT STATEMENT THAT MR. GUNDLACH MADE 24 THERE TO INVESTORS AND OTHERS, WAS SIMPLY NOT TRUE, WAS 25 IT, SIR? 11:26AM 26 A. I BELIEVE THAT MR. GUNDLACH CONFUSED THE 27 VICHARA RELATIONSHIP WITH COUNTRYWIDE CAPITAL MARKETS

28

WITH OAKTREE.

1	Q. WELL, MY QUESTION THOUGH, SIR, IS: TRUE OR	
2	FALSE, DID OAKTREE HAVE SOME EXCLUSIVE RELATIONSHIP	
3	WITH COUNTRYWIDE THAT INVOLVED THE ACQUISITION BY	
4	OAKTREE OF INFORMATION THAT WASN'T AVAILABLE TO ANYBODY	
5	ELSE?	11:26AM
6	A. OAKTREE DID NOT.	
7	VICHARA HAD A RELATIONSHIP.	
8	Q. AND HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT, SIR?	
9	A. BECAUSE MR. FRANK, BOB FRANK, USED VICHARA TO	
10	DO MORTGAGE ANALYTICS WHEN HE WAS AT COUNTRYWIDE	11:26AM
11	CAPITAL MARKETS.	
12	Q. ALL RIGHT.	
13	SO YOU BELIEVE THE STATEMENT HERE IS	
14	TRUE; BUT IT SHOULDN'T HAVE SAID OAKTREE, IT SHOULD	
15	HAVE SAID VICHARA?	11:26AM
16	MR WEINGART: OBJECTION. ARGUMENTATIVE.	
17	THE COURT: NOW, WAIT A MINUTE. YOU WERE	
18	TALKING, AND WE DIDN'T GET THE ANSWER.	
19	I'LL OVERRULE THE OBJECTION, BUT I WANT	
20	TO MAKE SURE WE KNOW WHAT THE ANSWER WAS.	11:27AM
21	THE WITNESS: THAT WOULD BE MY HYPOTHESIS.	
22	Q. BY MR. MADISON: BUT THAT'S JUST SPECULATION	
23	ON YOUR PART?	
24	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION.	
25	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	11:27AM
26	Q. BY MR. MADISON: BUT IT'S CERTAINLY NOT TRUE	
27	ABOUT OAKTREE?	

IT'S NOT TRUE ABOUT OAKTREE.

1	Q. OKAY.	
2	NOW, WE SAW SOME CHARTS THAT WERE	
3	CREATED, I BELIEVE, FOR YOUR TESTIMONY, THE DIFFERENT	
4	GRAPHICS THAT WE SAW THERE ABOUT THE WORK THAT OAKTREE	
5	DID.	11:27AM
6	WERE THOSE CREATED FOR THE CASE?	
7	A. THE WHICH ONES?	
8	Q. WELL, LET'S LOOK AT, FOR EXAMPLE, 6170.	
9	IF WE COULD DISPLAY THAT, YOUR HONOR.	
10	IT'S FOR DEMONSTRATIVE ONLY.	11:27AM
11	THE COURT: IT'S ACTUALLY THE CHART ON	
12	THE WITNESS: IN THIS BOOK, NOT THIS BOOK.	
13	THE COURT: I THINK IT IS IN THE SMALLER BOOK.	
14	Q. BY MR. MADISON: DO YOU SEE THAT, SIR?	
15	A. YEAH, I CAN SEE IT.	11:28AM
16	Q. DO YOU KNOW WHO CREATED THAT?	
17	A. I CREATED THE INFORMATION, AND A GENTLEMAN	
18	THAT WORKS FOR ME, ANISH PRASAD, CREATED THE SLIDE.	
19	Q. OKAY. AND WHEN WAS THAT?	
20	A. THAT WAS LAST WEEKEND.	11:28AM
21	Q. SO LAST WEEKEND. IT WAS CREATED, IN ADVANCE	
22	OF YOUR TESTIMONY HERE, RIGHT?	
23	A. CORRECT.	
24	Q. TO BE USED IN YOUR TESTIMONY?	
25	A. CORRECT.	11:28AM
26	Q. SO MY QUESTION IS, IF I WERE TO ASK YOU HOW	
27	MANY OF THESE PEOPLE AT OAKTREE WERE ACTUALLY WRITING	
0.0		

CODE FOR THE ANALYTIC SYSTEMS THAT DOUBLELINE WAS GOING

TO BE USED, WHICH CATEGORIES WOULD THOSE BE? 1 2 THE ONLY PEOPLE THAT WROTE CODE TO EXTRACT THE 3 DATA POSITION FOR ANALYTICS WOULD HAVE BEEN IN 4 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY. 5 Q. AND SO OTHER THAN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, NONE 11:28AM OF THE OTHER FOLKS WERE HELPING WITH THE ANALYTIC 6 7 SYSTEMS AT ALL, WERE THEY? 8 NO, I DON'T BELIEVE SO. Α. 9 AND WITH REGARD TO THE INFORMATION 0. 10 TECHNOLOGY --11:29AM 11 A. WELL, THAT'S ACTUALLY -- THE RESEARCH, 12 PURCHASING THE RESEARCH WAS REQUIRED TO DO THE 13 ANALYTICS; SO THAT ALSO WOULD HAVE BEEN INCLUDED, TO 14 MAKE THAT HAPPEN. 15 O. SO WHEN I'M TALKING ABOUT ANALYTICS, THOUGH, 11:29AM 16 I'M TALKING ABOUT WHAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT BEFORE; 17 THAT IS THE, ACTUAL SYSTEMS THAT THE DOUBLELINE 18 PORTFOLIO MANAGERS WOULD USE TO MAKE INVESTMENT 19 DECISIONS. 20 A. CORRECT. 11:29AM 21 Ο. DID ANYONE HERE HELP WRITE THE CODE FOR ANY OF 22 THOSE? 23 A. ONLY TO EXTRACT THE POSITION DATA FROM THE BLOOMBERG PRODUCT. 24

Q. AND THEN WHAT WOULD HAPPEN AFTER THAT? 11:29AM

- 26 A. THAT WOULD GET MERGED WITH THE DATA THAT
 27 VICHARA CREATED, IN ORDER TO DO THE ANALYTICS.
 - Q. ONLY VICHARA?

A. ONLY VICHARA. 1 O. DID DOUBLELINE HAVE ITS OWN PROPRIETARY 2 3 SYSTEMS THAT IT WAS ALSO CREATING AS MR. GUNDLACH WAS 4 TALKING? 5 Α. I'M NOT FAMILIAR WITH WHO DID WHAT, BETWEEN 11:29AM VICHARA AND DOUBLELINE. 6 7 O. OKAY. 8 SO IF WE ASK YOU WHAT HAPPENED AFTER THE 9 DATA WAS EXTRACTED, YOU KNOW THERE WAS SOME 10 RELATIONSHIP WITH VICHARA; BUT OTHER THAN THAT, YOU 11:30AM 11 DON'T KNOW WHAT THE ANALYTIC SYSTEMS WERE AT 12 DOUBLELINE? 13 Α. NO. 14 Q. AND IN FACT, IN THE TRANSITION SERVICES 15 AGREEMENT, IT WAS SPECIFICALLY PROVIDED THAT OAKTREE 11:30AM 16 WOULD HAVE NO INVOLVEMENT, OTHER THAN I.T. 17 INFRASTRUCTURE? 18 CORRECT. Α. 19 O. AND THAT WAS BECAUSE OAKTREE DID NOT WANT TO 20 HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH DEVELOPING THE ANALYTIC 11:30AM 21 TRADING SYSTEMS AT DOUBLELINE, CORRECT? 22 IT'S BECAUSE OAKTREE DID NOT HAVE THE RESIDENT Α. 23 EXPERIENCE WITH AN R.I.T. TEAM TO HELP WITH ANALYTICS. 24 WE USED VICHARA. AND WE KNEW THAT'S 25 WHAT THEY WOULD DO IN THE SAME SITUATION. 11:30AM 26 Q. LET'S LOOK AT THE AGREEMENT, SIR. IT'S 2620.

AND ACTUALLY, THIS IS THE TERM SHEET.

27

SERVICES AGREEMENT AFTER THAT. 1 2 SO IF WE GO TO THE FIRST PAGE --3 AND THIS IS IN EVIDENCE, I BELIEVE, YOUR 4 HONOR, ON DIRECT. 5 SO IF WE COULD JUST HIGHLIGHT THE TOP 11:31AM THERE, MICHAEL. 6 7 THIS IS THE TERM SHEET ABOUT PROPOSED 8 AGREEMENTS BETWEEN OAKTREE AND DOUBLELINE, CORRECT? 9 Α. CORRECT. 10 Q. AND THEN WE GO TO PAGE ENDING IN -9, AND 11:31AM 11 THERE'S AN EXHIBIT A, AND IT OUTLINES CERTAIN FUNCTIONS 12 AND TIME PERIODS AND THE LIKE. 13 DO YOU RECALL THIS? 14 A. YES, I DO. 15 Q. AND SO THIS WAS AN ATTEMPT TO PREDICT HOW MUCH 11:31AM TIME OAKTREE WOULD BE SPENDING ON THE VARIOUS TASKS, 16 17 RIGHT? 18 NO. IT WAS AN ATTEMPT TO GET AGREEMENT ON THE Α. 19 DURATION FOR THE OPERATIONAL SUPPORT, AND THEN THE 20 DURATION AND HOURS FOR ADVICE AND SETUP SERVICES. 11:32AM 21 SO IT WAS -- SOME OF IT WAS DURATION 22 BASED AND SOME OF IT WAS ALLOCATION OF RESOURCE BASED. 23 Q. SO IF WE LOOK AT THE FIRST COLUMN, IT SAYS 24 FUNCTION. 25 AND YOU HAVE THE DIFFERENT FUNCTIONS 11:32AM 26 THAT WERE BEING PROVIDED, CORRECT? 27 A. CORRECT.

Q. AND THEN THE SECOND COLUMN SAYS, INTERIM

OPERATIONAL SUPPORT, TIME PERIOD, TRANSITIONAL 1 2 SERVICES. 3 AND THEN IT GOES DOWN AND GIVES 4 BASICALLY YESES, OR NOES AS TO WHETHER THOSE WERE GOING 5 TO BE PROVIDED, RIGHT? 11:32AM 6 A. CORRECT. 7 AND THEN WHAT AMOUNT OF TIME WAS BEING 8 COMMITTED DURING THAT INTERIM OPERATIONAL SUPPORT 9 PERIOD? 10 A. WHAT AMOUNT OF TIME? 11:32AM 11 Q. YES, SIR. 12 IT'S REPRESENTED BY EACH GROUP. IT WAS A Α. 13 DIFFERENT AMOUNT OF TIME. SO PROJECT MANAGEMENT, WHICH IS THE 14 15 FIRST, WOULD BE FOR 30 DAYS, UNTIL WE TRANSITION TO 11:32AM 16 KPMG, AS AN EXAMPLE. 17 Q. WELL, LET ME GO TO THE NEXT COLUMN, AND THEN 18 WE'LL COME BACK TO THAT. 19 YOU SEE THE NEXT COLUMN, THAT SAYS 20 ADVISORY SERVICES? 11:32AM 21 Α. CORRECT. 22 AND IT SAYS TIME PERIOD/LIMITS PER WEEK. Ο. 23 A. YES. Q. WHAT DID THESE TERMS MEAN? 24

A. HOW MUCH TIME WE WOULD PROVIDE ADVICE TO 11:33AM

26 | DOUBLELINE ON THAT RELATIVE SUBJECT, AND A SENSE OF HOW

27 | MANY HOURS AND E-MAILS WE WOULD BE INTERACTING WITH

28 THEM ON.

1	Q. PER WEEK?	
2	A. YEAH. I THINK EVERYTHING WAS DOCUMENTED PER	
3	WEEK.	
4	Q. SO FOR EXAMPLE, IF WE JUST LOOK AT THAT	
5	PROJECT MANAGEMENT, IT SAYS FOUR MONTHS, ONE TO TWO	11:33AM
6	HOURS, PLUS NOMINAL E-MAILS.	
7	AND SO THAT MEANS THAT FOR FOUR MONTHS	
8	OF AN ADVISORY PERIOD, THERE WOULD BE ONE TO TWO HOURS	
9	PER WEEK PROVIDED, RIGHT?	
10	A. YEAH.	11:33AM
11	THERE MAY HAVE BEEN INDIVIDUALS LISTED	
12	WHO WOULD BE CONTACTED; SO IT MAY HAVE BEEN MORE. I	
13	WOULD HAVE TO GO AND LOOK.	
14	Q. WHERE WOULD YOU LOOK?	
15	A. WHAT?	11:33AM
16	Q. WHERE WOULD YOU LOOK?	
17	A. I DON'T KNOW IF IT WAS IN THIS, OR IF IT WAS	
18	IN THE ACTUAL AGREEMENT.	
19	Q. WELL, WE'LL LOOK AT THE AGREEMENT IN A MINUTE.	
20	A. OKAY.	11:33AM
21	Q. AND THEN THE NEXT PERIOD SAYS, SET UP SERVICE;	
22	THE NEXT COLUMN, TIME PERIOD, LIMITS PER WEEK.	
23	THERE AGAIN, THAT'S HOW LONG IT WOULD	
24	LAST, AND HOW MANY HOURS PER WEEK?	
25	A. RIGHT.	11:34AM
26	Q. CORRECT?	
27	A. WE WOULD PROVIDE SERVICES TO HELP SET UP,	

WHICH WAS MORE INVOLVED.

1	AND THEN, DURING A PERIOD POTENTIALLY	
2	LONGER THAN THAT, WE WOULD PROVIDE ADVICE, ONCE IT WAS	
3	SET UP.	
4	Q. NOW, I NOTICED ON 6170	
5	IF WE COULD JUST GO BACK AND SHOW THAT	11:34AM
6	REAL QUICK, MIKE.	
7	THE GRAPHIC, THERE'S A DESCRIPTION OF	
8	TOTAL YEARS OF EXPERIENCE.	
9	THAT'S THE TOTAL YEARS THE PERSON HAD IN	
10	HIS OR HER JOB, IN TOTAL, RIGHT?	11:34AM
11	A. IN THEIR DISCIPLINE.	
12	Q. IN THEIR DISCIPLINE. OKAY.	
13	SO IF WE GO BACK NOW TO 620-9, DID YOU,	
14	OR ANYONE AT YOUR DIRECTION, TRY TO FIGURE OUT HOW MANY	
15	HOURS WERE ACTUALLY SPENT AT OAKTREE?	11:34AM
16	A. ON PROVIDING SUPPORT TO DOUBLELINE?	
17	Q. YES, SIR.	
18	A. WE ONLY CALCULATED THE ACTUAL	
19	Q. IT'S A YES OR NO QUESTION.	
20	A. IT'S NOT A YES OR NO ANSWER.	11:34AM
21	THE COURT: SO NOW WE'RE AT AN IMPASSE.	
22	Q. BY MR. MADISON: YOU DIDN'T TELL US YOU WERE A	
23	LAWYER, TOO, MR. DEITCH.	
24	THE COURT: YOU MAY EXPLAIN YOUR ANSWER.	
25	GO AHEAD.	11:35AM
26	THE WITNESS: FOR PEOPLE THAT WERE ON THE	
27	PROJECT FULL TIME, LIKE THE PROJECT MANAGERS, OR AN	
0.0		

INDIVIDUAL FROM THE HELP DESK, OR SOMEONE WHO WAS DOING

THE SECURITIES TRADING AND SETTLEMENT, WE TRACKED THEIR 1 2 HOURS, AND WE CHARGED DOUBLELINE FOR THEIR TIME. 3 FOR THE OTHERS, WE DID NOT CHARGE 4 DOUBLELINE FOR THEIR TIME, AND WE DID NOT TRACK THEIR 5 TIME. 11:35AM O. SO IF I WANTED TO KNOW HOW YOU DID ON THIS 6 7 LAST COLUMN, FOR EXAMPLE, THE SETUP SERVICES, TIME 8 PERIOD, LIMITS PER WEEK; SO THAT, AGAIN, THAT FIRST BOX 9 SAYS 90 DAYS, FIVE TO EIGHT HOURS, PLUS NOMINAL 10 E-MAILS. 11:35AM 11 COULD YOU TELL US, AS YOU SIT HERE, 12 WHETHER THAT WAS ACTUALLY THE AMOUNT OF TIME THAT WAS 13 SPENT? 14 Α. NO. AND THAT REALLY WASN'T THE INTENTION. 15 Q. DID YOU SAY NO, OR --11:35AM 16 Α. I SAID NO. 17 MR WEINGART: YOUR HONOR, COULD WE FINISH HIS 18 ANSWER? 19 MR. MADISON: I DIDN'T HEAR THE ANSWER. I'M 20 SORRY. 11:35AM 21 THE WITNESS: NO. WE DID NOT TRACK THOSE 22 HOURS. 23 AND THAT WAS NOT THE INTENTION OF THIS 24 DOCUMENT. IT WAS THE LIMITS PER WEEK. 25 WE DID NOT UNDERSTAND, AT THE TIME, HOW 11:36AM

11.50711

ADDRESSED THE SITUATION.

MUCH DOUBLELINE COULD REQUEST OF US, SO WE ESTABLISHED

LIMITS, THAT SHOULD WE GO BEYOND THAT, WE COULD HAVE

26

27

1	Q. BY MR. MADISON: OKAY.	
2	NOW, IF WE GO DOWN TO RESEARCH AND	
3	ANALYTICS, WE SEE IT THERE ON THE HIGHLIGHTED PART	
4	ALREADY, OR THE EXPANDED PART.	
5	AND IF WE CAN JUST GO ACROSS THAT ROW,	11:36AM
6	PLEASE, MIKE.	
7	NOW, RESEARCH AND ANALYTICS, THAT'S	
8	WHERE THESE SYSTEMS, PROPRIETARY SYSTEMS, WOULD RESIDE	
9	ON THIS CHART, IN TERMS OF THE PROGRAMS THAT THE	
10	PORTFOLIO MANAGERS WOULD USE TO MAKE INVESTMENT	11:36AM
11	DECISIONS, CORRECT?	
12	A. CORRECT.	
13	Q. AND WHAT IT SAYS THERE, OVER ON THE FIRST	
14	COLUMN, IS YES.	
15	SO OAKTREE WILL BE PROVIDING INTERIM	11:36AM
16	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT 180 DAYS, BUT THEN THERE'S AN	
17	ASTERISK THERE, RIGHT?	
18	A. CORRECT.	
19	Q. AND THE ASTERISK THEN SAYS, INFRASTRUCTURE	
20	SUPPORT ONLY?	11:37AM
21	A. CORRECT.	
22	Q. AND ACTUALLY, OAKTREE ASKED THAT THAT BE	
23	INCLUDED, TO MAKE REALLY CLEAR THAT OAKTREE WOULD NOT	
24	HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE	
25	PROPRIETARY SYSTEMS TO BE USED TO MANAGE THE TRADING	11:37AM
26	DECISIONS, CORRECT?	
27	A. CORRECT.	

THAT WAS SOMETHING OAKTREE WANTED TO MAKE VERY

1	CLEAR?	
2	A. OAKTREE MADE IT CLEAR THAT WE DID NOT HAVE THE	
3	SKILLS TO DO THAT WORK.	
4	Q. WELL, YOU RECALL, WHEN I ASKED YOU ABOUT THAT	
5	IN YOUR DEPOSITION, THAT YOU INDICATED IT WAS BECAUSE	11:37AM
6	OAKTREE WANTED TO MAKE CLEAR THAT IT WOULD NOT BE	
7	INVOLVED IN THAT?	
8	MR WEINGART: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.	
9	PAGE AND LINE?	
10	THE COURT: IF YOU WANT TO READ FROM HIS DEPO?	11:37AM
11	YOU MAY.	
12	MR. MADISON: I DON'T WANT TO TAKE THE TIME	
13	NOW, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.	
14	THE COURT: YOU MAY.	
15	Q. BY MR. MADISON: NOW, LET'S LOOK AT THE	11:37AM
16	TRANSITION SERVICES AGREEMENTS, IF WE COULD.	
17	AND I BELIEVE THAT WAS ALSO JUST	
18	INTRODUCED, AND IT IS EXHIBIT IT'S 5641, YOUR HONOR.	
19	MR WEINGART: I DIDN'T OFFER IT, BUT I DON'T	
20	HAVE AN OBJECTION.	11:38AM
21	MR. MADISON: WELL, WE'D OFFER IT, THEN, YOUR	
22	HONOR.	
23	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	
24		
25	(EXHIBIT 5641 ADMITTED.)	11:38AM
26		
27	THE COURT: IT IS THE AGREEMENT; IS THAT	
28	RIGHT?	

1	WHAT'S THE TITLE OF THE DOCUMENT?	
2	Q. BY MR. MADISON: LET ME HAVE IT FROM YOU WHEN	
3	I DO THIS.	
4	THE COURT: I'VE GOT IT ON THE	
5	ALL RIGHT. GO AHEAD.	11:38AM
6	MR. MADISON: TRANSITIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT,	
7	YOUR HONOR?	
8	THE COURT: YES.	
9	Q. BY MR. MADISON: SO THIS IS THE AGREEMENT THAT	
10	OAKTREE AND DOUBLELINE ENTERED INTO, MEMORIALIZING THE	11:38AM
11	RELATIONSHIP THAT WE'VE BEEN DESCRIBING THIS MORNING,	
12	CORRECT?	
13	A. CORRECT.	
14	Q. AND IF WE LOOK AT THERE'S AN APPENDIX ON	
15	THIS ONE, AS WELL, IS THERE NOT?	11:39AM
16	A. CORRECT.	
17	Q. AND IF WE LOOK AT PAGE 1220 I'M SORRY, THE	
18	LAST PAGE OF THE EXHIBIT, I BELIEVE.	
19	AND THIS IS ANNEX B CONSULTING SERVICES.	
20	AND HERE AGAIN, IT HAS A LIST OF HOURS	11:39AM
21	AND THE LIKE; JUST FOR THE CONSULTING, PERIOD, BY THAT	
22	TIME, CORRECT?	
23	A. CORRECT.	
24	AND THE INDIVIDUALS THAT I MENTIONED	
25	EARLIER.	11:39AM
26	Q. NOW, LET ME MOVE ON TO SOMETHING ELSE, AND ASK	
27	YOU ABOUT WHETHER DOUBLELINE, IN FACT, TO YOUR	

KNOWLEDGE, HAD THE THREE PROGRAMMERS THAT MR. GUNDLACH

1	WAS TALKING ABOUT IN THE WEBCAST?	
2	A. I HAD HEARD THEY HAD PROGRAMMERS.	
3	I DID NOT MEET THEM.	
4	Q. AND SO YOU IF I WERE TO ASK YOU WHAT THEY	
5	WERE DOING, YOU COULDN'T TELL US THAT?	11:40AM
6	A. NO, I COULD NOT.	
7	Q. AND OAKTREE DIDN'T PROVIDE PROGRAMMERS TO WORK	
8	INSIDE DOUBLELINE ON ANY OF THEIR SYSTEMS, CORRECT?	
9	A. NOT BEYOND THE EXTRACTION OF THE POSITIONS,	
10	THAT I MENTIONED EARLIER.	11:40AM
11	Q. DID YOU KNOW THAT THE PROGRAMMERS HAD COME	
12	FROM TCW, AS MR. GUNDLACH DESCRIBED?	
13	A. NO.	
14	Q. NOW, YOU MENTIONED THAT OAKTREE DID NOT COME	
15	INTO CONTACT WITH ANY TCW INFORMATION, RIGHT?	11:40AM
16	A. CORRECT.	
17	Q. AND THAT WAS SOMETHING THAT OAKTREE WAS	
18	CONCERNED ABOUT, IN ENTERING INTO ITS AGREEMENT WITH	
19	DOUBLELINE, CORRECT?	
20	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION.	11:41AM
21	THE WITNESS: I'M NOT AWARE OF THAT.	
22	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
23	I'LL LET IT STAND.	
24	Q. BY MR. MADISON: LOOK AT EXHIBIT 624.	
25	DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT E-MAIL FROM THE	11:41AM
26	GENERAL COUNSEL OF OAKTREE, MR. MOLZ?	
27	A. YES.	

AND IT WAS YOUR POSITION, AS PROJECT MANAGER,

28

Q.

- 1 THAT OAKTREE SHOULD NOT COME INTO POSSESSION OF ANY TCW
 2 INFORMATION, CORRECT?
 - A. IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING FROM THIS DOCUMENT THAT
 THERE SHOULD BE NO SHARING OF INVESTMENT-RELATED
 ACTIVITY AROUND SECURITIES, AND THINGS OF THAT NATURE;

6 THAT WE WERE FREE TO SHARE WITH DOUBLELINE, INFORMATION

7 ABOUT INFRASTRUCTURE.

3

4

5

19

8 Q. SO YOU UNDERSTOOD MR. MOLZ' E-MAIL TO
9 INDICATE, NO OAKTREE CLIENT INFORMATION SHOULD BE
10 SHARED WITH DOUBLELINE, CORRECT?

11 A. CORRECT.

- Q. AND DID DOUBLELINE SHARE CLIENT INFORMATION

 WITH OAKTREE, AS WELL?
- A. NO. THE ONLY INFORMATION THAT WE WOULD HAVE

 KNOWN WAS WHEN THERE WERE PENDING CLIENTS THAT WE WERE

 THINKING MIGHT COME ON BOARD, THAT DIDN'T MATERIALIZE,

 WE HAD A LIST OF A FEW NAMES THAT MIGHT COME ON BOARD,

 WHEN THEY WOULD BE GETTING THE ON-BOARD CLIENTS.
 - O. PENDING CLIENTS FOR WHOM?
- A. FOR DOUBLELINE.
- 21 O. AND DID YOU EVER SEE ANY SUCH CLIENTS?
- 22 A. NO.
- 23 Q. SO THAT NEVER HAPPENED?
- A. ONE -- AS I UNDERSTAND, ONE CLIENT, DURING THE
- 25 TIME WE WERE SUPPORTING THEM, WAS ON-BOARDED.
- 26 Q. AND WHO WAS THAT?
- A. I DON'T KNOW.
- MR. MADISON: ALL RIGHT. LET ME MOVE 624,

11:42AM

11:42AM

11:42AM

11:42AM

11:42AM

1	YOUR HONOR.	
2	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	
3	MR. WEINGART: RELEVANCE.	
4	THE COURT: I'LL OVERRULE THE OBJECTION, AND	
5	ADMIT THE EXHIBIT.	11:43AM
6		
7	(EXHIBIT 624 ADMITTED.)	
8		
9	Q. BY MR. MADISON: SO IF WE LOOK AT THE MIDDLE	
10	PARAGRAPH THERE, IN THE SECOND E-MAIL, FROM MR. MOLZ TO	11:43AM
11	ALL OAKTREE STAFF.	
12	THE COURT: IT'S ON THE SCREEN.	
13	ACTUALLY, IT'S EASIER TO SEE.	
14	Q. BY MR. MADISON: (READING):	
15	SIMPLY PUT, IT IS IMPERATIVE	11:43AM
16	THAT WE ALL KEEP IN MIND THAT	
17	CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION RELATING	
18	TO OAKTREE MANAGED FUNDS AND	
19	SEPARATE ACCOUNTS, INCLUDING	
20	CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION RELATING	11:43AM
21	TO THEIR INVESTMENTS AND INVESTMENT	
22	ACTIVITY, SHOULD NOT BE SHARED WITH	
23	DOUBLELINE OR ITS REPRESENTATIVES.	
24	SO THAT WAS THE POLICY WITH OAKTREE,	
25	CORRECT?	11:43AM
26	A. CORRECT.	
27	Q. NOW, DO YOU RECALL A TIME WHEN THERE WAS A	
28	DISCUSSION ABOUT SOME CLIENT INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT	

1	AGREEMENTS FROM TCW?	
2	A. YES.	
3	Q. AND	
4	A. EXCUSE ME.	
5	YOU MEAN IMAS?	11:44AM
6	Q. YES. THAT'S THE ACRONYM, CORRECT?	
7	A. CORRECT.	
8	Q. SO DO YOU RECALL A DISCUSSION ABOUT IMAS FROM	
9	TCW?	
10	A. NO, I DON'T. I DON'T RECALL IT BEING A	11:44AM
11	DISCUSSION ON IMAS FOR TCW.	
12	Q. IF YOU COULD LOOK AT 2293 IN THE BOOK BEFORE	
13	YOU, PLEASE.	
14	DO YOU RECALL THIS E-MAIL, RECEIVING	
15	THAT FROM MR. PRASAD?	
16	A. I DON'T RECALL IT, BUT I HAVE SEEN IT.	
17	MR. MADISON: SO I'D MOVE 2293, YOUR HONOR.	
18	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	
19	MR. WEINGART: NO, YOUR HONOR.	
20	THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	11:44AM
21		
22	(EXHIBIT 2293 ADMITTED.)	
23		
24	Q. BY MR. MADISON: SO AT THE TOP, MR. PRASAD IS	
25	FORWARDING TO YOU, ON DECEMBER 17, 2009, AN E-MAIL DOWN	11:44AM
26	BELOW FROM SOMEBODY NAMED KEVIN BURNETT.	
27	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	
28	A. I'VE SEEN THE E-MAIL.	

1	Q. WHO'S MR. BURNETT?	
2	A. MR. BURNETT WORKS IN THE PROJECT MANAGEMENT	
3	OFFICE, OR DID AT THE TIME.	
4	Q. AT OAKTREE?	
5	A. CORRECT.	11:45AM
6	Q. AND IF YOU LOOK, HE'S TALKING ABOUT A NUMBER	
7	OF DIFFERENT FOLLOW-UPS TO THIS MORNING'S MEETING.	
8	AND IF YOU GO DOWN ABOUT MIDWAY, THERE'S	
9	A LINE THAT SAYS, (READING):	
10	SELECT KEY CLIENTS AND HAVE	11:45AM
11	THEM PROVIDE DOUBLELINE WITH THEIR	
12	IMA SO TCW CANNOT CLAIM IT IS	
13	PROPRIETARY. THE CLIENT IMA CAN BE	
14	USED TO EXPEDITE THE NEGOTIATION	
15	PROCESS WITH THE CLIENT.	11:45AM
16	DO YOU RECALL SEEING THAT, WHEN YOU	
17	RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL?	
18	A. YES, I DO.	
19	Q. AND DO YOU RECALL THE NOTION THAT OAKTREE	
20	WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT IF DOUBLELINE HAD IMAS BETWEEN	11:45AM
21	TCW AND TCW CLIENTS, THAT THOSE IMAS NOT HAVE COME FROM	
22	ANYWHERE OTHER THAN THE CLIENT?	
23	A. CAN YOU RESTATE THE QUESTION AGAIN?	
24	Q. YEAH.	
25	I MEAN, DOESN'T THAT TELL US THAT	11:46AM
26	OAKTREE WANTED TO BE SURE THAT IF THERE WAS AN IMA WITH	
27	A TCW CLIENT IN DOUBLELINE'S POSSESSION, THEY SHOULD	
28	MAKE SURE IT CAME FROM THE CLIENT, NOT FROM ANYWHERE	

1	ELSE?	
2	A. I ACTUALLY I'M NOT SURE WHAT THIS BULLET	
3	SAYS.	
4	I WASN'T PART OF THESE CONVERSATIONS, SO	
5	I DON'T KNOW IT SOUNDED LIKE THERE WAS SOME	11:46AM
6	DISCUSSION ABOUT APPROACHES TO HOW TO MOVE FORWARD WITH	
7	THE IMAS.	
8	I DON'T ACTUALLY KNOW WHAT TOOK PLACE,	
9	AND I DON'T KNOW IF THAT REPRESENTS OAKTREE'S POSITION.	
10	Q. OKAY. IF YOU LOOK AT 798, WHICH WAS	11:46AM
11	INTRODUCED A BIT AGO, IT'S ONE OF THOSE GRAPHIC FLOW	
12	CHARTS.	
13	DO YOU RECALL THIS?	
14	I THINK THIS WAS THE SECOND ITERATION	
15	THAT YOU WERE SHOWN.	11:46AM
16	A. YES, I DO.	
17	Q. AND IF YOU LOOK WELL, BEFORE I DO THAT.	
18	YOU KNOW WHAT IMAS ARE, THOUGH, DON'T	
19	YOU?	
20	A. INVESTMENTS MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS.	11:47AM
21	Q. YES, SIR.	
22	YOU ARE FAMILIAR WITH THAT DOCUMENT?	
23	A. NO, I'M NOT.	
24	Q. DO YOU KNOW WHAT THEY WHAT PURPOSE THEY	
25	SERVE?	11:47AM
26	A. I KNOW THAT WE NEED THEM WHEN WE AGREE WITH	
27	CLIENTS, AS TO OUR BUSINESS, AND I KNOW THAT MY	

OPERATIONS NEED THEM TO SET UP THE INVESTMENT

```
1
    GUIDELINES.
 2
                   AND BEYOND THAT, I'M UNFAMILIAR WITH
 3
    THEM.
 4
             DO YOU KNOW, IF I WERE TO GO TO OAKTREE LATER
         Q.
 5
     TODAY, AND ASK FOR A COPY OF ALL THE CLIENT IMAS,
                                                                11:47AM
     WHETHER THEY WOULD GIVE THEM TO ME?
 6
 7
              MR WEINGART: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE,
 8
    FOUNDATION.
              THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
 9
         Q. BY MR. MADISON: WELL, YOU KNOW IMAS ARE VERY
10
                                                                11:47AM
     IMPORTANT CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION FOR AN ASSET
11
12
    MANAGEMENT FIRM, DON'T YOU, SIR?
13
              MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION.
14
              THE COURT: I'LL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION.
15
                    I'LL STRIKE THE ANSWER.
                                                                11:47AM
16
         Ο.
             BY MR. MADISON: WELL, IF WE LOOK AT THIS
17
     GRAPHIC NOW, I BELIEVE THIS WAS THE SECOND ITERATION
18
     THAT WE SAW, THE FIRST ONE BEING 632 -- BUT THIS ONE IS
19
     7 -- EXHIBIT 798.
20
                    AND UP IN THE LEFT-HAND CORNER, I
                                                                11:47AM
21
    BELIEVE IT SAYS IT WAS UPDATED AS OF FEBRUARY 3, 2010.
22
                    AND DO YOU HAVE THE EARLIER ONE THERE
23
    JUST IN YOUR BOOK SOMEWHERE THERE, 632?
24
         A. YES, I DO.
25
             AND SO 632 WAS DATED DECEMBER 16.
         Q.
                                                                11:48AM
26
                    AND IF WE GO DOWN TO THE BOTTOM THERE,
27
     THERE'S A CYLINDER THAT SAYS VICHARA THERE, RIGHT
    THERE, IT SAYS VICHARA DATABASE?
```

1	A. CORRECT.	
2	Q. THAT WAS ALL IT SAID, AS OF DECEMBER 16, 2009.	
3	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	
4	THE COURT: THE ONE WE'RE LOOKING AT IS AS OF	
5	FEBRUARY 3RD?	11:48AM
6	MR. MADISON: YOU ARE RIGHT, YOUR HONOR.	
7	IF YOU NEED TO CHECK THE OTHER ONE.	
8	THE WITNESS: WHICH ONE DO YOU WANT ME TO BE	
9	LOOKING AT?	
10	Q. BY MR. MADISON: WELL, YOU CAN BE LOOKING AT	11:48AM
11	EXHIBIT 632.	
12	AND YOU WILL SEE, AT THIS PART OF THE	
13	CHART, IT JUST HAD VICHARA DATABASE AND NOTHING RIGHT	
14	ABOVE THAT, RIGHT?	
15	A. CORRECT.	11:49AM
16	Q. NOW ON THIS ONE, FEBRUARY 3RD	
17	IF WE GO UP A BIT, MIKE	
18	WE CAN SEE THERE'S A NEW CYLINDER THERE,	
19	AND IT SAYS DOUBLELINE ANALYTICS I CAN'T READ THE	
20	WRITING THERE; BUT COULD YOU READ THAT TO US,	11:49AM
21	MR. DEITCH?	
22	A. DOUBLELINE ANALYTICS POSITION AND TRADES.	
23	Q. SO THAT WAS THE SYSTEMS THAT DOUBLELINE HAD	
24	CREATED, INDEPENDENT OF WHAT OAKTREE WAS DOING,	
25	CORRECT?	11:49AM
26	A. ON THE 3RD, THIS WAS A PLANNED ARCHITECTURE.	
27	AND WHAT OAKTREE DID WAS BUILD OUT THIS	
28	DATABASE AND PROVIDE AN INTERFACE TO PUT IN POSITIONS.	

1	SO I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S WHAT	
2	DOUBLELINE ULTIMATELY WAS GOING TO USE IT FOR, BUT THIS	
3	WAS AN ARCHITECTURE OF HOW THE SYSTEMS WOULD FLOW WHEN	
4	THEY WERE BUILT OUT.	
5	Q. AND WHO WOULD BUILD THOSE SYSTEMS INSIDE THAT	11:49AM
6	CYLINDER THERE, THAT SAYS DOUBLELINE ANALYTICS?	
7	A. YOU HAVE TO ASK DOUBLELINE.	
8	Q. SO IT WOULD EITHER BE DOUBLELINE OR SOMEONE	
9	WORKING WITH DOUBLELINE, RIGHT?	
10	A. CORRECT.	11:50AM
11	Q. DO YOU RECALL THAT THERE WAS A CONCERN AT	
12	OAKTREE ABOUT REPUTATION OF RISK RELATED TO THE	
13	TRANSACTION WITH DOUBLELINE?	
14	A. NOT RELATED TO THE TRANSACTION WITH	
15	DOUBLELINE, BUT RELATED TO THINKING ABOUT HAVING AN	11:50AM
16	OUTSOURCING BUSINESS.	
17	Q. SO THE CONCERN WAS JUST WHETHER IT WOULD	
18	SOMEHOW DIMINISH OAKTREE'S RELATIONSHIP, TO HAVE A	
19	PARTNER OF THIS SORT, WHETHER OR NOT IT WAS DOUBLELINE?	
20	A. NO.	11:50AM
21	MR. HELM: OBJECTION. MISSTATES THE	
22	TESTIMONY.	
23	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
24	YOU HAVE TO REPHRASE THAT, MR. MADISON.	
25	Q. BY MR. MADISON: TELL US WHAT THE PROBLEM WAS	11:50AM
26	IN YOUR MIND, MR. DEITCH?	
27	A. ON DECEMBER 10TH, WE WERE CONSIDERING MANY	

ALTERNATIVES TO HOW WE MIGHT SUPPORT DOUBLELINE.

ONE WAS WHETHER OR NOT OAKTREE WOULD 1 2 ESTABLISH AN OUTSOURCING BUSINESS, SORT OF LIKE BANK OF 3 NEW YORK MELLON, AS THEY HAVE, AND WE OUTLINED EARLIER. 4 AND AT THAT JUNCTURE, WE ASSESSED 5 VARIOUS PROS AND CONS AND RISKS WITH BEGINNING A 11:51AM BUSINESS LIKE THAT. AND THERE WERE MULTIPLE RISKS, ONE 6 7 OF WHICH POTENTIALLY COULD BE REPUTATIONAL RISKS. 8 IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH DOUBLELINE. 9 O. NOW, LET ME TO ASK YOU TO LOOK AT 6169. 10 THIS IS ANOTHER ONE OF THE GRAPHICS THAT 11:51AM 11 WAS DEMONSTRATIVE ONLY. 12 AND WAS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT OAKTREE 13 WAS ASSISTING DOUBLELINE IN EACH OF THESE FUNCTIONS? A. IN VARIOUS CAPACITIES, YES. 14 O. ON THE ONE THAT'S THE SECOND FROM THE LEFT ON 15 11:51AM 16 THE TOP, PRE TRADE RESEARCH AND ANALYTICS, THAT WOULD 17 INCLUDE LOAN ANALYTICS, CORRECT? 18 A. THAT BOX WOULD, YES. 19 O. BUT THAT WOULD NOT BE ONE OF THE THINGS THAT 20 OAKTREE WAS ASSISTING DOUBLELINE IN BUILDING, WAS IT, 11:51AM 21 SIR? 22 I'VE ALREADY EXPLAINED, EXCEPT FOR BUILDING 23 OUT THE INTERFACE OF POSITIONS AND INTRODUCTION TO VICHARA AND INTRODUCTION OF THE DATA PROVIDERS FOR 24 25 CONTRACTING. 11:52AM 26 Q. WELL, WOULD YOU DESCRIBE WHAT OAKTREE WAS 27 DOING WITH REGARD TO THAT BOX AS BEING MARGINAL I.T. 28 INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT?

1 A. YES. 2 Q. AND IN FACT, THE TRANSITIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT ACTUALLY CALLED THAT LANGUAGE OUT, IF YOU 3 4 RECALL, DIDN'T IT, SIR? 5 A. IT DOES. 11:52AM 6 Q. IF WE CAN LOOK AT EXHIBIT 5641 AGAIN, AND GO TO PAGE 24. 7 8 AND YOU WILL SEE DOWN AT THE VERY 9 BOTTOM, IT SAYS RESEARCH AND ANALYTICS. AND AGAIN, 10 I.T. INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT ONLY. 11:52AM 11 AND THAT WAS OAKTREE'S LANGUAGE, 12 CORRECT? 13 Α. CORRECT. 14 Q. AND THERE'S A NOTE THAT SAYS MARGINAL I.T. 15 INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT, AS NECESSARY. ASSUMPTION THAT 11:52AM 16 DOUBLELINE PROGRAMMERS WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY I.T. 17 SUPPORT IN THIS FUNCTION. 18 THAT WAS OAKTREE'S CALLOUT, AS WELL, 19 CORRECT? 20 Α. CORRECT. 11:53AM 21 Ο. SO DOUBLELINE WAS TO DEVELOP, DESIGN, TEST, 22 ALL OF THE PROGRAMS THAT WERE BEING USED IN THIS 23 FUNCTION, EXCEPT FOR THE ONE THAT YOU HAVE ALREADY 24 MENTIONED, ABOUT EXTRACTING DATA FROM A DATABASE, 25 RIGHT? 11:53AM 26 Α. WELL, TO BUILD, DESIGN AND TEST, OR TO

Q. NOW, DID YOU MEET WITH DOUBLELINE'S LAWYERS TO

PURCHASE, OR TO HIRE SOMEONE TO DO THAT FOR THEM.

27

PREPARE TO TESTIFY HERE TODAY, SIR? 1 2 A. I DID. 3 AND HOW MANY HOURS DID YOU MEET WITH -- WAS IT Q. 4 THE MUNGER, TOLLES LAWYER? 5 Α. YES, IT WAS. 11:53AM O. HOW MANY HOURS DID YOU MEET WITH THEM? 6 7 WE HAD A FEW MEETINGS. Α. 8 I GUESS THREE OR FOUR MEETINGS, I WOULD 9 SAY PROBABLY ABOUT TWO HOURS EACH. 10 AND YOU TESTIFIED, I BELIEVE, ABOUT HOW Q. 11:54AM 11 OAKTREE WAS FOUNDED BY MR. MARKS AND MR. KARSH, 12 CORRECT? 13 Α. CORRECT. 14 Q. AND MR. MARKS AND MR. KARSH HAD ACTUALLY COME 15 FROM TCW; ISN'T THAT RIGHT? 11:54AM 16 Α. THEY DID. 17 SO THERE'S SOME HERITAGE THERE, THAT'S COMMON Q. 18 WITH TCW AND OAKTREE, IN THAT MR. MARKS AND MR. KARSH 19 WERE PREVIOUSLY AT TCW, RIGHT? 20 Α. I GUESS SO. 11:54AM 21 Q. WELL, MR. MARKS AND MR. KARSH WERE AT TCW 22 RIGHT BEFORE THEY LEFT AND FOUNDED OAKTREE, CORRECT?

11:54AM

Q. AND DID YOU KNOW THAT MR. MARKS AND MR. KARSH

28 GAVE 30 DAYS NOTICE THAT THEY WERE LEAVING TO START

MR. STERN WAS THE CEO AT TCW AT THAT TIME?

NO, I WAS NOT.

IN FACT, YOU ARE AWARE, AREN'T YOU, THAT

23

24

25

26

A. CORRECT.

Α.

1	THEIR OWN NEW FIRM?	
2	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION, FOUNDATION.	
3	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
4	Q. BY MR. MADISON: WELL, DO YOU HAVE ANY	
5	KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THAT, ABOUT THOSE EVENTS?	11:55AM
6	A. NO, I DON'T.	
7	Q. NOW, WE SAW IN THE TERM SHEET AND WE CAN GO	
8	BACK TO THAT, IF NECESSARY. JUST LET US KNOW.	
9	DO YOU RECALL THAT OAKTREE WAS ACQUIRING	
10	22 PERCENT OF DOUBLELINE?	11:55AM
11	A. YES.	
12	Q. AND DO YOU RECALL THAT THAT WAS FOR BASICALLY	
13	TWO TYPES OF CONSIDERATION?	
14	A. NO.	
15	Q. WELL, DO YOU REMEMBER THAT THERE WERE THESE	11:55AM
16	SERVICES THAT WERE BEING PROVIDED, WHICH I UNDERSTAND	
17	YOU WERE OVERSEEING?	
18	A. CORRECT.	
19	Q. AND THEN ALSO, OAKTREE WAS PROVIDING THE	
20	PRINCIPALS IN DOUBLELINE WITH A SMALL AMOUNT OF OAKTREE	11:55AM
21	STOCK?	
22	A. I HAD HEARD THAT.	
23	Q. AND DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU LEARNED	
24	THAT OAKTREE HAD ACTUALLY PURCHASED BACK THE STOCK THAT	
25	IT HAD GIVEN, IN EXCHANGE FOR THE SHARE OF DOUBLELINE?	11:55AM
26	A. I HEARD THAT, AS WELL.	
27	Q. AND DID YOU HEAR THAT THAT WAS FOR \$20	

28

MILLION?

1	A. NO, I DID NOT.	
2	Q. DO YOU KNOW DOES OAKTREE, TODAY, STILL OWN	
3	THE FULL 22 PERCENT OF DOUBLELINE THAT IT HAD ON DAY	
4	ONE?	
5	A. I DON'T KNOW FOR A FACT.	11:56AM
6	Q. DO YOU KNOW WHAT AMOUNT, IF ANY, OAKTREE	
7	OAKTREE IS STILL AN OWNER, RIGHT?	
8	A. I DON'T KNOW WHAT OUR OWNERSHIP PERCENTAGE IS	
9	OF DOUBLELINE.	
10	Q. NO ONE HAS EVER TOLD YOU IT WAS DIMINISHED IN	11:56AM
11	ANY WAY, RIGHT?	
12	A. NO. IT HAD NOT BEEN EXPRESSED TO ME.	
13	MR. MADISON: NOTHING FURTHER AT THIS TIME.	
14	THE COURT: ANY REDIRECT?	
15	MR WEINGART: NO, YOUR HONOR.	11:56AM
16	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
17	MR. DEITCH, THANK YOU FOR YOUR	
18	TESTIMONY.	
19	YOU MAY STEP DOWN.	
20	MR. BRIAN: YOUR HONOR, AS THE NEXT WITNESS,	11:56AM
21	WE WOULD CALL MR. ROBERT DAY, BY VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION.	
22	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
23	MR. BRIAN: YOUR HONOR, IT'S ABOUT 35, 36	
24	MINUTES; SO WE'LL WITH THE COURT'S PERMISSION, WE'LL	
25	PLAY 15 MINUTES, AND THEN BREAK FOR THE RECESS.	11:57AM
26	THE COURT: THAT'S FINE.	
27	MR. BRIAN: AND I'LL JUST ASK OUR OPERATOR TO	

FIND A CONVENIENT BREAK AROUND THERE.

1	THE COURT: SOMEONE WILL BE WATCHING THE	
2	CLOCK, I'M SURE.	
3	020011, 2 11 001121	
4	(VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MR. DAY WAS PLAYED	
5	AT THIS TIME.)	11:57AM
6		11.07111
7	MR. BRIAN: PERHAPS WE COULD BREAK IT HERE,	
8	YOUR HONOR.	
9	THE COURT: THAT'S FINE.	
10	ALL RIGHT, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. WE'LL	12:13PM
11	TAKE OUR SECOND RECESS FOR 20 MINUTES.	12.13FM
12	TARE OUR BECOMB RECESS FOR 20 MINOTES.	
13	(AT 12:13 P.M. THE FOLLOWING	
14	PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN	
15		
16	COURT OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF	
	THE JURY:)	
17		
18	THE COURT: WE'RE OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE	
19	JURY.	
20	ANY MATTERS ANYBODY WANTS TO TAKE UP?	12:13PM
21	I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE AN ACCOUNTING OF	
22	THE HOURS SPENT BY BOTH SIDES, AND LOOK AT THAT.	
23	HOW MANY WITNESSES DOES THE DEFENSE	
24	MR. BRIAN: PARDON, YOUR HONOR?	
25	THE COURT: HOW MANY WITNESSES DO THE	12:14PM
26	DEFENDANTS PLAN TO CALL?	
27	MR. BRIAN: HOW MANY?	
28	THE COURT: I GUESS WHAT IT COMES DOWN TO,	

1	THERE'S GOING HAVE TO BE SOME LIMIT ON THE	
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION. AND IF WE HAVE A NUMBER OF	
3	WITNESSES, IN THE AMOUNT OF TIME LEFT, WITH SOME	
4	FLEXIBILITY, UNDERSTANDING THIS ISN'T WE'RE NOT	
5	PUTTING OUT WIDGETS HERE. WE'RE TRYING TO GET OUT	12:14PM
6	JUSTICE. BUT THERE HAS TO BE SOME LIMIT. AND IT CAN'T	
7	JUST KEEP GOING THE WAY IT APPEARS IT'S BEEN GOING ON	
8	BOTH SIDES.	
9	SO GIVE ME THAT UPDATE. WE'LL WORK	
10	TOGETHER AND COME UP WITH A PLAN TO MAKE THIS WORK, AND	12:14PM
11	GET DONE IN THE NEXT WEEK OR SO.	
12	MR. BRIAN: PERHAPS I CAN DO THAT AFTER THE	
13	AT 2 O'CLOCK, YOUR HONOR.	
14	THE COURT: THAT'S ALL RIGHT.	
15	MR. BRIAN: I WILL TELL YOU, WE ARE	12:14PM
16	SUBSTANTIALLY PARING DOWN OUR CASE.	
17	WE'VE BEEN FILING THE HOURS WEEKLY AT	
18	THE END.	
19	THE COURT: I'VE SEEN THEM.	
20	MR. BRIAN: AND WE'VE USED	12:14PM
21	THE COURT: WELL, WE WERE AT 28 AND 16.	
22	MR. BRIAN: IT WAS 38 SOMETHING AND 22, AT	
23	SOME POINT.	
24	IN ANY EVENT, WE FULLY EXPECT TO CLOSE	
25	OUR CASE BY POSSIBLY AS EARLY AS WEDNESDAY OF NEXT	12:15PM
26	WEEK.	
27	AND OF COURSE, WE'RE OFF ON MONDAY.	
<u>-</u> /	THE OF COOKER, WE KE OFF ON HONDAI.	

THE COURT: THAT'S FINE.

12:15PM

```
1
                    AND I THINK WE'RE ON TARGET, BUT I WANT
 2
     TO KEEP IT ON TARGET. I WANT TO TELL THESE PEOPLE --
 3
     THEY ARE ASKING ME WHAT'S OUR ESTIMATE, ARE WE GOING TO
    MEET IT?
 4
 5
                    I EXPECT TO MEET IT, BUT IT WILL REQUIRE
 6
     SOME -- A LITTLE MORE LIMITATIONS THAN WE'VE HAD SO
 7
     FAR, TO MAKE IT WORK.
 8
              MR. BRIAN: VERY WELL.
9
              THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
10
11
                    (RECESS TAKEN.)
12
13
                    (THE NEXT PAGE NUMBER IS 6201.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

1	CASE NUMBER:	BC 429385	
2	CASE NAME:	TCW VS. GUNDLACH	
3	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	AUGUST 31, 2011	
4	DEPARTMENT 322	HON. CARL J. WEST, JUDGE	
5	APPEARANCES:	(AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.)	
6	REPORTER:	RAQUEL A. RODRIGUEZ, CSR	
7	TIME:	C SESSION; 12:35 P.M.	
8			
9		0	
10			12:41PM
11	THE COURT: ALL	MEMBERS OF OUR JURY ARE	
12	PRESENT, AS ARE COUNSEL.		
13	YOU CAN C	ONTINUE WITH MR. DAY'S	
14	DEPOSITION TESTIMONY.		
15	MR. BRIAN: THA	NK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	12:42PM
16			
17	(VIDEO DEPOSITI	ON PLAYED OF ROBERT DAY.) +	
18			
19	MR. BRIAN: YOU	R HONOR, I TALKED TO MR. QUINN.	
20	AND I THINK WE HAVE A ST	IPULATION. EXHIBIT 5 REFERRED	01:03PM
21	TO IN THE DEPOSITION IS	EXHIBIT 5164 THAT'S BEEN	
22	ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE.		
23	AND I WOU	LD ASK PERMISSION TO PUBLISH	
24	THAT BRIEFLY FOR THE JUR	Υ.	
25	IT'S A ON	E-PAGE DOCUMENT.	01:03PM
26	THE COURT: YES	, YOU MAY.	
27	IS THAT C	ORRECT, MR. QUINN?	
28	MR. QUINN: YES		

THE COURT: EXHIBIT 5 TO THE DEPOSITION, 1 2 LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IS THE SAME AS TRIAL EXHIBIT --3 WHAT'S THE NUMBER? 4 MR. BRIAN: 5164. THAT WAS THE EXHIBIT THE 5 WITNESS WAS SHOWN DURING THE PORTION OF THE DEPOSITION 01:04PM 6 THAT WAS SHOWN TO THE JURY. 7 THE COURT: RIGHT. 5164 HAS BEEN ADMITTED IN 8 EVIDENCE. 9 AGAIN, WE'RE GOING TO GIVE YOU A 10 CROSS-REFERENCE FOR EACH OF THE DEPOSITIONS THAT'S HAD 01:04PM 11 AN EXHIBIT. WHERE THEY'LL TALK ABOUT EXHIBIT 5 AND IT 12 WILL SHOW WHAT THE TRIAL EXHIBIT NUMBER IS. 1.3 MR. BRIAN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 14 THE COURT: YOU MAY CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS. 15 MR. HELM: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. WE CALL 01:04PM 16 PETER SULLIVAN. 17 THE CLERK: RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN, 18 SIR. 19 20 PETER SULLIVAN + 21 CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE DEFENSE WAS SWORN AND 22 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 23 24 THE CLERK: YOU DO SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE 25 TESTIMONY YOU ARE ABOUT TO GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW 26 PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT, SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE 2.7 WHOLE TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD? 28 THE WITNESS: I DO.

1	THE CLERK: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED.	
2	SIR, PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR NAME	
3	FOR THE RECORD.	
4	THE WITNESS: PETE SULLIVAN, S-U-L-L-I-V-A-N.	
5	THE COURT: YOUR FIRST NAME IS PETE OR PETER?	01:05PM
6	THE WITNESS: PETE. FORMALLY PETER.	
7	THE COURT: MR. HELM, YOU MAY PROCEED.	
8		
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION +	
10	BY MR. HELM:	01:05PM
11	Q GOOD AFTERNOON.	
12	A GOOD AFTERNOON.	
13	Q YOU ARE EMPLOYED BY TCW; IS THAT RIGHT?	
14	A THAT IS CORRECT.	
15	Q YOU WORKED THERE FOR 17 YEARS?	01:05PM
16	A YES.	
17	Q YOU HOLD THE POSITION OF VICE PRESIDENT AND	
18	SENIOR FINANCIAL ANALYST IN THE CORPORATE FINANCE	
19	DEPARTMENT, DON'T YOU?	
20	A THAT'S CORRECT.	01:05PM
21	MR. BRIAN: YOUR HONOR, I'M HAVING TROUBLE	
22	HEARING THE WITNESS. COULD HE POSSIBLY MOVE UP.	
23	THE COURT: SIR, COULD YOU MOVE UP CLOSER TO	
24	THE MICROPHONE.	
25	THE WITNESS: SURE.	01:05PM
26	THE COURT: GO AHEAD.	
27	MR. HELM: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
28	Q YOU HAVE BEEN A SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT FOR	

ABOUT FIVE YEARS; IS THAT CORRECT? 1 2 FIVE OR SIX, YES. 3 AND YOU'VE ALSO BEEN A FINANCIAL ANALYST FOR 4 TCW FOR THE PAST FIVE AREAS, CORRECT? 5 AT LEAST. 01:06PM O AND YOU HANDLE MOST OF THE CORPORATE PROFIT 6 7 AND LOSS ANALYSIS, WHICH LEADS TO MOST OF THE 8 COMPENSATION ANALYSIS FOR TCW, CORRECT? 9 Α CORRECT. 10 O AND AS PART OF YOUR JOB YOU FAMILIARIZE 01:06PM 11 YOURSELF WITH THE COMPENSATION ARRANGEMENTS WITH 12 VARIOUS INDIVIDUALS AT TCW, DON'T YOU? 1.3 A THAT IS CORRECT. 14 O AND YOU MANAGED THE PORTION OF THE TCW 15 EMPLOYEE COMPENSATION THAT IS PAID THROUGH THE PAYROLL 01:06PM 16 DEPARTMENT, CORRECT? 17 THAT IS CORRECT. Α 18 AND AS PART OF THAT MANAGEMENT ROLE, YOU 19 OVERSEE THE PAYMENT TO CERTAIN TCW EMPLOYEES OF WHAT 20 ARE CALLED INCENTIVE FEES, OR CARRIED INTEREST, 01:06PM 21 CORRECT? 22 A TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY'RE PAID THROUGH 23 PAYROLL, YES. 24 Q AND JUST -- WE'VE TOUCHED ON THIS, BRIEFLY, SO 25 WE'RE ALL ON THE SAME PAGE. THERE'S A DIFFERENCE 01:07PM 26 BETWEEN MANAGEMENT FEES AND INCENTIVE FEES, CORRECT? 2.7 A THAT IS CORRECT. 28 Q AND MANAGEMENT FEES ARE PAID AS A PERCENTAGE

1	OF ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT, CORRECT?	
2	A YES.	
3	Q AND THEY DON'T DEPEND ON HOW SUCCESSFUL THE	
4	INVESTMENTS ARE, DO THEY?	
5	A THE IF THE ASSETS GROW, THEN THE MANAGEMENT	01:07PM
6	FEE WILL GROW SOME, THERE IS SOME SUCCESS THERE.	
7	Q GOOD POINT.	
8	THEY WHAT THEY DEPEND ON ARE, IS THE	
9	AMOUNT OF ASSETS THAT ARE BEING MANAGED, CORRECT?	
10	A THAT IS CORRECT.	01:07PM
11	Q IF THE AMOUNT OF ASSETS GO UP, THE FEES GO UP,	
12	CORRECT?	
13	A CORRECT.	
14	Q IF THE AMOUNT GOES DOWN, THE FEES GO DOWN?	
15	A YES.	01:07PM
16	Q NOW, INCENTIVE FEES ARE ALSO SOMETIMES CALLED	
17	PERFORMANCE FEES, AREN'T THEY?	
18	A YES.	
19	Q INCENTIVE FEES ARE PAID AS A PERCENTAGE OF	
20	PROFITS MADE ON THE INVESTMENTS, CORRECT?	01:07PM
21	A THAT IS CORRECT.	
22	Q AND SO, GENERALLY, THERE'S A HURDLE RATE THAT	
23	HAS TO BE SATISFIED FIRST, RIGHT?	
24	A CORRECT.	
25	Q BUT AFTER THE PROFITS EXCEED A CERTAIN HURDLE	01:08PM
26	RATE AND AFTER THE INVESTOR'S CAPITAL IS RETURNED, TCW,	
27	WHEN IT SHARES AN INCENTIVE FEE, GETS A PERCENTAGE OF	
28	THE AMOUNT OVER THAT IN PROFITS, RIGHT?	

1	A CORRECT.	
2	Q NOW, YOU WERE AT TCW IN THE SPRING OF 2007,	
3	CORRECT?	
4	A YES.	
5	Q AND IN THE SPRING OF 2007, JEFFREY GUNDLACH	01:08PM
6	HAD AN EMPLOYMENT ARRANGEMENT WITH TCW THAT WAS GOING	
7	TO BE EXPIRING AT THE END OF 2007.	
8	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	
9	A THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING.	
10	Q AND IN THE SPRING OF 2007, YOU HAD	01:08PM
11	COMMUNICATIONS WITH MR. GUNDLACH ABOUT POSSIBLE CHANGES	
12	TO HIS COMPENSATION, TRUE?	
13	A TRUE.	
14	Q AND YOU WERE INVOLVED IN THOSE DISCUSSIONS	
15	WITH OTHER MEMBERS OF TCW MANAGEMENT, CORRECT?	01:09PM
16	A AT SOME LIMITED LEVEL, YES.	
17	Q AND SO, SO OTHER PEOPLE AT TCW WHO WERE	
18	INVOLVED IN THESE DISCUSSIONS INCLUDED MR. SONNEBORN,	
19	CORRECT?	
20	A CORRECT.	01:09PM
21	Q AND MR. BEYER WAS INVOLVED, WASN'T HE?	
22	A PROBABLY, YES.	
23	Q AND MR. DEVITO WAS ON SOME OF THE	
24	COMMUNICATIONS, AT LEAST YOU HAD WITH MR. GUNDLACH ON	
25	THIS SUBJECT?	01:09PM
26	A IT'S TRUE.	
27	Q AND MR. VILLA, WAS HE INVOLVED IN SOME WAY?	
28	A YES.	

1	Q NOW, AS PART OF THESE NEGOTIATIONS, SOME	
2	CHANGES WERE MADE IN THE AGREEMENT GOVERNING HOW	
3	MR. GUNDLACH WOULD BE PAID; IS THAT RIGHT?	
4	A THAT IS CORRECT.	
5	Q AND NOW WE'LL I WANT TO GO THROUGH SOME OF	01:09PM
6	THE BACK AND FORTH ON THE NEGOTIATIONS.	
7	BUT JUST SORT OF IN ADVANCE, TO TOUCH ON	
8	THE MAJOR POINTS THAT WERE ADDRESSED, ONE OF THE	
9	CHANGES THAT WAS MADE WAS THAT MR. GUNDLACH WOULD	
10	HENCEFORTH NOW BE PAYING FOR THE SALARIES AND BONUSES	01:10PM
11	OF THE PEOPLE IN HIS GROUP OUT OF WHAT OTHERWISE WOULD	
12	HAVE BEEN HIS SHARE OF THE FEE SHARING, CORRECT?	
13	A HE WOULD BE COVERING THEIR SALARIES AND	
14	BONUSES OUT OF HIS SHARE OF THE FEE SHARING, YES.	
15	Q SO, BEFORE THE SPRING OF 2007, TCW MADE SOME	01:10PM
16	CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE SALARIES AND BONUSES AND OTHER	
17	EXPENSES OF THE M.B.S. GROUP, CORRECT?	
18	A TCW COVERED PART OF THOSE COSTS, THAT IS	
19	CORRECT.	
20	Q BUT THAT CHANGED, THE LEVEL OF TCW'S	01:10PM
21	CONTRIBUTION CHANGED IN THE SPRING OF 2007, CORRECT?	
22	A CORRECT.	
23	Q AND, IN FACT, WE'LL TALK ABOUT THIS A LITTLE	
24	BIT LATER. AT SOME LATER POINT THE TCW CONTRIBUTION	
25	WAS ELIMINATED; IS THAT TRUE?	01:11PM
26	A THAT IS TRUE.	
27	Q AT SOME POINT, STARTING AROUND 2008, TCW WAS	

MAKING NO CONTRIBUTION FROM ITS SHARE OF FEE SHARING

FOR THE SALARIES AND EXPENSES OF THE M.B.S. GROUP, 1 2 CORRECT? 3 Α CORRECT. NOW, WHEN WE TALK ABOUT FEE SHARING, WHAT 4 5 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IS THAT THERE'S A POOL. A POOL IS 01:11PM 6 CREATED OF A CERTAIN PERCENTAGE OF FEES FROM VARIOUS 7 FUNDS THAT WERE MANAGED BY MR. GUNDLACH AND HIS GROUP, 8 CORRECT? 9 A CORRECT. 10 AND SO WE WOULD SPECIFY THE PERCENTAGE OF A 01:11PM 11 PARTICULAR FUND THAT WOULD GO INTO WHAT YOU SOMETIMES 12 CALL IT, A POOL, CORRECT? 1.3 Α TRUE. 14 ONCE THAT POOL WAS THEN CONSTITUTED, IT WOULD 15 GO TO MR. GUNDLACH AND HE COULD SHARE IT WITH MEMBERS 01:11PM 16 OF HIS GROUP, CORRECT? CORRECT. 17 Α 18 AND A SECOND CHANGE THAT WAS MADE IN THE 19 SPRING OF 2007 WAS THAT YOU ELIMINATED CERTAIN CAPS AND 2.0 THRESHOLDS THAT HAD PREVIOUSLY LIMITED THE EXTENT TO 01:12PM 21 WHICH MR. GUNDLACH'S COMPENSATION COULD GROW, DIDN'T 22 YOU? 23 THAT'S CORRECT. Α THERE WERE CERTAIN, IN PRIOR AGREEMENTS THAT 24 25 HAD BEEN THRESHOLDS OR GOVERNOR REGULATORS, I DON'T 01:12PM 26 KNOW, YOU USE DIFFERENT TERMS.

THEY WOULD INHIBIT THE EXTENT TO WHICH HIS COMPENSATION

BUT THERE WAS SOME LIMITATION SO THAT

2.7

COULD GROW IF THE GROUP WERE SUCCESSFUL, CORRECT? 1 2 THAT IS CORRECT. 3 AND SO IN THE SPRING OF 2007, THOSE THRESHOLDS 4 OR LIMITATIONS WERE ELIMINATED, CORRECT? 5 Α CORRECT. 01:13PM 6 O ON THE ONE HAND, HE WAS ASSUMING A GREATER 7 RESPONSIBILITY FOR PAYING THE EXPENSES OF HIS GROUP, 8 CORRECT? 9 Α THAT IS CORRECT. 10 SO, IF THINGS DIDN'T WORK OUT WELL, IF THE 01:13PM GROUP WAS NOT SUCCESSFUL, THAT WOULD BE UNFORTUNATE FOR 11 12 MR. GUNDLACH, CORRECT? 1.3 Α CORRECT. BUT, AT THE SAME TIME, THEY ALSO REMOVED SOME 14 15 LIMITATIONS THAT HAD PREVIOUSLY EXISTED ON THE EXTENT 01:13PM 16 TO WHICH HIS COMPENSATION COULD GROW, RIGHT? 17 THAT IS CORRECT. Α 18 AND IF THINGS WERE GOOD, IF HE -- IF HIS GROUP WAS GENERATING MORE FEES, THEN THAT WOULD BE MORE TO 19 2.0 MR. GUNDLACH'S BENEFIT THAN PREVIOUSLY, CORRECT? 01:13PM 21 Α THAT IS CORRECT. 22 NOW, YOU ALSO REACHED AGREEMENT IN THE SPRING 23 OF 2007 ON FEE SHARING FOR INCENTIVE FEES AND 24 MANAGEMENT FEES FOR THE SPECIAL MORTGAGE CREDIT FUNDS, 25 CORRECT? 01:14PM 26 CAN YOU RESTATE THAT? Α 2.7 Q YES.

YOU'VE HEARD OF FUNDS CALLED THE SPECIAL

1	CREDIT MORTGAGE FUNDS, CORRECT?	
2	A CORRECT.	
3	Q WE SOMETIMES CALL THOSE SMCF, CORRECT?	
4	A CORRECT.	
5	Q IN THE SPRING OF 2007 NO SMCF FUNDS HAD	01:14PM
6	ACTUALLY BEEN CREATED.	
7	DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?	
8	A I DO NOT RECALL THEIR EXACT CREATION DATE.	
9	Q ALL RIGHT.	
10	BUT DO YOU RECALL THAT, AS PART OF THE	01:14PM
11	DISCUSSIONS WITH MR. GUNDLACH, AN AGREEMENT WAS REACHED	
12	ON WHAT FEE SHARING PERCENTAGE HE WOULD GET FROM FEES	
13	THAT WERE GENERATED ON THE SMCF FUNDS? DO YOU RECALL	
14	THAT?	
15	A I DO RECALL THAT, YES.	01:14PM
16	Q AND, IT WAS AGREED THAT HE WOULD GET	
17	60 PERCENT OF THE CARRIED INTEREST THAT WAS GENERATED	
18	ON THE SMCF FUNDS.	
19	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	
20	A I DO.	01:15PM
21	Q AND HE WOULD GET 50 PERCENT OF THE MANAGEMENT	
22	FEES THAT WERE GENERATED ON THE SMCF FUNDS, CORRECT?	
23	A CORRECT.	
24	Q NOW I WANT TO TALK TO YOU A LITTLE BIT ABOUT	
25	HOW THE NEGOTIATIONS TOOK PLACE IN THE SPRING OF 2007.	01:15PM
26	DURING THAT PERIOD, BOTH YOU AND	
27	MR. GUNDLACH PREPARED SOME SPREADSHEETS WHICH SHOWED	
28	CALCULATIONS UNDER A NEW COMPENSATION ARRANGEMENT,	

1	CORRECT?	
2	A CORRECT.	
3	Q AND YOU CIRCULATED THE SPREADSHEETS BETWEEN	
4	YOURSELF AND OTHER TCW MANAGEMENT, CORRECT?	
5	A THAT'S CORRECT.	01:15PM
6	Q AND YOU TWEAKED THOSE SPREADSHEETS AS TIME	
7	WENT ON AND YOU WERE DISCUSSING THINGS, CORRECT?	
8	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
9	Q ONE SPREADSHEET WOULD BE CIRCULATED AND PEOPLE	
10	WOULD TAKE A LOOK AND SAY, I DON'T LIKE THE WAY THAT	01:16PM
11	LOOKS. WHY DON'T WE UP THIS PERCENTAGE OR REDUCE THAT	
12	PERCENTAGE. OR CHANGE THIS TERM IN SOME WAY, CORRECT?	
13	A THAT WAS COMMON, YES.	
14	Q I'D LIKE YOU TO TURN TO EXHIBIT 5031. IT'S IN	
15	YOUR BOOK.	01:16PM
16	THE COURT: LET ME TELL YOU, MR. SULLIVAN,	
17	SOMETIMES YOU CAN LOOK UP ON THE SCREEN. IT'S EASIER	
18	TO FIND THAN LOOKING AT THE BOOK. YOU MAY WANT TO TRY	
19	TO FIND THE ACTUAL COPY.	
20	THE WITNESS: OKAY.	01:16PM
21	I'LL TRY.	
22	THE COURT: GO AHEAD.	
23	BY MR. HELM:	
24	Q 5031 IS A IT INCLUDES E-MAILS IT STARTS	
25	OFF WITH AN E-MAIL THAT YOU SENT TO MR. GUNDLACH	01:16PM
26	APRIL 25TH, 2007, CORRECT?	
27	A AT THE BOTTOM OF THE PAGE, YES.	

THEN WE HAVE A RESPONSE FROM MR. GUNDLACH TO

1	YOU, CORRECT?	
2	A NOW I DO, YES.	
3	Q AND A RESPONSE FROM MR. SONNEBORN TO YOU,	
4	AMONG OTHER PEOPLE, CORRECT?	
5	A CORRECT.	01:17PM
6	MR. HELM: I'D MOVE ADMISSION OF 5031.	
7	MR. QUINN: YOUR HONOR, MY ONLY CONCERN IS	
8	PAGES -3, -4 AND	
9	THE COURT: LET ME LOOK AT THEM.	
10	MR. QUINN: THIS IS THE	01:17PM
11	THE COURT: THE ATTACHMENTS.	
12	MR. QUINN: YEAH, I DON'T KNOW WHETHER	
13	MR. HELM INTENDS TO USE THOSE. THERE'S COMPENSATION	
14	INFORMATION FOR IDENTIFIED INDIVIDUALS.	
15	MR. HELM: I DO INTEND TO USE THEM.	01:17PM
16	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
17	TO THE EXTENT THEY'RE GOING TO BE USED,	
18	WE MAY WANT TO HAVE SOME REDACTION JUST FOR THE PRIVACY	
19	RIGHTS OF THOSE INDIVIDUALS.	
20	MR. HELM: MAY I PROCEED?	01:18PM
21	THE COURT: WE'LL ADMIT IT SUBJECT TO FURTHER	
22	CONSIDERATION OF THE EXHIBITS.	
23		
24	(EXHIBIT 5031 ADMITTED.) +	
25		01:18PM
26	MR. HELM: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
27	Q NOW, AT THE BOTTOM OF THE CHAIN, ON THE BOTTOM	
28	OF PAGE 2	

1	COULD WE FIRST PUBLISH THAT TO THE JURY,	
2	PLEASE. LET'S SHOW PAGE 1. START WITH PAGE 1, PLEASE.	
3	THIS IS WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT. IF YOU	
4	GO TO PAGE 2, PLEASE. IF YOU LOOK AT THE BOTTOM OF	
5	PAGE 2, THERE'S AN E-MAIL.	01:18PM
6	THIS IS AN E-MAIL FROM YOU TO	
7	MR. GUNDLACH, MR. SONNEBORN, AND MR. BEYER, CORRECT?	
8	A CORRECT.	
9	Q AND YOU WROTE THIS E-MAIL DURING THE PERIOD	
10	WHEN YOU WERE EXCHANGING SPREADSHEETS WHICH SHOW	01:18PM
11	CALCULATIONS UNDER THE NEW PROPOSED COMPENSATION	
12	SCHEME, CORRECT?	
13	A THAT IS CORRECT.	
14	Q AND IN THIS E-MAIL YOU WRITE: SEE ATTACHED	
15	FOR ANALYSIS OF EXISTING VERSUS NEW DEAL ECONOMICS,	01:19PM
16	CORRECT?	
17	A CORRECT.	
18	Q WHAT'S ATTACHED IS AN EXAMPLE OF THE KIND OF	
19	SPREADSHEETS THAT YOU SENT BACK AND FORTH, CORRECT?	
20	A I WOULD ASSUME SO.	01:19PM
21	Q SO, IF WE LOOK AT THE NEXT PAGE, PAGE 3 OF	
22	EXHIBIT 5031	
23	MR. QUINN: COULD I ASK THE FEED BE CUT IF	
24	IT'S GOING TO BE DISPLAYED, YOUR HONOR?	
25	THE COURT: PAGE 3.	01:19PM
26	MR. QUINN: THE DIGITAL FEED.	
27	THE COURT: PAGE 3, AS I SEE IT	

MR. HELM: I HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THE FEED,

1	YOUR HONOR.	
2	THE COURT: LET'S HOLD BACK.	
3	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, MAKE NOTE OF THE	
4	EXHIBIT NUMBER. THERE MAY BE INFORMATION ON HERE	
5	THAT'S PRIVACY PROTECTED FOR OTHER PEOPLE, NOT PARTIES	01:20PM
6	TO THIS. AND SO WE WOULDN'T WANT TO PUT IT OUT.	
7	WE'LL HAVE TO LOOK AT THAT. WE DON'T	
8	HAVE TIME TO DO IT RIGHT NOW.	
9	LET'S GO AHEAD AND HOLD OFF. YOU MAY	
10	QUESTION HIM ABOUT IT.	01:20PM
11	MR. HELM: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
12	Q IF WE COULD LOOK AT PAGE 3, DENNIS, PLEASE.	
13	IF YOU GO TO THE TOP, IT SAYS: SUMMARY	
14	PRO FORMA COMPARISON OF EXISTING VERSUS NEW DEAL	
15	ECONOMICS, CORRECT? DO YOU SEE THAT?	01:20PM
16	A YES, I DO.	
17	Q THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF A KIND OF SPREADSHEETS	
18	THAT BEING CIRCULATED IN THE SPRING OF 2007; ISN'T THAT	
19	RIGHT?	
20	A THAT IS CORRECT.	01:20PM
21	Q SO IF WE LOOK AT THIS PRO FORMA, WE CAN SEE	
22	SOME WAYS THAT THE NEW DEAL DIFFERED FROM THE OLD DEAL,	
23	CORRECT?	
24	A CORRECT.	
25	Q AND ONE WAY IS THAT THERE ARE DIFFERENT	01:20PM
26	WERE DIFFERENT PERCENTAGES FROM PARTICULAR FUND GROUPS	
27	THAT WERE CONTRIBUTED TO THE POOL, CORRECT?	
28	A CORRECT. ALTHOUGH YOU DON'T SEE THAT ON THIS	

```
PARTICULAR SCHEDULE.
 1
 2
         O WELL, LET'S --
 3
                    ACTUALLY, COULD WE TURN TO PAGE 4,
 4
     PLEASE, DENNIS. AND IF YOU COULD DO THE TOP PART --
 5
    ACTUALLY DO THE TOP HALF.
                                                                 01:21PM
 6
                    IF YOU SEE --
              THE COURT: WELL, THIS HAS THE SAME ISSUES,
 7
    DOESN'T IT?
 8
 9
                    YES, IT DOES.
              THE WITNESS: THERE ARE NAMES ON THE LEFT.
10
                                                                01:21PM
11
              THE COURT: WE SHOULDN'T BE PUBLISHING IT.
12
              MR. HELM: COULD WE PUBLISH THIS TO THE JURY,
1.3
    YOUR HONOR?
              THE COURT: NO. I SAID WE SHOULDN'T PUBLISH
14
15
    THIS.
                                                                 01:21PM
              MR. HELM: I'M SORRY. I MISUNDERSTOOD YOU. I
16
    APOLOGIZE.
17
18
                    YOUR HONOR, THE PEOPLE WHO ARE SHOWN
19
    HERE, FOR THE MOST PART, ARE AT DOUBLELINE RIGHT NOW.
20
    GUNDLACH, BARACH, GALLIGAN.
                                                                 01:21PM
21
              THE COURT: WE'LL HAVE TO DEAL WITH IT. I
22
    DON'T THINK WE OUGHT TO DEAL WITH IT IN THIS CONTEXT.
23
                    GO AHEAD.
24
              MR. HELM: ALL RIGHT.
25
              IT SHOULD STILL BE ON YOUR SCREEN, SIR.
         Q
                                                                 01:21PM
26
         Α
             YES, I SEE IT.
2.7
             DO YOU SEE, UNDER THE M.B.S. LINE, THERE'S A
```

LINE THAT SAYS 48 PERCENT, SHOWING THE PERSONAL OF FEE

1	SHARING?	
2	A YES, I DO.	
3	Q AND SO, IT USED TO BE THAT THE FEE SHARING ON	
4	CERTAIN M.B.S. PRODUCTS WAS 45 PERCENT FOR THE FIRST	
5	25 MILLION AND 50 PERCENT AFTER THAT, CORRECT?	01:22PM
6	A THAT IS CORRECT.	
7	Q AND IN THE NEW DEAL IT'S CHANGED TO A FLAT	
8	48 PERCENT FOR THE M.B.S. GROUPS, CORRECT?	
9	A CORRECT.	
10	Q AND THAT'S SHOWN ON PAGE 4 OF THAT EXHIBIT,	01:22PM
11	CORRECT?	
12	A CORRECT.	
13	Q AND IF YOU LOOK BACK ON PAGE 3 AT THE GROSS	
14	FEE SHARING LINE NEAR THE TOP, UNDER GROSS FEE SHARING	
15	TOTAL, DO YOU SEE THAT?	01:22PM
16	A YES, I DO.	
17	Q IT SAYS: \$113.5 MILLION.	
18	CORRECT?	
19	A I SEE THAT.	
20	Q AND THEN NEXT TO IT THAT'S UNDER THE	01:22PM
21	EXISTING ECONOMICS, CORRECT?	
22	A CORRECT.	
23	Q BUT TOTAL FEE SHARING FOR THE NEW DEAL	
24	ECONOMICS COLUMN IS: \$109.3 MILLION.	
25	CORRECT?	01:23PM
26	A IN GROSS, CORRECT.	
27	Q SO THAT'S A NEGATIVE VARIANCE OF 4.2 MILLION,	
28	CORRECT?	

1	A CORRECT.	
2	Q SO THAT MEANT AT THE LEVEL OF \$222 MILLION IN	
3	REVENUE, WITH CERTAIN ASSUMPTIONS, THAT CHANGES TO THE	
4	COMPENSATION SCHEME RESULTED IN \$4.2 MILLION LESS BEING	
5	PAID TO THE PROFIT SHARING FEE, CORRECT?	01:23PM
6	A THAT IS NOT CORRECT.	
7	Q FOR THE GROSS FEE SHARING COMPONENT OF IT?	
8	A FOR THE GROSS FEE SHARING COMPONENT OF IT,	
9	YES.	
10	Q FAIR POINT.	01:23PM
11	SO THEN THE GROSS AMOUNT THAT WENT IN	
12	WOULD BE LESS, CORRECT?	
13	A CORRECT.	
14	Q THAT'S BECAUSE THERE WERE CERTAIN ALTERATIONS	
15	AND CERTAIN IN CERTAIN FEATURES OF THE SPREADSHEET,	01:23PM
16	RIGHT?	
17	A YES.	
18	Q NOW, UNDER THE OLD SCHEME, I THINK, AS WE'VE	
19	DISCUSSED, TCW MADE A CONTRIBUTION BASED ON CERTAIN	
20	PERCENTAGES OF SALARY AND BONUSES FOR CERTAIN EMPLOYEES	01:24PM
21	IN MR. GUNDLACH'S GROUP, CORRECT?	
22	A CORRECT.	
23	Q AND UNDER THE NEW SCHEME, THAT CHANGED FROM A	
24	PERCENTAGE OF CERTAIN SALARIES AND WENT TO A FLAT	
25	AMOUNT, CORRECT?	01:24PM
26	A THAT IS CORRECT.	
27	Q AND THE ECONOMIC EFFECT OF THIS CHANGE WAS	
0.0		

THAT THE EXPENSES OF SALARIES AND BONUSES WERE NOW

1	BEING PAID OUT OF MR. GUNDLACH'S PART OF THE POOL,	
2	CORRECT?	
3	A THAT IS CORRECT.	
4	Q AND AS WE SAID, INITIALLY, EVENTUALLY, THE TCW	
5	CONTRIBUTION WAS ELIMINATED ENTIRELY, RIGHT?	01:24PM
6	A CORRECT.	
7	Q ALL RIGHT.	
8	I'D ASK YOU TO LOOK AT EXHIBIT 5035,	
9	PLEASE.	
10	NOW I'D LIKE YOU TO LOOK AT THE BOTTOM	01:25PM
11	E-MAIL ON PAGE 2.	
12	THIS IS AN E-MAIL FROM MR. SONNEBORN TO	
13	YOU, MR. DEVITO, MR. VILLA, AND MR. BEYER, CORRECT?	
14	A CORRECT.	
15	Q AND IT SEEMS TO BE RESPONDING TO AN E-MAIL	01:25PM
16	BELOW THAT, WHERE YOU HAD SAID:	
17	SEE ATTACHED FOR REVISED	
18	COMPENSATION ANALYSIS.	
19	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
20	A I DON'T. IT'S PRETTY LONG E-MAIL CHAIN. I'M	01:25PM
21	NOT FINDING IT.	
22	Q DO YOU SEE THE ONE AT THE BOTTOM HALF OF	
23	PAGE 2, SONNEBORN TO SULLIVAN AND OTHERS?	
24	A YES.	
25	Q AND THEN THE ONE IMMEDIATELY BELOW THAT, IT	01:26PM
26	SAYS: FROM PETE SULLIVAN. RIGHT AT THE RIGHT AT	
27	THE BOTTOM OF PAGE 2. THEN YOU CAN SEE IT'S FROM	

SONNEBORN, GUNDLACH, DEVITO.

1	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
2	A CORRECT.	
3	Q THE FIRST LINE OF THAT IS:	
4	JEFFREY, SEE ATTACHED FOR	
5	REVISED COMPENSATION ANALYSIS.	01:26PM
6	A YES.	
7	MR. HELM: I'D MOVE ADMISSION OF 5035, YOUR	
8	HONOR.	
9	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	
10	MR. QUINN: NO OBJECTION.	01:26PM
11	THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	
12		
13	(EXHIBIT 5035 ADMITTED.) +	
14		
15	MR. HELM: ALL RIGHT. IF WE COULD PUBLISH	01:26PM
16	PAGE 2 OF THAT, PLEASE.	
17	Q NOW, MR. SONNEBORN WRITES HERE: JUST SAW	
18	THIS.	
19	NOW, THIS IS AN E-MAIL FROM HIM TO YOU,	
20	MR. DEVITO, MR. VILLA, AND A COPY TO MR. BEYER,	01:26PM
21	CORRECT?	
22	A CORRECT.	
23	Q MR. GUNDLACH IS NOT ON THIS PART OF THE CHAIN,	
24	CORRECT?	
25	A THAT'S CORRECT.	01:27PM
26	Q IT SAYS:	
27	JUST SAW THIS. THIS LOOKS LIKE	
28	A PRETTY ATTRACTIVE DEAL RELATIVE	

1	TO WHERE WE WERE, CORRECT?	
2	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
3	A I DO.	
4	Q THEN IF WE LOOK AT THE TOP E-MAIL ON PAGE 1,	
5	IT SAYS THE LAST LINE OF THAT E-MAIL SAYS:	01:27PM
6	I THINK IT'S AWESOME. YOU AND	
7	PETE DID THIS PERFECTLY.	
8	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
9	A I DO.	
10	Q NOW, YOU RECALL TCW MANAGEMENT WAS PLEASED	01:27PM
11	WITH CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE NEW GUNDLACH	
12	COMPENSATION ARRANGEMENT THAT WAS BEING DISCUSSED IN	
13	THIS E-MAIL, CORRECT?	
14	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
15	Q AND YOU RECALL THAT ONE REASON MANAGEMENT WAS	01:27PM
16	PLEASED WAS THAT THE NEW DEAL HELPED TO CREATE A STABLE	
17	MARGIN WITHIN THE BUSINESS, CORRECT?	
18	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
19	Q AND HAVING A STABLE MARGIN WITHIN THE BUSINESS	
20	WAS A VALUE FOR TCW'S DISCUSSIONS WITH ITS PARENT,	01:28PM
21	SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE, CORRECT?	
22	A CORRECT.	
23	Q THAT WAS BECAUSE IT ALLOWED SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE	
24	TO BE INDIFFERENT TO FLUCTUATIONS IN REVENUE, EITHER	
25	THE UPSIDE OR THE DOWNSIDE, BECAUSE EXPENSES WOULD	01:28PM
26	FOLLOW IT, RIGHT?	
27	A CORRECT.	
28	Q NOW, ANOTHER REASON WHY MANAGEMENT WAS PLEASED	

1	WITH THE NEW DEAL WAS THAT IT CREATED INDIFFERENCE TO	
2	STAFFING REQUIREMENTS, RIGHT?	
3	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
4	Q IT DIDN'T MATTER TO TCW HOW MUCH STAFF WAS PUT	
5	INTO THE DEPARTMENT, RIGHT?	01:28PM
6	A IT MATTERS INCREMENTALLY DUE TO OTHER	
7	EXPENSES, BUT IT DIDN'T MATTER DUE TO COMPENSATION	
8	EXPENSES.	
9	Q OKAY. FAIR POINT.	
10	THE REASON IT DIDN'T MATTER TO THE	01:29PM
11	COMPENSATION EXPENSE WAS THAT MR. GUNDLACH WAS NOW	
12	PAYING FOR ANY COMPENSATION EXPENSES RESULTING FROM	
13	INCREASED STAFFING IN HIS GROUP, CORRECT?	
14	A CORRECT.	
15	Q SO THAT PROTECTED TCW AGAINST COMPENSATION	01:29PM
16	COSTS THAT MIGHT BE INCURRED IF THE GROUP GOT BIGGER,	
17	RIGHT?	
18	A YES.	
19	Q AND IF WE GO BACK TO THIS E-MAIL, 5035, BOTTOM	
20	OF PAGE 2, RIGHT AFTER WHERE WE HIGHLIGHTED BEFORE,	01:29PM
21	THIS IS MR. SONNEBORN. IT SAYS:	
22	WE HAVE GREATER DOWNSIDE	
23	PROTECTION VERSUS THE CURRENT DEAL.	
24	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
25	A I DO.	01:29PM
26	Q AND YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT TO MEAN THAT GREATER	
27	DOWNSIDE PROTECTION WAS THAT IF THINGS WENT BADLY, IF	

THE REVENUES DROPPED, SINCE MR. GUNDLACH WAS THE ONE

1	WHO WAS PAYING THE EXPENSES, THAT PROTECTED TCW'S	
2	DOWNSIDE RISK, CORRECT?	
3	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
4	Q THE NEXT SENTENCE SAYS:	
5	WE SAVE SOME MONEY ON THE	01:30PM
6	CURRENT BOOK OF BUSINESS VERSUS THE	
7	CURRENT DEAL AND ONLY GIVE	
8	ECONOMICS ON THE UPSIDE.	
9	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
10	A I DO.	01:30PM
11	Q THE TCW SAVE SOME MONEY ON THE CURRENT BOOK OF	
12	BUSINESS VERSUS THE CURRENT DEAL, THAT FIRST LINE, THAT	
13	WAS ACCURATE, WAS IT NOT? IT WAS ASSUMPTIONS OF WHAT	
14	THE CURRENT BUSINESS BOOK OF BUSINESS WOULD BE; TCW DID	
15	ACTUALLY DID BETTER ON THIS ARRANGEMENT, CORRECT?	01:30PM
16	A AT THAT TIME IN TIME, THAT IS CORRECT.	
17	Q AND AS FOR THE SECOND PART OF THAT STATEMENT:	
18	ONLY GIVE AWAY ECONOMICS ON THE	
19	UPSIDE.	
20	THAT WAS BECAUSE WHAT WE TALKED ABOUT	01:31PM
21	BEFORE, THAT CERTAIN CAPS AND THRESHOLDS WERE	
22	ELIMINATED THAT HAD PREVIOUSLY PREVENTED MR. GUNDLACH'S	
23	COMPENSATION FROM GROWING UNDER CERTAIN SCENARIOS,	
24	CORRECT?	
25	A I'M SORRY. COULD YOU RESTATE THAT.	01:31PM
26	Q WHAT IT SAYS: WE ONLY GIVE AWAY ECONOMICS ON	
27	THE UPSIDE.	

THAT MEANS WHERE YOU WERE -- YOU WERE

1	GETTING SOMETHING IN TERMS OF PROTECTING AGAINST THE	
2	DOWNSIDE, CORRECT? WE JUST TALKED ABOUT DOWNSIDE,	
3	CORRECT?	
4	A YES.	
5	Q SO, WHAT YOU WERE GIVING, IN OTHER WORDS, A	01:31PM
6	BENEFIT THAT MR. GUNDLACH WAS GIVING, WAS GIVING AWAY	
7	ECONOMICS ON THE UPSIDE. SO IT MEANT YOU'RE ONLY WORSE	
8	AS AGAINST THE CURRENT DEAL, IF THINGS GET BETTER,	
9	RIGHT?	
10	A I JUST DON'T KNOW THAT I WOULD PHRASE IT THAT	01:31PM
11	WAY.	
12	Q HOW WOULD YOU PHRASE IT?	
13	A I WOULD SAY THAT THE POOL WAS BETTER OFF TO	
14	THE UPSIDE.	
15	Q OKAY.	01:32PM
16	FAIR ENOUGH.	
17	MR. SONNEBORN WRITES AFTER THAT:	
18	BUT DO SO TALKING ABOUT GIVE	
19	AWAY ON THE UPSIDE BUT DO SO	
20	ONLY UNDER THE ASSUMPTION YOU	01:32PM
21	CLEVERLY BUILT IN THAT UNDER THE	
22	CURRENT BILL THEY WOULDN'T HIRE	
23	ANOTHER 40 PEOPLE WITH TCW PICKING	
24	UP 75 PERCENT OF THE TAB.	
25	DO YOU SEE THAT?	01:32PM
26	A I DO.	
27	Q AND THAT'S A REFERENCE TO WHAT WE TALKED ABOUT	
28	BEFORE, ABOUT TCW IS NOW INDIFFERENT TO STAFFING	

1	BECAUSE STAFFING COMPENSATION IS COMING OUT OF THE	
2	POOL, CORRECT?	
3	A CORRECT.	
4	Q IF WE LOOK AT THE BOTTOM OF THE FIRST PAGE, IT	
5	STARTS THE FIRST PAGE, THERE'S AN E-MAIL FROM YOU TO	01:32PM
6	MR. DEVITO, MR. SONNEBORN, AND IF WE GO TO PAGE 2, WE	
7	CAN SEE IT'S CC'D TO MR. VILLA.	
8	DO YOU SEE THERE IT SAYS:	
9	JUST OFF THE PHONE WITH JEFFREY,	
10	AND ANSWERED A FEW QUESTIONS FOR	01:33PM
11	HIM. HE IS COMFORTABLE AT THIS	
12	STAGE WITH THE ANALYSIS AS AM I.	
13	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
14	A CORRECT.	
15	IS THAT MR. SONNEBORN WRITING THAT?	01:33PM
16	Q THIS IS YOU WRITING THAT. LET'S GO BACK TO	
17	THE BOTTOM OF THE FIRST PAGE. JUST SO WE GET IT CLEAR.	
18	THIS IS AN E-MAIL THAT YOU WROTE ON MAY THE 1ST,	
19	CORRECT?	
20	A OKAY.	01:33PM
21	Q SO IF WE COULD GO BACK TO PAGE 2, PLEASE.	
22	AND THE NEXT SECOND PARAGRAPH SAYS:	
23	EVEN THOUGH WE LOSE THE	
24	THRESHOLD ADJUSTMENTS, JEFFREY DOES	
25	SEEM TO HAVE PUT THE INCENTIVES IN	01:34PM
26	THE RIGHT PLACES.	
27	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
28	A YES.	

1	Q	AND THAT ACCURATELY STATED YOUR VIEW AT THE	
2	TIME, DI	DN'T IT?	
3	А	THAT'S CORRECT.	
4	Q	AND PART OF WHAT YOU MEANT BY THE INCENTIVES	
5	BEING IN	THE RIGHT PLACE WAS THAT TCW PREFERRED TO PAY	01:34PM
6	PEOPLE M	ORE BASED ON PERFORMANCE, RATHER THAN GIVE THEM	
7	COMPENSA	TION SIMPLY BECAUSE MANAGEMENT FEES WERE	
8	GROWING,	CORRECT?	
9	А	THAT WOULD BE A BENEFIT OF IT, YES.	
10	Q	THAT WAS A PREFERENCE THAT TCW GENERALLY HAD	01:34PM
11	AT THE T	IME, NOT JUST WITH RESPECT TO MR. GUNDLACH,	
12	CORRECT?		
13	A	THAT WAS CONSISTENT WITH THE TCW PRACTICE,	
14	YES.		
15	Q	AND YOU RECALL GETTING SOMETHING FROM	01:34PM
16	DAVE DEV	ITO COMPLIMENTING YOU ON THIS NEW COMPENSATION	
17	ARRANGEM	ENT FOR MR. GUNDLACH, DON'T YOU?	
18	А	I DO BELIEVE HE DID.	
19	Q	NOW IF YOU COULD LOOK AT EXHIBIT 5037.	
20		THIS IS THE SAME CHAIN WE WERE LOOKING	01:35PM
21	AT BEFOR	E WITH EXHIBIT 5035, BUT ON THE TOP IS A NEW	
22	E-MAIL F	ROM YOU TO MR. DEVITO DATED MAY 4TH.	
23		DO YOU SEE THAT?	
24	А	I DO.	
25		MR. HELM: I'D MOVE ADMISSION OF 5037, YOUR	01:35PM
26	HONOR.		
27		MR. QUINN: NO OBJECTION.	

THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.

1	(EXHIBIT 5037 ADMITTED.) +	
2		
3	BY MR. HELM:	
4	Q NOW, IN THE TOP E-MAIL HERE, IT SAYS:	
5	SEE ATTACHED FOR FORECASTED 2007	01:35PM
6	AND GROWTH MODELS FOR 2008 TO 2011	
7	FOR THE M.B.S., CMG PROFITS POOL.	
8	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
9	A I DO.	
10	Q THEN IT SAYS:	01:36PM
11	BILL WANTS A FIVE-YEAR FORECAST	
12	TO MATCH THE TERM OF JEFFREY'S	
13	DEAL.	
14	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
15	A I DO.	01:36PM
16	Q AND YOU UNDERSTOOD IN MAY OF 2007 THAT THE	
17	TERM OF THE DEAL BEING DISCUSSED WITH MR. GUNDLACH WAS	
18	FOR FIVE YEARS, CORRECT?	
19	A I HAD SEEN A COPY OF THE DRAFT, YES.	
20	Q AND THAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING AS YOU WERE	01:36PM
21	CIRCULATING THESE FORECASTS AND RESPONDING TO QUESTIONS	
22	THAT HAD BEEN RAISED, CORRECT?	
23	A CORRECT.	
24	Q AND THAT'S WHY THE FIVE-YEAR FORECAST THAT	
25	BILL WAS REQUESTING WOULD MATCH THE DEAL, RIGHT?	01:36PM
26	MR. QUINN: CALLS FOR SPECULATION. OBJECT TO	
27	THE FORM.	
28	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	

1	THE WITNESS: IT WAS GENERALLY TCW'S PRACTICE	
2	TO ATTEMPT TO FORECAST SEVERAL YEARS OUT IN NEGOTIATING	
3	A DEAL.	
4	BY MR. HELM:	
5	Q BUT IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, YOU UNDERSTOOD	01:37PM
6	BILL WANTED A FIVE-YEAR FORECAST TO MATCH THE TERM OF	
7	JEFFREY'S DEAL, DIDN'T YOU, SIR?	
8	A I MAY HAVE BEEN ASSUMING THAT.	
9	Q BUT THAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING AT THE TIME,	
10	CORRECT?	01:37PM
11	A YES.	
12	Q AND YOU PROVIDED SUCH A FIVE-YEAR FORECAST,	
13	DIDN'T YOU, SIR?	
14	A I DID.	
15	Q AT NO TIME DID YOU EVER INDICATE TO	01:37PM
16	MR. GUNDLACH THAT THERE WAS A DEAL TERM OTHER THAN FIVE	
17	YEARS THAT WAS BEING DISCUSSED DURING THESE	
18	NEGOTIATIONS, DID YOU, SIR?	
19	A I WOULD NOT HAVE DISCUSSED THAT WITH HIM, NO.	
20	Q YOU NEVER SAID THAT, DID YOU?	01:37PM
21	A NO.	
22	Q AND MR. GUNDLACH NEVER INDICATED TO YOU THAT	
23	HE UNDERSTOOD THAT THERE WAS A DEAL TERM BEING	
24	DISCUSSED, OTHER THAN FOR A FIVE-YEAR TERM, DID HE,	
25	SIR?	01:37PM
26	A I DON'T GENERALLY DISCUSS TERM WITH ANY OF OUR	
27	PORTFOLIO MANAGERS.	

IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, MR. GUNDLACH NEVER

1	TOLD YOU ANYTHING INCONSISTENT WITH THE VIEW THAT HE	
2	UNDERSTOOD THERE WAS A FIVE-YEAR DEAL TERM, TRUE?	
3	A WE NEVER TALKED ABOUT THAT.	
4	Q SO THE ANSWER TO MY QUESTION IS YES?	
5	A YES.	01:38PM
6	Q NOW, YOU RECALL THAT AN ISSUE AROSE IN MAY	
7	ABOUT WHAT PAYMENT TO MAKE FOR THE FIRST QUARTER OF	
8	2007, DON'T YOU?	
9	A I DO.	
10	Q NOW, THE PARTIES HAD DISCUSSED MAKING THE TERM	01:38PM
11	OF THIS DEAL RUN FOR FIVE YEARS, STARTING RETROACTIVELY	
12	AT JANUARY 1 OF THAT YEAR, RIGHT?	
13	Q BUT THE PAYMENTS FOR THE	
14	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
15	Q BUT THE PAYMENTS FOR THE FIRST QUARTER ARE	01:38PM
16	ACTUALLY MADE AT THE END OF MAY, GENERALLY SPEAKING;	
17	ISN'T THAT RIGHT?	
18	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
19	Q SO THE ISSUE AROSE IN MAY OF 2007 WHETHER THE	
20	FIRST QUARTER 2007 PAYMENT SHOULD BE MADE UNDER THE OLD	01:39PM
21	SCHEME OR THE NEW SCHEME.	
22	YOU RECALL THAT?	
23	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
24	Q LET'S LOOK AT EXHIBIT 61.	
25	THE TOP E-MAIL IS FROM MR. CAHILL TO	01:39PM
26	MR. GUNDLACH WITH COPIES TO YOU AND MR. SONNEBORN,	
27	CORRECT?	
28	A CORRECT.	

Q AND BELOW THAT IS AN E-MAIL FROM MR. GUNDLACH	
TO MR. CAHILL, WITH COPIES TO YOU AND MR. SONNEBORN,	
CORRECT?	
A CORRECT.	
MR. HELM: MOVE ADMISSION OF EXHIBIT 61, YOUR	01:39PM
HONOR.	
THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	
MR. QUINN: NO OBJECTION.	
THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	
	01:39PM
(EXHIBIT 61 ADMITTED.) +	
MR. HELM: IF WE CAN PUBLISH THAT, PLEASE.	
Q NOW, WHY DON'T WE START START AT THE BOTTOM	
OF PAGE 1. IT'S FROM MR. CAHILL TO MR. GUNDLACH, DATED	01:40PM
FRIDAY MAY 25TH, CORRECT?	
A YES.	
Q LET'S LOOK AT THE BOTTOM SENTENCE THAT CARRIES	
OVER. IT SAYS:	
ALSO, THERE IS A FEE SHARING	01:40PM
PAYMENT COMING UP SOON. AND WE	
NEED TO KNOW IF WE GO UNDER THE NEW	
ARRANGEMENT.	
SO FEE SHARING PAYMENT IS COMING UP. WE NEED	
TO KNOW IF WE GO UNDER THE NEW ARRANGEMENT. THIS IS	01:40PM
MR. CAHILL TO MR. GUNDLACH:	
CAN YOU CONFIRM WITH ME THAT YOU	
	TO MR. CAHILL, WITH COPIES TO YOU AND MR. SONNEBORN, CORRECT? A CORRECT. MR. HELM: MOVE ADMISSION OF EXHIBIT 61, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION? MR. QUINN: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 61 ADMITTED.) + MR. HELM: IF WE CAN PUBLISH THAT, PLEASE. Q NOW, WHY DON'T WE START START AT THE BOTTOM OF PAGE 1. IT'S FROM MR. CAHILL TO MR. GUNDLACH, DATED FRIDAY MAY 25TH, CORRECT? A YES. Q LET'S LOOK AT THE BOTTOM SENTENCE THAT CARRIES OVER. IT SAYS: ALSO, THERE IS A FEE SHARING PAYMENT COMING UP SOON. AND WE NEED TO KNOW IF WE GO UNDER THE NEW ARRANGEMENT. SO FEE SHARING PAYMENT IS COMING UP. WE NEED TO KNOW IF WE GO UNDER THE NEW ARRANGEMENT. THIS IS MR. CAHILL TO MR. GUNDLACH:

1	ARRANGEMENT, ASSUMING WE DON'T GET	
2	THIS FINALIZED TUESDAY.	
3	AND THEN IF WE COULD SEE BACK ON THE FIRST	
4	PAGE.	
5	THAT'S NOW MR. GUNDLACH TO MR. CAHILL,	01:41PM
6	WITH COPIES TO YOU AND MR. SONNEBORN. FIRST LINE IS:	
7	YES. WE SHOULD GO UNDER THE NEW	
8	ARRANGEMENT. EVERYONE HAS AGREED	
9	TO EVERYTHING IN GOOD FAITH.	
10	THAT'S WHAT MR. GUNDLACH SAID ON MAY THE 25TH,	01:41PM
11	CORRECT?	
12	A THAT'S WHAT HE SAID, YES.	
13	Q THEN MR. CAHILL RESPONDS WE CAN GO TO THE	
14	TOP:	
15	THANKS.	01:41PM
16	CORRECT?	
17	A I SEE THAT. I DON'T THINK IT'S IN RESPONSE TO	
18	WHAT YOU HIGHLIGHTED, THOUGH.	
19	Q NOW, YOU DON'T RECALL MR. SONNEBORN EVER	
20	RESPONDING IN ANY WAY THAT CONTRADICTED THE NOTION THAT	01:42PM
21	EVERYONE HAD AGREED TO EVERYTHING IN GOOD FAITH, DO	
22	YOU, SIR?	
23	MR. QUINN: THAT'S VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS.	
24	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
25	BY MR. HELM:	01:42PM
26	Q DO YOU RECALL MR. SONNEBORN MAKING ANY COMMENT	
27	WHICH SUGGESTED THAT HE DID NOT THINK THAT EVERYONE HAD	
28	AGREED TO EVERYTHING IN GOOD FAITH?	

1	MR. QUINN: EVERYTHING IS VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS.	
2	THE COURT: WELL, I'LL SUSTAIN IT AS TO THIS	
3	WITNESS.	
4	YOU CAN ASK A DIRECT QUESTION OF HIM.	
5	OR MAYBE THERE'S ANOTHER WITNESS YOU CAN DEAL WITH ON	01:42PM
6	THAT.	
7	BY MR. HELM:	
8	Q THERE'S A STATEMENT IN HERE THAT EVERYONE'S	
9	AGREED TO EVERYTHING IN GOOD FAITH, CORRECT?	
10	A THAT'S JEFFREY'S STATEMENT, YES.	01:42PM
11	Q DID YOU HEAR SONNEBORN SAYING ANYTHING	
12	SUGGESTING THAT HE DISAGREED WITH THAT STATEMENT?	
13	MR. QUINN: VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS.	
14	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
15	THE WITNESS: I NEVER DISCUSSED THAT WITH	01:42PM
16	MR. SONNEBORN.	
17	BY MR. HELM:	
18	Q YOU NEVER HEARD HIM SAY ANYTHING THAT	
19	DISAGREED WITH THAT STATEMENT, THAT'S TRUE, ISN'T IT?	
20	A THAT'S TRUE.	01:43PM
21	Q LET'S LOOK AT EXHIBIT 63 IF WE COULD.	
22	NOW, THIS STARTS AT THE TOP WITH AN	
23	E-MAIL FROM YOU TO MR. SONNEBORN, DATED MAY THE 30TH,	
24	CORRECT?	
25	A YES. I SEE THAT.	01:43PM
26	MR. HELM: MOVE ADMISSION OF EXHIBIT 63, YOUR	
27	HONOR.	

MR. QUINN: NO OBJECTION.

1	THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	
2		
3	(EXHIBIT 63 ADMITTED.) +	
4		
5	BY MR. HELM:	01:43PM
6	Q IF WE LOOK AT THE BOTTOM	
7	LET'S PUBLISH THAT.	
8	LOOK AT THE BOTTOM E-MAIL. THIS IS FROM	
9	YOU TO MR. SONNEBORN WITH COPIES TO DEVITO, VILLA, AND	
10	CAHILL, CORRECT?	01:43PM
11	A CORRECT.	
12	Q MAY THE 30TH OF 2007, CORRECT?	
13	A CORRECT.	
14	Q AND IT STARTS OFF:	
15	BILL, PAYROLL M.B.S., CMG FEE	01:43PM
16	SHARING CHECKS ARE READY TO GO.	
17	GUNDLACH SAYS WE HAVE A HANDSHAKE	
18	AGREEMENT FOR A NEW DEAL.	
19	YOU WROTE THAT, CORRECT, SIR?	
20	A I DID.	01:44PM
21	Q NOW, MR. SONNEBORN WRITES BACK ABOVE THAT:	
22	NO CONTRACT YET - BUT THESE	
23	PAYMENTS TOTAL LESS THAN WHAT WE	
24	WOULD HAVE PAID UNDER THE OLD DEAL,	
25	SO SHOULDN'T BE A PROBLEM.	01:44PM
26	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
27	A YES.	
28	Q YOU UNDERSTOOD, BY NO CONTRACT YET, THAT	

REFERRED TO THE FACT THAT A DOCUMENT THAT HAD BEEN 1 2 CIRCULATED HAD NOT YET BEEN SIGNED, CORRECT? 3 THAT'S CORRECT. 4 WHEN HE SAYS: THESE PAYMENTS TOTAL LESS THAN 5 WHAT WE HAVE PAID UNDER THE OLD DEAL, SO SHOULDN'T BE A 01:44PM 6 PROBLEM, YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT TO MEAN GO AHEAD AND 7 PROCEED TO PAY UNDER THE NEW ARRANGEMENT, CORRECT? 8 ULTIMATELY, YES. Α 9 AND FOLLOWING THESE CONVERSATIONS, THERE CAME 10 A TIME WHEN YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT MR. SONNEBORN HAD 01:45PM 11 INSTRUCTED YOU TO MAKE PAYMENTS TO MR. GUNDLACH UNDER 12 THE NEW SCHEME, CORRECT? 1.3 Α CORRECT. Q AND BEFORE THAT TIME, YOU HAD CONVERSATIONS 14 15 WITH ALL OF YOUR SUPERIORS ABOUT MAKING THAT CHANGE, 01:45PM 16 DIDN'T YOU, SIR? 17 Α I DID. 18 AND THAT INCLUDED CONVERSATIONS WITH 19 MR. SONNEBORN, MR. DEVITO, AND MR. VILLA, CORRECT? 2.0 THAT'S CORRECT. 01:45PM 21 AND ON MAY 31ST, 2007, WHEN TCW PAID ITS FIRST 22 QUARTER '07 FEE SHARING TO MR. GUNDLACH, TCW BEGAN 23 PAYING MR. GUNDLACH UNDER THE NEW ECONOMIC ARRANGEMENT, 24 DIDN'T IT, SIR? 25 AS WELL AS THE REST OF HIS TEAM, YES. Α 01:46PM 26 AND YOU TOLD MR. SONNEBORN THAT YOU HAD BEGUN

MAKING PAYMENTS TO MR. GUNDLACH UNDER THE NEW SCHEME,

2.7

28

DIDN'T YOU, SIR?

1	A HE WAS AWARE OF THAT, YES.	
2	Q HE NEVER VOICED ANY OBJECTION TO YOU ABOUT	
3	MAKING PAYMENTS TO MR. GUNDLACH UNDER THE NEW	
4	COMPENSATION SCHEME, DID HE?	
5	A NO, HE DID NOT.	01:46PM
6	Q AND IT IS YOUR BELIEF THAT MR. SONNEBORN	
7	AUTHORIZED YOU TO BEGIN MAKING PAYMENTS UNDER THE NEW	
8	SCHEME, ISN'T IT?	
9	A IT IS.	
10	Q AND BASED ON YOUR DISCUSSIONS WITH	01:46PM
11	MR. GUNDLACH, IT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT HE, TOO,	
12	THOUGHT HE SHOULD BE PAID UNDER THE NEW ARRANGEMENT;	
13	ISN'T THAT TRUE?	
14	A THAT IS CORRECT.	
15	Q NOW, IN ORDER TO MAKE THESE PAYMENTS, THERE	01:46PM
16	WAS NO DOCUMENT SIGNED BY ALL SIDES THAT SET FORTH	
17	THESE TERMS, WAS THERE?	
18	A THAT IS CORRECT.	
19	Q AND WHEN YOU MADE PAYMENTS UNDER THE NEW	
20	SCHEME, YOU MADE USE OF A DOCUMENT THAT WAS A MODEL OF	01:47PM
21	THE PAYMENT SCHEME, CORRECT?	
22	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
23	Q THIS WAS A MODEL THAT YOU HAD BEEN PASSING	
24	AROUND BY E-MAIL TO MR. GUNDLACH AND THE OTHERS WHO	
25	WERE INVOLVED IN THE NEGOTIATIONS, CORRECT?	01:47PM
26	A CORRECT.	
27	Q AND YOU USED THE MODEL OR THE SPREADSHEET THAT	

WAS THE LAST ONE THAT HAD BEEN MODIFIED AT THE TIME YOU

1	DECIDED TO PAY UNDER THE NEW SCHEME, DIDN'T YOU, SIR?	
2	A I USED THE MODEL AS THE BASIS FOR HOW TO GO	
3	ABOUT IT. THERE WAS AN ENTIRELY DIFFERENT STATEMENT	
4	PRESENTED TO HIM WITH HIS CHECK.	
5	Q YES. ALL RIGHT. WE'RE DISTINGUISHING NOW AND	01:47PM
6	WE'LL TALK IN A SECOND ABOUT WHAT WAS GIVEN TO HIM.	
7	BUT WHEN YOU CALCULATED THE AMOUNT THAT	
8	WAS TO BE PAID TO MR. GUNDLACH UNDER THIS NEW SCHEME,	
9	WHAT YOU USED WAS THE LAST MODEL THAT HAD BEEN	
10	CIRCULATED AND AGREED TO BY THE PARTIES IN THE	01:48PM
11	NEGOTIATIONS, DIDN'T YOU?	
12	A YES, THE DISTINCTION BEING THE MODEL IS	
13	FORECASTED AND THE STATEMENT AND/OR THE FEE SHARING WAS	
14	ACTUAL FEES WE PAID ON IT.	
15	Q BUT THE MODEL CONTAINED ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT WHAT	01:48PM
16	PERCENTAGES WERE PAID, WHAT OTHER PROVISIONS THERE	
17	WERE, CORRECT?	
18	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
19	MR. QUINN: I THINK THAT'S VAGUE AND	
20	AMBIGUOUS, YOUR HONOR.	01:48PM
21	THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION,	
22	SIR?	
23	THE WITNESS: CAN YOU RESTATE THAT FOR ME,	
24	PLEASE.	
25	MR. HELM: YES.	01:48PM
26	Q THE MODEL CONTAINED IT HAD FORECASTS ABOUT	
27	HOW THE PROVISIONS OF THIS ARRANGEMENT WOULD FUNCTION	
0.0		

IN DIFFERENT REVENUE SCENARIOS, CORRECT?

1	A THAT IS CORRECT.	
2	Q BUT EMBEDDED INTO THE MODEL WAS A CERTAIN SET	
3	OF ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT WHAT THE PAYMENTS SHOULD BE,	
4	CORRECT? WHAT PERCENTAGE HE GOT FROM VARIOUS FUNDS,	
5	AND SO FORTH?	01:48PM
6	MR. QUINN: VAGUE. COMPOUND. OVERBROAD.	
7	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
8	YOU'VE GOT TO CLARIFY IT HERE. PUT	
9	SOMETHING IN FRONT OF HIM, WHATEVER YOU WANT TO DO.	
10	MR. HELM: I THINK WE CAN GET THERE.	01:49PM
11	Q THE MODELS THAT YOU CIRCULATED SET FORTH WHAT	
12	PERCENTAGES WENT INTO THE POOL FOR PARTICULAR FUNDS,	
13	CORRECT?	
14	MR. QUINN: VAGUE AS TO WHAT MODEL IS BEING	
15	REFERRED TO. BEST EVIDENCE.	01:49PM
16	THE COURT: THAT'S THE POINT. WE'VE HAD	
17	DRAFTS AND WE'VE GOT TWO OR THREE IN EVIDENCE.	
18	MR. HELM: YOUR HONOR, I DON'T KNOW IF I CAN	
19	IDENTIFY WHICH ONE IT IS.	
20	Q BUT YOU IDENTIFIED AT THE TIME A MODEL,	01:49PM
21	CORRECT, THAT YOU THOUGHT WAS THE FINAL MODEL, CORRECT?	
22	A CORRECT.	
23	Q THAT MODEL, LIKE ALL THE OTHER MODELS WE'VE	
24	SEEN, CONTAINED CERTAIN PROVISIONS ABOUT WHAT	
25	PERCENTAGES OF VARIOUS FUNDS WOULD GO INTO THE POOL,	01:49PM
26	CORRECT?	
27	A AND WHAT EXPENSES TO HOLD AGAINST IT AS WELL,	

28

YES.

Q EXACTLY.	
AND YOU USED THAT INFORMATION FROM THE	
FINAL MODEL TO DETERMINE WHAT TO PAY MR. GUNDLACH AT	
THE END OF MAY 2007, DIDN'T YOU, SIR?	
A I DID USE THE MODEL TO DETERMINE WHAT TYPES OF	01:50PM
REVENUES TO PICK UP AND WHAT PERCENTAGE OF REVENUES,	
THOSE REVENUES TO PICK UP AS WELL AS WHAT TYPES OF	
EXPENSES TO PICK UP.	
Q PERFECT. THANK YOU	
A I DIDN'T PAY OFF OF THE MODEL, THOUGH.	01:50PM
Q THANK YOU.	
MR. QUINN: I DIDN'T HEAR THE LAST PART OF THE	
ANSWER.	
BY MR. HELM:	
Q MEANING YOU DIDN'T PAY OFF THE MODEL YOU HAD	01:50PM
TO CALCULATE THE ACTUAL REVENUES WERE, NOT THE	
REVENUE	
A THAT'S CORRECT.	
Q YOU UNDERSTOOD SOMETIME BEFORE YOU STARTED	
PAYING MR. GUNDLACH UNDER THE NEW SCHEME, A DRAFT	01:50PM
AGREEMENT HAD BEEN PROVIDED TO MR. GUNDLACH, CORRECT?	
A THAT IS CORRECT.	
Q BUT, ULTIMATELY, YOU WERE INSTRUCTED TO BEGIN	
PAYING MR. GUNDLACH UNDER THE NEW ECONOMIC SCHEME, EVEN	
THOUGH HE HADN'T SIGNED THAT DOCUMENT, CORRECT?	01:51PM
A THAT IS CORRECT.	
Q AND PUTTING ASIDE ANY PRIVILEGED DISCUSSIONS	
	AND YOU USED THAT INFORMATION FROM THE FINAL MODEL TO DETERMINE WHAT TO PAY MR. GUNDLACH AT THE END OF MAY 2007, DIDN'T YOU, SIR? A I DID USE THE MODEL TO DETERMINE WHAT TYPES OF REVENUES TO PICK UP AND WHAT PERCENTAGE OF REVENUES, THOSE REVENUES TO PICK UP AS WELL AS WHAT TYPES OF EXPENSES TO PICK UP. Q PERFECT. THANK YOU A I DIDN'T PAY OFF OF THE MODEL, THOUGH. Q THANK YOU. MR. QUINN: I DIDN'T HEAR THE LAST PART OF THE ANSWER. BY MR. HELM: Q MEANING YOU DIDN'T PAY OFF THE MODEL YOU HAD TO CALCULATE THE ACTUAL REVENUES WERE, NOT THE REVENUE A THAT'S CORRECT. Q YOU UNDERSTOOD SOMETIME BEFORE YOU STARTED PAYING MR. GUNDLACH UNDER THE NEW SCHEME, A DRAFT AGREEMENT HAD BEEN PROVIDED TO MR. GUNDLACH, CORRECT? A THAT IS CORRECT. Q BUT, ULTIMATELY, YOU WERE INSTRUCTED TO BEGIN PAYING MR. GUNDLACH UNDER THE NEW ECONOMIC SCHEME, EVEN THOUGH HE HADN'T SIGNED THAT DOCUMENT, CORRECT? A THAT IS CORRECT.

WITH TCW COUNSEL, NOBODY TOLD YOU THAT THERE WAS A

1	PROBLEM WITH BEGINNING TO PAY MR. GUNDLACH UNDER THE	
2	NEW ARRANGEMENT, EVEN THOUGH HE HADN'T SIGNED THE	
3	WRITTEN CONTRACT; ISN'T THAT TRUE?	
4	A NOBODY TOLD ME THERE WAS A PROBLEM, THAT IS	
5	TRUE.	01:51PM
6	Q I'D LIKE TO DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO EXHIBIT 41,	
7	PLEASE. WHY DON'T YOU LOOK AT THAT.	
8	THIS IS A COPY OF A DRAFT EMPLOYMENT	
9	AGREEMENT THAT WAS PROVIDED TO MR. GUNDLACH IN THE	
10	SPRING OF 2007, CORRECT?	01:52PM
11	MR. QUINN: LACKS FOUNDATION.	
12	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
13	BY MR. HELM:	
14	Q WELL, YOU HAD A ROLE IN CREATING EXHIBIT A TO	
15	THIS DOCUMENT, DIDN'T YOU, SIR?	01:52PM
16	MR. QUINN: EXHIBIT 41?	
17	THE COURT: WE'RE LOOKING AT EXHIBIT 41.	
18	MR. QUINN: I'M SORRY.	
19	MR. HELM: YES.	
20	IF YOU LOOK AT EXHIBIT 41, PAGE 6, IT	01:52PM
21	SAYS EXHIBIT A.	
22	Q DO YOU SEE THAT?	
23	A I DO.	
24	Q AND YOU HAD A ROLE IN CREATING THAT DOCUMENT,	
25	DIDN'T YOU, SIR?	01:52PM
26	A I DID.	
27	Q YOU WORKED WITH THE LEGAL DEPARTMENT TO GET	

THE ECONOMICS IN EXHIBIT A TO MATCH THE MODEL THAT

WE'VE BEEN DISCUSSING THAT THE PARTIES AGREED TO,	
CORRECT?	
A THAT WAS COMMON IN OUR NEGOTIATIONS, THAT IS	
CORRECT.	
Q AND YOU DID THAT IN THIS CASE, CORRECT?	01:52PM
A YES.	
MR. HELM: I'D LIKE TO MOVE ADMISSION OF	
EXHIBIT 41.	
MR. QUINN: NO OBJECTION.	
THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	01:53PM
(EXHIBIT 41 ADMITTED.) +	
MR. HELM: IF WE COULD JUST LOOK AT THE	
THAT'S WHAT THE FIRST PAGE LOOKS LIKE.	01:53PM
Q IF YOU WOULD LOOK AT PAGE 6, PLEASE.	
DENNIS, COULD WE SHOW THAT.	
NOW, SUBJECT TO SOME MODIFICATIONS THAT	
WERE LATER MADE TO THIS SCHEME, TCW CONTINUED TO PAY	
MR. GUNDLACH UNDER THE NEW SCHEME FROM MAY 2007 UNTIL	01:53PM
THE TIME OF HIS TERMINATION IN 2009, CORRECT?	
A WITH CERTAIN CHANGES, YES.	
Q AND JUST TO GET THE CHANGES OUT OF THE WAY,	
ONE OF THE CHANGES WAS THAT THE CMG ACCOUNT FEE SHARE	
WAS INCREASED FROM 52.5 PERCENT, WASN'T IT?	01:53PM
A THAT IS CORRECT.	
Q AND THAT HAPPENED SOMETIME IN 2008, CORRECT?	
A CORRECT.	

1	Q IF WE LOOK AT PAGE 6 HERE, IF YOU SEE CMG IN	
2	THE MIDDLE THERE, THAT BOX, IT SAYS: CMG. RIGHT. IT	
3	SAYS: FEE SHARE RATE 50 PERCENT.	
4	A CORRECT.	
5	Q THAT WAS LATER CHANGED TO 52.5 PERCENT,	01:54PM
6	CORRECT?	
7	A CORRECT.	
8	Q ANOTHER MODIFICATION MODIFICATION, I THINK	
9	WE DISCUSSED THIS, WAS TCW'S CONTRIBUTION WAS LATER	
10	ELIMINATED, CORRECT?	01:54PM
11	A THAT IS CORRECT.	
12	Q DO YOU RECALL WHEN THAT CHANGE TOOK PLACE?	
13	A 2008 AS WELL.	
14	Q SO IF WE LOOK AT PAGE 9, EXHIBIT 41, PAGE 9,	
15	IT SAYS: TCW CONTRIBUTION AT THE BOTTOM.	01:54PM
16	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
17	A I DO.	
18	Q IS THAT THE TERM THAT WAS CHANGED THAT WE'VE	
19	JUST BEEN REFERRING TO?	
20	A CORRECT.	01:55PM
21	Q NOW, DURING THE PERIOD OF MORE THAN TWO YEARS	
22	THAT TCW WAS PAYING MR. GUNDLACH UNDER THE TERMS OF THE	
23	NEW SCHEME, YOU NEVER PERCEIVED THERE TO BE ANY PROBLEM	
24	ARISING FROM THE FACT THAT MR. GUNDLACH HADN'T SIGNED A	
25	DOCUMENT, DID YOU?	01:55PM
26	A CORRECT.	
27	Q NOW, DURING THIS TIME, QUARTERLY FEE SHARING	

PAYMENTS WERE MADE TO MR. GUNDLACH, CORRECT?

CORRECT. 1 Α 2 AND I THINK YOU SAID FROM MAY 2007 UNTIL HE 3 WAS NO LONGER THERE, HE WAS PAID QUARTERLY UNDER THE 4 NEW SCHEME, CORRECT? 5 TO HIM AND HIS TEAM, YES. 01:55PM AND MR. GUNDLACH PAID MOST OF THE MEMBERS OF 6 7 HIS TEAM THEIR FEE SHARING BONUSES ONCE A YEAR; IS THAT RIGHT? 8 9 MR. GUNDLACH WOULD COVER HIS DIRECT STAFF Α 10 MEMBERS' BONUSES PAYABLE AT YEAR END OUT OF HIS FEE 01:56PM 11 SHARING, YES. 12 MOST OF THE PEOPLE ON MR. GUNDLACH'S TEAM 1.3 RECEIVED THEIR SHARE OF THE FEE SHARING PAYMENTS AFTER 14 THE PAYMENT FOR THE FINAL QUARTER OF THE YEAR, CORRECT? 15 I DON'T KNOW IF I WOULD USE THE WORD "MOST." A 01:56PM 16 BUT A LOT OF PEOPLE DID, YES. 17 AND HE WOULD TYPICALLY WORK WITH PHIL BARACH 18 AND MAYBE SOME OTHER MEMBERS OF HIS TEAM TO DETERMINE 19 WHAT PEOPLE'S YEAR-END BONUSES SHOULD BE AND THEN 2.0 PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION TO YOU AND THE HR DEPARTMENT, 01:56PM 21 RIGHT? 22 AS WELL AS LOU LUCIDO AND HIS STAFF, YES. A 23 AND ALTHOUGH TCW CUT THE ACTUAL CHECKS, THE 24 MONEY THAT WAS PAID TO ALL THE MEMBERS OF HIS TEAM WAS 25 DEDUCTED AGAINST THE FEE SHARING POOL THAT OTHERWISE 01:57PM 26 WENT TO MR. GUNDLACH, RIGHT? 2.7 THE RESIDUAL POOL WENT TO MR. GUNDLACH, YES.

BUT IT'S THE RESIDUAL AFTER THE PAYMENTS TO

1	MEMBERS OF HIS TEAM WAS DEDUCTED, CORRECT?	
2	A THOSE WERE THE EXPENSES, YES.	
3	Q YOU STARTED WITH A POOL. YOU DEDUCTED THE	
4	AMOUNT THAT WAS PAID TO THE OTHERS. AND WHAT WAS LEFT	
5	OVER WENT TO MR. GUNDLACH, CORRECT?	01:57PM
6	A CORRECT.	
7	Q AND YOU CAN'T RECALL ANY CHANGES THAT ANYONE	
8	EVER MADE AT TCW TO THE ALLOCATIONS OF WHAT WENT TO	
9	MEMBERS OF HIS TEAM AFTER MR. GUNDLACH PROVIDED THAT	
10	INFORMATION, TO YOU, DO YOU, SIR?	01:57PM
11	A IT SEEMS KIND OF GENERAL. I'M NOT SURE WHAT	
12	THE QUESTION IS.	
13	Q WELL, WE'LL BACK UP.	
14	MR. GUNDLACH AT THE END OF THE YEAR WILL	
15	GIVE YOU A LIST, AND HE WOULD SAY, OUT OF THIS POOL I	01:58PM
16	WANT TO GIVE THIS AMOUNT TO THIS PERSON, AND THIS OTHER	
17	AMOUNT TO SOMEONE ELSE ON HIS TEAM, RIGHT?	
18	HE'S GIVEN YOU THAT INFORMATION?	
19	A THAT WAS HIS PRACTICE, YES.	
20	Q YOU DON'T RECALL ANY INSTANCE WHERE TCW EVER	01:58PM
21	ALTERED THE ALLOCATIONS THAT MR. GUNDLACH HAD MADE,	
22	CORRECT?	
23	A WELL, OTHER THAN THE CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE	
24	LUCIDO POOL THAT WERE CHANGED.	
25	Q ALL RIGHT. WHAT ARE YOU REFERRING TO THERE,	01:58PM
26	SIR?	
27	A WELL, JUST AS TCW EVENTUALLY STOPPED	

CONTRIBUTING TO THE POOL, THERE WERE SEVERAL MEMBERS OF

1	THE TEAM AS WELL AS TCW THAT CONTRIBUTED TO	
2	LOU LUCIDO'S POOL.	
3	JEFFREY WAS ONE OF THOSE.	
4	AND SO, EVENTUALLY, THAT DID CHANGE.	
5	JEFFREY DID STOP ALLOCATING TO THAT POOL.	01:59PM
6	Q I SEE.	
7	BUT IN TERMS AND WHY DID HE STOP?	
8	A WE CHANGED THE OVERALL SCHEMATIC.	
9	Q OTHER THAN FAIR POINT.	
10	OTHER THAN THE CHANGE THAT WAS MADE OF	01:59PM
11	THE CONTRIBUTION TO MR. LUCIDO'S GROUP FROM	
12	MR. GUNDLACH'S PART OF THE POOL, WHICH WAS MADE BY	
13	MUTUAL AGREEMENT, I TAKE IT?	
14	A YES.	
15	Q OTHER THAN THAT, WHENEVER MR. GUNDLACH AT THE	01:59PM
16	END OF THE YEAR WOULD GIVE YOU A LIST OF WHO GOT WHAT,	
17	BY WAY OF BONUS AT THE END OF THE YEAR FROM HIS FEE	
18	SHARING POOL, NO ONE AT TCW EVER CHANGED THAT, DID	
19	THEY?	
20	A YOU'RE ASKING IF MANAGEMENT EVER HAD A HAND IN	01:59PM
21	CHANGING THAT?	
22	Q ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY TIME A NUMBER WAS CHANGED	
23	BY MANAGEMENT?	
24	A BY MANAGEMENT? NO.	
25	MR. HELM: YOUR HONOR, IT'S 2 O'CLOCK.	01:59PM
26	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
27	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE'LL RECESS FOR	

28

THE EVENING.

1	DON'T DISCUSS THE MATTER AMONG YOUR	
2	SELLS OR FORM ANY OPINIONS OR CONCLUSIONS CONCERNING	
3	ANY ASPECT OF THE CASE UNTIL YOU'VE HEARD ALL THE	
4	EVIDENCE.	
5	YOU KNOW, SOME OF YOU ARE A LITTLE	02:00PM
6	SKEPTICAL. I COULD TELL BY YOUR LOOKS, BUT I ASSURE	
7	YOU YOU'LL FINISH ON TIME.	
8	I'VE BEEN INFORMED THAT A COUPLE OF YOU	
9	HAVE ISSUES THE WEEK OF THE 26TH OF SEPTEMBER. I FULLY	
10	ANTICIPATE IT WILL BE FINISHED WELL IN ADVANCE OF THAT.	02:00PM
11	IF FOR ANY REASON WE'RE NOT, WE'LL WORK	
12	TO ACCOMMODATE YOU. WE'RE STILL ON TARGET.	
13	ALL RIGHT. SEE YOU TOMORROW, 8:30.	
14		
15	(PROCEEDINGS HELD OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.) +	02:01PM
16		
17	THE COURT: WE'RE OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE	
18	JURY.	
19	ON THIS EXHIBIT 5031, ARE WE JUST	
20	TALKING ABOUT THE REDACTION OF THE LOWER RIGHT-HAND	02:01PM
21	PORTION OF PAGE 3, OR IS THERE MORE?	
22	I MEAN, I WOULD EXPECT YOU TO WORK THESE	
23	THINGS OUT AND HAVE THE REDACTIONS MADE BEFORE WE GET	
24	IN IT FRONT OF THE JURY AND PUTTING THE EXHIBITS UP.	
25	MR. HELM: THE ISSUE WASN'T RAISED BEFORE, AND	02:01PM
26	MR. QUINN EXPLAINED TO ME HOW IT AROSE	
27	MR. QUINN: I'M CONFIDENT WE CAN WORK IT OUT,	
28	YOUR HONOR.	

1	THE COURT: WE CAN REDACT THE EXHIBIT.	
2	MR. QUINN: OR NOT.	
3	THE COURT: OR NOT. BRING IT TO ME IF THERE'S	
4	A PROBLEM.	
5	MR. QUINN: WILL DO.	02:01PM
6	THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE?	
7	MR. BRIAN: HOUSEKEEPING MATTERS.	
8	WE LODGED A I DON'T KNOW IF WE LODGED	
9	OR FILED. WE DEPO THEY WERE DEPO DESIGNATIONS FOR	
10	MR. THOMPSON, I THINK, THAT WAS GIVEN TO YOU YESTERDAY.	02:02PM
11	VERY SHORT.	
12	WE MIGHT GET TO IT TOMORROW.	
13	AND WE ALSO LODGED MR. DEVITO LAST	
14	FRIDAY. WE WERE NOT GOING TO GET TO THAT ONE TOMORROW.	
15	THE COURT: I HAVE THREE OF THEM ON MY DESK,	02:02PM
16	DEVITO, THOMPSON, AND ONE OTHER ONE.	
17	MR. BRIAN: I DON'T REMEMBER?	
18	THE COURT: I WILL DO THE THOMPSON ONE.	
19	MR. BRIAN: THOMPSON IS A PRIORITY.	
20	THE COURT: THE OTHER TWO, WHATEVER ELSE YOU	02:02PM
21	GIVE ME, I'LL MAKE SURE I GET DONE OVER THE WEEKEND.	
22	MR. BRIAN: IF I MAY APPROACH YOUR CLERK. I	
23	PROVIDED MR. MADISON A COPY OF THIS YESTERDAY. THIS IS	
24	WHAT WE SEE AS THE EXHIBITS TO COME IN IN CONNECTION	
25	WITH THE SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE AND CABANNES EXHIBIT.	02:02PM
26	I WANT TO MOVE THEM IN BECAUSE WE'LL GET	
27	TO THAT EXCEPTION AND I WANT THEM IN EVIDENCE. I	

HIGHLIGHTED SOME OF -- I DON'T THINK I DID THIS ON

1	MR. MADISON'S COPY, BUT I HIGHLIGHTED 5202. YOU	
2	SUSTAINED AN OBJECTION TO THAT.	
3	WE'RE WITHDRAWING THAT, AND I	
4	HIGHLIGHTED ONE ON THE SECOND PAGE, WHICH WAS NOT PART	
5	OF THE DEPOSITION, BUT IT WAS PROVIDED TO US AS IN	02:03PM
6	CONNECTION WITH THE BUSINESS RECORDS CUSTODIAN	
7	AFFIDAVIT. WE WOULD OFFER THAT.	
8	AND I THINK YOUR HONOR SAID YOU WOULD	
9	REVIEW THAT. THE OTHERS YOU'VE RULED ON.	
10	SO I WOULD OFFER IN EVIDENCE FOR THE	02:03PM
11	RECORD EXHIBITS TRIAL EXHIBITS 61	
12	THE COURT: LET'S GO THROUGH SO WE CAN GET THE	
13	NUMBERS.	
14	MR. BRIAN: 6151.	
15	5599.	02:03PM
16	5153.	
17	5160.	
18	5198.	
19	5250.	
20	5181.	02:03PM
21	5256.	
22	5470.	
23	5528.	
24	5560.	
25	AND 5527.	02:03PM
26	THOSE ARE THE ONES THAT YOU'VE RULED ON.	
27	WE WOULD ALSO OFFER 5262. AND SUBMIT	
28	THAT FOR THE COURT'S CONSIDERATION.	

1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
2	ANY FURTHER OBJECTIONS? I'VE RULED ON	
3	THESE. THEY'LL BE ADMITTED WITH THE EXCEPTION WHAT	
4	WAS THE LAST ONE?	
5	MR. BRIAN: 5262, THE ONE WITH THE BUSINESS	02:04PM
6	RECORDS AFFIDAVIT, AND WE ASKED YOU TO CONSIDER IT. WE	
7	DON'T NEED TO ARGUE IT. IT'S COVERED.	
8	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	
9	MR. MADISON: WE DO OBJECT.	
10	THERE'S NO TESTIMONY ABOUT IT AT ALL.	02:04PM
11	NO FOUNDATION.	
12	THE COURT: DO I HAVE IT IN FRONT OF ME? OR	
13	MAY I LOOK AT IT? SOMEBODY HAVE IT HANDY?	
14	MR. BRIAN: IT'S PART OF THE BRIEFING.	
15	THE COURT: I'VE GOT THAT. I'LL TAKE A LOOK	02:04PM
16	AT IT AND TRY TO GIVE YOU AN ANSWER TOMORROW. IF IT'S	
17	IN THE EXHIBIT, YOU DON'T NEED TO GIVE ME ANOTHER PIECE	
18	OF PAPER.	
19	MR. BRIAN: I LOOKED INTO THE TIME, YOUR	
20	HONOR. WHAT THE PARTIES HAVE DONE AT THE END OF EVERY	02:04PM
21	WEEK, WE CONFERRED AND REACHED AN AGREEMENT.	
22	THE COURT: YOU'VE MADE A FILING. I SAW THE	
23	FILING.	
24	MR. BRIAN: WE MADE A FILING.	
25	AND WE BASICALLY SHAVED IT. IF THERE	02:05PM
26	WAS ANY DISAGREEMENT, WE DID IT CONSERVATIVELY TO	
27	REDUCE TIME.	

AND WE OURSELVES ADDED ON THROUGH

MONDAY. SO THE ADDED-ON TIME HAS NOT BEEN AGREED TO. 1 IT COULD BE AS MUCH AS A COUPLE MINUTES' DIFFERENCE. 2 3 THE PLAINTIFFS, THROUGH MONDAY, HAD USED 40 HOURS, 35 4 MINUTES. 5 WE HAD USED 24 HOURS, 46 MINUTES. 02:05PM 6 SO I WOULD ESTIMATE THAT THROUGH TODAY 7 THE PLAINTIFFS ARE PROBABLY UP TO ABOUT 44 HOURS. AND THAT DOES CONCERN US. BECAUSE --8 9 THE COURT: WHAT IS YOUR TIME? YOU SAID THEY 10 HAD 40, 35. 02:05PM 11 MR. BRIAN: WE WERE 24, 46 THROUGH MONDAY. WE 12 WOULD BE ANOTHER FOUR OR FIVE HOURS. WE'LL BE ABOUT 28, 29. 1.3 14 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 15 MR. BRIAN: I SAY THAT CONCERNS US, YOUR 02:05PM 16 HONOR. WE REALLY HAVE BEEN PLANNING OUR SCHEDULE TO 17 MEET THE 45 HOURS. AND WE ARE -- WE HAVE BEEN AND ARE 18 CONTINUING TO MAKE DECISIONS BASED ON THAT. 19 AND YOUR HONOR HAS MADE STATEMENTS THAT 2.0 HAVE VARIED TO SOME EXTENT ABOUT --02:06PM THE COURT: SO, WHAT'S NEW. YOU MUST BE 21 22 GETTING USED TO IT AT THIS POINT. 23 MR. BRIAN: I DON'T MEAN TO BE DISRESPECTFUL. THERE ARE TIMES WHEN YOU SAID IT'S A STRICT 45, AND 24 25 THERE ARE TIMES WHEN YOU SAID YOU'LL GIVE SOME LEEWAY. 02:06PM

THE COURT: I EXPECT NO LESS.

26

2.7

втт.

BUT WE WOULD OBJECT TO ANYTHING MORE THAN A LITTLE TINY

1	IF YOU ARE TELLING ME THE TRUTH, THEN,	
2	YOU EXPECT THAT YOU WILL BE ABLE TO REST BY NEXT	
3	WEDNESDAY OR THURSDAY. THEN WE'RE GOING TO GO FAIRLY	
4	QUICKLY THROUGH THIS.	
5	I ASKED YOU HOW MANY WITNESSES YOU HAVE.	02:06PM
6	THEY HAVE FIVE HOURS OF CROSS-EXAMINATION OF THOSE	
7	WITNESSES. AND THERE MAY BE AN ISSUE ON REBUTTAL.	
8	I'M NOT HAVING ANY EXTENSIVE REBUTTAL.	
9	BUT IF THERE'S LIMITED AMOUNT, FAIR IS FAIR.	
10	I'M GOING TO TRY TO KEEP TO THIS	02:06PM
11	SCHEDULE. BUT	
12	MR. BRIAN: THEY HAVE ONE HOUR LEFT.	
13	THE COURT: I THINK THE WORST OF ALL, YOU	
14	DON'T WANT A NONSUIT ON HOURS, DO YOU?	
15	MR. BRIAN: I DON'T WANT A NONSUIT, BUT	02:06PM
16	THE COURT: I DIDN'T THINK SO.	
17	MR. BRIAN: HONESTLY, WE'LL MAKE SOME WE	
18	NEED TO MAKE SOME DECISIONS.	
19	THE COURT: TELL ME HOW MANY WITNESSES YOU'RE	
20	GOING TO CALL.	02:07PM
21	MR. BRIAN: WE HAVE SOMEWHERE, EITHER SIX TO	
22	EIGHT LIVE WITNESSES, AFTER MR. SULLIVAN.	
23	AND WE PROBABLY HAVE SIX OR SEVEN	
24	VIDEOS.	
25	THE COURT: OKAY. THEN	02:07PM
26	MR. BRIAN: THREE OR FOUR, VERY SHORT.	
27	THE COURT: THEY'RE NOT GOING TO	

CROSS-EXAMINE THE VIDEOS.

1	MR. BRIAN: THAT'S NOT AN ISSUE, CORRECT.	
2	THE COURT: SIX TO SEVEN	
3	MR. BRIAN: SIX TO EIGHT LIVE.	
4	THE COURT: THEY GOT FIVE HOURS LEFT, OR IN	
5	THAT RANGE. LET'S SAY, SO THEY GOT, HALF HOUR, 45	02:07PM
6	MINUTES PER WITNESS IN THEIR CROSS-EXAMINATION, AND	
7	THAT'S BASICALLY IT.	
8	IT WORKS PRETTY SIMPLE.	
9	MR. BRIAN: BUT WHEN WE HAD THIS DISCUSSION,	
10	YOUR HONOR, YOU INITIALLY INDICATED THE 45 HOURS WOULD	02:07PM
11	INCLUDE REBUTTAL.	
12	MR. QUINN: WAIT. THAT'S THAT'S NOT HOW I	
13	RECALL IT. I'D LIKE TO CHECK THE RECORD ON THAT.	
14	THE COURT: I THINK I DID. AS A PRACTICAL	
15	MATTER	02:07PM
16	MR. QUINN: WELL	
17	THE COURT: YOU'VE USED OVER 40 HOURS IN	
18	THE CASE IN CHIEF. THAT'S NOT VERY CONSISTENT WITH THE	
19	LIMITATIONS THAT WAS PLACED ON THE PLAINTIFFS.	
20	AGAIN, YOU KNOW, WE'RE NOT TURNING OUT	02:08PM
21	WIDGETS HERE. WE'RE TRYING TO FIND JUSTICE AND TRYING	
22	TO BE FAIR. I EXPECT TO PUT LIMITATIONS. IF IT	
23	APPEARS TO ME THE PLAINTIFFS ARE PURSUING AN EXTENDED	
24	EXAMINATION OF SOMEBODY, OR COVERING THE SAME GROUND	
25	WE'VE COVERED, I'M GOING TO INTERFERE.	02:08PM
26	AND I'M GOING TO SAY LET'S MOVE IT	
27	ALONG; WE NEED TO WRAP THIS UP. I DON'T THINK YOU WANT	
28	ME SAYING THAT REPEATEDLY. YOU NEED TO GOVERN YOURSELF	

1	ACCORDINGLY.	
2	I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU HAVE IN MIND FOR	
3	REBUTTAL, BUT WE'LL SEE WHERE YOU ARE ON YOUR HOURS AT	
4	THAT POINT. AND IT MAY BE VERY ABBREVIATED.	
5	MR. BRIAN: THERE'S TWO ISSUES.	02:08PM
6	ONE IS REBUTTAL. AND I WOULD SUBMIT	
7	THERE SHOULD BE NONE.	
8	THE COURT: I WOULD EXPECT NO LESS, MR. BRIAN.	
9	MR. BRIAN: NO, NO, HEAR ME OUT.	
10	THERE'S A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN REBUTTAL	02:08PM
11	AND A DEFENSE TO OUR CONTRACT CLAIM. THAT'S WHAT I'M	
12	SAYING, AS TO REBUTTAL. THEY'VE CALLED, YOU KNOW,	
13	30-ODD WITNESSES OR SO ON THEIR CLAIM. I THINK THERE'S	
14	A DIFFERENCE. THAT'S MY ONLY POINT WHETHER THERE	
15	SHOULD BE LEEWAY ON REBUTTAL.	02:09PM
16	THE COURT: IT'S NOT JUST REBUTTAL. IF THEY	
17	GET A CHANCE TO PUT ON A DEFENSE IN SOME RESPECTS, I	
18	HELD THEM BACK AT YOUR OBJECTION FROM PUTTING IN	
19	EVIDENCE IN RESPONSE TO YOUR AFFIRMATIVE CLAIM, SO	
20	MR. BRIAN: THAT'S WHY I SAID THERE'S A	02:09PM
21	DIFFERENCE.	
22	THE COURT: OKAY.	
23	MR. BRIAN: I WOULD RESPECTFULLY SUBMIT THERE	
24	SHOULD BE NO PURE REBUTTAL.	
25	THAT'S MY ONLY POINT.	02:09PM
26	MR. QUINN: AS OF RIGHT NOW, YOUR HONOR, WE	
27	HAVE NO REBUTTAL IN THAT SENSE.	
28	THE COURT: LET ME SAY, I'VE TAKEN THE	

1	APPROACH THAT I DON'T DEAL WITH PROBLEMS THAT I DON'T	
2	REALLY HAVE. AND UNTIL IT SHOWED UP, I DON'T WANT TO	
3	DEAL WITH IT.	
4	MR. BRIAN: WE DO HAVE A PROBLEM. WE'RE GOING	
5	TO GO BACK TO THE OFFICE RIGHT NOW AND MAKE A DECISION	02:09PM
6	ABOUT WHAT WITNESSES TO CALL.	
7	THE COURT: GOOD. THAT'S ALL RIGHT.	
8	MR. BRIAN: WE'RE MAKING THE ASSESSMENTS BASED	
9	ON THE COMMENTS YOUR HONOR'S MAKING NOW. WE'RE TRYING	
10	TO DECIDE WHO WE CALL AND WHO WE DON'T CALL.	02:10PM
11	THE COURT: YOU PUT ON YOUR BEST CASE AS	
12	QUICKLY AS YOU CAN.	
13	MR. BRIAN: THAT'S WHAT WE'RE DOING.	
14	THE COURT: THAT'S ALL I CAN ASK.	
15	I'M NOT PASSING JUDGMENT OR PREJUDGING	02:10PM
16	WHETHER IF YOU SELECT YOUR WITNESSES WITH THE IDEA THAT	
17	THEY WON'T BE OR THERE MAY BE REBUTTAL. I DON'T KNOW	
18	IF THAT'S AN APPROPRIATE CONSIDERATION AT THIS	
19	JUNCTURE.	
20	MR. BRIAN: I UNDERSTAND.	02:10PM
21	LAST POINT	
22	THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE	
23	ASKING ME ABOUT.	
24	MR. BRIAN: THAT IS WHAT I WAS ASKING.	
25	THE COURT: IT SEEMED TO ME THAT'S WHERE WE'RE	02:10PM
26	GOING. YOU'RE NOT GETTING AN ANSWER ON THAT. SO MAYBE	
27	IT'S NOT THE CRITERIA YOU SHOULD USE.	

MR. BRIAN: NO, I GOT AN ANSWER. IT MAY NOT

1	HAVE BEEN THE ANSWER I WANTED, BUT I GOT AN ANSWER.	
2	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
3	MR. BRIAN: IT HAPPENS SOMETIMES ON CROSS.	
4	LAST POINT, YOUR HONOR.	
5	MR. CABANNES, THE SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE	02:10PM
6	WE'VE WATCHED THE DEPOSITION, AND I'VE TALKED TO	
7	MR. QUINN. I CAN'T REMEMBER WHETHER I TALKED TO	
8	MR. MADISON. I THINK THIS CAME UP OVER THE WEEKEND	
9	WHEN HE WAS OTHERWISE ENGAGED.	
10	THE DEPOSITION, BECAUSE IT'S ENGLISH TO	02:11PM
11	FRENCH, FROM FRENCH TO ENGLISH, IT TAKES THREE TIMES	
12	LONGER TO PLAY IT THROUGH THE TRANSLATOR.	
13	WE WOULD PROPOSE I THINK IT'S ACCEPTABLE	
14	TO PLAY THE FIRST SEGMENT OF IT, 15 OR 20 MINUTES, SO	
15	THE JURY GETS A SENSE OF IT THROUGH THE TRANSLATION.	02:11PM
16	AND AFTER THAT WE'LL DO IT THE OLD-FASHIONED WAY, WHERE	
17	WE HAVE SOMEBODY SIT THERE AND PLAY THE WITNESS, I'LL	
18	ASK THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWER IT.	
19	I THINK IT WILL CUT IT DOWN BY ABOUT	
20	50 PERCENT IF WE DO IT THAT WAY.	02:11PM
21	THE COURT: WHAT'S THE TOTAL RUN TIME OF THE	
22	CABANNES' TESTIMONY?	
23	MR. BRIAN: IF YOU DO IT THROUGH THE	
24	TRANSLATION, IT'S ABOUT TWO AND A HALF HOURS.	
25	THE COURT: WHATEVER WE CAN DO TO CUT IT DOWN.	02:11PM
26	THE OTHER THING YOU MIGHT CONSIDER IS	
27	STIPULATING TO PLACING THE TRANSCRIPT IN AND THEN YOU	
28	CAN REFER TO IT AND ARGUE IT AS YOU PLEASE DURING	

1	OPENING OR CLOSING. BUT WITHOUT GOING THROUGH IT AT	
2	ALL.	
3	MR. BRIAN: LET ME LOOK AT IT.	
4	THE COURT: ONCE THE JURY WATCHES A HALF HOUR	
5	OF THE TRANSLATIONS, IT WOULD GIVE AN EXPLANATION OF	02:12PM
6	WHY WE'RE JUST SUBMITTING A TRANSCRIPT OF THESE	
7	PROCEEDINGS AND YOU CAN LOOK AT THEM.	
8	MR. BRIAN: LET ME THINK ABOUT IT THAT.	
9	THE COURT: TALK TO THEM ABOUT THAT.	
10	ANYTHING ELSE?	02:12PM
11	MR. MADISON: YOUR HONOR, I WAS PREDISPOSED	
12	OVER THE WEEKEND, BUT MR. CABANNES WAS MY WITNESS.	
13	MR. QUINN: PREDISPOSED. INDISPOSED.	
14	THE COURT: HE'S PREDISPOSED NOW.	
15	MR. QUINN: NO, IT'S POST-DISPOSED.	02:12PM
16	MR. MADISON: I DO HAVE A PROBLEM WITH PLAYING	
17	PART OF THE VIDEO AND THEN READING THE REST.	
18	THE COURT: DID YOU HEAR WHAT I SUGGESTED TO	
19	HIM YOU MIGHT TALK ABOUT?	
20	MR. MADISON: YES. WE WOULD PREFER TO GO ONE	02:12PM
21	DIRECTION OR THE OTHER.	
22	I ACTUALLY THINK HE COULD JUST PLAY THE	
23	VIDEO WITH THE ENGLISH Q AND A IF HE WANTED TO DO THAT.	
24	THAT WOULD BE BETTER THAN PLAY-ACTING IT HERE.	
25	THE COURT: MY POINT WAS, IF WE SHOW HALF HOUR	02:12PM
26	OF IT SO THE JURY REALIZES THE TEDIOUSNESS OF GOING	
27	THROUGH THE TRANSLATION AND THEN SAID, INSTEAD OF	
28	SPENDING TWO HOURS WATCHING THIS, WE'RE GOING TO	

1	PROVIDE IN EVIDENCE A COPY OF THE TRANSCRIPT OF THE	
2	PORTIONS OF THE DEPOSITIONS THAT WOULD OTHERWISE HAVE	
3	BEEN PLAYED, THEN YOU EACH MAY ARGUE THEM, TALK ABOUT	
4	THEM ALL YOU WANT, AND THE JURY WILL HAVE THEM AND THEY	
5	CAN LOOK AT THEM.	02:13PM
6	IT WOULD BE ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE AND	
7	PROVIDED TO THEM WITH THE OTHER EXHIBITS.	
8	THINK ABOUT THAT, YOU KNOW.	
9	MR. BRIAN: I THINK ABOUT MR. MADISON'S	
10	SUGGESTION. BECAUSE IT'S GOING TO BE VERY TEDIOUS TO	02:13PM
11	PLAY IT THROUGH THE TRANSLATOR. IT JUST TAKES FOREVER.	
12	THE COURT: I WOULD PREFER NOT TO DO THAT.	
13	AND I THINK IF WE CAN FIND SOME WAY THAT WE CAN AGREE	
14	ON, IT'S A BETTER WAY.	
15	MR. MADISON: I DON'T DISAGREE. THEY CAN EDIT	02:13PM
16	IT SO THEY CAN PLAY THE ENGLISH ONLY FROM THE VIDEO.	
17	MR. HELM: BUT THEN YOU'RE JUST SHOWING THE	
18	TRANSLATOR.	
19	MR. BRIAN: THAT'S THE PROBLEM.	
20	I'M NOT SURE	02:13PM
21	THE COURT: AGAIN, GIVE THEM THE FLAVOR OF	
22	WHAT'S GOING ON, 15 MINUTES WITH, YOU KNOW, THE	
23	TRANSLATOR AND THE WITNESS, AND THEN SAY, THE BALANCE	
24	WE'RE GOING TO CUT OFF THE TRANSLATION SO THAT ALL YOU	
25	HEAR IS THE TESTIMONY.	02:14PM
26	I MEAN, SOME WAY TO MAKE IT MORE	
27	PALATABLE, BUT SO WE DON'T SPEND TWO AND A HALF HOURS	

GOING THROUGH SOMETHING WE DON'T WANT TO.

1	MR. BRIAN: THAT'S WHY I RAISE IT. I	
2	APPRECIATE MR. MADISON'S SUGGESTION AND YOUR HONOR'S.	
3	THERE IS SOME WAY TO DO IT THAT MAKES SENSE.	
4	THE COURT: AS LONG AS YOU FIND IT.	
5	MR. BRIAN: IN TERMS OF SCHEDULING, I DO THINK	02:14PM
6	WE REST OUR CASE, POSSIBLY AS EARLY AS NEXT WEDNESDAY,	
7	AND IT MAY RUN INTO THURSDAY. WE WENT A LITTLE SLOWER	
8	TODAY THAN I THOUGHT WE WOULD.	
9	THE COURT: THAT'S ALL RIGHT. WE'LL GET IT.	
10	MR. BRIAN: THAT DOES RAISE THE ISSUE OF	02:14PM
11	SCHEDULING CLOSING, AND I HATE TO SUGGEST THIS,	
12	SCHEDULING ANOTHER SESSION TO GO THROUGH JURY	
13	INSTRUCTIONS.	
14	THE COURT: I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE, BY FRIDAY,	
15	EITHER A STIPULATED AND AN AGREED VERDICT FORM OR A	02:14PM
16	VERDICT FORM WITH INSERTS OF YOUR RESPECTIVE	
17	DISAGREEMENTS SO I CAN GO THROUGH IT AND FINALIZE THAT	
18	OVER THE WEEKEND.	
19	I WOULD LIKE A SET OF COMPLETE SET OF	
20	JURY INSTRUCTIONS BASED ON THE CONFERENCES THAT WE'VE	02:15PM
21	HAD, FLAGGING WHATEVER ONES REMAIN IN DISPUTE.	
22	AND PLACED IN AN ORDER THAT YOU FIND	
23	ACCEPTABLE TO THE PARTIES.	
24	AND IF YOU CAN'T AGREE ON THE ORDER,	
25	THEN FLAG THEM. BUT I NEED TO HAVE THAT BIG NOTEBOOK	02:15PM
26	SO THAT I CAN GO THROUGH IT AND FIND OUT EXACTLY WHAT I	
27	HAVE.	
	1	

I KNOW I RECEIVED A SUPPLEMENTAL SET OF

1	HALF A DOZEN INSTRUCTIONS, SOME OF WHICH APPEAR NEW,	
2	SOME OF WHICH APPEARED IN RESPONSE TO THE COMMENTS	
3	THE COURT HAD GIVEN AT THE CONFERENCE THAT WE HAD LAST	
4	WEEK ON INSTRUCTIONS.	
5	BUT IT SEEMS TO ME, MR. EMANUEL AND	02:15PM
6	WHO WAS DOING IT ON YOUR SIDE?	
7	MR. BRIAN: MR. HELM AND MS. STEIN.	
8	THE COURT: THEY NEED TO GET DOWN SIT DOWN	
9	AND PACKAGE IT AND SEE WHAT'S LEFT.	
10	I'LL FIND A TIME TO HAVE A CONFERENCE	02:16PM
11	WITH YOU. IF YOU REST WEDNESDAY OR THURSDAY, WE CAN	
12	MEET THE FRIDAY FOLLOWING. WE STILL MAY HAVE SOME	
13	DEFENSE WITNESSES COMING IN THE FOLLOWING WEEK.	
14	BUT WE'LL FIND A TIME TO DO IT.	
15	MR. BRIAN: I WAS SHOOTING TO CLOSE, HOPING	02:16PM
16	WE'D GET DONE IN TIME, EVEN WITH SOME DEFENSE, TO THE	
17	CONTRACT CLAIM. I THINK I CAN ANTICIPATE I WAS	
18	HOPING WE WOULD CLOSE ON THE 12TH.	
19	THAT'S WHAT I WAS SHOOTING FOR. IT MAY	
20	NOT HAPPEN. I DON'T KNOW.	02:16PM
21	THE COURT: THE 12TH IS MONDAY. YOU WERE	
22	HOPING TO ARGUE ON MONDAY.	
23	MR. BRIAN: YES.	
24	THE COURT: THE 12TH, YOU'LL HAVE TO TALK TO	
25	MR. MADISON AND MR. QUINN. THEY TOLD ME A WEEK TEN	02:16PM
26	DAYS AGO THEY WERE GOING TO REST, AND WE JUST FINISHED.	
27	YOU KNOW, I CAN'T IMAGINE EVEN IF YOU	

RESTED ON WEDNESDAY, YOU THINK ONE DAY IN YOUR DEFENSE

1	ON THE CONTRACT CLAIM?	
2	MR. QUINN: I THINK SO. ACTUALLY.	
3	THE COURT: IF THAT'S REALISTIC, I'M ALL FOR	
4	IT. I'LL DO WHATEVER I CAN. I'LL STAY IN TOWN THAT	
5	WEEKEND AND WORK ON SATURDAY. WHATEVER IT TAKES.	02:17PM
6	MR. QUINN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
7	MR. BRIAN: I APPRECIATE IT.	
8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
9	MR. MADISON: WE HAD ONE ITEM. THAT WAS THE	
10	STUDLEY RECORDS. IS NOW A GOOD TIME FOR US TO MOVE	02:17PM
11	THOSE IN?	
12	THE COURT: FINE WITH ME.	
13	DID YOU TALK ABOUT THEM? WE SAID	
14	EARLIER THIS MORNING WE'D TAKE IT UP LATER.	
15	MR. BRIAN: AS I SAID, YOUR HONOR, I HAVE NO	02:17PM
16	OBJECTION ON FOUNDATION AUTHENTICITY, RELEVANCE.	
17	MY ONLY OBJECTION IS A CUMULATIVE ONE	
18	AND I WOULD DEFER TO THE COURT ON THAT.	
19	I'LL SUBMIT THAT.	
20	THE COURT: HAVE YOU READ THE NUMBERS INTO THE	02:17PM
21	RECORD OR GIVEN THEM	
22	MR. MADISON: THAT'S WHAT I WANTED TO DO.	
23	THE COURT: YOU DIDN'T READ THEM THIS MORNING?	
24	MR. MADISON: NO, YOUR HONOR.	
25	THE COURT: WHAT ARE THEY?	02:17PM
26	MR. MADISON: 329.	
27	1189.	
28	1971.	

		i
1	369.	
2	1973.	
3	1970.	
4	379.	
5	389.	02:18PM
6	1974.	
7	403.	
8	1966.	
9	2076.	
10	1965.	02:18PM
11	1963.	
12	1962.	
13	1968.	
14	1961.	
15	449.	02:18PM
16	1975.	
17	471.	
18	472.	
19	1844.	
20	488.	02:18PM
21	2077.	
22	2132.	
23	1875.	
24	1204.	
25	315.	02:18PM
26	1852.	
27	1862.	
28	473.	

1	477.	
2	MR. WEINGART: EXCUSE ME. 1861?	
3	THE COURT: 1862. 473. 477.	
4	MR. MADISON: 1868.	
5	1872.	02:19PM
6	1938.	
7	1205.	
8	AND 442.	
9	MR. BRIAN: I THINK THERE'S ONE THAT WE MAY	
10	HAVE MISSED.	02:19PM
11	GIVE US A SECOND, YOUR HONOR.	
12	MR. WEINGART: I DON'T HAVE AN 1862.	
13	MR. BRIAN: WE DON'T HAVE 1862.	
14	MR. WEINGART: I HAVE 1861 AND AN 1852.	
15	MR. MADISON: I DON'T KNOW WHAT NUMBERS THOSE	02:19PM
16	WERE AROUND.	
17	THE COURT: 1852, THEN YOU SAID 1862.	
18	THEN YOU SAID 473.	
19	MR. MADISON: I MISSPOKE.	
20	IT'S 1861.	02:19PM
21	THE COURT: FOLLOWING 1852.	
22	MR. MADISON: YES. THEN 473.	
23	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE THAT?	
24	MR. WEINGART: I DO HAVE 1861.	
25	I WAS TRYING TO MAKE SURE.	02:20PM
26	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
27	LET ME LOOK AT IT. I'LL TELL YOU FOR	
28	SURE IN THE MORNING. I HAVE THE STACK THAT YOU GAVE ME	

THIS MORNING. I DIDN'T LOOK AT THEM BECAUSE I WAS 1 2 HOPING IT WOULD BE RESOLVED. I'LL TAKE A QUICK LOOK AT 3 THEM. 4 MR. BRIAN: I'LL PROVIDE YOUR CLERK WITH THE 5 LIST OF SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE DOCUMENTS SO THOSE CAN BE IN 02:20PM 6 THERE IN THE EXHIBIT. 7 THE COURT: WE HAVE IT. 8 I HAVE IT. 9 MR. MADISON: WE HAVE OTHERS WE WANT TO MEET 10 AND CONFER, THE ONES THAT I MENTIONED THIS MORNING, THE 02:20PM 11 OTHER FINAL BATCH. 12 THE COURT: LET ME KNOW TOMORROW. 1.3 MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR. 14 THE COURT: THANK YOU. HAVE A NICE EVENING. 15 02:20PM 16 17 (AT 2:20 P.M., AN ADJOURNMENT WAS 18 TAKEN UNTIL 9-1-11 AT 8:30 A.M.) 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25 26 27 28