1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF	
1 STIDERTOR COTIRT OF THE STATE OF	
SOTERIOR COOK! OF THE STATE OF	F CALIFORNIA
2 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS AN	IGELES
3 DEPARTMENT 322 HON.	CARL J. WEST, JUDGE
4	
5 TRUST COMPANY OF THE WEST,)	
6 PLAINTIFFS,	
7 VS. , CAS	E NO. BC429385
8 JEFFREY GUNDLACH, ET AL.,	
9 DEFENDANTS.	
10	
11 REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT	OF PROCEEDINGS
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER	7, 2011
14	
15 OLIVER & HEDGES	S
16 ERIC EMAN	UEL
17 SUSAN EST	RICH
	FERATA HUTNYAN CE
19 DAVID SER	GENIAN
	EROA STREET
	ALIFORNIA 90017
22	
FOR DOUBLE LINE: MUNGER, TOLLES BY: BRAD D. B	
MARK B. H 24 ALLISON B	
	. WEINGART D AVENUE, 35TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA	ALIFORNIA 90071-1560
RAQUEL RODRIGUI	JERRE, CSR #10978
3 4 5 6 7 8	DEPARTMENT 322 HON. TRUST COMPANY OF THE WEST, PLAINTIFFS, VS. JEFFREY GUNDLACH, ET AL., DEFENDANTS. DEFENDANTS. REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER APPEARANCES: FOR TCW: QUINN, EMANUEL OLIVER & HEDGE: BY: JOHN B. Q ERIC EMAN STEVEN G. SUSAN EST DIANE CAF JOHN PIER DOMINIC S DAVID SER 865 SOUTH FIGUI 10TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, C. (213) 443-3000 FOR DOUBLE LINE: MUNGER, TOLLES BY: BRAD D. B MARK B. H ALLISON B GREGORY J 355 SOUTH GRAN: LOS ANGELES, C. (213) 683-9280 RAQUEL RODRIGUI WENDY OILLATAGE

```
1
                            I N D E X
 2
    THURSDAY, JULY 28, 2011
 3
                      INDEX OF WITNESSES
 4
    LEGEND: M = MR. MADISON
 5
              B = MR. BRIAN
              H = MR. HELM
 6
              Q = MR. QUINN
              S = MR. SURPRENANT
W = MR. WEINGART
 7
 8
    DEFENSE
9
    WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
10
    CONTINO, JOHN
       VINCENT
11
                     6909-S
        (VOIR DIRE)
                      6917-W 6940-S 6959-W 6961-S
12
13
    MURPHY, KEVIN
                     6963-W
        (RESUMED)
                     6988-W 6989-S
14
15
    GUNDLACH,
                     7026-H
       JEFFREY
16
                     7060-H
        (RESUMED)
        (VOIR DIRE)
                     7089-M
17
                     7090-Н 7105-М
        (RESUMED)
18
19
    PLAINTIFF'S
20
    WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
21
    (NONE WERE PRESENTED IN THIS VOLUME)
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

<u>I N D E X</u> THURSDAY, JULY 28, 2011
THIIRSDAY .TIII.Y 28 2011
INOTODAL, CONT. ZOLI
EXHIBITS
EXHIBITS FOR I.D. IN EVD WITHDRAW
6165 - GUNDLACH STREADSHEETS/NOTES 7041
5039 - GUNDLACH/BARACH/SONNEBORN 7070 E-MAIL
5030 - FEE SHARING STATEMENT 7074
(EXHIBITS 1456, 1457, 1460, 1463, 1465,
5030, 5042, 5053, 5059, 5079, 5071 AND 5078 ADMITTED.) 7080
248 - GUNDLACH/CARLSON E-MAIL 7081

1	CASE NUMBER:	3C 429385	
2	CASE NAME:	CCW VS. GUNDLACH	
3	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA S	SEPTEMBER 7, 2011	
4	DEPARTMENT 322	HON. CARL J. WEST, JUDGE	
5	APPEARANCES:	(AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.)	
6	REPORTER: F	RAQUEL A. RODRIGUEZ, CSR	
7	TIME:	A SESSION	
8			
9	-	0	
10	(THE FOLLOWING PF	ROCEEDINGS WERE HELD	
11	OUTSIDE THE PRESE	ENCE OF THE JURY.) +	
12			
13	THE COURT: GOOD	MORNING, GENTLEMAN. IN THE	
14	TCW VERSUS GUNDLACH MATTER	R WE'RE OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF	
15	THE JURY.		12:01AM
16	WE HAVE A C	COUPLE ISSUES ON THE TABLE.	
17	LET ME GET MY NOTES HERE.	FIRST, ON THE TRADE SECRETS	
18	FILING BY THE DEFENDANTS A	AND THEN I READ THE RESPONSE	
19	FILED BY THE PLAINTIFF.		
20	MY UNDERSTA	ANDING IS THE ONLY REAL ISSUE	12:01AM
21	OR MATTER AT ISSUE IS THE	PARTICIPANTS' LIST. AND IT	
22	LOOKS TO ME, IS THAT THE A	ACCURATE	
23	MR. SURPRENANT:	THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.	
24	THE COURT: I'LL	JUST GIVE YOU MY COMMENTS AND	
25	I'LL LISTEN TO WHATEVER YO	OU HAVE TO SAY ON IT.	12:02AM
26	AS NOTED BY	TCW IN THEIR BRIEF THE	
27	PARTICIPANTS' LIST WAS A S	SELF-SELECTED GROUP OF PEOPLE	
28	WHO WERE INTERESTED IN THE	E KINDS OF INVESTMENTS THAT	

1 MR. GUNDLACH MANAGES. 2 THERE DOESN'T APPEAR THERE'S A CITATION 3 TO A CASE ON A BAILMENT ISSUE OF TANGIBLE PROPERTY IN WHICH A TRADE SECRET WAS EMBODIED. TO THE CONTRARY, AT 4 5 LEAST IN MY VIEW THE LIST WAS GENERATED IN THE ORDINARY 12:02AM 6 COURSE OF THOMSON REUTERS BUSINESS. 7 AND ABSENT EVIDENCE THAT THOMSON REUTERS WAS OBLIGATED TO MAINTAIN THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE 8 9 LIST, THE FACT THAT THOMSON REUTERS GAVE THE LIST TO 10 TCW DOES NOT NECESSARILY MAKE IT A TCW TRADE SECRET. 12:02AM 11 MOREOVER, IT SEEMS TO ME THE FAILURE OF 12 TCW TO DESIGNATE THE PARTICIPANT LIST IN ITS PRIOR 1.3 DESIGNATION OF CLAIMED TRADE SECRETS BELIES THE CURRENT ARGUMENT THAT I'M HEARING ON THIS ITEM. SO WITH ALL OF 14 15 THAT SAID, MY INCLINATION IS NOT TO REMIT THE 12:02AM

YOU BOTH HAVE CITED TRIAL TESTIMONY BY VAN EVERY AND OTHERS THAT I THINK AT THE END OF THE DAY IS REALLY INCONCLUSIVE AS TO WHETHER IT'S A TRADE

12:03AM

WITH THAT SAID, MR. SURPRENANT?

MR. SURPRENANT: YES, YOUR HONOR. MR. SMITH TESTIFIED THAT MATERIALS LIKE THIS ARE CONSIDERED CONFIDENTIAL IN THE INDUSTRY. AND I THINK IT MIGHT BE THE BETTER DISCRETION TO ALLOW THE JURY TO DETERMINE WHETHER IT'S A TRADE SECRET OR NOT.

PARTICIPANT LIST TO BE IDENTIFIED AS A TRADE SECRET.

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

SECRET OR NOT.

12:03AM

2.7 IF THEY DON'T, THEN THE ISSUE GOES AWAY. 28 IF THEY DID, YOUR HONOR AT THAT POINT, COULD CONSIDER

1	SOME REMEDIAL ACTION. I THEY SHOULD.	
2	IT'S BEEN THE SUBJECT OF A LOT OF	
3	TESTIMONY. IT'S BEEN BEFORE THE JURY. CLEARLY WAS	
4	USED BY DOUBLE LINE AND IN AN IMPORTANT WAY TO JUMP	
5	START THEIR BUSINESS. AND THE JURY COULD CLEARLY FIND	12:03AM
6	IT WAS VALUABLE AND IT WAS TCW'S PROPERTY.	
7	MS. VANEVERY TESTIFIED WE PURCHASED IT	
8	FROM THOMSON REUTERS. AND	
9	THE COURT: I DON'T THINK SHE SAID THEY	
10	PURCHASED IT. I THINK THEY SAID THEY GOT IT AS A	12:04AM
11	MATTER OF COURSE WHEN THEY ARRANGED THESE CONFERENCE	
12	CALLS WHICH WERE ADMINISTERED BY THOMSON REUTERS. AND	
13	THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING.	
14	YOU KNOW, MAYBE I MAY BE MISTAKEN ON	
15	THAT.	12:04AM
16	MR. SURPRENANT:	
17	AND THE VENDORS WERE PAID TRIAL	
18	TESTIMONY 1134 AND THE VENDORS	
19	ARE PAID BY THE FIRM HOSTING THE	
20	WEBCAST, CORRECT?	12:04AM
21	ANSWER: YES.	
22	THE COURT: THAT'S CONSISTENT WITH MY	
23	UNDERSTANDING OF THE TESTIMONY. THE VENDORS ARE PAID	
24	BY THE FIRM FOR HOSTING THE WEBCAST. THERE'S NO SENSE	
25	THAT THE PARTICIPANT LIST IS A PURCHASED ITEM. IT'S	12:04AM
26	JUST A CONSEQUENCE OF THE WEBCAST.	
27	THERE IS A LIST. AND THEY KEEP IT AND	
	1	

THEY DISSEMINATE IT. I DON'T HAVE ANY INDICATION IT'S

DISSEMINATED BEYOND TCW, FOR THAT MATTER BY THOMSON 1 2 REUTERS. OR REUTERS SAYS IT'S A CONFIDENTIAL LIST. 3 YOU BOUGHT IT; WE'RE GIVING IT TO YOU. I HAVE NO SUCH 4 EVIDENCE. 5 MR. SURPRENANT: I UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR, 12:05AM 6 WE'LL SUBMIT. 7 THE COURT: OKAY. MR. WEINGART, WHAT'S THE DEFENDANT'S 8 9 POINT ON THAT? 10 MR. WEINGART: WELL, WE'VE SET FORTH OUR 12:05AM 11 POSITION. GIVEN WHAT THE COURT'S TENTATIVE IS, I'M 12 HAPPY TO RESPOND IF YOUR HONOR HAS ANY QUESTIONS. BUT 1.3 IT WAS NOT SOMETHING THAT WAS DESIGNATED ON THE TRADE 14 SECRET LIST. 15 IT WAS NOT IDENTIFIED IN THE 12:05AM 16 INTERROGATORY RESPONSE WHICH REFERRED TO THAT LIST AS A 17 TRADE SECRET IN DISCOVERY OF MR. SMITH -- DID HAVE TO 18 TESTIFY, GENERALLY, NOT SPECIFIC TO THIS LIST ABOUT 19 CONFIDENTIALITY, BUT WHILE IDENTIFIED TRADE SECRET, DID 2.0 NOT IDENTIFY THIS AS ONE. 12:05AM 21 I THINK YOUR HONOR'S TENTATIVE IS 22 CORRECT. 23 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ON THAT I'LL STICK 24 WITH THE TENTATIVE. AND I'LL NOT PERMIT THE

PARTICIPANT LIST TO BE IDENTIFIED AS A TRADE SECRET 12:06AM

THE OTHER ISSUE I HAVE ON THE TABLE IS

THE BRIEFING REGARDING THE TESTIMONY OF DEFENDANT'S

25

26

UNDER CACI 4401.

EXPERT, MICHAEL WALLACE. 1 2 IN ORDER TO DEAL WITH THIS -- AND I ONLY 3 HAVE, AGAIN, ONE SIDE'S BRIEF -- I DON'T KNOW IF THERE 4 WAS A PLAN TO PUT ANOTHER BRIEF IN ON THAT OR NOT? 5 MR. WEINGART: WE DISCUSSED THAT YESTERDAY AT 12:06AM 6 THE END OF COURT, YOUR HONOR. I CAN'T REMEMBER IF WE 7 TALKED ABOUT NOON TODAY OR -- THERE WAS SOME TIME TODAY WE'RE GOING TO GET A BRIEF. 8 9 THE COURT: YOU'RE WORKING ON IT, MR. ALLRED? 10 MR. ALLRED: I AM. I WAS TOLD TO GET IT IN BY 12:06AM NOON AND THAT'S MY TARGET. 11 12 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THEN I WON'T TAINT THE 1.3 PROCESS BY MY COMMENTS. MR. SURPRENANT: WE'LL ARGUE TOMORROW AT 14 15 8:00 A.M., YOUR HONOR. 12:07AM 16 THE COURT: THAT'S THE PLAN. 17 MR. SURPRENANT: YOUR HONOR, THE NEXT WITNESS 18 IS MR. CONTINO, AND I WOULD LIKE -- I DON'T KNOW HOW YOUR HONOR APPROACHES THIS, BUT I HAVE ABOUT A THREE-19 2.0 TO FIVE-MINUTE VOIR DIRE ON HIS EXPERT TENDER. 12:07AM 21 AND I WOULD LIKE TO DO IT OUTSIDE THE 22 PRESENCE OF THE JURY. BUT I DON'T KNOW YOUR HONOR'S 23 PRACTICE. 24 THE COURT: GENERALLY THAT WOULD -- I WOULD 25 NOT BE OPPOSED TO THAT. IS MR. CONTINO GOING TO BE 12:07AM 26 CALLED RIGHT AT 8:30? 2.7 MR. WEINGART: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: WHY DON'T WE GET HIM IN HERE AND

TAKE THAT PORTION OF IT IN THE FIRST FIVE OR TEN 1 2 MINUTES. AND JUST TELL THE JURY WE'RE GOING TO START A 3 FEW MINUTES LATER. 4 MR. WEINGART: THAT'S FINE, HE'S HERE. I WAS 5 NOT AWARE THIS WAS AN ISSUE. IT WASN'T SUBJECT OF ANY 12:07AM MOTION PRACTICE. BUT IF THAT'S WHAT WE'LL DO WE CAN --6 7 THE COURT: WHAT IS MR. CONTINO'S AREA OF EXPERTISE? 8 9 MR. WEINGART: IN MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES 10 AND SYSTEMS RELATED TO MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES, YOUR 12:08AM 11 HONOR. 12 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET'S GET SET UP. GET 1.3 HIM ON, WE'LL SWEAR HIM IN AND GET HIM ON THE STAND. 14 AND, JAVIER, IF YOU LET THE JURORS KNOW WE HAVE A 15 MATTER WE'LL HAVE TO TAKE UP AND WE'LL PROBABLY START 12:08AM 16 ABOUT 10 OR 15 MINUTES LATER. 17 SO 20 MINUTES TO OR A QUARTER TO. SO 18 THEY KNOW WHY THEY'RE WAITING. ALL RIGHT. IS HE HERE 19 NOW, EVERYBODY'S READY? EVERYBODY WHO'S HERE IS GOING 2.0 TO BE HERE --12:08AM MR. WEINGART: MR. BRIAN MAY BE COUPLE MINUTES 21 22 LATE. 23 THE COURT: THAT'S ALL RIGHT. YOU DON'T MIND 24 GOING FORWARD? OKAY. 25 12:08AM 26 (PAUSE.) + 27

2.8

1	CASE NUMBER: BC429	9385	
2	CASE NAME: TRUST	COMPANY OF THE WEST VS.	
3	JEFFF	REY GUNDLACH, ET AL	
4	LOS ANGELES, WEDNE	ESDAY, SEPTEMBER 7, 2011	
5	CALIFORNIA		
6	DEPARTMENT 322 HON.	CARL J. WEST, JUDGE	
7	APPEARANCES: (AS F	HERETOFORE NOTED.)	
8	REPORTER: WENDY	Y OILLATAGUERRE, CSR #10978	
9	TIME: 8:30	A.M.	
10			
11			
12	(THE FOLLOW	VING PROCEEDINGS WERE	
13	HELD IN OF	PEN COURT OUTSIDE THE	
14	PRESENCE (OF THE JURY:)	
15			08:30AM
16	THE COURT: ALL F	RIGHT. IN THE TCW MATTER,	
17	WE'RE AGAIN ON THE RECORD.	. ALL COUNSEL ARE PRESENT.	
18	WE'RE OUT OF THE PRESENCE	OF THE JURY.	
19			
20	JOHN VINCE	ENT CONTINO,	
21	CALLED AS A WIT	NESS BY THE DEFENSE,	
22	WAS SWORN AND T	TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:	
23			
24	THE CLERK: SIR,	COULD YOU PLEASE STAND TO BE	
25	SWORN.		08:30AM
26	MR. BRIAN: GOOD	MORNING, YOUR HONOR.	
27	I'M SORRY I	I WASN'T HERE FOR THE MORNING,	
28	BUT I'M SURE MR. WEINGART	DID ADMIRABLY.	

THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE 1 2 SWORN. 3 YOU DO SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE TESTIMONY 4 YOU ARE ABOUT TO GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE 5 THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD? 6 7 THE WITNESS: I DO. 8 THE CLERK: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED. 9 SIR, PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR NAME 10 FOR THE RECORD. 08:31AM 11 THE WITNESS: JOHN VINCENT CONTINO. J-O-H-N, 12 V-I-N-C-E-N-T, CONTINO, C-O-N-T-I-N-O. 13 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, MR. CONTINO. 14 MR. WEINGART: GOOD MORNING. 15 THE TESTIMONY THAT WE INTEND TO ELICIT 08:31AM 16 FROM MR. CONTINO RELATES TO THE BWIC BROWSER AND 17 SECURITY ANALYZER; THE TRADE SECRET STATUS OF THOSE TWO 18 ITEMS, AS WELL AS A REBUTTAL THAT HE DID TO THAT SOURCE CODE COMPARISON THAT MR. HICKS PERFORMED. THAT'S IT. 19 20 BUT THOSE ARE THE ONLY AREAS THAT WE 08:31AM 21 INTEND TO ELICIT TESTIMONY ABOUT. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT 22 WOULD HELP FOCUS THE VOIR DIRE OR NOT, BUT I WANTED TO 23 POINT THAT OUT. 24 MR. SURPRENANT: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE COURT 25 INQUIRE OF MR. WEINGART, I'M NOT QUITE FULLY 08:32AM 26 UNDERSTANDING.

TRADE SECRET STATUS ABOUT ANYTHING BUT THE BWIC BROWSER

IS MR. CONTINO NOT GOING TO ADDRESS THE

27

1	AND THE SECURITY ANALYZER?	
2	THE COURT: THAT'S WHAT HE JUST TOLD ME.	
3	IS THIS A FALSE ALARM?	
4	MR. SURPRENANT: NO, YOUR HONOR. I'LL BE VERY	
5	BRIEF.	08:32AM
6		
7		
8	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION	
9		
10	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
11	Q. GOOD MORNING, MR. CONTINO.	
12	A. GOOD MORNING, MR. SURPRENANT.	
13	Q. NOW, WITH RESPECT TO THE TRADE SECRETS IN THE	
14	FIXED INCOME INDUSTRY, YOU DO NOT HAVE AN OPINION ON	
15	WHAT INFORMATION IS CONSIDERED CONFIDENTIAL AND	08:32AM
16	PROPRIETARY, CORRECT?	
17	A. THAT'S CORRECT.	
18	Q. AND THE SAME WOULD BE TRUE FOR WHAT COMPUTER	
19	APPLICATIONS ARE CONSIDERED CONFIDENTIAL AND	
20	PROPRIETARY?	08:32AM
21	A. THAT'S CORRECT.	
22	Q. AND YOU DON'T HAVE AN OPINION ON WHAT DATA ARE	
23	CONSIDERED CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY IN THE FIXED	
24	INCOME INDUSTRY?	
25	A. MIGHT YOU BE MORE SPECIFIC ON THAT QUESTION?	08:33AM
26	Q. IF YOU COULD	
27	YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE TO READ INTO	

THE RECORD, PAGE 35 OF MR. CONTINO'S DEPOSITION, LINES

1	2 THROUGH 9.	
2	THE DEPOSITION IS IN FRONT OF YOU,	
3	MR. CONTINO.	
4	DOES THE COURT HAVE A COPY?	
5	THE COURT: GO AHEAD AND READ IT.	08:33AM
6	MR. SURPRENANT:	
7	"Q AND YOU ARE OPINING ON	
8	TRADE SECRETS, CORRECT?	
9	"A I AM.	
10	"Q BUT YOU ARE NOT OPINING	08:33AM
11	ON WHAT DATA AND INFORMATION AND	
12	APPLICATIONS AND PROTOCOLS ARE	
13	TYPICALLY CONSIDERED CONFIDENTIAL	
14	AND PROPRIETARY IN THE INDUSTRY,	
15	CORRECT?	08:33AM
16	"A CORRECT."	
17	Q. NOW, WITH RESPECT TO YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF	
18	WHETHER THE BWIC BROWSER AND THE SECURITY ANALYZER ARE	
19	TRADE SECRETS, YOU ANALYZE THAT FROM THE POINT OF VIEW	
20	OF WHAT YOU CALL A PRIMACY OF PERFORMANCE, CORRECT?	08:33AM
21	THE REPORTER: I'M SORRY, A WHAT?	
22	Q. BY MR. SURPRENANT: WHAT YOU CALL THE PRIMACY	
23	OF PERFORMANCE, CORRECT?	
24	A. PRIMACY OF PERFORMANCE WAS ONE OF THE	
25	THE COURT: ARE YOU SAYING PRIMACY?	08:34AM
26	THE WITNESS: PRIMACY, YES. THAT WAS ONE OF	
27	THE CRITERIA I USE, MR. SURPRENANT.	
28	Q. BY MR. SURPRENANT: THAT'S CORRECT.	

1	AND AS I UNDERSTAND, YOUR TESTIMONY, IS	
2	YOU BELIEVE THAT IT IS UNLIKELY THAT DATA OR	
3	INFORMATION WOULD, IN YOUR VIEW, RISE TO THE LEVEL OF	
4	TRADE SECRETS, UNLESS IT RELATED SPECIFICALLY TO	
5	INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE, CORRECT?	08:34AM
6	MR WEINGART: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. I'M NOT	
7	CLEAR WHERE WE'RE GOING, IN TERMS OF HIS	
8	QUALIFICATIONS.	
9	THE COURT: I'M NOT, EITHER.	
10	THIS WAS A VOIR DIRE ON A 402,	08:34AM
11	ESSENTIALLY, TO DETERMINE HIS QUALIFICATIONS AS AN	
12	EXPERT. AND I HAVEN'T HEARD ANYTHING ON HIS	
13	QUALIFICATIONS AT THIS POINT, OR CHALLENGE TO THE	
14	QUALIFICATIONS.	
15	MR. SURPRENANT: WELL, I'D ARGUE BRIEFLY ON	08:34AM
16	THAT.	
17	BUT, YOUR HONOR, THE POINT OF VIEW ON	
18	HIS TRADE SECRET TESTIMONY IS THAT, I BELIEVE I CAN	
19	ELICIT THAT HIS VIEW OF TRADE SECRETS IS SHARPLY	
20	INCONSISTENT WITH CUTSA, AND THEREFORE, WOULD NOT AID	08:35AM
21	THE JURY; AND THEREFORE, IT SHOULD NOT BE ADMITTED.	
22	MR WEINGART: COULD I RESPOND BRIEFLY TO THAT,	
23	YOUR HONOR?	
24	THE COURT: BUT I HAVEN'T REALLY HEARD ANY	
25	EVIDENCE OR THE TESTIMONY FROM THE WITNESS ON THAT	08:35AM
26	SUBJECT.	
27	BUT GO AHEAD, MR. WEINGART.	

MR WEINGART: WHAT THE REPORT SAYS, AND I

DON'T THINK MR. SURPRENANT WOULD DISAGREE WITH THIS, IS 1 2 THAT HE USED, BECAUSE IT WAS A REBUTTAL REPORT TO 3 MR. SMITH, THE SAME APPROACH THAT MR. SMITH DID, IN 4 TERMS OF DEFINITION -- MR. SMITH, YOU KNOW, TESTIFIED, 5 USING THE DEMONSTRATIVE THAT WAS PUT UP, USING THAT AS 08:35AM 6 A FRAME OF REFERENCE. 7 AND I DON'T BELIEVE MR. CONTINO IS GOING 8 TO BE DOING ANYTHING DIFFERENT IN THAT REGARD THAN WHAT 9 MR. SMITH DID. 10 MR. SURPRENANT: VERY BRIEFLY, YOUR HONOR. 08:35AM 11 YOU WILL RECALL THAT I WANTED TO EXAMINE MR. SMITH WITH 12 THIS BLOWUP, THIS DEMONSTRATIVE WHICH WAS PATTERNED 13 AFTER HIS TESTIMONY. AND MR. WEINGART OBJECTED. AND I 14 HAD TO USE A --15 IF YOU COULD PUT IT UP, MIKE --08:36AM 16 I HAD TO USE CUTSA. AND YOUR HONOR WAS 17 QUITE CLEAR THAT TESTIMONY ABOUT TRADE SECRETS THAT WAS 18 THE ONLY IDIOSYNCRATIC VIEW OF A FIXED INCOME 19 PRACTITIONER, WOULDN'T HELP THE JURY. 20 AND THIS WITNESS' VIEW OF WHAT A TRADE 08:36AM 21 SECRET IS IS DRAMATICALLY NARROW, COMPARED TO WHAT 22 CUTSA IS, AND IT WOULD CONFUSE THE JURY. 23 IF THE WITNESS' TESTIMONY IS -- IF IT

08:36AM

27 THAT TESTIMONY WILL ONLY CONFUSE THE
28 JURY, AND IT'S INCONSISTENT WITH HOW WE PROCEEDED WITH

DOESN'T RELATE TO INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE, AND IN

VIEW, IT'S UNLIKELY TO BE A TRADE SECRET.

PARTICULAR, PREDICTING INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE, IN HIS

24

25

1 MR. SMITH. 2 THE COURT: WELL, WHY WOULDN'T WE PROCEED WITH 3 MR. CONTINO ON THE SAME BASIS WE DID WITH MR. SMITH? 4 I THINK I SAID YOU COULD USE -- WHAT WE 5 HAVE UP ON THE SCREEN NOW, IS THE DEFINITION FROM THE 08:37AM 6 JURY INSTRUCTION THAT WE'RE GOING TO USE, IS IT NOT? 7 MR. SURPRENANT: IT'S A QUOTE FROM CUTSA. 8 THE WITNESS: RIGHT. MR. SURPRENANT: AS LONG AS WE HAVE THAT 9 10 UNDERSTANDING, I HAVE ONE MORE MINUTE OF EXAMINATION. 08:37AM 11 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 12 BY MR. SURPRENANT: MR. CONTINO, YOU HAVE A Ο. 13 BACHELOR OF SCIENCE DEGREE IN INDUSTRIAL AND LABOR 14 RELATIONS FROM CORNELL IN 1983; IS THAT CORRECT? A. THAT'S CORRECT. 15 08:37AM 16 Ο. AND YOU TOOK THREE CLASSES IN PROGRAMMING, AS 17 AN UNDERGRADUATE? 18 THAT'S CORRECT. Α. 19 Q. AND THEN IN 1988, YOU FOUNDED YOUR COMPANY, 20 SPERLINGA ADVISORY, CORRECT? 08:37AM 21 Α. THAT'S CORRECT. 22 AND THERE'S ONE FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE THERE, YOURSELF, CORRECT? 23 24 A. THAT'S CORRECT. 25 AND YOU OCCASIONALLY WILL DO PROGRAMMING AT Q. 08:37AM 26 YOUR COMPANY, CORRECT? 27 A. THAT'S CORRECT.

O. YOU HAVE NEVER BEEN HIRED AS A PROGRAMMER?

THAT'S INCORRECT. 1 Α. 2 Q. YOU ARE AWARE OF VERSION CONTROL, CORRECT? 3 I'M AWARE IT EXISTED. Α. BUT YOU DON'T REALLY KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT IT, 4 Q. 5 OTHER THAN PROGRAMMERS USE IT? 08:38AM 6 A. I'M FAMILIAR WITH VERSION CONTROL, AND HOW 7 IT'S BEEN DISCUSSED IN THIS MATTER, MR. SURPRENANT. 8 BUT I HAVE NOT USED VERSION CONTROL SOFTWARE MYSELF. 9 AND YOU ARE NOT A COMPUTER SCIENTIST, CORRECT? Ο. 10 A. THAT'S CORRECT. 08:38AM 11 Q. AND SINCE YOU ARE NOT A COMPUTER SCIENTIST, 12 LOGICALLY, YOU ARE NOT AN EXPERT COMPUTER SCIENTIST, 13 CORRECT? 14 A. WELL, LET'S BE CAREFUL, MR. SURPRENANT. 15 I CALL MYSELF A PRACTITIONER PROGRAMMER. 08:38AM 16 SO I HAVE DESIGNED AND BUILT MANY OF THE MBS ANALYTICS 17 THAT MY FIRM USES. 18 SO WHILE I DON'T HOLD MYSELF OUT AS A 19 COMPUTER PROGRAMMER, I DO BELIEVE THAT I CAN PROGRAM 20 WHAT I NEED TO, IN ORDER TO GET MY BUSINESS DONE IN THE 08:38AM 21 MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES ANALYTICAL AREA. 22 MR. SURPRENANT: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 23 THANKS FOR THE VOIR DIRE. 24 THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER? 25 MR WEINGART: NOT UNLESS YOUR HONOR HAS ANY 08:39AM 26 OUESTIONS. 27 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 28 SO WHY DON'T YOU STEP DOWN, SIR.

1	AND WE'RE GOING TO ACTUALLY, WHEN THE	
2	JURY COMES IN, WE WILL ASK YOU TO BE SWORN ONCE AGAIN	
3	SO THEY WON'T BE CONFUSED BY THE FACT THAT WE'VE HAD	
4	THIS LITTLE MEETING, SO	
5	THE WITNESS: I UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR. THANK	08:39AM
6	YOU.	
7	THE COURT: THANK YOU.	
8	ALL RIGHT. ANYTHING ELSE?	
9	WE CAN BRING THE JURY IN.	
10	MR WEINGART: NO, YOUR HONOR.	08:39AM
11		
12	(AT 8:40 A.M. THE JURY ENTERED	
13	THE COURTROOM, AND THE FOLLOWING	
14	PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:)	
15		08:43AM
16	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND	
17	GENTLEMEN.	
18	THE JURY: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.	
19	THE COURT: IN THE TCW VERSUS GUNDLACH MATTER,	
20	ALL MEMBERS OF OUR JURY ARE PRESENT, AS ARE ALL	08:43AM
21	COUNSEL.	
22	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, YOU KNOW, WE'RE	
23	MOVING TOWARD A TARGET HERE, TO FINISH UP.	
24	AND WHAT WE HAVE DISCUSSED IS THE	
25	POSSIBILITY OF BEING IN SESSION FRIDAY MORNING, 8:30 TO	08:44AM
26	NOON OR MAYBE A LITTLE PAST THAT. I THINK THAT THAT	
27	WILL INSURE WE WILL FINISH UP THE FIRST PART OF NEXT	
28	WEEK. IF WE DON'T DO THAT, I THINK WE WILL DRAG ON.	

1	I'D LIKE YOU TO TALK AMONG YOURSELVES	
2	IS THERE ANYBODY THAT WOULD HAVE A SERIOUS PROBLEM WITH	
3	THAT AT THIS POINT? FRIDAY MORNING, 8:30, MAYBE TILL	
4	2:00, BUT PROBABLY TILL NOON?	
5	JUROR NO. 2: I JUST NEED TO LET MY EMPLOYER	08:44AM
6	KNOW.	
7	THE COURT: YOU ARE ALL WILLING TO DO THAT,	
8	THOUGH?	
9	JUROR NO. 11: IF WE WENT THE WHOLE DAY, WOULD	
10	THAT	08:44AM
11	THE COURT: WOULD YOU LIKE TO GO TO 4:30,	
12	WITH NO.	
13	WE WILL GO A GOOD PART OF FRIDAY. AND	
14	MAYBE TILL NOON. IF WE GO BEYOND THAT, WE'LL HAVE OUR	
15	SAME BREAK SYSTEM.	08:44AM
16	SO BUT PLAN ON IT, 8:30 FRIDAY	
17	MORNING.	
18	THANK YOU VERY MUCH.	
19	MR. WEINGART, WOULD YOU LIKE TO CALL	
20	YOUR NEXT WITNESS?	08:45AM
21	MR WEINGART: YES, YOUR HONOR.	
22	WE CALL JOHN CONTINO.	
23		
24		
25	JOHN VINCENT CONTINO,	
26	CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE DEFENDANT,	
27	WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:	
28	//	

1	THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE	
2	SWORN.	
3	YOU DO SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE TESTIMONY	
4	YOU ARE ABOUT TO GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE	
5	THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND	
6	NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD.	
7	THE WITNESS: I DO.	
8	THE COURT CLERK: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE	
9	SEATED.	
10	SIR, PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR NAME	08:45AM
11	FOR THE RECORD.	
12	THE WITNESS: JOHN VINCENT CONTINO.	
13	LAST NAME IS C-O-N-T-I-N-O.	
14	THE CLERK: THANK YOU.	
15	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, MR. CONTINO.	08:45AM
16	THE WITNESS: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.	
17	THE COURT: MR. WEINGART, YOU MAY PROCEED.	
18	MR WEINGART: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
19		
20		
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
22		
23	BY MR. WEINGART:	
24	Q. MORNING, MR. CONTINO.	
25	A. GOOD MORNING, MR. WEINGART.	08:45AM
26	MR. WEINGART: GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND	
27	GENTLEMEN.	

THE JURY: MORNING.

1	Q. BY MR. WEINGART: WHERE DO YOU WORK?	
2	A. SPERLINGA ADVISORY.	
3	Q. AND WHAT IS YOUR POSITION THERE?	
4	A. I'M THE MANAGING MEMBER. I RUN THE COMPANY.	
5	Q. THAT'S SPERLINGA, IS YOU?	08:46AM
6	A. CORRECT.	
7	Q. WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESS IS IT?	
8	A. IT'S SPERLINGA ADVISORY, DOES CONTRACT	
9	ANALYSIS IN COMPLEX MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES.	
10	Q. AND WHEN YOU SAY "CONTRACT ANALYSIS", YOU MEAN	08:46AM
11	YOU ARE CONTRACTED TO DO THAT ANALYSIS.	
12	NOT ANALYSIS OF CONTRACTS, CORRECT?	
13	A. THAT'S CORRECT.	
14	Q. AND WITH REGARD TO MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES,	
15	WHAT ARE THE VARIOUS TYPES OF SERVICES THAT SPERLINGA	08:46AM
16	PROVIDES?	
17	A. SPERLINGA ADVISORY PROVIDES THREE SETS OF	
18	SERVICES.	
19	FIRST, SORT OF THE BREAD AND BUTTER	
20	BUSINESS IS CONTRACT VALUATION AND ANALYSIS FOR PEOPLE	08:46AM
21	THAT HAVE PORTFOLIOS OF MORTGAGE SECURITIES.	
22	SECOND	
23	Q. COULD I ASK YOU TO PULL THE MICROPHONE A	
24	LITTLE CLOSER?	
25	A. SURE.	08:46AM
26	THE SECOND GROUP OF CLIENTS ARE PEOPLE	
27	THAT ARE INTERESTED IN ACCESSING THE MORTGAGE-BACKED	

28

SECURITIES MARKET, BUT MAY NOT HAVE THE EXPERIENCE OR

CAPABILITIES THEMSELVES IN ORDER TO DO THAT. 1 2 AND THEN FINALLY, SPERLINGA ADVISORY 3 DOES LITIGATION SUPPORT, VERY MUCH LIKE WHAT I'M DOING 4 RIGHT NOW. 5 Ο. HOW LONG HAVE YOU WORKED IN MORTGAGE-BACKED 08:47AM 6 SECURITIES-RELATED FIELDS? 7 A. 25 YEARS. WHERE DID YOU GO TO COLLEGE? 8 Q. 9 CORNELL UNIVERSITY. Α. 10 Q. AND AFTER COLLEGE, DID YOU THEN BEGIN WORK IN 08:47AM 11 THE FINANCIAL SERVICES FIELD? 12 I DID. Α. 13 AND THAT'S BEEN YOUR OCCUPATION SINCE Ο. 14 GRADUATION? 15 A. IT HAS. 08:47AM 16 Ο. WHAT WAS YOUR FIRST JOB FOLLOWING COLLEGE? 17 I WAS EMPLOYED BY PAINEWEBBER TO DEVELOP Α. 18 INVESTMENT PROGRAMS FOR MUNICIPALITIES THAT WERE 19 FINANCING LARGE PROJECTS LIKE CONVENTION CENTERS. 20 Ο. WHERE DID YOU -- HOW LONG WERE YOU THERE FOR? 08:47AM 21 Α. A YEAR AND A HALF. 22 WHERE DID YOU GO AFTER THAT? Ο. 23 A. TO DREXEL BURNHAM LAMBERT. 24 Q. IS THAT ANOTHER -- OR WAS IT ANOTHER FINANCIAL 25 SERVICES FIRM? 08:48AM 26 Α. IT WAS.

A. I WAS A MUNICIPAL ASSOCIATE. I HELPED THE

Q. WHAT DID YOU DO THERE?

27

FIRM PUT TOGETHER FINANCINGS FOR OTHER MUNICIPALITIES. 1 2 Ο. AND AFTER DID YOU LEAVE DREXEL AT SOME POINT? 3 I DID. Α. 4 WHERE DID YOU GO? Q. 5 A. BACK TO PAINEWEBBER. 08:48AM O. AND WHAT DID YOU DO UPON YOUR RETURN TO 6 7 PAINEWEBBER? 8 A. I BEGAN STRUCTURING MORTGAGE-BACKED 9 SECURITIES. 10 SO TO BE SPECIFIC, TAKING LARGE POOLS OF 08:48AM 11 HOME MORTGAGES, SLICING THEM UP INTO BONDS, AND SELLING 12 THOSE TO INSTITUTIONAL INVESTORS. 13 O. DID THAT WORK ALSO INVOLVE ANALYZING 14 MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES? 15 A. IT DID. 08:48AM 16 WE WOULD NOT ONLY SLICE THE SECURITIES; 17 BUT FOR THE MOST COMPLEX OF THESE SECURITIES, CREATE 18 MATERIALS THAT SALESPEOPLE WOULD USE TO COMMUNICATE TO 19 INVESTORS OF THOSE MORE COMPLEX SECURITIES, SORT OF 20 RISKS AND RETURNS ASSOCIATED WITH THEM. 08:49AM 21 Ο. WHEN DID YOU LEAVE PAINEWEBBER FOR THE SECOND 22 TIME? 23 A. AUGUST OF 1988. 24 Q. AND WAS THAT WHEN YOU FOUNDED SPERLINGA? 25 IT WAS. Α. 08:49AM 26 NOW, DO YOU, AT SPERLINGA, USE THIRD-PARTY

SOFTWARE SYSTEMS TO HELP YOU IN VALUING MORTGAGE-BACKED

27

2.8

SECURITIES?

1	Α.	I DO.			
2	Q.	AND DO YOU ALSO BUILD YOUR OWN SOFTWARE			
3	SYSTEMS	TO HELP YOU IN VALUING OR ANALYZING			
4	MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES?				
5	Α.	I DO.	08:49AM		
6	Q.	DO YOU WRITE THE SOURCE CODE YOURSELF, FOR			
7	THOSE SYSTEMS?				
8	Α.	YES, I DO.			
9	Q.	WHILE YOU HAVE BEEN AT SPERLINGA, HAVE YOU			
10	TAKEN ANY LEAVES OF ABSENCES?				
11	Α.	YES. I TOOK TWO LEAVES OF ABSENCES AWAY FROM			
12	THE COMPANY.				
13	Q.	AND BRIEFLY, WHAT DID YOU DO DURING THOSE TWO			
14	LEAVES OF ABSENCES?				
15	Α.	IN THESE TWO LEAVES OF ABSENCES, I MANAGED	08:49AM		
16	FUNDS THAT INVESTED IN COMPLEX MORTGAGE SECURITIES.				
17	Q.	AND WHEN WAS THE FIRST LEAVE OF ABSENCE?			
18	Α.	IN THE SUMMER OF 1991.			
19	Q.	AND HOW LONG WAS THAT FOR?			
20	Α.	THAT WAS FOR 16 MONTHS.	08:50AM		
21	Q.	AND THE SECOND LEAVE?			
22	Α.	WAS IN THE BEGINNING OF 2004.			
23	Q.	AND HOW LONG WERE YOU MANAGING A FUND ON THAT			
24	LEAVE?				
25	Α.	THREE AND THREE-QUARTER YEARS.	08:50AM		
26	Q.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY BEEN RETAINED AS AN EXPERT			
27	IN MATTERS REGARDING MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES?				

A. YES, I HAVE.

		I		
1	Q. WHO HAVE BEEN SOME OF THE PEOPLE THAT HAVE			
2	RETAINED YOU?			
3	A. PROBABLY THE CLIENT THAT PEOPLE WOULD BE MOST			
4	FAMILIAR WITH WOULD BE THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF			
5	LABOR.	08:50AM		
6	BACK IN THE MIDDLE 1990S, I WAS RETAINED			
7	AS AN EXPERT ON A SERIES OF CASES THAT THE DEPARTMENT			
8	OF LABOR WAS BRINGING AGAINST MANAGERS THAT MAY HAVE			
9	OR WHO WERE ACCUSED OF WRONGDOING IN THE MORTGAGE			
10	SECURITIES AREA.			
11	Q. HAVE YOU ALSO BEEN RETAINED BY LARGE FINANCIAL			
12	INSTITUTIONS?			
13	A. MORE RECENTLY, I'VE SERVED AS A CONSULTING			
14	EXPERT FOR A SERIES OF LARGE BROKER DEALERS; FOR			
15	EXAMPLE, JP MORGAN CHASE, MORGAN STANLEY, CREDIT	08:51AM		
16	SUISSE.			
17	I'M CURRENTLY ON A CASE REPRESENTING			
18	GREENWICH CAPITAL.			
19	Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED AS AN EXPERT			
20	WITNESS?	08:51AM		
21	A. I HAVE.			
22	Q. IS THERE A CUSTOMARY RATE THAT YOU CHARGE FOR			
23	YOUR SERVICES?			
24	A. THERE IS.			
25	Q. WHAT IS THAT?	08:51AM		
26	A. \$575 AN HOUR.			
		İ		

Q. AND IS THAT THE RATE THAT YOU ARE CHARGING IN

27

28

THIS MATTER?

1 A. I AM. 2 0. IS YOUR FEE IN ANY WAY TIED TO THE CONTENT OF 3 YOUR TESTIMONY? 4 IT IS NOT. Α. 5 Ο. AND IS YOUR FEE IN ANY WAY DEPENDENT ON THE 08:51AM OUTCOME OF THE CASE? 6 7 Α. NO. 8 MR. WEINGART: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD PROFFER 9 MR. CONTINO AS AN EXPERT ON MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES 10 AND MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITY-RELATED SYSTEMS. 08:51AM 11 THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION? 12 MR. SURPRENANT: NO OBJECTION. 13 THE COURT: HE'LL BE ADMITTED AS AN EXPERT. 14 LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, MR. CONTINO WILL 15 BE TESTIFYING AS AN EXPERT IN THIS MATTER. 08:51AM 16 Ο. BY MR. WEINGART: NOW, AS PART OF YOUR 17 RETENTION IN THIS CASE, WERE YOU ASKED TO OPINE AS TO 18 CERTAIN ITEMS WERE OR WERE NOT TRADE SECRETS? 19 A. I WAS. 20 AND NOW THE JURY HAS HEARD A LOT OF TESTIMONY 08:52AM 21 ON THIS POINT, SO I WANT TO FOCUS ON TWO ITEMS, THE 22 BWIC BROWSER AND THE SECURITY ANALYZER, OKAY? 23 A. UNDERSTOOD. 24 ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH WHAT TCW CALLS ITS BWIC 25 BROWSER AND SECURITY ANALYZER? 08:52AM 26 Α. I AM.

Q. DID YOU EXAMINE THOSE SYSTEMS, AS PART OF YOUR

27

2.8

WORK IN THIS CASE?

1 A. I DID. O. WHAT DID YOUR EXAMINATION INCLUDE? 2 3 THE EXAMINATION INCLUDED SEEING A 4 DEMONSTRATION OF THE TWO SYSTEMS PUT FORTH BY TCW, 5 LOOKING AT SOURCE CODE ASSOCIATED WITH THE TWO SYSTEMS, 08:52AM AND THEN FINALLY, LOOKING AT CERTAIN SCREEN SHOTS OF 6 7 THE SYSTEMS. 8 O. NOW, LET'S TALK FIRST ABOUT THE BWIC BROWSER. 9 WHAT DOES THE TCW BWIC BROWSER DO, 10 GENERALLY SPEAKING? 08:52AM 11 BRIEFLY, THE BWIC BROWSER TAKES LISTS OF Α. 12 FUTURE OPTIONS THAT THE DEALER COMMUNITY IS PUTTING 13 FORTH WITH BONDS, THAT DOUBLELINE AND TCW ON A GIVEN 14 DAY MIGHT BUY. 15 IT SUMMARIZES THOSE BONDS, PERHAPS WITH 08:53AM 16 PRICES THAT THE DEALER COMMUNITY HAS ESTIMATED AT WHICH 17 THESE BONDS WOULD SELL, AS WELL AS PRESENTING 18 ASSOCIATED INFORMATION WITH THE COLLATERAL POOLS 19 BACKING THESE SECURITIES. 20 FINALLY, IT INDICATES WHETHER THE 08:53AM 21 PARTICULAR SECURITY MIGHT BE INCLUDED IN A EXISTING TCW 22 PORTFOLIO. 23 THE PURPOSE OF THE BWIC BROWSER IS TO 24 ALLOW THE USER TO LOOK AT A PARTICULAR SET OF BONDS 25 BEING AUCTIONED ON A DAY, AND MAKE A QUICK DECISION AS 08:53AM 26 TO WHETHER OR NOT THOSE SECURITIES ARE SOMETHING IN

Q. NOW, YOU ALSO SAID THAT YOU LOOKED AT THE

WHICH THEY ARE INTERESTED.

27

1	CECIIDITTV	ANALYZER?
_		

- 2 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- Q. AGAIN, GENERALLY SPEAKING, WHAT DOES THE TCW SECURITY ANALYZER DO?
- 5 A. IT MAY BE EASIEST TO THINK OF THE SECURITY
 6 ANALYZER IS THE NEXT STEP IN THE PROCESS.

7 SO AFTER SOMEONE DECIDING THAT THIS IS A

BOND WORTH FURTHER ANALYSIS, SECURITY ANALYZER COLLECTS

9 INFORMATION ON THE COLLATERAL POOLS BACKING THAT

10 | PARTICULAR SECURITY, WHERE THERE'S INTEREST, AND

11 PROVIDES INFORMATION TO A TRADER OR ANALYST, IN TERMS

12 OF THE SPECIFICS OF THAT PARTICULAR COLLATERAL POOL.

SO IT ALLOWS THE USERS TO DRILL INTO A

14 | COLLATERAL, AS WELL AS PROVIDES CERTAIN INFORMATION

ABOUT THE BOND STRUCTURE AND OTHER DETAILS OF THE

16 SECURITIZATION.

8

22

28

- Q. AND IS FILTERING BWIC'S A COMMON PRACTICE FOR
- 18 MBS PROFESSIONALS?
- 19 A. IT IS.
- Q. AND IS USING THIRD PARTY DATA SOURCES TO

 ANALYZE MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES, A COMMON PRACTICE?
 - A. IT IS.
- Q. AND I GUESS HOW COMMON A PRACTICE IS IT, TO

 SHARE INFORMATION ABOUT THE INFORMATION PULLED FROM

 THESE THIRD PARTY SYSTEMS WITH OTHERS IN THE INDUSTRY?
- A. WELL, LET'S PUT THAT -- BREAK THAT UP,
- 27 MR. WEINGART.

IF WE'RE LOOKING AT A TRADER OR ANALYST,

08:54AM

08:54AM

08:54AM

08:55AM

08:55AM

- 1 LOOKING AT THE BONDS BEING SOLD IN A PARTICULAR DAY,
- 2 | THAT'S SOMETHING THAT THEY DO IN THE NORMAL COURSE OF
- 3 BUSINESS.
- 4 THE INDUSTRY DOES TAKE A LARGER REVIEW
- 5 OF THIRD PARTY DATA TO IDENTIFY TRENDS IN HOUSE PRICES
- 6 OR PEOPLE'S ABILITY TO REFINANCE, AND SHARE THOSE WITH
- 7 | THE INDUSTRY, IN GENERAL. AND THERE ARE A FEW FORMATS
- 8 OR FORUMS WHERE THIS IS DONE.
- FIRST, THERE ARE INDUSTRY CONFERENCES,
- 10 AT WHICH TRADERS AND ANALYSTS GET UP AND LECTURE ABOUT
- 11 WHAT THEY FOUND IN ANALYZING THIS PARTICULAR DATA, AND
- 12 | SHARING WHAT THOSE TRENDS ARE.
- 13 SECOND, THERE ARE RESEARCH REPORTS
- 14 WRITTEN BY THE DEALER COMMUNITY THAT PUT FORTH THEIR
- 15 | VIEWS AS TO WHAT'S HAPPENING IN THE TRENDS THAT REALLY
- 16 INFLUENCE THE PRICE OF HOUSING BONDS.
- 17 SO THAT'S SOME OF THE WAYS THAT THE
- 18 INDUSTRY SHARES INFORMATION.
- 19 O. AND IS THAT INFORMATION THAT COMES FROM THIRD
- 20 | PARTY SOURCES, SUCH AS BLOOMBERG?
- A. GENERALLY, YES.
- 22 Q. NOW, DO YOU HAVE AN OPINION AS TO WHETHER OR
- 23 | NOT THE BWIC BROWSER IS A TRADE SECRET?
- 24 A. I DO.
- Q. WHAT IS THAT OPINION?
- 26 A. THAT IT IS NOT.
- 27 O. AND DO YOU HAVE AN OPINION AS TO WHETHER THE
- 28 | SECURITY ANALYZER IS A TRADE SECRET?

08:55AM

08:56AM

08:56AM

08:56AM

08:56AM

08:58AM

1 A. I DO. 2 0. WHAT IS THAT OPINION? 3 AND THAT IT IS NOT. Α. NOW, ARE THE REASONS FOR THOSE OPINIONS 4 Q. 5 REGARDING THE BWIC BROWSER AND THE SECURITY ANALYZER, 08:57AM 6 LARGELY THE SAME FOR BOTH, OR ARE THEY DIFFERENT, 7 BETWEEN THE BWIC AND THE SECURITY ANALYZER? 8 AS I'VE TESTIFIED, THERE'S A RELATIONSHIP Α. 9 BETWEEN THE BWIC BROWSER AND SECURITY ANALYZER; SO YES, 10 THERE IS SOME COMMON REASONS WHY I BELIEVE THESE 08:57AM 11 SYSTEMS DON'T RISE TO THE LEVEL OF BEING A TRADE 12 SECRET. 13 WHAT ARE THOSE COMMON REASONS? Ο. 14 A. FIRST, WHAT THESE SYSTEMS DO ARE GENERALLY 15 DONE IN THE INDUSTRY. 08:57AM 16 IF WE STEP BACK FOR A MOMENT, ANYBODY 17 THAT LOOKS LIKE THE TRADERS OR ANALYSTS AT TCW AND 18 DOUBLELINE, HAVE TO PERFORM THESE SORT OF FUNCTIONS 19 EACH DAY: LOOK AT THE LISTS OF SECURITIES THAT ARE 20 BEING PUT OUT INTO THE MARKETPLACE, AND ANALYZE THEM. 08:57AM 21 SO THERE ARE THIRD PARTY SYSTEMS THAT 22 HELP TRADERS AND ANALYSTS GET TO THE SAME FUNCTIONALITY 23 THAT THE BWIC BROWSER PROVIDES. 24 THERE ARE ALSO OTHER RESOURCES THAT ARE

AVAILABLE TO COMPANIES LIKE TCW AND DOUBLELINE THAT

SECURITIES WHICH TCW AND DOUBLELINE TRADE, WILL MAKE

THE LARGE INVESTMENT BANKS THAT SELL THE

GIVE SIMILAR FUNCTIONALITY TO SECURITY ANALYZER.

25

26

27

- 1 AVAILABLE TO COMPANIES OR CLIENTS LIKE TCW AND
- 2 DOUBLELINE, THEIR OWN ANALYTICS. AND THEIR OWN
- 3 ANALYTICS ALLOW PEOPLE AT DOUBLELINE AND TCW TO GET THE
- 4 | SAME SORT OF PERSPECTIVE ONE WOULD IN -- THAT THE
- 5 | SECURITY ANALYZER WOULD PROVIDE.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q. NOW, DO THE SECURITY ANALYZER AND THE BWIC
BROWSER PULL DATA FROM THIRD-PARTY SYSTEMS?

8 A. YES, THEY DO. THEY PULL IT FROM MULTIPLE 9 THIRD-PARTY SYSTEMS.

O. WHAT ARE SOME OF THOSE THIRD-PARTY SYSTEMS?

A. BASICALLY THE BLOOMBERG, INTEX, WHICH IS A SYSTEM THAT ALLOWS TCW OR DOUBLELINE TO GENERATE CASH FLOW ANALYSIS. AND FINALLY, DATA FROM SOMETHING CALLED LOAN PERFORMANCE.

AND LOAN PERFORMANCE PEOPLE HAVE

TESTIFIED ABOUT BEFORE, IS JUST A LARGE DATABASE OF

LOANS THAT BACK MOST PRIVATELY-ISSUED SECURITIES IN THE

COUNTRY.

SO THOSE ARE THE THREE SOURCES THAT
FIRMS LIKE TCW AND DOUBLELINE TEND TO GENERATE OR PULL
THAT THIRD-PARTY DATA FROM.

Q. AND DOES THE BWIC BROWSER AND THE SECURITY
ANALYZER THEN PRESENT THAT DATA FROM THE THIRD-PARTY
SYSTEMS IN ONE UNIFIED FORMAT?

A. IT DOES. IT PULLS THAT DATA IN FROM THESE DISPARATE SOURCES, PERFORMS ANALYSIS ON IT, AND PRESENTS SUMMARY DATA TO THE PARTICULAR USER.

Q. NOW, DO OTHERS IN THE MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITY

08:58AM

08:59AM

08:59AM

08:59AM

09:00AM

INDUSTRY HAVE THAT SAME KIND OF FUNCTIONALITY THAT'S 1 2 PROVIDED BY THE BWIC BROWSER AND THE SECURITY ANALYZER? 3 SPECIFICALLY, MR. WEINGART YOU ARE SPEAKING 4 ABOUT THE ABILITY TO PULL INFORMATION FROM DISPARATE 5 SOURCES? 09:00AM 6 Q. YES. 7 A. INDEED, THEY DO. 8 AND DO YOU DO SOMETHING LIKE THAT AT YOUR OWN Q. 9 FIRM? 10 A. I DO PULL INFORMATION FROM DISPARATE SOURCES, 09:00AM 11 TO COME TO THE CONCLUSIONS THAT I PRESENT TO MY 12 CLIENTS. 13 O. SO LET'S TALK ABOUT THE BWIC BROWSER FOR A 14 MINUTE. 15 ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH ANY OTHER SYSTEM 09:00AM 16 THAT PROVIDES THE SAME KIND OF FUNCTIONALITY THAT THE 17 BWIC BROWSER PROVIDES? 18 THE BLOOMBERG SYSTEM PROVIDES A SYSTEM CALLED Α. 19 INGR, OR INVENTORY MANAGER, AND THAT PROVIDES A SYSTEM 20 THAT HAS MUCH OF THE SAME FUNCTIONALITY AS THE BWIC 09:01AM 21 BROWSER. 22 NOW, WITH REGARD TO THE SECURITY ANALYZER, 23 HAVE YOU SEEN SYSTEMS THAT PERFORM THE SAME KIND OF 24 FUNCTIONALITY THAT IT PROVIDES, AT OTHER FIRMS IN THE

09:01AM

A. I HAVE.

25

27

Q. CAN YOU NAME SOME OF THOSE FIRMS?

MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITY INDUSTRY?

28 A. AS I TESTIFIED EARLIER, THE DEALER COMMUNITY

- PROVIDES ACCESS TO THEIR SOFTWARE, WHICH GIVES TO

 CLIENTS LIKE TCW AND DOUBLELINE THEIR PERSPECTIVE ON A

 SORT OF DEEP DIVE ON VARIOUS SECURITIES.
- I, MYSELF, HAVE PULLED INFORMATION FROM

 DIFFERENT SOURCES AND SUMMARIZED IT IN A SIMILAR WAY

 FOR MY CLIENTS; SO, YES.
- Q. AND IS THAT SO YOU DON'T HAVE TO GO TO

 MULTIPLE THIRD-PARTY SYSTEMS DO PULL WHAT YOU WANT TO?

 YOU CAN JUST ONE STOP SHOP?
- 10 A. INTEGRATION IS IMPORTANT, YES.
- Q. DO DATA VENDORS LIKE BLOOMBERG OR INTEX

 PROVIDE ASSISTANCE, IN TERMS OF HELPING YOU TO

 INTEGRATE WHATEVER DATA THEY PROVIDE WITH OTHER DATA

 PROVIDERS?
- 15 A. YES, THEY DO.
- AND IF YOU THINK ABOUT IT, IT'S VERY

 MUCH IN THEIR INTEREST TO PROVIDE SUCH EASE OF

 INTEGRATION FOR PEOPLE IN THE INDUSTRY.
- TO THE EXTENT THAT WE USE SUCH THINGS

 LIKE BLOOMBERG OR INTEX, THE EASIER THEY MAKE THAT

 INTEGRATION, THE MORE WE GET TIED IN TO USING THEIR

 PRODUCT; SO THEY MAKE IT VERY EASY.
- Q. NOW, DO OTHERS IN THE INDUSTRY OFTEN WRITE

 THEIR OWN CODE TO HELP INTEGRATE THESE DISPARATE DATA

 SOURCES?
- A. THEY DO.
- Q. AND WHY DO THEY DO THAT?
- 28 A. THEY DO THAT FOR SEVERAL REASONS.

09:01AM

09:02AM

09:02AM

09:02AM

09:03AM

1 FIRST, ANALYSTS AND TRADERS LIKE TO SEE 2 DATA PUT FORTH IN A WAY THAT'S FAMILIAR TO THEM, AND 3 MAY LIKE CERTAIN STATISTICS MORE THAN OTHERS; SO THAT'S 4 ONE OF THE REASONS. 5 OTHER REASONS ARE THAT PEOPLE COULD 09:03AM ACTUALLY USE DIFFERENT THIRD-PARTY PROVIDERS THAN THE 6 7 ONES I'VE TESTIFIED ABOUT. 8 SO FOR EXAMPLE, I DON'T USE INTEX. 9 USE A COMPETITOR OF INTEX, PROVIDED BY MOODY'S 10 ANALYTICS. SO -- THAT GIVES PEOPLE THE SORT OF 09:03AM 11 FLEXIBILITY TO USE DIFFERENT PROVIDERS FOR THAT 12 THIRD-PARTY DATA. 13 AND THAT'S JUST ONE OF SEVERAL REASONS. NOW, IS THE PRESENTATION OF THIS DATA, IN YOUR 14 Q. 15 VIEW, A TRADE SECRET? 09:04AM THE PRESENTATION -- I'M SORRY, MR. WEINGART. 16 Α. 17 GIVE ME A CONTEXT FOR THAT. 18 SURE. Q. THE SCREEN, IN TERMS OF WHAT THE VARIOUS 19 20 ITEMS ARE THAT ARE PRESENTED FROM THE THIRD-PARTY 09:04AM 21 SOURCES, DO YOU CONSIDER THAT TO BE A TRADE SECRET? 22 WITH RESPECT TO THE BWIC BROWSER AND SECURITY 23 ANALYZER, NO. 24 O. WHY IS THAT? 25 WHAT'S BEING PRESENTED IS THE SORT OF DATA 09:04AM 26 THAT WE SEE COMMONLY PRESENTED IN THE INDUSTRY, AND 27 ACTUALLY CALCULATED IN CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES, IN THE

WAY THAT SOME OF THE THIRD-PARTY PROVIDERS PROVIDE IT.

SO THERE'S NO -- THAT'S NOT NECESSARILY 1 2 A COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE THAT THAT DATA CONFERS. 3 NOW, ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH A SYSTEM, SORT OF TERMINOLOGY, IN TERMS OF A FRONT END OF THE SYSTEM AND 4 5 A BACK END OF THE SYSTEM? 09:05AM 6 A. GENERALLY, A FRONT END OF THE SYSTEM IS A 7 SYSTEM -- THE PART OF THE SYSTEM A USER SEES, SO WHAT 8 SHOWS UP ON THEIR SCREEN. 9 FOR THE COURT'S PURPOSES, LET'S CALL THE 10 BACK END, EVERYTHING ELSE, EVERYTHING THAT PULLS THAT 09:05AM 11 DATA AND PROVIDES THAT -- THOSE SCREENS. 12 Ο. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH A COMPANY CALLED 13 VICHARA? A. I AM. 14 15 Q. WHAT IS VICHARA? 09:05AM 16 Α. VICHARA IS A MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES DATA 17 PROCESSING COMPANY. 18 AND WHAT KIND OF DATA OR SERVICES DO THEY Ο. 19 PROVIDE? 20 A. ONE OF THE SERVICES THEY PROVIDE IS TO TAKE 09:05AM 21 THE LOAN PERFORMANCE DATA THAT I TESTIFIED EARLIER, AND 22 PRE-PROCESS THAT DATA. 23 SO IMAGINE ALL THE LOANS THAT ARE 24 BACKING PRIVATE LABEL MORTGAGE SECURITIES IN THE 25 COUNTRY ARE OUT OF THIS DATABASE. IT WILL TAKE THAT 09:06AM 26 DATA, SUMMARIZE THAT DATA WITH RESPECT TO THE 27 INDIVIDUAL BONDS THAT TCW OR DOUBLELINE MAKE -- WANT TO

BUY IN A PARTICULAR DAY, AND THEN PROVIDE SUMMARY

- STATISTICS WITH RESPECT TO THOSE COLLATERAL POOLS, SO 1 2 THAT ANALYSTS AND TRADERS CAN MAKE FASTER DECISIONS. 3 NOW, YOU'VE SAID A COUPLE OF TIMES, PEOPLE AT 4 DOUBLELINE OR TCW. 5 I ASSUME THERE ARE OTHERS IN THE 09:06AM INDUSTRY THAT ARE TRADING THESE TYPES OF SECURITIES, 6 7 OTHER THAN DOUBLELINE AND TCW? 8 Α. INDEED. THERE'S A LARGE UNIVERSE OF THEM; BUT THE COURT'S MOST FAMILIAR WITH THOSE TWO. 9 10
 - NOW, DO THE TCW BWIC BROWSER AND SECURITY Q. ANALYZER USE VICHARA?
 - NO, THEY DO NOT. Α.

11

12

13

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- WHAT DO THEY USE IN INSTEAD OF VICHARA? Ο.
- 14 A. I UNDERSTAND THAT TCW'S SYSTEMS USE THE LOAN 15 PERFORMANCE DATA, AND THEY USE A SYSTEM CALLED 10/10 16 DATA, IN ORDER TO ACCESS THAT INFORMATION.

17 10/10 DATA IS SOMETHING THAT -- A STEP 18 BACK FROM THE SERVICES I DESCRIBED THAT VICHARA 19 PROVIDES.

20 WHAT IT DOES IS IT GIVES USERS A WAY

THAT FACILITATES COMMUNICATION WITH THE LOAN PERFORMANCE DATABASE. HOWEVER, IT DOESN'T PRE-PROCESS THAT INFORMATION AND GIVE IT TO USERS IN BITE SIZE AND

USABLE PIECES, THE WAY THE VICHARA PRODUCT DOES.

- O. SO HOW DOES TCW ACCOMPLISH THAT TASK OF PROCESSING IT AND RUNNING THE ALGORITHMS AGAINST IT, AND THE LIKE?
 - A. I UNDERSTAND THAT THEY USE THE 10/10 DATA

09:06AM

09:07AM

09:07AM

09:07AM

09:09AM

- SYSTEMS IN ORDER TO ACCESS THE LOAN PERFORMANCE 1 2 INFORMATION, AND THEN HAD THEIR OWN ALGORITHMS TO MAP 3 THAT VERY LARGE DATABASE OF LOANS TO THE BONDS IN WHICH 4 THEY ARE INTERESTED IN ANALYZING, AND PUT THAT 5 INFORMATION IN THEIR OWN DATABASES. 09:08AM 6 O. SO THEY HAD THEIR OWN PROPRIETARY DATABASE THAT THEY WOULD USE? 7 8 THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING. Α. 9 NOW, DOES DOUBLELINE USE VICHARA, OR DOES IT Ο. 10 HAVE A PROPRIETARY DATABASE LIKE THE KIND THAT YOU HAVE 09:08AM 11 DESCRIBED TCW USING? 12 DOUBLELINE TAKES A DATABASE GIVEN TO THEM BY Α. 13 VICHARA, WHERE THE INFORMATION IS PRE-PROCESSED, SO THAT DOUBLELINE SUBSYSTEMS CAN ACCESS THAT 14 15 PRE-PROCESSED INFORMATION DIRECTLY. 09:08AM 16 O. NOW, LET ME SWITCH TOPICS HERE A LITTLE BIT. 17 YOU TOLD US EARLIER THAT YOU DO SOME 18 PROGRAMMING, OR YOU DO PROGRAMMING FOR YOUR SYSTEMS AT 19 SPERLINGA? 09:09AM
- 20 A. I DO.

- Ο. AND HAVE YOU WRITTEN CODE FOR
- 22 MORTGAGE-BACKED-RELATED SECURITY SYSTEMS?
- 23 A. I HAVE.
- 24 O. DOES THAT INCLUDE CODE FOR COMPLEX
- 25 APPLICATIONS IN YOUR FIELD?
- 26 Α. IT DOES.
- 27 Q. NOW, DOES THAT CODE ALSO INVOLVE ASPECTS OF
- 2.8 THE COMPUTER LANGUAGE CALLED SQL?

A. IT DOES. 1 2 O. NOW, ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE EXPERT THAT TCW CALLED, CHRISTIAN HICKS? 3 4 Α. YES. 5 Ο. AND DID HE HAVE THE SAME KIND OF EXPERIENCE 09:09AM THAT YOU HAVE REGARDING PROGRAMMING RELATED TO 6 7 MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITY SYSTEMS? 8 I UNDERSTAND MR. HICKS IS A FORENSIC COMPUTER Α. 9 EXPERT, WHICH I AM NOT. 10 BUT I ALSO UNDERSTAND MR. HICKS IS NOT A 09:09AM 11 MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITY SYSTEMS PROGRAMMER. 12 NOW, ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH MR. HICKS' OPINIONS 13 REGARDING HIS ANALYSIS OF DOUBLELINE AND TCW SOURCE 14 CODE? 15 A. I AM. 09:10AM 16 Ο. DID YOU PERFORM YOUR OWN ANALYSIS OF THE THREE 17 SETS OF CODE THAT MR. HICKS DID A COMPARISON OF? 18 YES, I DID. Α. 19 0. AND HAVE YOU REACHED YOUR OWN OPINION 20 REGARDING THE IMPORTANCE, OR LACK THEREOF, OF THE 09:10AM 21 SIMILARITIES THAT MR. HICKS IDENTIFIED BETWEEN THE 22 THREE SETS OF CODE? 23 A. I HAVE. 24 O. WHAT IS THAT OPINION? 25 THAT THE SIMILARITIES THAT MR. HICKS CULLED 09:10AM

A. THAT THE SIMILARITIES THAT MR. HICKS CULLED
OUT IN BOTH THE FILE NAMES AND THE SQL CODE THAT HE PUT
UP ON A SIDE-BY-SIDE COMPARISON BASIS BETWEEN TCW CODE
AND DOUBLELINE CODE COULD BE EXPLAINED BY OTHER

26

27

2.8

09:12AM

METHODS, OR OTHER EXPLANATIONS INVOLVING GOOD 1 2 PROGRAMMING PRACTICES. AND THE FACT THAT TCW AND 3 DOUBLELINE PROGRAMMED THEIR SYSTEMS AS A TEAM. NOW, COULD WE DISPLAY EXHIBIT 510A-83. I 4 Q. 5 THINK THIS SOMETHING THAT WAS SHOWN DURING MR. HICKS'S 09:11AM 6 EXAMINATION. 7 AND THIS WAS SOMETHING THAT MR. HICKS 8 PREPARED, WHERE HE TOOK SOME LINES OF CODE, TOOK SOME 9 OUT, AND KIND OF REARRANGED THEM, TO SHOW WHAT HE 10 CONSIDERED TO BE THE SIMILARITIES BETWEEN THE TWO? 09:11AM 11 THAT'S WHAT MY UNDERSTANDING IS, YES. 12 Q. NOW, WHAT DOES THIS PIECE OF CODE DO, OR THESE 13 PIECES OF CODE DO? 14 Α. AND THAT'S PIECES OF CODE. THAT'S CORRECT, 15 MR. WEINGART. 09:11AM 16 EACH OF THE PIECES OF CODE GENERATE A HOLDINGS VIEW, THAT IS, A VIEW OF THE HOLDINGS OF 17 18 PRESUMABLY WHAT'S IN A PARTICULAR PORTFOLIO. 19 O. NOW, THERE ARE VARIOUS -- UNDER SELECT, THERE 20 ARE VARIOUS ITEMS. THE FIRST ONE IS AS OF DATE, AND 09:12AM 21 THEN A PORTFOLIO NAME. 22 WHAT ARE THOSE? 23

A. THOSE ARE PIECES OF DATA ASSOCIATED WITH THE PORTFOLIO THAT, IN THE FIRST CASE, GENERATE THE DATE ON WHICH, WHATEVER PORTFOLIO INFORMATION IS BEING DISPLAYED.

27 AND A PORTFOLIO NAME WOULD BE PRESUMABLY
28 THE NAME OF A PORTFOLIO, OR SOMETHING THAT IDENTIFIED A

24

25

- 1 PARTICULAR PORTFOLIO FROM ANOTHER PORTFOLIO.
- Q. SO, THERE'S VARIOUS ITEMS THAT ARE LISTED

3 HERE.

4

5

6

7

8

ARE THOSE FIELDS THAT YOU WOULD

TYPICALLY EXPECT TO SEE IF YOU WERE LOOKING AT A VIEW

OF PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS?

09:12AM

- A. YES, THEY ARE.
 - Q. CAN YOU JUST EXPLAIN WHAT SOME OF THEM ARE?
- 9 A. CERTAINLY.

10 IF WE WERE TO LOOK AT -- AND IF I COULD

11 USE THE NUMBERS ON THE LEFT. LINE 28, THERE'S

09:12AM

- 12 SOMETHING CALLED CUSIP.
- 13 CUSIP IS A U.S. STANDARD OF INDIVIDUAL

 14 NUMBER THAT IS ASSOCIATED WITH SECURITIES THAT TRADE IN
- 15 REASONABLE LIQUID MARKETPLACES. SO THAT WOULD

09:13AM

09:13AM

09:13AM

- 16 | LOGICALLY COME UP IN A VIEW OF PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS.
- 17 SECURITY NAME IS DOWN TWO THERE. IF YOU
- 18 WOULD LOOK AT A PORTFOLIO VIEW, ONE WOULD EXPECT
- 19 | SOMETHING THAT WOULD DESCRIBE EACH OF THE SECURITIES
- 20 | THAT ARE IN THE PORTFOLIO, SO THAT YOU WOULD EXPECT TO
- 21 SHOW UP IN A PORTFOLIO VIEW.
- Q. AND THEN THERE'S SOME OTHER LIKE, FOR EXAMPLE,
- 23 AS-OF DATE?
- A. RIGHT. AS-OF DATE, WE WENT OVER.
- 25 THERE'S SOME TOWARD THE BOTTOM THAT GO
- 26 WITH THE BOOK PRICE, WHICH IS GENERALLY THE PRICE AT
- 27 WHICH EITHER THE SECURITY WAS PURCHASED OR A PRICE
- 28 | THAT -- WHERE THE SECURITY WAS PURCHASED AND HAD BEEN

- 1 IN SOME WAY AMORTIZED UP TO THE AS-OF DATE.
- Q. WOULD THAT BE SO YOU COULD SEE HOW IT HAS

 APPRECIATED OR DEPRECIATED?
- 4 A. IT WILL PROVIDE A BENCHMARK, YES, TO THAT.

5 AND THEN A LITTLE BIT BELOW, THERE ARE

6 VARIABLES ASSOCIATED WITH MARKET VALUE; SO IF YOU ARE

7 LOOKING AT A PARTICULAR PORTFOLIO ON A SECURITY BASIS,

PROBABLY THE MOST IMPORTANT THING IS MARKET VALUE.

9 SO GENERALLY, THESE SORT OF VARIABLES

10 ARE THE SORT OF PIECES OF INFORMATION WE WOULD EXPECT

11 | PEOPLE LOOKING AT A PORTFOLIO VIEW WOULD WANT TO SEE.

12 Q. NOW, THERE WERE SOME OTHER COMPARISONS. AND I

13 DON'T WANT TO TAKE UP YOUR TIME, BUT THERE'S ANOTHER

14 ONE THAT'S A CURRENT HOLDINGS VIEW.

15 IT HAS SIMILAR FIELDS, DOES IT NOT?

16 A. IT DOES.

8

17 Q. IN YOUR OPINION, IS THERE ANYTHING -- TRADE

18 SECRET IN THIS CODE?

- 19 A. NO, THERE ISN'T.
- 20 Q. WHY IS THAT?

21 A. BECAUSE THE CODE IS PULLING INFORMATION OUT OF

- 22 | THE DATABASE, SO IT DOESN'T HAVE ANY BUSINESS LOGIC TO
- 23 IT. SO THAT'S REALLY ALL IT'S DOING.

Q. AND WHEN YOU SAY "BUSINESS LOGIC", WHAT DO YOU

25 MEAN BY THAT?

26 A. IT'S PULLING THESE PIECES OF INFORMATION OUT,

- 27 | BUT IT'S NOT DOING ANYTHING WITH THEM. IT'S NOT
- 28 | CREATING A COMPUTATION THAT ONE MIGHT USE IN TERMS OF

09:14AM

09:14AM

09:14AM

09:15AM

09:15AM

GETTING SOME SORT OF TRADING ADVANTAGE, OR GENERATING A 1 2 STATISTIC THAT'S NOT OTHERWISE IN THE INFORMATION THAT 3 YOU SEE. 4 Ο. THAT WOULD BE BEING DONE EITHER IN THE 5 PROPRIETARY DATABASE THAT TCW HAS OR, FOR EXAMPLE, 09:15AM 6 VICHARA LIKE DOUBLELINE HAS? 7 A. GENERALLY, IT'S DONE SOMEWHERE ELSE, BUT IT'S NOT HERE. 8 9 O. NOW, MR. HICKS ALSO --10 IF WE CAN TAKE THAT DOWN, DENNIS. 09:16AM 11 MR. HICKS ALSO OPINED ABOUT SOME 12 SIMILARITIES IN FILE NAMES BETWEEN THE TWO CODES. 13 IS THAT SOMETHING THAT YOU EXAMINE? 14 A. I DID. Q. AND WHAT IS YOUR OPINION WITH REGARD TO THE 15 09:16AM 16 SIMILARITIES THAT HE IDENTIFIED THERE? 17 A. WITH RESPECT TO THE FILE NAMES, I THINK 18 MR. HICKS CULLED OUT THE FACT THAT THE HOLDINGS VIEW 19 THAT WAS, I BELIEVE, WHAT WE JUST HAD UP, THE 20 DOUBLELINE VERSION IN THE TCW VERSION OF THAT CODE, THE 09:16AM 21 NAME OF IT WAS SEPARATED BY JUST ONE LETTER. 22 AND I CAN UNDERSTAND WHY PEOPLE MIGHT 23 LOOK AT THAT AND THINK IT'S SOMEHOW NEFARIOUS.

09:16AM

EVEN OPEN IT UP, WHAT THAT PARTICULAR SET OF CODE DOES.

DOUBLELINE PROGRAM THEIR MORTGAGE SYSTEMS IN A TEAM.

SO IF I'M PROGRAMMING THE SYSTEM IN A TEAM, I HAVE TO

USE FILE NAMES THAT EXPLAIN TO THE USER, BEFORE THEY

BUT REMEMBER THAT TCW AND THE FOLKS AT

24

25

26

27

1	SO IN WHAT WE JUST HAD UP, THE BOTH	
2	SETS OF CODE, WE'RE PROVIDING A HOLDINGS VIEW; SO IT'S	
3	NOT SURPRISING THAT THE CODE IS CALLED HOLDINGS VIEW.	
4	IT'S A WAY THAT THESE THE PEOPLE THAT WORK WITH THE	
5	DIFFERENT PROGRAMMERS ARE ALL ON THE SAME PAGE, AND	09:17AM
6	UNDERSTAND WHAT'S IN A PARTICULAR SET OF CODE.	
7	Q. SO YOU WOULD CALL IT HOLDINGS, TO KNOW THAT	
8	THAT'S HOLDINGS, AS OPPOSED TO FANDANGO OR BANANA PIE,	
9	OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT?	
10	A. EXACTLY.	09:17AM
11	MR. WEINGART: MAY I HAVE JUST ONE MOMENT,	
12	YOUR HONOR?	
13	THE COURT: YES.	
14	MR. WEINGART: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.	
15	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	09:18AM
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION?	
17	MR. SURPRENANT: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
18		
19		
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION	09:18AM
21	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
22	Q. GOOD MORNING, MR. CONTINO.	
23	A. GOOD MORNING, MR. SURPRENANT.	
24	Q. FOR PRACTICAL PURPOSES, YOU ARE WORKING FOR	
25	MUNGER, TOLLES AND OLSON IN THIS CASE, CORRECT?	09:18AM
26	A. THAT'S CORRECT.	
27	Q. AND YOU SAID YOU TESTIFIED AS A WITNESS AS AN	

EXPERT BEFORE, HOW MANY TIMES?

THAT WOULD BE THREE TIMES. 1 Α. 2 Ο. AND WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME YOU TESTIFIED AS AN 3 EXPERT? 4 IT WOULD HAVE BEEN IN 2000. Α. 5 0. AND HAVE YOU EVER TESTIFIED ABOUT SYSTEMS 09:18AM BEFORE, AS AN EXPERT? 6 7 A. I HAVE NOT. 8 SPERLINGA ADVISORY IS THE NAME OF YOUR Q. 9 COMPANY, CORRECT? 10 A. IT IS. 09:18AM 11 Q. AND THAT IS NAMED AFTER THE VILLAGE IN SICILY, 12 WHERE YOUR GRANDFATHER CAME FROM, CORRECT? 13 BOTH MY GRANDPARENTS -- BOTH MY SICILIAN Α. 14 GRANDPARENTS; THAT'S CORRECT. 15 Q. AND SPERLINGA ADVISORY, YOU FOUNDED IN 1988, 09:19AM 16 CORRECT? 17 THAT'S CORRECT. Α. Q. AND IT HAS ONE FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE? 18 19 A. THAT'S ME. 20 NOW, SINCE YOU WERE RETAINED AS AN EXPERT IN Ο. 09:19AM 21 THIS CASE, OR AT LEAST AS OF THE TIME THAT I TOOK YOUR 22 DEPOSITION IN JUNE, ABOUT ONE-THIRD OF YOUR TOTAL 23 INCOME THAT YOU HAD EARNED SINCE YOU WERE RETAINED AS 24 AN EXPERT CAME FROM THIS CASE, CORRECT?

09:19AM

THE 13 MONTHS BEFORE I EXAMINED YOU CAME FROM THIS

THAT'S NOT WHAT I HAD TOLD YOU AT MY

ABOUT 35 PERCENT OF SPERLINGA'S REVENUES OVER

25

26

27

Α.

0.

DEPOSITION, MR. SURPRENANT.

CASE, CORRECT? 1 2 A. THE 35 PERCENT, I BELIEVE, WAS FROM CALENDAR 3 YEAR 2010. 4 Q. SO FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2010, 35 PERCENT OF YOUR 5 INCOME CAME FROM YOUR EXPERT FEES IN THIS CASE, 09:20AM CORRECT? 6 7 A. THAT'S CORRECT. 8 YOU TOOK THREE CLASSES IN PROGRAMMING, AS AN Q. 9 UNDERGRADUATE, CORRECT? 10 THAT'S CORRECT. Α. 09:20AM 11 Q. AND YOU ARE NOT A COMPUTER SCIENTIST, CORRECT? 12 THAT'S CORRECT. Α. 13 AND MR. HICKS YOU KNOW, IS A COMPUTER 0. 14 SCIENTIST? 15 A. HE IS A COMPUTER SCIENTIST. 09:20AM 16 Ο. NOW, WITH RESPECT TO WHY YOU CONSIDER 17 INFORMATION TRADE SECRET, THERE'S SOMETHING THAT YOU 18 PUT IN YOUR REPORT CALLED THE PRIMACY PERFORMANCE? 19 A. I REMEMBER THAT, YES. 20 AND UNDER YOUR VIEW, UNLESS IT IS UNLIKELY 09:20AM 21 THAT INFORMATION OR DATA OR PROGRAMS WILL BE WHAT YOU VIEW AS A TRADE SECRET, UNLESS THEY RELATE SPECIFICALLY 22 23 TO INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE, CORRECT? 24 A. WHAT I SAID IN MY REPORT, MR. SURPRENANT, IS

09:21AM

27 SO WHILE MR. SMITH, WHEN HE WAS HERE,
28 WENT OVER THAT MORTGAGE MANAGERS HAVE TO DO A LOT OF

25

26

MORTGAGE MANAGER.

THAT PERFORMANCE IS A DEFINING CHARACTERISTIC OF A

THINGS, IT'S PERFORMANCE THAT IS THE KEY, IN TERMS OF 1 2 WHETHER PEOPLE DECIDE TO INVEST WITH A PARTICULAR 3 MANAGER OR, IN MANY CASES, TAKE THEIR INVESTMENTS AWAY. 4 SO I VIEW THE PRIMACY OF PERFORMANCE 5 SIMPLY AS A MEANS OF IDENTIFYING PIECES OF INTELLECTUAL 09:21AM 6 PROPERTY THAT COULD BENEFIT PERFORMANCE AND GIVING THEM 7 ADDITIONAL WEIGHT. Q. MR. CONTINO, YOUR EXPERT REPORT IS IN THAT 8 9 BINDER. 10 IF YOU LOOK BEHIND TAB 596. COULD YOU 09:22AM FIND THAT? 11 12 A. I DO. 13 IF YOU COULD TURN TO PAGE 21, PLEASE? Ο. 14 A. I'M WITH --15 THE COURT: IS THAT A -- I'M NOT SURE I GOT 09:22AM 16 ONE OF YOUR BOOKS. 17 IS YOURS LIKE THIS, THIS LITTLE ONE? 18 MR. SURPRENANT: IT SHOULD BE WHITE. 19 THE COURT: I DON'T HAVE -- HOLD ON A MINUTE. 20 WAIT, WAIT. I APOLOGIZE. IT'S BURIED HERE. I HAVE 09:22AM 21 IT. 22 GO AHEAD. 23 MR. SURPRENANT: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. SORRY 24 FOR THE CONFUSION. 25 SO WHAT YOUR EXPERT OPINION WAS IN YOUR REPORT Ο. 09:22AM 26 IS, THOSE THINGS THAT ARE NOT RELATED TO PERFORMANCE

SECRET, IN YOUR VIEW, CORRECT?

ARE MUCH LESS LIKELY TO RISE TO THE LEVEL OF A TRADE

27

A. THAT'S RIGHT. 1 2 Q. AND BY PERFORMANCE, YOU MEAN INVESTMENT 3 PERFORMANCE, CORRECT? 4 Α. THAT'S CORRECT. 5 Q. AND THEN YOU SAY, EVEN WITHIN THOSE SYSTEMS 09:23AM 6 AND DATA, WHICH CAN DRIVE BETTER PERFORMANCE, THERE ARE 7 GRADATIONS OF IMPORTANCE, FOR EXAMPLE, THOSE SYSTEMS 8 AND PROCESSES WHICH ARE, OR PURPORT TO BE PREDICTIVE, ARE MORE IMPORTANT THAN THOSE SYSTEMS WHICH ARE 9 10 BACKWARD. 09:23AM 11 THAT WAS YOUR EXPERT OPINION, CORRECT? 12 THAT'S CORRECT. Α. 13 AND WHEN YOU SAY, AGAIN, CAN DRIVE BETTER Ο. 14 PERFORMANCE, YOU ARE TALKING SPECIFICALLY ABOUT 15 INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE, RIGHT? 09:23AM 16 Α. THAT'S CORRECT. 17 AND MIKE, IF YOU COULD PUT UP A DEMONSTRATIVE Q. 18 THAT WAS SHOWN TO THE JURY, 2247-2. 19 NOW, THIS WAS A DEMONSTRATIVE THAT WAS 20 SHOWN WHEN MR. SMITH WAS EXPLAINING HIS VIEW ON TRADE 09:24AM 21 SECRETS. DID YOU GIVE THAT TESTIMONY? 22 23 A. I DID. 24 NOW, THIS -- IS THIS KIND OF MEASURE OF WHAT A 25 TRADE SECRET IS, IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY MENTION OF 09:24AM 26 INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE, DOES IT?

O. AND IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY MENTION OF PREDICTIVE

A. NO, IT DOESN'T.

27

INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE, DOES IT? 1 2 A. I'M SORRY, MR. SURPRENANT. I DIDN'T HEAR THAT 3 LAST QUESTION. 4 Q. I'M SORRY. HE DOESN'T MAKE ANY MENTION OF 5 PREDICTIVE INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE, DOES IT? 09:24AM A. NO, IT DOESN'T. 6 7 0. AND IF I COULD FIND YOUR REPORT. 8 NOW, YOU SAY THE BWIC BROWSER, YOU SAY 9 THAT'S NOT A TRADE SECRET, CORRECT? 10 THAT'S RIGHT. Α. 09:24AM Q. AND IF YOU TURN TO PAGE 34, YOU EXPLAINED WHY 11 12 IT'S NOT A TRADE SECRET, IN YOUR VIEW. 13 ARE YOU WITH ME, MR. CONTINO? 14 A. I AM. 15 Q. IT'S BECAUSE IT WOULD HAVE NO ABILITY TO 09:25AM 16 ENHANCE PERFORMANCE, CORRECT? 17 Α. THAT'S RIGHT. 18 AND IF YOU TURN TO THE SECURITY ANALYZER, Ο. 19 THREE PAGES LATER, THE REASON YOU SAY THAT'S NOT A 20 TRADE SECRET IS THAT IT WOULD HAVE NO ABILITY TO 09:25AM 21 ENHANCE PERFORMANCE, CORRECT? 22 THAT'S ONE OF THE REASONS, YES. Α. 23 Q. AND AGAIN, PERFORMANCE, WE'RE TALKING 24 SPECIFICALLY ABOUT INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE, CORRECT?

09:25AM

NOW, WITH RESPECT TO TRADE SECRETS, YOU

RECOGNIZE THAT A CONCEPT CAN BE WIDELY KNOWN, BUT THAT

A PARTICULAR APPLICATION OF THAT CONCEPT CAN BE A TRADE

THAT'S CORRECT.

25

26

27

28

Α.

Ο.

09:27AM

SECRET, CORRECT? 1 2 A. YES. 3 AND IN FACT, TWO PRODUCTS CAN HAVE THE SAME Q. FUNCTIONALITY, BUT THEY CAN EACH INCORPORATE TRADE 4 5 SECRETS, RIGHT? 09:25AM A. YES, THEY CAN. 6 7 Ο. NOW, YOU DON'T HAVE A VIEW, IN THE FIXED 8 INCOME INDUSTRY, WHAT'S CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY, 9 DO YOU? MIGHT YOU BE MORE SPECIFIC WITH THAT? 10 Α. 09:26AM 11 O. YOU DON'T HAVE A VIEW OF WHAT SOFTWARE 12 APPLICATIONS ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY, CORRECT? 13 AND YOU ARE SPECIFICALLY SPEAKING ABOUT THE Α. 14 PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT? YES. 15 AND YOU DON'T HAVE A VIEW WHAT DATA OR Q. 09:26AM 16 INFORMATION ARE CONSIDERED CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY IN THE INDUSTRY, DO YOU? 17 18 THAT'S CORRECT. Α. O. NOW, WITH RESPECT TO MR. HICKS' ANALYSIS. 19 20 MR. HICKS, YOU THOUGHT, WAS PRETTY CANDID IN CALLING 09:26AM 21 OUT THE LIMITATIONS OF HIS CONCLUSIONS, CORRECT?

A. I DID, I AGREE.

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q. AND YOU BOTH AGREE THAT ONE WOULD EXPECT TO

SEE A LOT OF SIMILARITY IN THE TCW CODE CASEY MOORE

WROTE FOR THE BWIC BROWSER AND THE SECURITY ANALYZER,

AND THE DOUBLELINE CODE HE WROTE FOR THE SECURITY X-RAY

AND THE SECURITY LIST MANAGER, CORRECT?

A. THAT'S RIGHT.

1	Q. AND THE REASON YOU WOULD EXPECT A LOT OF	
2	SIMILARITY IS BECAUSE THEY WERE WRITTEN BY THE SAME	
3	PROGRAMMER?	
4	A. RIGHT.	
5	Q. FOR THE SAME USERS, RIGHT?	09:27AM
6	A. CORRECT.	
7	Q. AND THAT IS THE TRADERS AT DOUBLELINE AT	
8	TCW WHO WENT OVER DOUBLELINE?	
9	A. THAT'S RIGHT.	
10	Q. AND YOU WOULD ALSO EXPECT SIMILARITY, BECAUSE	09:27AM
11	THEY HAVE THE SAME OR SOME OF THE SAME DATA FIELDS,	
12	CORRECT?	
13	A. YES. THAT THEY DREW DATA FROM THE SAME	
14	SOURCES.	
15	Q. AND SO THE WHERE THERE'S A DIFFERENCE OF	09:27AM
16	OPINION IS, MR. HICKS, AS A COMPUTER SCIENTIST, IS	
17	SURPRISED BY THE AMOUNT OF SIMILARITY; BUT YOU ARE NOT,	
18	CORRECT?	
19	MR. WEINBERG: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.	
20	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	09:28AM
21	CAN YOU ANSWER THAT QUESTION?	
22	THE WITNESS: I CAN, YOUR HONOR.	
23	THE COURT: GO AHEAD.	
24	THE WITNESS: BECAUSE MR. HICKS IS NOT A	
25	MORTGAGE PROGRAMMER, OR NEVER PROGRAMMED MORTGAGE	09:28AM
26	SYSTEMS IN A TEAM, I PERHAPS THOUGHT HE DIDN'T FULLY	
27	UNDERSTAND THE SOURCE OF THE SIMILARITIES THAT HE	
28	CULLED OUT, IN THE TWO INSTANCES I WENT OVER WITH	

- 1 MR. WEINGART.
- Q. NOW, YOU SAID THAT THE SIMILARITIES THAT
- 3 MR. HICKS FOUND INDICATIVE THAT THE DOUBLELINE CODE WAS
- 4 DERIVED FROM THE TCW CODE, YOU SAID THAT THAT COULD BE
- 5 EXPLAINED BY OTHER FACTORS, CORRECT? THAT WAS YOUR
- 6 TESTIMONY THIS MORNING?
- 7 A. YES.
- Q. BUT YOU CAN'T RULE IT OUT THAT MR. MOORESUBSTANTIALLY DERIVED THE DOUBLELINE CODE FROM THE TCW
- 10 CODE, AND THAT EXPLAINS THE SIMILARITY?
- 11 A. I'M SIMPLY OFFERING AN ALTERNATE EXPLANATION.
- 12 Q. NOW, LET ME GIVE YOU A HYPOTHETICAL,
- 13 MR. CONTINO.
- 14 LET'S ASSUME MR. MOORE REFERENCED TCW
- 15 | CODE FOR THE BWIC BROWSER AND THE SECURITY ANALYZER IN
- 16 A MEANINGFUL WAY, WHILE HE WAS WORKING 20 HOURS A DAY
- 17 WRITING CODE FOR A COMPARABLE DOUBLELINE APPLICATION.
- 18 DO YOU HAVE THAT ASSUMPTION?
- 19 A. I DO.
- 20 O. AND THEN ASSUME WITH ME THAT WHEN HE WAS
- 21 WRITING THE DOUBLELINE CODE, HE CONSCIOUSLY TRIED TO
- 22 MAKE IT LOOK DIFFERENT.
- DO YOU HAVE THAT ASSUMPTION?
- 24 A. I DO.
- Q. AND IF YOU MAKE THOSE TWO ASSUMPTIONS, YOU
- 26 | WOULD EXPECT THAT MOST OF THE CODE WOULD NOT LOOK
- 27 | SIMILAR, BUT THERE MIGHT BE OCCASIONAL SITUATIONS WHERE
- 28 | IT WAS QUITE SIMILAR, AND MAYBE EVEN EXACTLY THE SAME,

09:28AM

09:28AM

09:29AM

09:29AM

09:29AM

1	CORRECT?	
2	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. INCOMPLETE	
3	HYPOTHETICAL.	
4	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
5	YOU CAN EXPAND ON IT.	09:29AM
6	MR. SURPRENANT: WELL, IT WOULD BE A	
7	REASONABLE EXPLANATION THAT, GIVEN THOSE TWO	
8	ASSUMPTIONS, THAT ONE MIGHT NOT FIND WIDESPREAD	
9	SIMILARITY, BUT MIGHT FIND SOME SIMILARITY AND SOME	
10	EXACT MATCHES, CORRECT?	09:30AM
11	MR. WEINGART: SAME OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.	
12	THE COURT: I'LL ALLOW HIM TO ANSWER.	
13	THE WITNESS: I WOULD EXPECT THAT MR. HICKS,	
14	WHO IS A COMPUTER SCIENTIST, MAY HAVE WAYS IN WHICH HE	
15	CAN SEE THROUGH, WITH SOME OF THE, LET'S CALL IT	09:30AM
16	OBFUSCATIONS, THAT MR. MOORE, IN YOUR HYPOTHETICAL,	
17	WOULD USE; SO HE WOULD PERHAPS BE ABLE TO PRESENT	
18	EVIDENCE THAT THERE WAS USE. AND LET THE COURT DECIDE	
19	IN TERMS OF WHETHER THAT WAS MR. MOORE, SIMPLY	
20	PROGRAMMING THIS ON HIS OWN, VERSUS HIM SUBSTANTIALLY	09:30AM
21	USING AS YOUR HYPOTHETICAL PUT FORTH, TCW CODE, IN	
22	ORDER TO PROGRAM THE SYSTEMS HE DID.	
23	Q. MR. CONTINO, IF YOU COULD LOOK IN YOUR WITNESS	
24	BOOK AT TRIAL EXHIBIT 1510A-94 AND 95?	
25	NOW, TRIAL EXHIBIT 1510A-94 IS	09:31AM
26	DOUBLELINE CODE, CORRECT?	
27	A. IT APPEARS TO BE, MR. SURPRENANT.	

AND I'M MAKING THAT ASSESSMENT ON THE DL

THAT SHOWS UP IN THE CODE, AND TAKING YOUR WORD FOR IT. 1 2 Ο. WELL, THAT'S ACTUALLY -- YOU DISCUSS AN EXACT 3 MATCH, IN YOUR REPORT, CORRECT? 4 AND THE EXACT MATCH THAT YOU DISCUSS IS 5 BETWEEN TRIAL EXHIBIT 1510A-94 AND THE NEXT EXHIBIT IN 09:32AM YOUR BINDER, 1510-95, CORRECT? 6 7 Α. YOU ARE REFERRING TO THE COMMENT FIELDS? 8 Q. YES? 9 I'M JUST REFRESHING MY MEMORY ON THIS, YES. Α. 10 Q. IF YOU WOULD LOOK AT YOUR REPORT, MR. CONTINO, 09:32AM 11 AT PAGE 45 WHICH WERE 45 THROUGH 47, WHERE YOU 12 EXPLAINED YOUR OPINION ON THE EXACT MATCH? 13 Α. YES. 14 Q. SO TRIAL EXHIBIT 1510A-94 IS EXHIBIT 94 FOR 15 MR. HICKS'S REPORT, CORRECT? 09:32AM 16 Α. YES, THAT'S CORRECT. 17 AND TRIAL EXHIBIT 1510A-95 IS MR. HICKS'S Q. 18 REPORT 95? 19 Α. THAT'S RIGHT. 20 AND THOSE ARE THE EXACT MATCHES IN CODE THAT Ο. 09:33AM 21 YOU EXAMINED AND DISCUSSED IN YOUR REPORT? 22 THAT'S CORRECT. Α. 23 O. AND YOU HAVE NO EXPLANATION FOR HOW THERE IS

09:33AM

DOESN'T LOOK TO ME TO BE A TRADE SECRET, CORRECT?

AN EXACT MATCH? YOU JUST SAY, WELL, THIS LINE OF CODE

IN, YOUR REFERENCING WHAT PAGE OF MY REPORT?

Q. WELL, PAGE 45 THROUGH 47 IS WHERE YOU DISCUSS

24

25

26

27

Α.

1	AND YOU GIVE NO EXPLANATION FOR THE	
2	EXACT MATCH?	
3	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. ARGUMENTATIVE,	
4	MISSTATES	
5	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	09:33AM
6	YOU MAY ASK A QUESTION, IF YOU WOULD	
7	LIKE.	
8	Q. BY MR. SURPRENANT: DO YOU FIND AN	
9	EXPLANATION IN 45 TO 47, OR ANYWHERE ELSE IN YOUR	
10	REPORT, THAT EXPLAINED HOW IT IS THAT DOUBLELINE CODE	09:33AM
11	HAS AN EXACT MATCH FOR THE TCW PROGRAM?	
12	MR. WEINGART: IT'S VAGUE, YOUR HONOR.	
13	THE WITNESS: IN MY REPORT, NO,	
14	MR. SURPRENANT.	
15	I COULD OFFER ONE.	09:33AM
16	Q. WELL, IT'S NOT IN YOUR REPORT SO OKAY.	
17	MR. SURPRENANT: WELL, YOUR HONOR, THEY ARE	
18	NOT IN EVIDENCE, BUT COULD I DISPLAY THEM, AND DO A	
19	SIDE BY SIDE?	
20	MR. WEINGART: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT.	09:34AM
21	THE COURT: DISPLAY WHAT?	
22	MR. SURPRENANT: YOUR HONOR, THIS WITNESS	
23	OFFERED OPINIONS ON THESE, AND HE ADDRESSED THEM IN HIS	
24	REPORT.	
25	THE COURT: I'M ASKING YOU YOU ARE TALKING	09:34AM
26	ABOUT THESE TWO EXHIBITS?	
27	MR. SURPRENANT: YES.	
28	THE COURT: WERE THEY PLACED IN EVIDENCE	

1	DURING MR. HICKS' TESTIMONY?	
2	MR. SURPRENANT: NO, THEY WERE NOT.	
3	THE COURT: ARE THEY IN HIS REPORT?	
4	MR. SURPRENANT: THEY ARE IN MR. HICKS'	
5	REPORT.	09:34AM
6	THE COURT: NO. ARE THEY IN MR. CONTINO'S	
7	REPORT?	
8	MR. SURPRENANT: YES, PAGE 45 TO 47.	
9	YOU WILL SEE, YOUR HONOR, IN THE MIDDLE	
10	OF THE PAGE, WHERE HE BEGINS DISCUSSING THEM.	09:34AM
11	THE COURT: I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT DISCUSSION.	
12	I'M TALKING ABOUT THE DOCUMENTS.	
13	DID YOU INTRODUCE THEM DURING	
14	MR. HICKS'S TESTIMONY? IF NOT, I'M NOT INCLINED TO PUT	
15	THEM UP NOW.	09:34AM
16	MR. SURPRENANT: OKAY. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
17	Q. NOW, LET'S LOOK AT EXHIBIT CAN I READ FROM	
18	THEM, YOUR HONOR?	
19	THE COURT: YOU MAY.	
20	MR. SURPRENANT: LET'S LOOK AT LINE 24 OF	09:35AM
21	DOUBLELINE CODE. (READING):	
22	AND IT SAYS, THE VALUE THAT	
23	WILL BE USED FOR THE EXCEL	
24	WORKSHEET NAMED ON AN	
25	EXPORT.	09:35AM
26	Q. DO YOU SEE THAT?	
27	A. I DO.	
0.0		

Q. AND THAT'S AT PAGE 1, LINE 24.

1	IF YOU WOULD LOOK AT EXHIBIT 1510A-95,	
2	LINE 134 OF PAGE 3 OUT OF 6, YOU WILL FIND EXACTLY THE	
3	SAME LANGUAGE, CORRECT?	
4	A. THAT'S CORRECT, MR. SURPRENANT.	
5	Q. AND THEN, FOLLOWING THAT, THERE IS A	09:35AM
6	DESCRIPTION BEGINNING AT LINE 28:	
7	WHEN EXPORTING TO EXCEL, THIS VALUE WILL	
8	BE USED TO NAME THE SHEET.	
9	AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE CORRESPONDING	
10	LINE IN THE TCW CODE, IT SAYS, THIS THE VALUE AN EXCEL	09:36AM
11	SHEET WILL BE NAMED IN THE GRID IS EXPORTED TO EXCEL.	
12	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
13	A. I DO.	
14	Q. NOW, DIDN'T IT APPEAR THAT DOUBLELINE CODE WAS	
15	ATTEMPTED TO BE REWRITTEN FROM THE TCW CODE, BUT MADE	09:36AM
16	TO LOOK A LITTLE DIFFERENT?	
17	A. THAT'S ONE EXPLANATION, MR. SURPRENANT.	
18	I MEAN, THERE WERE ALTERNATIVE	
19	EXPLANATIONS.	
20	Q. OKAY.	09:36AM
21	NOW, YOU SAY THAT YOU DISMISS THIS EXACT	
22	MATCH BY SAYING IT'S NOT A TRADE SECRET, CORRECT?	
23	A. I THINK BOTH MYSELF AND MR. SMITH OPINED THAT	
24	THIS CODE IS NOT TRADE SECRET.	
25	Q. RIGHT.	09:37AM
26	BUT DID YOU WATCH MR. HICKS' TESTIMONY	
27	LIVE?	
	l l	

28

A.

I DID NOT.

DID YOU READ IT? 1 Q. 2 A. I DID. 3 AND DID YOU SEE THAT HE ADMITTED THAT THE Q. 4 SIMILARITIES AND MATCHES THAT HE FOUND WERE NOT, IN AND 5 OF THEMSELVES, TRADE SECRETS, CORRECT? 09:37AM A. THAT'S RIGHT. 6 7 WHAT HE SAID IS THAT THE SIMILARITIES AND MATCHES WERE FINGERPRINTS, IN HIS VIEW AS A COMPUTER 8 9 SCIENTIST, OF MEANINGFUL REFERENCES BY MR. MOORE OF THE 10 TCW CODE, WHEN HE WAS WRITING DOUBLELINE CODE. 09:37AM 11 THAT WAS HIS OPINION, CORRECT? 12 THAT'S RIGHT. Α. 13 NOW MR. HICKS, ALL OF THE SIMILARITIES HE Ο. 14 FOUND WERE IN THE SQL CODE, CORRECT? 15 A. ALL OF THE SIMILARITIES WE'VE DISCUSSED HERE, 09:38AM 16 YES. 17 AND MR. HICKS FOUND SIMILARITIES IN ABOUT 300 Q. 18 LINES OF SQL CODE, CORRECT? 19 A. I DON'T SPECIFICALLY RECALL THAT FROM HIS 20 REPORT, MR. SURPRENANT; BUT I'M NOT DOUBTING YOU. 09:38AM 21 Ο. DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY LINES IN TOTAL OF SQL 22 CODE THERE WERE IN THE DOUBLELINE CODE WRITTEN BY 23 MR. MOORE? 24 A. NO. I DON'T HAVE AN ACCURATE NUMBER FOR THAT.

09:38AM

25

26

27

Q.

LINES?

DO YOU KNOW IF IT WAS APPROXIMATELY 8,000

MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. NO

1		THE COURT: I DON'T BELIEVE WE HAVE EVIDENCE	
2	OF THAT.		
3		MR. SURPRENANT: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR?	
4		THE COURT: DID MR. HICKS TESTIFY TO THAT?	
5		MR. SURPRENANT: HE DID NOT.	09:38AM
6		THE COURT: WELL, THEN WE HAVE NO EVIDENCE ON	
7	WHICH TO	BASE THE QUESTION.	
8		MR. SURPRENANT: OKAY.	
9	Q.	NOW, LET'S TURN TO THE BWIC BROWSER AND THE	
10	OTHER	AND THE SECURITY ANALYZER.	09:39AM
11		NOW, PIMCO, THEY HAVE BLOOMBERG AND THE	
12	OTHER PUI	BLICLY AVAILABLE SYSTEMS, CORRECT?	
13	Α.	I IMAGINE THEY HAVE, YES.	
14	Q.	AND WAMCO HAS THOSE SYSTEMS, CORRECT?	
15	Α.	I WOULD IMAGINE THEY HAVE, YES.	09:39AM
16	Q.	TCW, YOU KNOW HAS, CORRECT? BLOOMBERG?	
17	Α.	THAT'S CORRECT.	
18	Q.	AND YOU KNOW THAT DOUBLELINE HAS BLOOMBERG,	
19	CORRECT?		
20	Α.	CORRECT.	09:39AM
21	Q.	AND CREDIT SUISSE, YOU KNOW THAT THEY HAVE	
22	BLOOMBER	G, CORRECT?	
23	Α.	YES, THEY DO.	
24	Q.	AND GOLDMAN SACHS, YOU KNOW THAT THEY HAVE	
25	BLOOMBER	G, CORRECT?	09:39AM
26	Α.	YES.	
27	Q.	AND A COMPANY CALLED ELLINGTON (PHONETIC), YOU	

28

KNOW HAS BLOOMBERG?

- 1 A. YES, THEY DO.
- Q. AND YET ALL OF THEM HAVE DEVELOPED THEIR OWN
 3 PROPRIETARY TRADING SYSTEMS, CORRECT?
- 4 A. I HAVE -- IN SOME OF THOSE CASES,

5 MR. SURPRENANT, I HAVE SPECIFIC KNOWLEDGE THAT THEY'VE

ADOPTED THEIR OWN TRADING SYSTEMS; BUT I THINK WHAT YOU

7 ARE POSING IS LIKELY.

6

20

21

27

8 Q. THAT EACH OF THESE COMPANIES HAVE DEVELOPED

9 THEIR OWN PROPRIETARY APPLICATIONS, INCLUDING

10 APPLICATIONS THAT ARE INTEGRATED TO THEIR OWN PRIVATE

11 PROPRIETARY DATABASES.

12 THAT'S YOUR OPINION, CORRECT?

A. I DON'T KNOW THAT I MENTIONED PROPRIETARY

14 DATABASES IN MY OPINION.

BUT AGAIN, I WON'T QUESTION WHETHER

16 THOSE LARGE MONEY MANAGERS AND DEALERS YOU HAVE

17 | MENTIONED HAVE DEVELOPED PROPRIETARY SYSTEMS USING THE

18 THIRD-PARTY DATA WE'VE DISCUSSED TODAY.

19 Q. INTEGRATED INTO THEIR OWN PRIVATE PROPRIETARY

DATABASES, CORRECT?

A. THAT MAY BE TRUE.

22 Q. NOW, THE REASON THAT YOU FOUND BWIC BROWSER

23 AND SECURITY ANALYZER NOT TO BE TRADE SECRETS IS

24 | BECAUSE THEY DON'T RELATE SPECIFICALLY TO FUTURE

25 INVESTMENT PERFORMANCES; OR THAT WAS ONE OF THE

26 REASONS, CORRECT?

- A. THAT WAS ONE OF THE REASONS, YES.
- 28 Q. NOW, IF YOU COULD LOOK AT TRIAL EXHIBIT 51 IN

09:39AM

09:40AM

09:40AM

09:40AM

09:40AM

1	YOUR BOOK.	
2	IT'S IN EVIDENCE. 151 I'M SORRY?	
3	A. YES, MR. SURPRENANT.	
4	Q. NOW, THAT WAS A DOCUMENT THAT, AT THE TIME I	
5	TOOK YOUR DEPOSITION	09:41AM
6	IF YOU COULD GO TO PAGE 9, MIKE.	
7	YOU HAD NOT SEEN IT UNTIL I SHOWED IT TO	
8	YOU, CORRECT?	
9	A. THAT'S CORRECT.	
10	Q. AND IF WE COULD BLOW UP THE PROBLEM, MIKE.	09:41AM
11	AND SO HERE THEY SET OUT THE PROBLEM	
12	THAT THE BWIC BROWSER AND THE SECURITY ANALYZER SOUGHT	
13	TO SOLVE, CORRECT?	
14	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR,	
15	CUMULATIVE. WE'VE BEEN THROUGH THIS.	09:41AM
16	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
17	LET'S MOVE RIGHT THROUGH IT, THOUGH.	
18	MR. SURPRENANT: YES, YOUR HONOR.	
19	IF WE COULD SHORTCUT IT, YOUR HONOR. IF	
20	WE COULD GO TO THE BULLET AT THE BOTTOM.	09:42AM
21	AND IF YOU COULD HIGHLIGHT THE SECOND	
22	BULLET, BEGINNING WITH BWIC MANAGEMENT.	
23	THE ENTIRE BULLET, MIKE.	
24	IT SAYS, BWIC MANAGEMENT AND SECURITY	
25	DATA COLLECTION WAS DRAMATICALLY DECREASED, FROM FOUR	09:42AM
26	HOURS TO SEVERAL MINUTES, AND IS REFERENCED BY ROUGHLY	
27	15 INDIVIDUALS DAILY.	
2.0	NOW YOU DON'TH HAVE ANY DACTO HO	

NOW, YOU DON'T HAVE ANY BASIS TO

```
QUESTION THAT, DO YOU?
 1
 2
         A. NO, MR. SURPRENANT.
 3
             BUT -- AND THAT'S A BIG SAVINGS.
         Q.
 4
                    BEING ABLE TO DO FOUR HOURS OF WORK IN
 5
     15 MINUTES IS A CONSIDERABLE ACCELERATION, CORRECT?
                                                                09:42AM
         A. YES, IT IS.
 6
 7
             AND PARTICULARLY WHEN YOU ONLY HAVE A LIMITED
     TIME TO DECIDE WHAT BWIC'S, WHAT BIDS WANTED IN
 8
 9
     COMPENSATION, THAT YOU WANTED TO ANALYZE AND PUT BIDS
10
    ON, CORRECT?
                                                                 09:43AM
11
        A. WELL, AS WE WENT OVER IN MY DEPOSITION,
12
     THERE -- THE PEOPLE THAT SELL BONDS HAVE AN INCENTIVE
13
     TO GIVE PEOPLE LOOKING AT THEM, SUFFICIENT TIME IN
    ORDER TO ANALYZE THEM.
14
15
                    SO, FOR EXAMPLE, IF MR. SMITH GAVE 48 TO
                                                                09:43AM
16
    72 HOURS FOR PEOPLE TO LOOK AT BONDS HE WAS GOING TO
17
     PUT OUT TO AUCTION, TO GIVE PEOPLE THAT MAY NOT HAVE
18
     THIS CAPABILITY THE TIME THEY FELT THEY NEEDED TO
19
    ANALYZE IT.
20
         Q. MR. CONTINO, THAT WAS AT THE UPPER RANGES.
                                                                09:43AM
21
                    HE ALSO SAID IT WAS AS LITTLE AS THREE
22
    TO EIGHT HOURS, CORRECT?
23
        A. I DON'T REMEMBER THAT.
24
              MR. SURPRENANT: OKAY. NO FURTHER QUESTIONS,
25
    YOUR HONOR.
                                                                 09:43AM
26
              THE COURT: REDIRECT?
27
              MR. WEINGART: BRIEFLY, YOUR HONOR.
```

28

//

1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
2		
3	BY MR. WEINGART:	
4	Q. COULD WE PUT UP EXHIBIT 2247.	
5	THE FIRST BULLET POINT, MR. CONTINO,	09:44AM
6	TALKS ABOUT INDEPENDENT ECONOMIC VALUE.	
7	AND WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT PERFORMANCE, IN	
8	THIS INDUSTRY, IS THAT WHAT IS OF ECONOMIC VALUE, IN	
9	YOUR VIEW, THINGS THAT HELP IMPROVE PERFORMANCE?	
10	A. IT'S ONE OF THE THINGS, YES.	09:44AM
11	Q. YOU WERE ALSO ASKED SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT	
12	WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXAMINED WHETHER THINGS WERE	
13	CONFIDENTIAL OR PROPRIETARY.	
14	DO YOU RECALL THOSE QUESTIONS?	
15	A. I REMEMBER THOSE.	09:45AM
16	Q. AND IS SOMETHING BEING CONFIDENTIAL OR	
17	PROPRIETARY DIFFERENT THAN WHETHER OR NOT IT'S A TRADE	
18	SECRET?	
19	A. BY DEFINITION, MR. WEINGART.	
20	Q. AND WERE YOU ASKED TO LOOK AT WHETHER OR NOT	09:45AM
21	SOMETHING WAS A TRADE SECRET, OR WERE YOU ASKED TO	
22	EXAMINE WHETHER OR NOT SOMETHING WAS CONFIDENTIAL AND	
23	PROPRIETARY?	
24	A. THE FORMER.	
25	Q. MEANING THE TRADE SECRET QUESTION?	09:45AM
26	A. YES.	
27	Q. NOW, YOU WERE ASKED SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS	

28

COMMENT THAT IS SIMILAR BETWEEN THE TWO SETS OF CODE?

A. I RECALL THOSE MR. WEINGART, YES. 1 O. AND YOU SAID YOU AGREE WITH MR. SMITH THAT 2 3 THAT IS NOT A TRADE SECRET? 4 THAT'S CORRECT. THAT'S THE CODE ITSELF IN ITS Α. 5 FUNCTION, IS NOT A TRADE SECRET. 09:46AM 6 O. AND YOU ALSO SAID THAT YOU HAVE SOME ALTERNATE 7 EXPLANATIONS WITH REGARD TO THE OUESTION MR. SURPRENANT 8 ASKED YOU FOR THAT? 9 WELL, IF WE GO TO THE REPORT --Α. 10 MR. SURPRENANT: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, 09:46AM 11 OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF THE REPORT. 12 THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS OVERRULED. 13 I'M NOT SURE WHERE WE'RE GOING YET, BUT LET'S --14 15 Q. BY MR. WEINGART: YOU TESTIFIED, IN RESPONSE 09:46AM 16 TO MR. SURPRENANT'S OUESTIONS, THAT YOU HAD SOME 17 ALTERNATE EXPLANATIONS FOR THAT SIMILARITY. 18 AND I'M JUST ASKING YOU, WHAT ARE THOSE 19 ALTERNATE EXPLANATIONS? 20 BRIEFLY IT GOES TO THE PURPOSE OF THIS 09:46AM 21 PARTICULAR SET OF CODE. 22 WHAT THIS CODE DOES IS ALLOW THE PROGRAMMER TO PUT SOMETHING IMBEDDED WITHIN A PROGRAM 23 24 THAT THEY ARE CREATING, SOMETHING THAT HAS THE LOOK AND

09:46AM

27 PARTICULAR SET OF THINGS IS RATHER GENERIC. THIS HAS
28 COMPLICATION, NOT ONLY TO THE MORTGAGE BASIS, BUT

SO ONE COULD IMAGINE THAT THIS

FEEL OF AN EXCEL SPREADSHEET.

25

1	PROBABLY TO A LOT OF BUSINESSES. SO IT'S NOT IN ANY	
2	WAY SPECIFIC TO THE MORTGAGE BUSINESS.	
3	IT MAY BE THAT THIS CODE COULD HAVE BEEN	
4	OBTAINED THROUGH SOME SORT OF OPEN SOURCE PROGRAMMING	
5	BOARD.	09:47AM
6	MR. SURPRENANT: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.	
7	MOVE TO STRIKE LAST SENTENCE AS	
8	SPECULATIVE.	
9	THE COURT: I'LL STRIKE THE RESPONSE.	
10	Q. BY MR. WEINGART: DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT	09:47AM
11	THAT TYPE OF CODE IS ON OPEN SOURCE BOARDS?	
12	A. THIS SORT OF CODE IS ON OPEN SOURCE BOARDS.	
13	MR. WEINGART: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.	
14	THE COURT: MR. SURPRENANT?	
15	MR. SURPRENANT: THREE QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.	09:47AM
16		
17		
18	RECROSS-EXAMINATION	
19		
20	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
21	Q. YOU DON'T KNOW IF THE EXACT MATCH IS ON ANY	
22	COMMERCIAL BOARD, DO YOU?	
23	A. AN EXACT MATCH, MR. SURPRENANT?	
24	NO.	
25	MR. SURPRENANT: I BELIEVE HE'S OPENED THE	09:48AM
26	DOOR TO SHOW THE TWO EXHIBITS, YOUR HONOR. HE'S JUST	
27	EXAMINED HIM ON THEM.	
28	MR. WEINGART: I'M HAPPY TO ARGUE.	

1	I JUST RESPONDED WHAT HIS READING WAS.	
2	THE COURT: THERE'S NO REFERENCE TO THE	
3	SPECIFIC EXHIBITS.	
4	I MEAN, WHAT WHAT ARE WE TALKING	
5	ABOUT HERE?	09:48AM
6	MR. SURPRENANT: THAT'S FINE, YOUR HONOR. NO	
7	FURTHER QUESTIONS.	
8	MR. WEINGART: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.	
9	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH,	
10	MR. CONTINO, FOR YOUR TESTIMONY.	09:48AM
11	YOU MAY STEP DOWN.	
12	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
13	MR. WEINGART: YOUR HONOR, WE CALL KEVIN	
14	MURPHY.	
15		
16	KEVIN MURPHY,	
17	CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE DEFENDANT,	
18	WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:	
19		
20		09:48AM
21	THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.	
22	YOU DO SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE TESTIMONY	
23	YOU ARE ABOUT TO GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE	
24	THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND	
25	NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD.	09:49AM
26	THE WITNESS: I DO.	
27	THE CLERK: THANK YOU.	
28	PLEASE BE SEATED.	

SIR, PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR NAME 1 2 FOR THE RECORD. 3 THE WITNESS: MY NAME IS KEVIN MURPHY, 4 K-E-V-I-N, M-U-R-P-H-Y. 5 THE CLERK: THANK YOU. 09:49AM 6 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, MR. MURPHY. 7 MR. WEINGART: I FEEL THAT WAY SOMETIMES, TOO. 8 9 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 09:49AM 11 BY MR. WEINGART: 12 YOU ARE A PROFESSOR; IS THAT RIGHT? Q. 13 YES, I AM. Α. Q. WHAT IS YOUR CURRENT POSITION? 14 15 A. I'M CURRENTLY THE KENNETH L. TREFFTZ CHAIR IN 09:49AM 16 FINANCE AT THE USC MARSHALL SCHOOL OF BUSINESS. AND I 17 HOLD CURRENT APPOINTMENTS AS PROFESSOR OF BUSINESS AND 18 LAW AT THE USC LAW SCHOOL AND PROFESSOR OF ECONOMICS AT 19 THE USC DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS. 20 Ο. WHAT DO YOU TEACH AT USC? 09:49AM 21 I TEACH, PRIMARILY TEACH, COURSES IN EXECUTIVE 22 COMPENSATION, INCENTIVES IN CORPORATE GOVERNMENTS AT 23 THE UNDERGRADUATE MBA AND PH.D. LEVEL, ALL IN THE 24 BUSINESS SCHOOL. 25 Q. DID YOU TEACH ANYWHERE BEFORE YOU JOINED THE 09:50AM 26 USC FACULTY? 27 A. YES. BEFORE JOINING USC IN 1995, I WAS ON THE

FACULTY OF BOTH THE HARVARD BUSINESS SCHOOL AND THE

1	UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER'S ASSIGNMENT SCHOOL OF	
2	BUSINESS.	
3	Q. WHAT DEGREES DO YOU HOLD?	
4	A. I HOLD A BACHELOR'S DEGREE IN ECONOMICS FROM	
5	UCLA, AND A MASTER'S DEGREE AND PHD FROM THE UNIVERSITY	09:50A
6	OF CHICAGO, BOTH IN ECONOMICS.	
7	Q. WHO DO YOU ROOT FOR, UCLA OR USC?	
8	A. IT'S BEYOND THE SCOPE.	
9	MR. SURPRENANT: OBJECTION.	
10	Q. BY MR. WEINGART: DO YOU HAVE A PARTICULAR	09:50AN
11	FOCUS IN YOUR SCHOLARSHIP?	
12	A. FOR THE LAST 30 YEARS, ALMOST MY EXCLUSIVE	
13	SCHOLARSHIP, STARTING WITH MY PHD DISSERTATION, HAS	
14	BEEN ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND COMPENSATION	
15	INCENTIVE INNOCENT OF AN ORGANIZATIONS.	09:50A
16	Q. AND IN CONNECTION WITH THAT SCHOLARSHIP, HAVE	
17	YOU REVIEWED EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION CONTRACTS?	
18	A. YES, LITERALLY THOUSANDS OF CONTRACTS.	
19	PLUS I'VE DESIGNED SCORES OF CONTRACTS.	
20	Q. HAVE YOU PUBLISHED ACADEMIC ARTICLES REGARDING	09:51AN
21	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION?	
22	A. I'VE WRITTEN NEARLY 50 ARTICLES OR BOOKS OR	
23	CASES OR BOOK CHAPTERS RELATING TO THE STRUCTURE OF	
24	COMPENSATION CONTRACTS AND INCENTIVES IN ORGANIZATIONS.	
25	Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY SPECIFIC EXPERTISE ON	09:51AN
26	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION IN FINANCIAL SERVICES FIRMS?	

A. A LARGE FOCUS OF MY RESEARCH OVER THE PAST

SEVERAL YEARS, ESPECIALLY SINCE THE FINANCIAL CRISIS,

27

- 1 HAS BEEN ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND FINANCIAL 2 SERVICES.
- Q. HAVE YOU PROVIDED ANY ASSISTANCE TO THE

 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WITH REGARD TO ISSUES OF EXECUTIVE

 COMPENSATION AT FINANCIAL SERVICES FIRMS?

09:51AM

A. I'VE TESTIFIED TO CONGRESS ON TWO OCCASIONS ON
THE WALL STREET BONUS CULTURE, BROADLY, AND THE
COMPENSATION PRACTICES AND FINANCIAL SERVICES FIRMS.

09:52AM

- 9 I ALSO SERVED AS AN ADVISOR TO THE U.S.
- 10 TREASURY IN DESIGNING PAY PLANS FOR THE BANKS THAT HAD
- 11 BEEN RECEIVING GOVERNMENT ASSISTANCE IN THE BAILOUTS.
- MR. WEINGART: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD -- SORRY.
- 13 COUPLE OF MORE QUESTIONS.
- 14 | O. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED AS AN EXPERT?
- 15 A. YES, I HAVE.

6

7

8

19

20

26

09:52AM

09:52AM

09:52AM

- 16 O. ON HOW MANY OCCASIONS?
- 17 A. IN ADDITION TO THE TRADITIONAL TESTIMONY, I'VE
 18 TESTIFIED AT TRIAL, I BELIEVE, ON SIX OCCASIONS. AND
 - TESTIFIED BY DEPOSITION ON APPROXIMATELY 20 OCCASIONS.

 O. DO YOU HAVE A CUSTOMARY RATE THAT YOU CHARGE
 - Q. DO 100 HAVE A COSTOMART RATE THAT 100 CHARGE
- 21 FOR YOUR SERVICES?
- 22 A. YES, I DO.
- 23 \$750 AN HOUR.
- 24 O. AND IS THAT THE RATE YOU ARE CHARGING
- 25 MR. GUNDLACH IN THIS CASE?
 - A. YES, IT IS.
- 27 O. IS YOUR COMPENSATION IN THIS IN ANY WAY TIED
- 28 TO THE CONTENT OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

1 A. NO, IT IS NOT. 2 O. IS IT IN ANY WAY DEPENDENT ON THE OUTCOME OF 3 THE CASE? 4 NO, IT IS NOT. Α. 5 MR. WEINGART: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD TENDER 09:53AM PROFESSOR MURPHY AS AN EXPERT IN EXECUTIVE 6 7 COMPENSATION -- EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION ARRANGEMENTS. 8 MR. SURPRENANT: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 9 THE COURT: HE'LL BE ADMITTED AS AN EXPERT, 10 LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. 09:53AM 11 THE PROFESSOR WILL BE TESTIFYING AS AN 12 EXPERT IN THIS MATTER. 13 GO AHEAD. 14 Q. BY MR. WEINGART: LET'S START BY EXPLAINING A 15 FEW TERMS THAT WE'LL TALK ABOUT DURING YOUR TESTIMONY. 09:53AM 16 WHAT IS INCENTIVE COMPENSATION? 17 WELL, BROADLY SPEAKING, INCENTIVE COMPENSATION Α. 18 IS COMPENSATION THAT VARIES WITH THE PERFORMANCE OF AN 19 INDIVIDUAL BUSINESS UNIT OR A COMPANY. 20 THE EXAMPLES WOULD INCLUDE BONUSES, 09:53AM 21 COMMISSIONS, FEE SHARING ARRANGEMENTS, PROFIT SHARING 22 ARRANGEMENTS, STOCK OPTION, RESTRICTED STOCK, AND A 23 PLETHORA OF OTHER DEVICES. 24 Q. NOW, IS INCENTIVE COMPENSATION ALWAYS REALIZED 25 AT THE TIME AN EMPLOYEE PERFORMS HIS OR HER SERVICES? 09:53AM 26 Α. NO. THERE'S VERY OFTEN A LAG BETWEEN WHEN THE

SERVICES ARE PERFORMED AND WHEN COMPENSATION IS PAID.

Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE TERM, FRONT LOADED,

27

IN THE INCENTIVE COMPENSATION CONTEXT? 1 2 YEAH. WHEN WE USE THE WORD FRONT LOADED, Α. 3 WE'RE DESCRIBING SITUATIONS WHERE THE SERVICES ARE 4 PROVIDED -- FOR EXAMPLE, THE WORK THAT HAS TO BE DONE 5 IS PROVIDED ALONG TIME OR A -- LONG BEFORE THE RESULTS 09:54AM 6 ARE ACTUALLY REALIZED THAT THE VALUE CREATED. 7 O. CAN YOU GIVE AN EXAMPLE? 8 MR. SURPRENANT: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, 9 RELEVANCE, 352. 10 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 09:54AM 11 THE WITNESS: WELL, AS AN EXAMPLE, LET'S THINK 12 OF A FUND MANAGER WHO LAUNCHES A NEW FUND WITH -- WHERE THE WORK INVOLVED INVOLVES NOT ONLY LAUNCHING THE FUND, 13 14 IDENTIFYING THE INVESTORS, RAISING THE CAPITAL AND 15 IDENTIFYING THE INVESTMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE 09:54AM 16 CAPITAL. AND THAT WORK HAPPENS MAYBE YEARS BEFORE THE INVESTMENTS ARE LIQUIDATED AND THE PERFORMANCE IS 17 18 ACTUALLY REALIZED. 19 O. NOW --20 SORRY. WERE YOU DONE? I DIDN'T MEAN TO 09:54AM 21 CUT YOU OFF. 22 I WAS SAYING THAT CREATING INCENTIVES FOR 23 THOSE KIND OF ACTIVITIES IS ONE OF THE CLASSIC 24 CHALLENGES IN INCENTIVE FEE DESIGN. 25 Q. UMM, NOW, GIVEN THIS TIMING ISSUE, TO WHAT 09:55AM

ECONOMICS UPON TERMINATION?

EXTENT IS IT CUSTOM AND PRACTICE, EMPLOYMENT PRACTICE,

TO INCLUDE PROVISIONS FOR WHAT HAPPENS, IN TERMS OF THE

26

27

1	MR. SURPRENANT: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR,	
2	RELEVANCE, 352.	
3	THE COURT: I THINK WE'RE GOING BEYOND WHERE	
4	WE SAID WE COULD GO IN THIS AREA.	
5	MR. WEINGART: I JUST HAD THIS ONE QUESTION,	09:55AM
6	YOUR HONOR.	
7	THE COURT: I'LL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION.	
8	MOVE ON.	
9	MR. WEINGART: OKAY.	
10	Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE TERM, OPPORTUNISTIC	09:55AM
11	TERMINATION?	
12	A. YES, I AM.	
13	Q. CAN YOU DESCRIBE FOR US WHAT THAT MEANS?	
14	A. OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION DESCRIBES SITUATIONS	
15	WHERE AN EMPLOYER MIGHT ELECT TO TERMINATE AN	09:55AM
16	EMPLOYEE	
17	MR. QUINN: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS IRRELEVANT,	
18	MOVE TO STRIKE.	
19	THE COURT: I'M NOT GOING TO STRIKE IT.	
20	GO AHEAD.	09:55AM
21	THE WITNESS: JUST BEFORE A LARGE AMOUNT OF	
22	COMPENSATION IS PAYABLE.	
23	Q. BY MR. WEINGART: ARE YOU YOU ARE FAMILIAR	
24	WITH MANAGEMENT FEE?	
25	A. YES.	09:56AM
26	Q. WHAT ARE MANAGEMENT FEES, BRIEFLY?	
27	A. MANAGEMENT FEES ARE FEES PAID TO COMPANIES	

LIKE TCW FOR MANAGING ASSETS, THAT ARE USUALLY

- EXPRESSED AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE VALUE OF ASSETS UNDER
 MANAGEMENT.
 - Q. AND PERFORMANCE FEES, WHAT ARE THOSE?
- A. PERFORMANCE FEES ARE FEES, ALSO PAID TO

 INVESTMENT MANAGERS OR ASSET MANAGERS, LIKE TCW, THAT

 ARE TYPICALLY BASED ON THE PERFORMANCE OF THE ASSETS

 UNDER MANAGEMENT.

8 Q. NOW, ARE MANAGEMENT FEES AND PERFORMANCE FEES
9 REALIZED AT THE SAME TIME THE SERVICES ARE BEING
10 PERFORMED?

- 11 A. VERY OFTEN, THE SERVICES ARE PERFORMED WELL
 12 BEFORE THE FEES THEMSELVES ARE REALIZED.
- Q. DOES AN AGREEMENT TO SHARE A PERFORMANCE FEE

 PRESENT ANY OF THE ISSUES THAT YOU HAVE DESCRIBED

 EARLIER, WITH REGARD TO FRONT LOADING OR OPPORTUNISTIC

 TERMINATION?
 - A. YES, IN PARTICULAR WITHIN THE EXTENT OF PERFORMANCE FEES.
- 19 O. WHY IS THAT?

3

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A. WELL, OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION IS ESPECIALLY
A PROBLEM WHEN THERE'S FRONTLOADING OF SERVICES; FOR
EXAMPLE, WITH THE FUND MANAGER THAT I DID GIVE BEFORE,
WHO'S MAKING A LOT OF UP-FRONT INVESTMENTS, WHERE THE
PAYOFFS WON'T COME UNTIL MUCH LATER IN THE CONTRACTS,
IF THAT MANAGER BELIEVED THAT HE WOULD BE TERMINATED
AFTER THE SERVICES WERE RENDERED, BUT BEFORE THE
PERFORMANCE FEES WERE REALIZED, EVEN IF HE RECEIVED A

09:56AM

09:56AM

09:57AM

09:57AM

09:57AM

SHARE OF THE -- SUPPOSEDLY RECEIVED A SHARE OF THE

1	PERFORMANCE FEES, THAT WOULD SERIOUSLY ERODE THE	
2	INCENTIVES HE HAS TO PROVIDE THE SERVICES UP FRONT.	
3	Q. NOW, YOU MENTIONED	
4	MR. QUINN: YOUR HONOR, I MOVE TO STRIKE THE	
5	ANSWER AS HAVING NOTHING TO DO WITH THE CONTRACT. IT'S	09:58AM
6	IRRELEVANT.	
7	MR. SURPRENANT: YOUR HONOR, COULD WE	
8	APPROACH?	
9	THE COURT: YES, YOU MAY.	
10		09:58AM
11	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE	
12	HELD IN OPEN COURT, AT SIDEBAR:)	
13		
14	MR. QUINN: THIS CONCEPT OF OPPORTUNISTIC	
15	TERMINATION, THIS IS AN ULTIMATE ISSUE THAT HE'S	09:58AM
16	OPINING ON. HE'S TELLING THE JURY THAT THERE'S A	
17	DELIBERATE BREACH OF CONTRACT HERE, OR THERE'S	
18	THAT'S A POTENTIAL ISSUE.	
19	AGAIN, THIS IS EXACTLY THE FREE-FLOATING	
20	OPINING WITHOUT TIED TO ASSET MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS.	09:58AM
21	THIS AGREEMENT IN PARTICULAR, ARRANGEMENTS	
22	THE COURT: BUT HE'S TALKING ABOUT THE	
23	PRACTICE IN THE INDUSTRY AND GENERALIZED INFORMATION.	
24	AND THEN YOU OBJECT TO THE CUSTOM AND PRACTICES. YOU	
25	DON'T WANT THAT.	09:59AM
26	LET ME HEAR FROM	
27	MR. QUINN: I MEAN, THEY HAVEN'T EVEN TIED IT	

28

TO THIS INDUSTRY.

1	THE COURT: WELL, HE'S TALKED ABOUT IN THE	
2	INDUSTRY NOW.	
3	YOU WANT THEM TO LAY MORE FOUNDATION IN	
4	THAT REGARD.	
5	MR. QUINN: HE'S AN EXPERT IN COMPENSATION IN	09:59AM
6	ASSET MANAGEMENT FIRMS, PORTFOLIO MANAGERS, YES.	
7	THE COURT: WELL, THEY CAN LAY MORE FOUNDATION	
8	ON THAT.	
9	MR. QUINN: I HAVEN'T HEARD ANY FOUNDATION ON	
10	THAT.	09:59AM
11	MR. BRIAN: CAN I RAISE A PROCEDURAL THING?	
12	THE COURT: HE'S BASICALLY SAID IT'S IN THE	
13	FINANCIAL INDUSTRY AND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INDUSTRY.	
14	I THOUGHT THAT WAS THERE. YOU DIDN'T OBJECT TO	
15	ENTERING HIM AS AN EXPERT.	09:59AM
16	SO IT SEEMS TO ME THAT YOU HAVE ACCEPTED	
17	HIM AS AN EXPERT ON THE SUBJECT MATTER OF HIS	
18	TESTIMONY.	
19	MR. QUINN: WELL, YOUR HONOR, I HAVE NO DOUBT	
20	HE'S AN EXPERT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION WITHIN THE	09:59AM
21	FINANCIAL INDUSTRY BETWEEN ON THE ONE HAND. HEDGE	
22	FUNDS, ASSET MANAGEMENT FIRMS, INVESTMENT BROKERS,	
23	BROKER DEALERS. YOU HAVE A HUGE RANGE.	
24	THE COURT: I'M SURE YOU CAN PURSUE THAT IN	
25	YOUR CROSS-EXAMINATION OF THIS WITNESS.	10:00AM
26	NOW, WHAT DID YOU WANT TO SAY?	
27	MR. BRIAN: WELL, I HAVE A PROCEDURAL THING I	

ASKED IN MR. GUNDLACH'S TESTIMONY. AND I WAS GOING TO

SAY THAT I STRONGLY -- MR. QUINN PERSONALLY STRONGLY 1 OBJECTED. YOUR HONOR UPHELD THAT PROPERLY SO --2 3 MR. SURPRENANT IS HANDLING THIS WITNESS AND OBJECTED 4 THE FIRST TWO TIMES. NOW MR. QUINN HAS SOUGHT TO 5 OBJECT. 10:00AM 6 WE CANNOT, AND THE COURT SHOULD NOT 7 TOLERATE TWO LAWYERS OBJECTING TO OUESTIONS ASKED OF 8 THIS WITNESS GENERALLY. 9 MR. OUINN: I AGREE WITH THAT. THE COURT: GENERALLY IN THE -- EVERYBODY IN 10 10:00AM 11 THE HEAT OF BATTLE GETS TOO EXITED AND DOES SOMETHING 12 THEY WOULD NOT DO IF THEY THOUGHT ABOUT IT. 13 MR. SURPRENANT: I THINK MR. QUINN THINKS I 14 NEED A LOT OF DIRECTION. 15 YOUR HONOR, WITH RESPECT TO NOT OBJECTING, I 10:00AM OBJECTED YESTERDAY. WE'VE ARGUED THAT THIS 16 TESTIMONY --17 18 THE COURT: AND I SAID I WAS GOING TO ALLOW 19 IT. 20 MR. SURPRENANT: YEAH. 10:00AM 21 THE COURT: SO HERE WE GO. OKAY. LET'S 22 FINISH IT UP. 23 MR. SURPRENANT: I DON'T LIKE TO OBJECT A LOT, BUT WE KINDA NEED TO FIGURE OUT AS WE GO ALONG WHAT'S 24

10:01AM

MR. WEINGART: I'M ABOUT TO GET TO THE

ACCEPTABLE AND WHAT'S NOT.

ME IF I'M WRONG --

25

26

27

THE COURT: WELL, IT SEEMS TO ME, BUT CORRECT

1 CONTRACT. 2 THE COURT: WELL, LET'S MOVE ON TO THAT. 3 MR. WEINGART: FINE. 4 5 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS 6 WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN 7 THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 8 9 BY MR. WEINGART: I BELIEVE BEFORE WE BROKE Ο. 10 THERE, YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT ISSUES WITH REGARD TO 10:01AM 11 OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION FROM THE EMPLOYEE'S SIDE. 12 DOES IT ALSO PRESENT ISSUES WITH REGARD 13 TO THE EMPLOYER, THAT THE EMPLOYER USES? 14 Α. YES. TO THE EXTENT THAT THE EMPLOYER WANTS 15 THE EMPLOYEE TO TAKE, RENDER THOSE SERVICES UP FRONT 10:01AM 16 THAT WON'T BE PAID UNTIL LATER, IT'S VERY MUCH IN THE 17 INTEREST OF THE EMPLOYER TO PROTECT THE EMPLOYEE 18 AGAINST OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATIONS. 19 O. NOW, YOU UNDERSTAND THAT IT'S UP TO THE JURY 20 TO DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT THERE WAS AN AGREEMENT 10:02AM 21 BREACHED IN CONNECTION WITH THE 2000 DISCUSSIONS OF 22 MR. GUNDLACH'S CONTRACT, CORRECT? 23 A. YES, I DO. 24 SO I WANT TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT SOME 25 TERMS IN ONE OF THE DOCUMENTS THAT THE JURY IS GOING TO 10:02AM 26 CONSIDER IN ANSWERING THAT QUESTION. 27 CAN WE PUT UP TRIAL EXHIBIT 66. AND 2.8 COULD WE GO TO PAGE 2.

THE COURT: THIS IS EXHIBIT 66? 1 2 MR. WEINGART: 66, YOUR HONOR. 3 THE COURT: THANK YOU. AND THERE'S A -- NUMBER ONE, THERE'S A 4 Q. 5 PROVISION REGARDING TERM. 10:02AM DO YOU SEE THAT? 6 7 A. YES, I DO. 8 HOW, IF AT ALL, DOES A TERM OF YEARS PROTECT Q. AGAINST OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION? 9 A. WELL, IN THIS EXAMPLE, THERE'S A TERM OF YEARS 10 10:03AM 11 OF APPROXIMATELY FIVE YEARS. 12 AND WHAT THAT WOULD MEAN IS, DURING THAT 13 FIVE-YEAR PERIOD, THE EMPLOYEE WOULD BE PROTECTED 14 AGAINST OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION WITHOUT CAUSE OVER 15 THAT PERIOD; WHICH MEANS IF THERE WERE PROFITS REALIZED 10:03AM 16 IN THAT FIVE YEARS, THAT WOULD AT LEAST PARTIALLY 17 PROTECT AGAINST OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION. 18 WHY DO YOU SAY ONLY PARTIALLY? Ο. 19 A. WELL, IN MY GIVEN EXAMPLE, THE SPECIAL 20 MORTGAGE CREDIT FUNDS THAT I KNOW WE'VE TALKED ABOUT 10:03AM 21 HAD POTENTIALLY AN EIGHT-YEAR LIFE, AND THIS CONTRACT 22 THAT I'M LOOKING AT HERE ON THE SCREEN HAS A FIVE-YEAR 23 TERM; SO IT'S VERY POSSIBLE THAT THE AGREEMENT WOULD 24 RUN OUT BEFORE THE PROFITS WERE REALIZED. 25 Q. NOW, COULD WE GO TO PAGE 4 OF THE DOCUMENT? 10:04AM 26 AND BLOW UP THE PARAGRAPH 6, 27 TERMINATION.

28

AND IF WE COULD HIGHLIGHT THE BOTTOM

PARAGRAPH THERE, YOUR COMPENSATION, INCLUDING ANY BASE 1 2 DRAW. 3 AND YOU SEE AFTER ROMAN NUMERAL II, 4 (READING:) 5 THE COMPANY WILL PAY YOU YOUR 10:04AM BASE SALARY AND ANY AMOUNT OF 6 7 PROFIT SHARING, PLUS ACCRUED 8 VACATION ACCRUED TO THE DATE OF 9 TERMINATION, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 10 COMPANY'S POLICIES. 10:04AM DO YOU SEE THAT LANGUAGE? 11 YES, I DO. 12 Α. 13 ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE USE OF THE TERM, 0. 14 ACCRUED, IN THE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION CONTEXT? A. YES, I AM. 15 10:04AM 16 Ο. AND ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE USE OF THE TERM 17 ACCRUED UNDER GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES? 18 YES, I AM. Α. O. IS THE DEFINITION OF ACCRUED -- EXCUSE ME --19 20 UNDER ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES AND EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION 10:04AM 21 PRINCIPLES, THE SAME? 22 VERY CONSISTENT. Α. 23 O. AND WHAT DOES ACCRUED MEAN? 24 A. IN ECONOMICS, ACCOUNTING, OR IN COMPENSATION 25 DESIGN, ACCRUED HAS A VERY PRECISE DEFINITION THAT 10:05AM 26 MEANS ACCOUNTING FOR AN AMOUNT WHEN THE ACTIVITIES 27 ASSOCIATED WITH THAT AMOUNT OCCUR, AS OPPOSED TO

ACCOUNTING FOR THAT AMOUNT WHEN THE ACTIVITY -- WHEN

THE AMOUNT IS ACTUALLY REALIZED OR PAID. 1 2 IN GENERAL, FOR REVENUES OR EXPENSES, 3 FOR EXAMPLE, THE POINT IN TIME IN WHICH THE REVENUES 4 AND EXPENSES ARE ACCRUED WILL TYPICALLY BE DIFFERENT, 5 AND ALMOST ALWAYS EARLIER, THAN THE POINT IN TIME WHEN 10:05AM 6 THE REVENUES AND EXPENSES ARE PAID. 7 Q. EXCUSE ME. SO FOR MANAGEMENT FEES, THAT WOULD BE AN ACCRUAL PRIOR TO PAYMENT? 8 9 A. YES. 10 JUST AGAIN, AS AN EXAMPLE, I THINK 10:06AM YOU'VE HEARD TESTIMONY THAT TCW'S PRACTICE WAS TO 11 12 ACCRUE MANAGEMENT FEES ON A MONTHLY BASIS, WHERE THEY 13 WOULD BE PAID ON A QUARTERLY BASIS. 14 CONCEPTUALLY, THOUGH, SINCE WE ALWAYS 15 KNOW WHAT THE ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT ARE, 10:06AM 16 CONCEPTUALLY, WE CAN COMPUTE THE ACCRUED MANAGEMENT FEE 17 AT ANY POINT IN TIME. 18 AND IS THAT SIMILARLY TRUE WITH REGARD TO 0. 19 PERFORMANCE FEES, ANY ACCRUAL? WELL, PERFORMANCE FEES, I THINK THE EASIEST 20 10:06AM 21 WAY TO THINK ABOUT ACCRUALS IS THE AMOUNT THAT COULD BE 22 POTENTIALLY REALIZED IF THE INVESTMENTS IN THAT FUND, 23 FOR EXAMPLE, WERE LIQUIDATED AT A POINT IN TIME. 24 IGNORING, FOR SIMPLICITY, THE -- ANY CONTRACTUAL 25 OBLIGATIONS OR HOLDING REQUIREMENTS THAT WOULD MAKE 10:06AM 26 LIOUIDATION DIFFICULT. BUT THAT WOULD BE A WAY TO

MY UNDERSTANDING, AGAIN, IS IN TESTIMONY

ADDRESS WHAT THE ACCRUALS ARE.

27

WE'VE HEARD, THAT IT WAS TCW'S PRACTICE TO ACCRUE FOR 1 2 CARRIED INTEREST OR PERFORMANCE FEES ON A MONTHLY 3 BASIS, BASED ESSENTIALLY ON TCW'S BEST ESTIMATE OF WHAT 4 THE MARKET VALUE OF THE SECURITIES IN THE FUNDS WERE. 5 Ο. TO WHAT EXTENT DOES A TERM PROVIDING FOR 10:07AM PAYMENT OF MONEY ACCRUED TO THE DATE OF TERMINATION 6 7 PROTECT AGAINST OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION? 8 MR. SURPRENANT: OBJECTION. RELEVANCE, 352. 9 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 10 THE WITNESS: IT PROVIDES A GOOD DEAL OF 10:07AM 11 PROTECTION. 12 IF WE THINK ABOUT THE PROBLEM OF 13 OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION, OR OF THE EXAMPLE I GAVE, 14 WOULD BE TERMINATED AN EMPLOYEE JUST BEFORE A LARGE 15 BONUS IS DUE. 10:07AM 16 IF THAT EMPLOYEE HAD THE RIGHT TO 17 ACCRUED COMPENSATION AND TERMINATION, IN OTHER WORDS, 18 THE RIGHT TO THE BONUS HE HAD EARNED UP UNTIL THE DATE 19 OF TERMINATION, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER IT'S BEEN PAID, 20 THAT WOULD OBVIOUSLY PROTECT HIM AGAINST -- AT LEAST 10:08AM 21 PARTIALLY PROTECT HIM AGAINST OPPORTUNISTIC 22 TERMINATION. 23 NOW, TCW HAS SUGGESTED THAT THAT COULD RESULT 0. 24 IN A SITUATION WHERE MONEY GETS PAID, BASED ON ACCRUED 25 TERMINATION, ON INVESTMENTS THAT COULD LATER DECLINE IN 10:08AM 26 VALUE.

COPYING NOT PERMITTED PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 69954(D)

YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?

A. YES, I DO.

27

AND WOULD PAYMENT OF WHAT WAS ACCRUED TO THE 1 Q. 2 DATE OF TERMINATION BE CONSISTENT OR INCONSISTENT WITH 3 CUSTOM AND PRACTICE AND INCENTIVE COMPENSATION 4 STRUCTURES, GIVEN THAT CONCERN? 5 MR. SURPRENANT: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 10:08AM OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF THE WITNESS' EXPERT REPORT. 6 7 MR. WEINGART: IT'S COVERED IN HIS DEPOSITION, YOUR HONOR. 8 9 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 10 THE WITNESS: IN THE CONTEXT OF THE TWO MAJOR 10:08AM 11 FORMS OF COMPENSATION, I KNOW WE WERE TALKING ABOUT, 12 WHICH WOULD BE MANAGEMENT FEES AND CARRIED INTEREST, 13 PAYING COMPENSATION ACCRUED TO TERMINATION IS PERFECTLY 14 CONSISTENT WITH NOT ONLY SOUND ECONOMICS AND SOUND 15 COMPENSATION INCENTIVE PRACTICE, BUT ALSO HELPS ALIGN 10:09AM 16 THE INTEREST WITH THE MANAGERS AND SHAREHOLDERS. 17 WHY IS THAT? Q. 18 A. LET ME TAKE MANAGEMENT FEES, FOR EXAMPLE. 19 WE KNOW THAT -- WE KNOW THAT SERVICES 20 HAVE TO BE RENDERED TO MANAGE THE ASSET PORTFOLIO ON AN 10:09AM 21 ONGOING BASIS, EVEN THOUGH THE MANAGEMENT FEES 22 THEMSELVES AREN'T PAID UNTIL THE END OF EACH QUARTER. 23 SO WE KNOW IF HE WANT TO PROVIDE --24 REWARD MANAGERS FOR THAT SERVICE ACCRUED 25 DETERMINATION -- ACCRUED DETERMINATION IS A WAY THAT WE 10:09AM 26 CAN PROVIDE THEM FOR THE SERVICES THEY PROVIDED, AT 27 LEAST UP THROUGH TERMINATION.

NOW, FOR MANAGEMENT FEES, WHETHER OR NOT

1	THE AMOUNT THAT TCW ULTIMATELY REALIZES IS A LOT HIGHER	
2	OR A LOT LOWER THAN THE ACCRUED MANAGEMENT FEES, ISN'T	
3	REALLY MUCH OF AN ISSUE, BECAUSE FOR MANAGEMENT FEES,	
4	TCW CAN REASONABLY ESTIMATE WHAT THE MANAGEMENT FEES	
5	WILL BE.	10:10AM
6	AND IN FACT IT'S NOT SO MUCH THAT THOSE	
7	FEES ARE PAID TO TCW, AS THAT TCW DEDUCTS THEM FROM	
8	EXISTING CLIENTS' ACCOUNTS; SO THE ONLY DIFFERENCE,	
9	REAL DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ACCRUED THE ACCRUED VALUE OF	
10	MANAGEMENT FEES AND THE REALIZED VALUE, IS JUST THE	10:10AM
11	TIMING ISSUE, BECAUSE IT'S FOR A PARTIAL PERIOD.	
12	MR. SURPRENANT: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MOVE	
13	TO STRIKE, FOUNDATION.	
14	THE COURT: I'LL STRIKE THE RESPONSE.	
15	IT DID SEEM TO GO A LITTLE BEYOND.	10:10AM
16	IF YOU WANT TO LAY THE FOUNDATION FOR	
17	THAT.	
18	AND IT'S THE LATTER PART OF THE	
19	RESPONSE.	
20	MR. WEINGART: THAT WAS GOING TO BE MY	10:10AM
21	QUESTION. THE WHOLE I DON'T WANT TO START OVER	
22	AGAIN FROM THE BEGINNING.	
23	THE COURT: JUST THE PART CONCERNING FOR TCW.	
24	MR. WEINGART: FAIR ENOUGH, YOUR HONOR.	
25	Q. LET'S FOCUS ON CARRIED INTEREST.	10:11AM
26	WHERE YOU HAVE INVESTMENTS THAT CAN GO	
27	UP, INVESTMENTS THAT CAN GO DOWN, HOW WOULD PAYMENTS	

OF -- ACCRUED TO POINT OF TERMINATION BE INCONSISTENT

OR CONSISTENT WITH DEALING WITH THE OPPORTUNISTIC 1 2 TERMINATION ISSUE? 3 WELL, IT'S CERTAINLY TRUE THAT ULTIMATELY, THE 4 CARRIED INTEREST -- THE REALIZED CARRIED INTEREST CAN 5 BE EITHER A LOT HIGHER OR A LOT LOWER THAN WHAT'S 10:11AM 6 ACCRUED TO THE DATE OF TERMINATION. 7 BUT THAT ACTUALLY SPRING SENDS THE 8 ARGUMENT OF WHY IT MAKES SENSE TO HAVE THE CONTRACTS 9 BASED ON ACCRUED TO TERMINATION. Q. WHY IS THAT? 10 10:11AM 11 A. THINK ABOUT IT THIS WAY: 12 THE EXTENT THAT THE ACCRUED TO 13 TERMINATION AMOUNT OF THE CARRIED INTEREST IS THE 14 AMOUNT THAT THE COMPANY COULD REALIZE, IF IT LIQUIDATED 15 ITS INVESTMENTS UPON THE DATE OF TERMINATION. 10:11AM 16 IT'S -- IF IT CHOOSES NOT TO LIQUIDATE 17 THOSE INVESTMENTS, PRESUMABLY IT REFLECTS THE FACT THAT 18 IT THINKS THOSE INVESTMENTS ARE GOING TO KEEP GOING UP 19 IN VALUE. 20 BUT THAT'S THE COMPANY'S CHOICE, AT THIS 10:12AM POINT OF VIEW. AND THERE'S NOT REALLY A LOT OF 21 22 ECONOMIC RATIONALE TO GIVE THE TERMINATED EXECUTIVE THE 23 BENEFIT OF GOOD LIQUIDATION DECISIONS BY THE COMPANY 24 AFTER TERMINATION, OR TO PENALIZE THE EXECUTIVE WHO'S 25 TERMINATED FOR BAD LIQUIDATIONS BY THE COMPANY AFTER 10:12AM

AND REALIZED THE AMOUNT UPON TERMINATION.

TERMINATION, BECAUSE THE COMPANY COULD HAVE LIQUIDATED

O. IS THE CONCEPT OF ACCRUED TO TERMINATION THE

26

27

SAME OR DIFFERENT THAN VESTING? 1 THE REPORTER: I'M SORRY, "THE SAME OR 2 3 DIFFERENT FROM? 4 MR. WEINGART: VESTING. 5 THE WITNESS: VESTING AND ACCRUED TO 10:13AM TERMINATION ARE TWO VERY DIFFERENT CONCEPTS. 6 7 O. BY MR. WEINGART: AND THE LANGUAGE THAT WE SAW 8 IN EXHIBIT 66 TALKED ABOUT ACCRUAL, AND NOT VESTING, 9 CORRECT? 10 A. THAT'S CORRECT. 10:13AM O. DID YOU EXAMINE ANY OTHER CONTRACTS AT TCW 11 12 THAT DID INCLUDE VESTING? 13 A. IN MY DEPOSITION, I WAS SHOWN A CONTRACT FROM ANOTHER SENIOR TCW FUND MANAGER THAT HAD EXPLICIT BEST 14 15 INTEREST PROVISIONS. 10:13AM 16 Ο. AND WOULD SUCH VESTING PROTECT THAT MANAGER 17 WITH RESPECT TO THESE ISSUES OF FRONT LOADING AND 18 OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION? 19 A. YES. AGAIN, AT LEAST PARTIALLY. 20 Q. NOW, YOU SAID THAT MR. GUNDLACH DID NOT HAVE 10:14AM 21 VESTING. 22 ASSUMING THAT THE 2007 AGREEMENT 23 CONTAINED A TERM PROVIDING FOR PAYMENT OF FEES ACCRUED 24 AT TERMINATION, WOULD THAT CUSTOMARILY BE RECOGNIZED, 25 IN THE INCENTIVE COMPENSATION FIELD, AS AN ALTERNATIVE 10:14AM 26 TO VESTING, AND ADDRESSING THESE ISSUES OF 27 OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION --

28

MR. SURPRENANT: OBJECTION. EXCUSE ME. I'M

1	SORRY.	
2	OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. LEADING,	
3	INCOMPLETE HYPOTHETICAL, 352.	
4	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
5	ON THAT OBJECTION, WE'LL TAKE OUR	10:14AM
6	MORNING RECESS, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN.	
7	AND YOU MAY BE EXCUSED FOR 20 MINUTES.	
8		
9	(AT 10:14 A.M. THE JURY WAS	
10	EXCUSED, AND THE FOLLOWING	10:14AM
11	PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:)	
12		
13	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'RE OUT OF THE	
14	PRESENCE OF THE JURY.	
15	MY SENSE IS, MR. SURPRENANT, THAT	10:15AM
16	PLAINTIFF TCW HAS RAISED THE DISTINCTION OF VESTING IN	
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION ON THESE CONTRACTS OVER THE LAST	
18	SEVERAL WEEKS, ON A NUMBER OF OCCASIONS.	
19	MR. QUINN: COULD THE WITNESS BE EXCUSED, YOUR	
20	HONOR?	10:15AM
21	THE COURT: YES, YOU MAY.	
22	YOU CAN STEP OUT, SIR.	
23		
24	(WITNESS LEAVES THE COURTROOM)	
25		10:16AM
26	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'RE OUT OF THE	
27	PRESENCE OF THE JURY AND THE WITNESS.	
28	AND THE OTHER THING IS, I REALLY DON'T	

SEE THESE AS 352 ISSUES. WHEN IT'S SOMETHING THAT YOU 1 2 BROUGHT IN ON YOUR SIDE OF THE CASE, AND IT'S -- WE'RE 3 GOING TO TAKE ENOUGH TIME TO GET THE EVIDENCE IN THAT 4 NEEDS TO COME IN. 5 BUT WHERE IS IT THAT HE CAN'T -- YOU 10:16AM 6 ARE -- YOUR OBJECTION SEEMS TO BE TO HIS TESTIMONY 7 CONCERNING THE ALTERNATIVES. MR. SURPRENANT: WELL, YOUR HONOR, THE LAST 8 9 ONE, I THOUGHT THE HYPOTHETICAL WAS IMPROPER. 10 THE REASON I'M OBJECTING ON 352 IS, I 10:16AM 11 REALLY THINK THIS HAS LIMITED RELEVANCE TO THE ISSUES 12 THE JURY IS GOING TO HAVE TO DECIDE. 13 THE COURT: THAT'S NOT 352; THAT'S 350, ISN'T 14 IT? 15 MR. SURPRENANT: AND, YOUR HONOR, NO, BECAUSE 10:16AM 16 I HAVEN'T FINISHED. 17 THE COURT: IT'S ONE OF THE EARLIER ONES. 18 MR. SURPRENANT: I HAVEN'T FINISHED, YOUR 19 HONOR. 20 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 10:16AM 21 MR. SURPRENANT: BUT TO THE EXTENT IT HAS SOME 22 KIND OF PERIPHERAL RELEVANCE, IT'S VERY PREJUDICIAL, SUGGESTING TO THE JURY, BASED ON EXPERT TESTIMONY THAT 23 24 WAS NOT DISCLOSED IN THE REPORT. 25 THERE'S NOT A LINE OF DISCUSSIONS IN THE 10:16AM

26 REPORT ABOUT CUSTOM AND PRACTICE WITH RESPECT TO

27 ACCRUED TO THE DATE OF TERMINATION, NOT A LINE. AND

28 HE'S BEING ALLOWED TO OPINE ON IT IN VERY LOOSE AND

GENERAL HYPOTHETICALS, AND IT'S INVADING THE FUNCTION 1 2 OF THE JURY. 3 MR. WEINGART'S LAST QUESTION WAS, ASSUME THERE WAS A CONTRACT. ASSUME THERE WAS A CONTRACT. 4 5 WOULD THAT BE A GOOD PROVISION TO HAVE? 10:17AM 6 THAT IS HIGHLY PREJUDICIAL. AND I THINK 7 IT HAS VERY REMOTE RELEVANCE. AND I ALSO THINK THAT 8 WE -- RESPECTFULLY, YOUR HONOR, WE ARE GETTING -- I 9 DON'T WANT TO USE THE WORD BUSHWHACKED, BUT I'LL USE 10 THAT, BECAUSE I CAN'T THINK OF A BETTER WORD, WITH 10:17AM 11 TESTIMONY THAT WAS NOT IN HIS REPORT. 12 THE COURT: DID YOU QUESTION HIM ABOUT THIS 13 CONCERN IN HIS DEPOSITION? 14 MR. SURPRENANT: I SAID, PROFESSOR MURPHY, 15 WHERE IS YOUR ANALYSIS FOR WHY YOU THINK ACCRUED TO 10:17AM 16 DATE OF TERMINATION MEANS SOMETHING? 17 AND HE SAID, WELL, LET'S GO TO THE 18 CONTRACT. 19 I SAID, WELL, IS THERE ANYTHING OTHER 20 THAN THE CONTRACT? 10:17AM 21 HE IS JUST OFFERING, YOUR HONOR, LEGAL 22 INTERPRETATION UNDER THE GUISE OF HIGHLY GENERAL AND 23 VAGUE EXPERT TESTIMONY. THAT'S THE BASIS OF THE 352. 24 IT EITHER HAS VERY, VERY LIMITED RELEVANCE OR NONE, BUT 25 IT'S HIGHLY PREJUDICIAL. 10:18AM

COPYING NOT PERMITTED PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 69954(D)

MR. QUINN AND MR. MADISON, OF ACCRUED DETERMINATION

THE COURT: WELL, YOU HAVE MADE THE

DISTINCTION REPEATEDLY, EITHER YOU, GENERICALLY, AND

26

27

VERSUS VESTING. AND WE LOOK AT THAT PROVISION IN THE 1 2 CONTRACT, BUT OUR INTERPRETATION IS IT'S SUBJECT TO THE 3 PROFIT SHARING DEFINITION IN EXHIBIT A. 4 THIS HAS BEEN A FOCAL POINT, AND IT'S 5 REALLY WHERE THE RUBBER MEETS THE ROAD ON THIS ISSUE. 10:18AM IT SEEMS TO ME, IT'S TWO DIFFERENT 6 7 INTERPRETATIONS OF THAT LANGUAGE, VERSUS EXHIBIT A AND 8 YOUR INTERPRETATION. 9 MR. SURPRENANT: BUT THAT WOULD MEAN IT'S FOR 10 THE JURY TO DECIDE, NOT WITH DISGUISED LEGAL 10:18AM 11 INTERPRETATION. 12 PROFESSOR MURPHY IS ON THE FACULTY OF 13 USC LAW SCHOOL. AND IN OUR VIEW --THE COURT: WENT TO HARVARD, TOO. THAT'S A 14 15 SIGNIFICANT POINT AMONG THIS GROUP. 10:18AM 16 MR. SURPRENANT: AND WE ALL ARE VERY 17 EMBARRASSED BY THAT, TOO, YOUR HONOR. BUT -- AND I --18 SO I THINK, YOUR HONOR, THERE ARE, AS I SAID AT THE 19 SIDEBAR, YOUR HONOR, THIS IS, I THINK, GOING TO BE 20 ALMOST A OUESTION-BY-OUESTION ISSUE. 10:19AM 21 BUT THERE IS A PROBLEM THAT I HAVE WITH 22 THE WAY PROFESSOR MURPHY ANSWERS. YOU WILL HAVE A 23 OUESTION THAT I'M JUST ABOUT THINKING OF OBJECTING TO, 24 BUT IT'S PRETTY CLOSE TO THE LINE. AND THEN HE GIVES A 25 MINI LECTURE THAT GOES FAR BEYOND THE BOUNDS OF THE 10:19AM

THE COURT: LET'S SEE IF WE CAN FOCUS THAT A

OUESTION. THAT HAS HAPPENED CONSISTENTLY.

26

27

2.8

LITTLE MORE.

1	OR MR. WEINGART, DO YOU WANT TO BE HEARD	
2	ON THIS ISSUE? AND I GUESS WHAT I'D LIKE YOU TO	
3	ADDRESS IS THE FACT THAT HE DIDN'T PROVIDE THESE	
4	OPINIONS OR DISCUSSION OF THIS?	
5	MR. WEINGART: HE WAS SHOWN THIS CONTRACT WHEN	10:19AM
6	HE WAS DEPOSED BY MR. SURPRENANT, AND ASKED QUESTIONS	
7	IN THIS VERY VEIN; AND SO THERE IS NOTHING THAT SHOULD	
8	COME AS A SURPRISE, BECAUSE MR. SURPRENANT WENT INTO IT	
9	WITH HIM AT THE DEPOSITION.	
10	IT SERIOUSLY, THAT WAS MY LAST	10:20AM
11	QUESTION.	
12	THE COURT: WELL, LET'S SEE WHAT IT WAS. AND	
13	IF WE COULD JUST STICK BY AND GET FINISHED HERE.	
14	HOLD ON A MINUTE.	
15	THE QUESTION WAS WHETHER THE ACCRUED TO	10:20AM
16	TERMINATION PROVISION IN THE CONTRACT WILL BE VIEWED IN	
17	THE FIELD AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO A VESTING RIGHT; IS THAT	
18	ESSENTIALLY IT?	
19	MR. WEINGART: THAT'S ESSENTIALLY IT, RIGHT.	
20	MR. SURPRENANT: NOWHERE IN THE REPORT, AND	10:21AM
21	WHAT MR. WEINGART IS SAYING IS THE EXPERT CAN GIVE A	
22	BARE CONCLUSION.	
23	MY CONCLUSION IS THAT MR. GUNDLACH GOT	
24	SOMETHING I'M GOING TO DEFINE AS ACCRUED COMPENSATION,	
25	AND SOMETHING I'M GOING TO DEFINE AS THE 2007	10:21AM
26	AGREEMENT.	
27	AND I'M NOT GOING TO TELL YOU WHY.	

THE COURT: WELL, ULTIMATELY, THE JURY IS

GOING TO DETERMINE THESE PROVISIONS, BASED ON YOUR 1 2 ARGUMENT THAT IT'S SUBJECT TO THE DEFINITION OF PROFIT 3 SHARING POOL, AND EXHIBIT A, VERSUS THEIR ARGUMENT THAT 4 IT'S PART AND PARCEL OF THE CONTRACT, AND THAT'S WHY 5 IT'S THERE. 10:21AM AND ULTIMATELY, THE JURY IS GOING TO 6 7 MAKE A DETERMINATION, IMPLIEDLY, IN DETERMINING WHETHER THERE WAS A BREACH OR NOT, ARE THEY NOT? 8 9 MR. SURPRENANT: I THINK THAT'S APPROPRIATE, 10 YOUR HONOR. 10:21AM 11 BUT AGAIN, WHAT WE'RE GETTING IS LEGAL 12 INTERPRETATION THAT WASN'T DISCLOSED. AND I WAS MADE 13 TO, WITH MY EXPERTS, WITH OUR EXPERTS. I THOUGHT I WAS 14 REALLY KEPT ON A TIGHT LEASH, AND PROFESSOR --15 THE COURT: I'M NOT SURE -- DON'T HANG YOUR 10:22AM 16 HEAD. I'M NOT SURE IT WAS THAT. 17 I'LL ALLOW HIM TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION, 18 BUT THEN WE'LL MOVE ON. 19 OKAY? 20 MR. WEINGART: THAT'S FINE, YOUR HONOR. 10:22AM 21 22 (RECESS TAKEN.) 23 24 25 26 27 2.8

1	CASE NUMBER:	BC 429385	
2	CASE NAME:	TCW VS. GUNDLACH	
3	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	SEPTEMBER 7, 2011	
4	DEPARTMENT 322	HON. CARL J. WEST, JUDGE	
5	APPEARANCES:	(AS NOTED ON TITLE PAGE.)	
6	REPORTER:	RAQUEL A. RODRIGUEZ, CSR	
7	TIME:	B SESSION; 10:35 A.M.	
8			
9		0	
10			10:36AM
11	(PROCEEDINGS HELD IN	THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.) +	
12			
13	THE COURT: MY	APOLOGIES FOR KEEPING YOU ALL	
14	WAITING.		
15	IN THE TC	W VERSUS GUNDLACH MATTER, ALL	10:41AM
16	MEMBERS OF THE JURY ARE	PRESENT, AS ARE COUNSEL.	
17	MR. WEING	ART, YOU MAY CONTINUE WITH YOUR	
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION.		
19	MR. WEINGART:	THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
20	I HAD ONE	QUESTION, SO I WAS GOING TO DO	10:42AM
21	IT FROM HERE, IF THAT'S	OKAY.	
22	THE COURT: ALL	RIGHT.	
23			
24	DIRECT EXAMI	NATION (CONTINUED) +	
25			10:42AM
26	BY MR. WEINGART:		
27	Q GOING BACK TO W	HERE WE BROKE.	
28	ASSUMING	THAT THE 2007 AGREEMENT	

1	CONTAINED A TERM PROVIDING FOR PAYMENT OF FEES ACCRUED	
2	AT TERMINATION, WOULD THAT CUSTOMARILY BE RECOGNIZED IN	
3	THE INCENTIVE COMPENSATION FIELD AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO	
4	VESTING, IN ADDRESSING ISSUES OF FRONT LOADING AND	
5	OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION?	10:42AM
6	A YES.	
7	MR. WEINGART: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR	
8	HONOR.	
9	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION, MR. SURPRENANT?	10:42AM
11	MR. SURPRENANT: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
12		
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION +	
14		
15	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	10:42AM
16	Q GOOD MORNING.	
17	A GOOD MORNING.	
18	Q YOU ARE AN EXPERT IN EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION?	
19	A YES.	
20	Q AND EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION INVOLVES AN	10:42AM
21	EMPLOYEE, PERHAPS HIGH-POSITION EMPLOYEE, AND HIS	
22	EMPLOYER REACHING AN AGREEMENT, CORRECT?	
23	A EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION IS SIMPLY THE WAY THAT	
24	WE ATTRACT, RETAIN, AND MOTIVATE THE EXECUTIVES. BUT,	
25	TYPICALLY, THERE ARE AGREEMENTS THAT ARE REACHED, YES.	10:43AM
26	Q AND IF THE JURY WERE TO DETERMINE THAT, IN	
27	2007, MR. GUNDLACH, TCW, DID NOT REACH AN ENFORCEABLE	
28	AGREEMENT, THE TESTIMONY YOU GAVE HERE TODAY WOULD BE	

1	IRRELEVANT?	
2	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. ARGUMENTATIVE.	
3	THE WITNESS: IS THAT A QUESTION?	
4	MR. SURPRENANT: YES.	
5	THE COURT: AND I'LL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION.	10:43AM
6	I DON'T THINK IT'S REALLY	
7	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
8	Q WHAT RELEVANCE, IF ANY, PROFESSOR MURPHY,	
9	WOULD YOUR TESTIMONY HAVE IF THE JURY DETERMINES THERE	
10	WAS NO CONTRACT ENTERED INTO IN 2007 BETWEEN	10:43AM
11	MR. GUNDLACH AND TCW?	
12	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. FORM.	
13	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
14	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
15	Q THE ACCRUED TO THE DATE OF TERMINATION, THAT'S	10:44AM
16	IN THE DRAFT CONTRACT THAT YOU TESTIFIED ABOUT, DO YOU	
17	RECALL WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT?	
18	A YES, I DO.	
19	Q THAT IS A CONTRACTUAL UNDERTAKING, YOU	
20	UNDERSTAND, CORRECT?	10:44AM
21	A I I'M NOT A LAWYER. I'M NOT SURE WHAT YOU	
22	MEAN BY THAT. THOSE ARE WORDS THAT WERE IN THE	
23	CONTRACT THAT I WAS SHOWN, YES.	
24	Q IF THE JURY DETERMINES THERE IS NO BINDING	
25	CONTRACT ENTERED INTO IN 2007, WHAT RELEVANCE, IF ANY,	10:44AM
26	WOULD YOUR TESTIMONY HAVE ON ACCRUED TO THE DATE OF	
27	TERMINATION?	
28	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. FORM.	

1	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
2	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
3	Q HAVE YOU EVER SEEN A SITUATION WHERE A	
4	PORTFOLIO MANAGER HAD RIGHTS TO ACCRUED BUT UNPAID	
5	COMPENSATION IN CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE THERE WAS NEITHER A	10:45A
6	CONTRACT NOR A VESTING AGREEMENT?	
7	A I THINK THE BEST WAY TO ANSWER THE QUESTION IS	
8	WE KNOW THAT CONTRACTS AREN'T ALWAYS WRITTEN.	
9	AND WE KNOW A LOT.	
LO	THE COURT: SIR, SIR, WE WANT YOU YOU'VE	10:45A
L1	GOT TO RESPOND TO THE QUESTION. AND NOT GIVE US	
L2	THAT'S PRETTY MUCH A YES OR NO.	
L3	HAVE YOU EVER SEEN WHAT HE'S DESCRIBED?	
L 4	THE WITNESS: HAVE I EVER SEEN AN UNWRITTEN	
L 5	CONTRACT THAT PROVIDED FOR THIS? NO. BECAUSE IT'S	10:45A
L 6	DIFFICULT TO SEE UNWRITTEN CONTRACTS.	
L7	MR. SURPRENANT: MOVE TO STRIKE, YOUR HONOR.	
L 8	THE COURT: I'LL STRIKE THE RESPONSE.	
L 9	REPHRASE THE QUESTION.	
20	MR. SURPRENANT: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	10:45A
21	Q WHETHER UNWRITTEN OR ORAL, HAVE YOU EVER, IN	
22	YOUR EXPERIENCE AS A COMPENSATION EXPERT, ENCOUNTERED A	
23	SITUATION WHERE A PORTFOLIO MANAGER HAD NEITHER A	
24	CONTRACT NOR A VESTING AGREEMENT WHERE THEY WERE PAID	
25	ACCRUED, BUT UNPAID INCENTIVE FEES?	10:46A1
26	A I CAN'T THINK OF A SPECIFIC EXAMPLE.	
27	Q YOU TESTIFIED TO MR. WEINGART THAT YOU HAD	

TESTIFIED AT CONGRESS ABOUT THE, QUOTE, WALL STREET

1	BONUS CULTURE, CORRECT?	
2	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
3	Q AND WHAT YOU TESTIFIED IS THAT YOU THOUGHT	
4	THAT THE BONUS WALL STREET BONUS CULTURE WAS	
5	APPROPRIATE, RIGHT?	10:46AM
6	A DEPENDING ON WHAT QUESTION YOU'RE ANSWERING,	
7	BUT, IN GENERAL, I'M NOT AN ENEMY OF THE WALL STREET	
8	BONUS CULTURE.	
9	Q YOU THOUGHT THE WALL STREET BONUS CULTURE	
10	ATTRACTED THE BEST AND THE BRIGHTEST, CORRECT?	10:46AM
11	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE, 352.	
12	THE COURT: I SUPPOSE	
13	MR. SURPRENANT: OPENED THE DOOR, YOUR HONOR.	
14	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
15	GO AHEAD.	10:47AM
16	THE WITNESS: YES.	
17	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
18	Q AND YOU THOUGHT THAT DESPITE THE CATACLYSMIC	
19	FINANCIAL MELTDOWN IN '07 AND '08, CORRECT?	
20	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE, 352.	10:47AM
21	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
22	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
23	Q NOW, YOU TALKED ABOUT THE NEED TO COMPENSATE	
24	MR. GUNDLACH FOR HIS SERVICES IN RAISING CAPITAL, AND	
25	CREATING A STRUCTURE AND CREATING FUNDS AND IN MANAGING	10:47AM
26	THE ASSETS.	
27	DO YOU RECALL THAT TESTIMONY TO	

28

MR. WEINGART?

1	A YES. MAYBE NOT IN THE DIRECT CONTEXT OF	
2	MR. GUNDLACH, BUT IN THE CONTEXT OF THE HYPOTHETICAL	
3	FUND MANAGER, ABSOLUTELY.	
4	Q OKAY. THANK YOU.	
5	NOW, MR. GUNDLACH AT TCW, HE DIDN'T	10:47AM
6	RAISE CAPITAL, DID HE? THAT IS THE MARKETING GROUP	
7	THAT RAISED CAPITAL, CORRECT?	
8	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION.	
9	THE WITNESS: AS I JUST TESTIFIED, MY	
10	TESTIMONY WAS IN RESPONSE TO A HYPOTHETICAL FUND	10:48AM
11	MANAGER'S LAUNCHING A NEW FUND.	
12	MR. SURPRENANT: THANK YOU, PROFESSOR MURPHY.	
13	THAT'S HELPFUL. LET ME PURSUE IT IN A DIFFERENT TACK.	
14	Q IF YOU ASSUME MR. GUNDLACH DID NOT RAISE	
15	CAPITAL AT TCW, BUT THE MARKETING GROUP DID, THEN	10:48AM
16	THAT'S A SERVICE THEY SHOULDN'T BE COMPENSATED FOR,	
17	CORRECT?	
18	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. MISSTATES THE	
19	RECORD.	
20	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	10:48AM
21	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
22	Q YOUR HONOR, IT WAS AN ASSUMPTION. LET ME	
23	PROCEED.	
24	THE COURT: IT SHOULD BE BASED ON OKAY.	
25	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	10:48AM
26	Q DO YOU KNOW AT TCW WHEN MR. GUNDLACH WAS	
27	THERE, HOW THEY RAISED CAPITAL?	

I -- NO, I DO NOT.

28

Α

1	Q DO YOU KNOW IF THE MARKETING GROUP RAISED	
2	CAPITAL AND NOT MR. GUNDLACH?	
3	A NO, I DO NOT.	
4	Q DO YOU KNOW IF THE LEGAL DEPARTMENT CREATED A	
5	STRUCTURE AND NOT MR. GUNDLACH?	10:48AM
6	A NO, I DO NOT.	
7	Q DO YOU KNOW IF TCW CREATED THE FUNDS AND NOT	
8	MR. GUNDLACH?	
9	A NO, I DO NOT.	
10	Q AND SO, IN TRYING TO APPLY YOUR HYPOTHETICAL,	10:49AM
11	IF MR. GUNDLACH DIDN'T DO ANY OF THOSE THINGS, THAT	
12	WOULD HAVE RELEVANCE TO THE AMOUNT OF COMPENSATION,	
13	CORRECT?	
14	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION, MISSTATES THE	
15	RECORD.	10:49AM
16	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
17	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
18	Q NOW, YOU SAID THE SPECIAL MORTGAGE CREDIT FUND	
19	HAD AN EIGHT-YEAR LIFE OR POTENTIAL EIGHT-YEAR LIFE.	
20	WHAT DID YOU MEAN BY THAT?	10:49AM
21	A I BELIEVE THAT WAS THE ANTICIPATED LIFE IN	
22	IN THE FUNDS WHEN THEY WERE PROMISING SOME LIQUIDATION	
23	FOR THE INVESTORS.	
24	MR. SURPRENANT: YOUR HONOR, MIGHT I APPROACH	
25	ONCE I FIND OUT WHAT THE NEXT EXHIBIT NUMBER IS?	10:49AM
26	2228. WE'VE DONE SOME HOUSEKEEPING.	
27	Q SO IT'S YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT THE SPECIAL	

CREDIT MORTGAGE CREDIT FUNDS HAD AN EIGHT-YEAR LIFE,

1	CORRECT?	
2	A YES, WITH POTENTIALLY AN OPTION TO EXTEND IT	
3	FURTHER.	
4	Q EVEN EXTEND IT FURTHER.	
5	WELL, THAT'S NOT A VERY FRONT-LOADED	10:50AM
6	ENTERPRISE, PROFESSOR MURPHY, IS IT?	
7	A I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU MEAN.	
8	Q YOU SAID THAT WHEN A MANAGER WHEN A FUND IS	
9	FRONT LOADED, THAT IT'S GOING TO CREATE INCENTIVE FEES	
10	THAT WON'T BE REALIZED UNTIL MUCH LATER, CORRECT?	10:50AM
11	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. MISSTATES THE	
12	TESTIMONY.	
13	THE COURT: YOU CAN EXPLAIN YOUR ANSWER, SIR,	
14	GO AHEAD.	
15	THE WITNESS: WHEN I SAID FRONT LOADED, I WAS	10:50AM
16	SAYING THAT THE EFFORTS INVOLVED IN SETTING UP THE	
17	FUNDS, RAISING THE CAPITAL, INVESTING THE CAPITAL, WAS	
18	FRONT LOADED.	
19	AND, LARGELY, THE STRATEGY WAS A	
20	BUY-AND-HOLD STRATEGY. SO, AFTER THOSE ACTIVITIES WERE	10:51AM
21	DONE, THE MAJOR ACTIVITIES ARE TO FIGURE OUT WHEN TO	
22	LIQUIDATE.	
23	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
24	Q WELL, IF MR. GUNDLACH LEAVES AT YEAR TWO, TCW	
25	HAS TO HIRE A REPLACEMENT MANAGER, CORRECT?	10:51AM
26	A TO DETERMINE WHEN TO LIQUIDATE THE	
27	INVESTMENTS, SINCE ALL THE INVESTMENTS HAD GENERALLY	

28

BEEN MADE.

1	Q THEY HAVE TO MANAGE THOSE INVESTMENTS AND MAKE	
2	LIQUIDATION DECISIONS OVER THE LIFE OF THE FUND,	
3	CORRECT?	
4	A YES.	
5	Q WHAT IS THE BASIS FOR YOUR TESTIMONY, JUST	10:51A
6	NOW, PROFESSOR MURPHY, THAT THE EFFORTS IN SETTING UP	
7	THE FUND WERE FRONT LOADED? IS THAT AN ASSUMPTION	
8	YOU'RE MAKING?	
9	A I I BELIEVE THAT WAS BASED ON THE CASE	
10	RECORDS AND THE FACT THAT THE INVESTMENT PERIODS FOR	10:52A
11	THE SMCF I AND II, I BELIEVE, WERE ALREADY COMPLETED OR	
12	NEARLY COMPLETE BY 2009.	
13	Q WHAT EFFORTS DID MR. GUNDLACH DO, AS OPPOSED	
14	TO EVERYONE ELSE, INCLUDING THE OTHER MEMBERS OF THE	
15	TEAM AND TCW? YOU DON'T HAVE ANY INFORMATION ON THAT,	10:52A
16	DO YOU?	
17	A I DON'T HAVE SPECIFIC INFORMATION ON THE	
18	DIFFERENT ALLOCATIONS OF EFFORTS BY DIFFERENT PEOPLE.	
19	Q WHAT IS LABOR MOBILITY?	
20	A LABOR MOBILITY WOULD BE A TERM THAT DESCRIBES	10:52A
21	THE ABILITY OF WORKERS TO MOVE FROM ONE JOB TO ANOTHER	
22	JOB, EITHER WITHIN THE SAME INDUSTRY OR ACROSS INDUSTRY	
23	SECTORS.	
24	Q AND YOU BELIEVE THAT ALL EMPLOYEES HAVE A	
25	GREAT INTEREST IN LABOR MOBILITY, THAT IS, HAVING THE	10:53A
26	MOBILITY TO MOVE FROM ONE EMPLOYER TO ANOTHER, CORRECT?	
27	A I I HAVE NO INTEREST IN IT MYSELF. I'M	
28	HAPPY WHERE I AM. BUT I BELIEVE THAT A LOT OF	

```
EMPLOYEES WOULD VALUE THE OPTION TO BE ABLE TO GO TO
 1
 2
    ALTERNATIVE EMPLOYERS IF THEY COULD.
 3
             MR. SURPRENANT: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ASK TO
 4
     PLAY MR. MURPHY'S -- PROFESSOR MURPHY'S DEPOSITION,
 5
    PAGE 56, LINES 1 THROUGH 10.
                                                                 10:53AM
 6
              THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?
 7
              MR. WEINGART: JUST ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.
 8
 9
                             (PAUSE) +
10
                                                                 10:54AM
11
             MR. WEINGART: IT'S NOT IMPEACHMENT, YOUR
12
    HONOR.
              THE COURT: DID ANYBODY GIVE ME THE
1.3
14
    DEPOSITION?
15
             MR. SURPRENANT: I BELIEVE SO, YOUR HONOR.
                                                                10:54AM
16
17
                             (PAUSE) +
18
19
              THE COURT: I'LL ALLOW IT. GO AHEAD.
2.0
                                                                 10:54AM
21
            (VIDEO DEPOSITION PLAYED OF MR. MURPHY.) +
22
23
    BY MR. SURPRENANT:
24
        O SO, PROFESSOR MURPHY, MR. GUNDLACH, LIKE
25
    ALMOST ALL EMPLOYEES, HAD A GREAT INTEREST IN HAVING
                                                                 10:55AM
26
    EMPLOYMENT MOBILITY, CORRECT?
2.7
        A I -- I COULDN'T POSSIBLY SPEAK FOR
28
    MR. GUNDLACH.
```

BUT I BELIEVE THAT ALMOST ALL EMPLOYEES,	
MAYBE WITH THE ONLY EXCEPTION OF ME, HE WOULD HAVE A	
GREAT INTEREST IN EMPLOYMENT MOBILITY.	
Q PERHAPS, PROFESSOR, YOU HAVE A VERY NICE JOB.	
NOW, IF MR. GUNDLACH HAD A FIVE-YEAR	10:56AM
TERM IN 2007, HE WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN ABLE TO GO TO	
WESTERN ASSET MANAGEMENT IN 2009 UNLESS HE NEGOTIATED A	
BRAND-NEW DEAL, CORRECT?	
MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. CALLS FOR LEGAL	
CONCLUSION.	10:56AM
THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
WELL, I'LL SUSTAIN IT. YOU'VE GOT TO GO	
TO THE CONTRACT. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S WHAT WE'RE	
DEALING WITH. HAVING HIM LOOK AT IT.	
BY MR. SURPRENANT:	10:56AM
Q IT IS YOUR OPINION, AS A COMPENSATION EXPERT,	
THAT IF MR. GUNDLACH HAD A FIVE-YEAR TERM, THAT WOULD	
HAVE COMMITTED HIM TO TCW UNTIL THE END OF 2011; HE	
WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN FREE TO JOIN WESTERN ASSET	
MANAGEMENT IN FEBRUARY OF 2009, CORRECT?	10:56AM
A I BELIEVE HE WOULD HAVE HAD TO NEGOTIATE A	
DEPARTURE.	
Q WITH TCW?	
A I BELIEVE THAT'S THE CASE, YES.	
Q AND HAVING, IN YOUR VIEW AS AN EMPLOYMENT	10:57AM
AS A COMPENSATION EXPERT, IF MR. GUNDLACH HAD A	
FIVE-YEAR TERM CONTRACT IN 2007, IT WOULD HAVE CLEARLY	
INCREASED THE COST TO MR. GUNDLACH OF MOVING TO ANOTHER	
	MAYBE WITH THE ONLY EXCEPTION OF ME, HE WOULD HAVE A GREAT INTEREST IN EMPLOYMENT MOBILITY. Q PERHAPS, PROFESSOR, YOU HAVE A VERY NICE JOB. NOW, IF MR. GUNDLACH HAD A FIVE-YEAR TERM IN 2007, HE WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN ABLE TO GO TO WESTERN ASSET MANAGEMENT IN 2009 UNLESS HE NEGOTIATED A BRAND-NEW DEAL, CORRECT? MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. CALLS FOR LEGAL CONCLUSION. THE COURT: OVERRULED. WELL, I'LL SUSTAIN IT. YOU'VE GOT TO GO TO THE CONTRACT. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S WHAT WE'RE DEALING WITH. HAVING HIM LOOK AT IT. BY MR. SURPRENANT: Q IT IS YOUR OPINION, AS A COMPENSATION EXPERT, THAT IF MR. GUNDLACH HAD A FIVE-YEAR TERM, THAT WOULD HAVE COMMITTED HIM TO TOW UNTIL THE END OF 2011; HE WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN FREE TO JOIN WESTERN ASSET MANAGEMENT IN FEBRUARY OF 2009, CORRECT? A I BELIEVE HE WOULD HAVE HAD TO NEGOTIATE A DEPARTURE. Q WITH TOW? A I BELIEVE THAT'S THE CASE, YES. Q AND HAVING, IN YOUR VIEW AS AN EMPLOYMENT AS A COMPENSATION EXPERT, IF MR. GUNDLACH HAD A FIVE-YEAR TERM CONTRACT IN 2007, IT WOULD HAVE CLEARLY

COMPANY, CORRECT? 1 2 A I -- I DON'T WANT TO SAY CLEARLY, BUT 3 POTENTIALLY CERTAINLY. 4 THAT'S WHAT YOU CALL OPPORTUNISTIC 5 RESIGNATION, CORRECT? -- WHAT DOES OPPORTUNISTIC 10:57AM 6 RESIGNATION MEAN? 7 A I SUPPOSE OPPORTUNISTIC RESIGNATION WOULD BE THE FLIP SIDE. THAT WOULD BE IF I PREPAID YOU FOR A 8 9 LOT OF SERVICES AND THEN YOU LEAVE BEFORE YOU RENDER 10 THOSE SERVICES, THAT WOULD BE AN OPPORTUNISTIC 10:57AM 11 RESIGNATION. 12 Q AND SO, AS A CONSEQUENCE, YOUR OPINION AS AN 1.3 INCENTIVE COMPENSATION EXPERT IS HAVING -- IF MR. GUNDLACH HAD A FIVE-YEAR TERM CONTRACT IN 2007, 14 15 THAT WOULD HAVE INCREASED THE COST TO HIM OF MOVING TO 10:58AM 16 ANOTHER COMPANY, CORRECT? 17 A PRESUMABLY SO, YES. 18 NOW, IN THE 2007 NEGOTIATIONS IT WAS YOUR 19 UNDERSTANDING AS AN INCENTIVE EXPERT THAT THE PARTIES 2.0 WANTED A NEW COMPREHENSIVE AGREEMENT THAT WOULD NOT BE 10:58AM 21 TIED BACK TO THE OLD AGREEMENT, CORRECT? 22 A I DON'T HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THE 23 PARTIES WANTED AT THE TIME. 24 O YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE DRAFT AGREEMENT WAS 25 THAT IT WAS -- IT REFLECTED A NEW COMPREHENSIVE 10:58AM 26 AGREEMENT THAT WOULDN'T BE TIED BACK TO THE OLD 2.7 AGREEMENT, CORRECT?

A TO THE EXTENT THEY WANTED EVERYTHING IN A

1	SINGLE DOO	CUMENT, THAT WAS THAT WAS MY INTERPRETATION	
2	AS AN ECON	NOMIST OF THE CONTRACT.	
3	Q 1	NOW, IN FORMING YOUR EXPERT OPINIONS, MR	
4	PROFESSOR	MURPHY, YOU SPENT A GOOD DEAL OF TIME GOING	
5	OVER THE I	PLEADINGS AND OPINIONS IN THE CASE?	10:59AM
6	Α Σ	YES, I BELIEVE SO.	
7	Q 3	YOU READ A BUNCH OF DEPOSITIONS, RIGHT?	
8	A 7	A BUNCH, YES.	
9	Q 3	YOU READ THE DEPOSITION OF MR. BEYER?	
10	Α Σ	YES.	10:59AM
11	Q N	MR. GUNDLACH?	
12	Α Σ	YES.	
13	Q N	MR. STERN?	
14	Α Σ	YES.	
15	Q N	MR. CAHILL?	10:59AM
16	Α Σ	YES.	
17	Q A	AND OTHERS, RIGHT?	
18	Α Σ	YES.	
19	Q A	AND YOU READ THE EXHIBITS THAT WERE MARKED FOR	
20	IDENTIFICA	ATION AT THOSE DEPOSITIONS, CORRECT?	10:59AM
21	A I	PERHAPS NOT ALL OF THEM. THERE'S THERE'S A	
22	LOT OF MAT	TERIAL IN THIS CASE.	
23		BUT I	
24	7	THE COURT: THAT'S AN UNDERSTATEMENT.	
25	BY MR. SUE	RPRENANT:	10:59AM
26	Q 7	THERE ARE 15 PEOPLE IN THIS ROOM WHO KNOW THAT	
27	BETTER THA	AN ANYBODY.	

AND YOU DID THAT WORK, PROFESSOR MURPHY,

SO THAT YOUR OPINIONS WOULD BE KIND OF GROUNDED IN THE 1 2 FACTUAL RECORD, RIGHT? 3 A I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT, YES. 4 IF WE COULD DISPLAY, MIKE, TRIAL EXHIBIT 66 5 WHICH MR. WEINGART PUT ON THE SCREEN. 11:00AM 6 THAT WAS ONE OF THE DOCUMENTS THAT YOU LOOKED AT IN PREPARING YOUR EXPERT REPORT, CORRECT? 7 YES, IT IS. 8 Α 9 AND YOUR UNDERSTANDING IS EXHIBIT 66 WAS THE 10 LAST DRAFT CONTRACT THAT WAS EXCHANGED BETWEEN THE 11:00AM 11 PARTIES, CORRECT? 12 A I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THIS IS THE LAST 1.3 RED-LINED ONE THAT I'VE SEEN, THE ONE FROM THE E-MAIL 14 WAS IN JUNE? LIKE JUNE 7TH? 15 Q YES, IF YOU COULD GO TO THE E-MAIL, MICHAEL. 11:00AM 16 BLOW IT UP. 17 I SHOWED YOU THIS AT YOUR DEPOSITION. 18 YES. MY UNDERSTANDING, THIS IS THE LAST 19 DOCUMENT THAT EXISTED. 20 O AND IN YOUR VIEW AS A COMPENSATION EXPERT, 11:00AM 21 TRIAL EXHIBIT 66, THE JUNE 7TH DRAFT, REPRESENTED A 22 MIDPOINT IN THE NEGOTIATIONS, CORRECT? 23 A YES. 24 AND YOU DON'T KNOW, AS A COMPENSATION EXPERT, 25 WHY NEGOTIATIONS BROKE DOWN AT THE MIDPOINT? 11:01AM 26 MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. MISSTATES THE 2.7 RECORD.

THE COURT: OVERRULED.

1	YOU CAN ANSWER THAT.	
2	THE WITNESS: I WOULDN'T HAVE CHARACTERIZED	
3	THAT THEY BROKE DOWN, AS OPPOSED TO DIED DOWN.	
4	MR. SURPRENANT: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ASK TO	
5	PLAY PROFESSOR MURPHY'S DEPOSITION, 232, LINE 24 TO	11:01AM
6	233, LINE 2.	
7	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	
8	MR. WEINGART: I'M SORRY. WHAT WAS THE FIRST	
9	LINE?	
10	THE COURT: 232, LINE 24 TO 233, LINE 2.	11:02AM
11		
12	(PAUSE) +	
13		
14	MR. WEINGART: NO OBJECTION.	
15	THE COURT: YOU MAY PROCEED.	11:02AM
16		
17	(VIDEO DEPOSITION PLAYED OF MR. MURPHY.) +	
18		
19	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
20	Q NOW, IN YOUR VIEW AS A COMPENSATION EXPERT,	11:02AM
21	THE REASON MR. GUNDLACH DID NOT SIGN THE JUNE 7TH DRAFT	
22	AGREEMENT WAS BECAUSE IT WASN'T FINISHED, CORRECT?	
23	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. FORM.	
24	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
25	DO YOU HAVE AN ANSWER TO THAT?	11:03AM
26	THE WITNESS: WELL, I I WOULD DEFINE ALMOST	
27	BY DEFINITION, SINCE I WOULD HAVE DEFINED FINISHED IS	
28	WHEN IT WAS SIGNED.	

```
BY MR. SURPRENANT:
 1
 2
        Q IT WASN'T FINISHED, CORRECT?
 3
            WELL, IT WASN'T SIGNED, SO IT WASN'T.
        A
 4
             FINISHED?
        Q
 5
        A YES. MY UNDERSTANDING, THE DRAFT HE SHOWED ME
                                                               11:03AM
 6
    WAS A -- YOU SHOWED ME WAS A MIDPOINT.
 7
       O AND THERE WERE PROBABLY PROVISIONS THAT
    MR. GUNDLACH WOULD HAVE ADDED THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN
 8
 9
    SUFFICIENTLY OBJECTIONABLE TO TCW THAT THEY WOULDN'T
10
    HAVE SIGNED IT.
                                                                11:03AM
                    THAT'S YOUR EXPERT OPINION AS A
11
12
    COMPENSATION EXPERT, CORRECT?
1.3
        A YES, IT WAS --
14
             MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. CALLS FOR
15
    SPECULATION.
                                                                11:03AM
16
              THE COURT: ARE WE STILL -- I'LL OVERRULE THE
17
    OBJECTION. IT SOUNDS LIKE WE'VE BEEN HERE.
18
              THE WITNESS: THE CONTRACT WAS NOT SIGNED BY
19
    EITHER PARTY.
20
             MR. SURPRENANT: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ASK TO
                                                               11:04AM
21
    PLAY PROFESSOR MURPHY'S DEPOSITION, PAGE 66, LINES 2
22
    THROUGH 8.
23
              THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?
24
             MR. WEINGART: NO, YOUR HONOR.
25
              THE COURT: YOU MAY PROCEED.
                                                                11:04AM
26
2.7
           (VIDEO DEPOSITION PLAYED OF MR. MURPHY.) +
28
    ///
```

BY MR. SURPRENANT: 1 2 NOW, THE REASON IT WASN'T SIGNED WAS BECAUSE 3 IT WASN'T FINISHED, RIGHT, IN YOUR UNDERSTANDING AS A COMPENSATION EXPERT? 5 MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. IMPROPER OPINION. 11:05AM 6 BEYOND THE SCOPE. 7 THE COURT: I'LL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION. BY MR. SURPRENANT: 8 9 NOW, PROFESSOR MURPHY, NEGOTIATIONS ARE GIVE 10 AND TAKE, CORRECT? 11:05AM 11 YES, BY THEIR NATURE. Α 12 AND SO SOMETIMES YOU HAVE TO GIVE UP SOMETHING 1.3 THAT YOU WANT, THAT YOU WANT, TO GET SOMETHING THAT YOU 14 CAN GET THAT'S ACHIEVABLE, CORRECT? 15 A THAT'S CORRECT. 11:05AM 16 SO, EVEN AFTER THE PARTIES REACH AGREEMENT ON 17 SOME TERMS, UNLESS THEY REACH AGREEMENT ON ALL TERMS, 18 EVEN THOSE AGREEMENTS -- EVEN THOSE TERMS THEY REACHED 19 AGREEMENT ON MAY CHANGE BEFORE THEY GET TO THE FINISH 2.0 LINE, CORRECT? 11:05AM 21 MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. BEYOND THE SCOPE. 22 IMPROPER OPINION. 23 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 24 BY MR. SURPRENANT: 25 YOU HONESTLY DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY MR. GUNDLACH 11:06AM 26 DIDN'T SIGN THE CONTRACT, CORRECT? 2.7 MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. BEYOND THE SCOPE. 28 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
Q NOW, YOU TALKED ABOUT OPPORTUNISTIC	
TERMINATION.	
IF MR. GUNDLACH THOUGHT THE FUNDS WOULD	
FOLLOW HIM WHEN HE LEFT TCW, OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION	11:06AM
WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN A PROBLEM, WOULD IT?	
MR. WEINGART: INCOMPLETE HYPOTHETICAL.	
THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
Q ASSUME WITH ME THAT MR. GUNDLACH BELIEVED THAT	11:06AM
IF HE LEFT HE COULD TAKE ALL THE SPECIAL CREDIT	
MORTGAGE FUNDS WITH HIM.	
THAT WOULD OBVIATE ANY CONCERNS	
OBVIATES A FANCY WAY TO DO AWAY WITH ANY CONCERNS	
ABOUT OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION, CORRECT?	11:06AM
MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. ASSUMPTIONS THAT	
THAT'S THE EVIDENCE	
THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
Q WHAT CONCERNS, IF ANY, WOULD MR. GUNDLACH HAVE	11:07AM
HAD ABOUT OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION IF HE THOUGHT THE	
SPECIAL MORTGAGE CREDIT FUNDS WOULD FOLLOW HIM?	
MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. INCOMPLETE	
HYPOTHETICAL.	
THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	11:07AM
BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
Q NOW, VESTING IS ONE WAY TO PROTECT CARRIED	
INTEREST, CORRECT?	
	Q NOW, YOU TALKED ABOUT OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION. IF MR. GUNDLACH THOUGHT THE FUNDS WOULD FOLLOW HIM WHEN HE LEFT TCW, OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN A PROBLEM, WOULD IT? MR. WEINGART: INCOMPLETE HYPOTHETICAL. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. SURPRENANT: Q ASSUME WITH ME THAT MR. GUNDLACH BELIEVED THAT IF HE LEFT HE COULD TAKE ALL THE SPECIAL CREDIT MORTGAGE FUNDS WITH HIM. THAT WOULD OBVIATE ANY CONCERNS OBVIATES A FANCY WAY TO DO AWAY WITH ANY CONCERNS ABOUT OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION, CORRECT? MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. ASSUMPTIONS THAT THAT'S THE EVIDENCE THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. SURPRENANT: Q WHAT CONCERNS, IF ANY, WOULD MR. GUNDLACH HAVE HAD ABOUT OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION IF HE THOUGHT THE SPECIAL MORTGAGE CREDIT FUNDS WOULD FOLLOW HIM? MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. INCOMPLETE HYPOTHETICAL. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. BY MR. SURPRENANT: Q NOW, VESTING IS ONE WAY TO PROTECT CARRIED

1	A YES.	
2	Q (READING):	
3	COMPANIES PROTECT EXECUTIVES	
4	THROUGH VESTING OF INCENTIVE	
5	AGREEMENTS, ENSURING THAT	11:07AM
6	EXECUTIVES WILL BE REWARDED FOR	
7	THEIR CURRENT SERVICES EVEN IF THEY	
8	ARE TERMINATED.	
9	THAT'S YOUR EXPERT OPINION, CORRECT?	
10	A YES, IT IS.	11:07AM
11	Q IF YOU COULD LOOK AT YOUR REPORT. IT'S IN	
12	YOUR WITNESS BOOK AT PAGE 35. IT'S 6019, TX 6019 IN	
13	YOUR WITNESS BOOK.	
14	MR. WEINGART: YOUR HONOR, MAY I INQUIRE OF	
15	COUNSEL?	11:08AM
16	THE COURT: YES.	
17	MR. SURPRENANT: ALWAYS HAPPY TO TALK TO	
18	MR. WEINGART.	
19		
20	(COUNSEL CONFER SOTTO VOCE.) +	11:08AM
21		
22	MR. SURPRENANT: IT'S THE TEXT PAGE. PAGE 35	
23	OF THE REPORT ON THE BOTTOM. THE PARAGRAPH YOU SHOULD	
24	BE AT IS: ONE WAY.	
25	Q CORRECT?	11:08AM
26	A YES.	
27	Q IT'S YOUR VIEW THAT ONE WAY TO PROTECT	
28	PORTFOLIO MANAGERS FROM OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION IS	

1	THROUGH	VESTING PROVISIONS THAT ENTITLE MANAGERS TO A	
2	SHARE O	F THE FUTURE CARRIED INTEREST EVEN IF THEY LEAVE	
3	THE FIRM	м.	
4		CORRECT?	
5	А	YES.	11:08AM
6	Q	THEN YOU REPORT THAT THE:	
7		2010 ALTERNATIVE ASSET	
8		MANAGEMENT COMPENSATION SURVEY,	
9		WHICH YOU DEFINED AS THE HOLT	
10		SURVEY, REPORTS THAT 78 PERCENT OF	11:08AM
11		THE SURVEYED FIRMS PROVIDE CARRIED	
12		INTEREST INCENTIVES FOR NON-PARTNER	
13		INVESTMENT PROFESSIONALS, E.G.,	
14		PORTFOLIO MANAGERS.	
15		THAT'S WHAT YOU REPORT IN YOUR EXPERT	11:09AM
16	REPORT,	CORRECT?	
17	А	THAT'S CORRECT.	
18	Q	THEN YOU CONTINUE:	
19		VESTING SCHEDULES ARE USED	
20		IN 87 PERCENT OF THE SURVEYED	11:09AM
21		FIRMS.	
22		CORRECT?	
23	А	YES.	
24	Q	FINALLY, YOU REPORT:	
25		THE HOLT SURVEY EMPHASIZES THAT,	11:09AM
26		QUOTING VESTING OF CARRIED INTEREST	
27		PROTECTS AN EMPLOYEE IN THE EVENT	
28		OF TERMINATION.	
	Ī		Ī

1	YOU REPORTED THAT IN YOUR EXPERT REPORT,	
2	CORRECT?	
3	A YES, I DID.	
4	Q NOW, MR. GUNDLACH, HE DIDN'T HAVE A VESTING	
5	AGREEMENT IN 1998 WITH TCW, CORRECT?	11:09AM
6	A NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.	
7	Q HE DIDN'T HAVE A VESTING AGREEMENT IN 2003,	
8	CORRECT?	
9	A NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.	
10	Q HE DIDN'T HAVE A VESTING AGREEMENT IN 2007?	11:09AM
11	A NOT NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE. ALTHOUGH I	
12	SUPPOSE THIS IS	
13	Q I'M SORRY?	
14	A MAYBE THIS IS A LEGAL OPINION IN TERMS OF	
15	2007, BUT NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.	11:10AM
16	Q NOW, MR. GUNDLACH HAD A NUMBER OF PROTECTIONS	
17	AGAINST OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION, EVEN IF HE DIDN'T	
18	HAVE A CONTRACT, CORRECT?	
19	A YES, I SUPPOSE SO.	
20	Q WELL, IT'S YOUR OPINION THAT ONE OF THE	11:10AM
21	PROTECTIONS HE HAD IS THAT TCW WOULD HAVE A CONCERN	
22	ABOUT DAMAGING ITS REPUTATION IN THE INVESTMENT	
23	COMMUNITY IF IT ENGAGED IN OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION,	
24	CORRECT?	
25	A I BELIEVE I TESTIFIED TO DAMAGING ITS	11:10AM
26	REPUTATION WITH ITS EXISTING EMPLOYEE BASE.	
27	I DON'T RECALL TESTIFYING ON THE	
28	INVESTMENT COMMUNITY AS A WHOLE.	

1	Q IF YOU COULD TURN TO PAGE 32 OF YOUR REPORT,	
2	THE SECOND FULL PARAGRAPH. SECOND SENTENCE.	
3	THE COURT: ARE WE LOOKING AT THE REPORT PAGE	
4	NUMBER?	
5	MR. SURPRENANT: THE REPORT PAGE NUMBER, YOUR	11:11AM
6	HONOR.	
7	THE COURT: 32.	
8	MR. SURPRENANT: THE SECOND PARAGRAPH, FIRST	
9	SENTENCE, YOU SAY WELL, YOU SAY:	
10	COMPANIES PROTECT EXECUTIVES FROM	11:11AM
11	OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION IN	
12	SEVERAL WAYS. FIRST, EXECUTIVES	
13	ARE PROTECTED BY THE COMPANY'S	
14	CONCERN ABOUT ITS OWN REPUTATION.	
15	Q IS THAT YOUR EXPERT OPINION?	11:11AM
16	A YES, IT IS.	
17	Q NOW, ANOTHER THING THAT COULD HAPPEN FOLLOWING	
18	UP YOUR THE ANSWER BEFORE THE EARLIER ONE, IS IF	
19	TCW IF ASSET MANAGEMENT COMPANY WAS TO ENGAGE IN	
20	OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION, THAT COULD TRIGGER MASS	11:11AM
21	DEFECTIONS BY ITS EMPLOYEES WHO WERE UNHAPPY WITH ITS	
22	OPPORTUNISTIC TERMINATION, CORRECT?	
23	A I'M NOT SURE UNHAPPY WOULD BE THE RIGHT WORD.	
24	BUT WHO, IF THOSE EMPLOYEES ALSO THEN	
25	FEARED ABOUT THEIR OWN FUTURE WITH THE COMPANY THAT	11:12AM
26	THAT COULD INDEED TRIGGER MASS DEFECTIONS.	
27	Q AND KEY MAN PROVISIONS ARE ANOTHER WAY THAT AN	

EMPLOYEE CAN PROTECT HIMSELF FROM OPPORTUNISTIC

1	TERMINATION, CORRECT?	
2	A WELL, MY UNDERSTANDING OF A KEY MAN PROVISION	
3	IS IT WOULD MAKE IT MORE EXPENSIVE FOR THE COMPANY TO	
4	TERMINATE AN EMPLOYEE.	
5	Q YES. SO, IN YOUR VIEW AS A COMPENSATION	11:12AM
6	EXPERT, IT IS A PROTECTION IN THE SENSE THAT THE KEY	
7	MAN PROTECTION WILL INCREASE THE COST TO THE COMPANY OF	
8	TERMINATING A PORTFOLIO MANAGER, CORRECT?	
9	A THAT'S CORRECT. BUT IT'S NOT LIKE A SEVERANCE	
10	PAYMENT OR SOMETHING THAT WOULD FLOW TO THE MANAGER	11:13AM
11	HIMSELF.	
12	Q AND THEN ANOTHER WAY THAT A PORTFOLIO MANAGER,	
13	SOMEONE IN MR. GUNDLACH'S POSITION, COULD PROTECT	
14	HIMSELF WOULD BE WITH AN EXPLICIT SEVERANCE AGREEMENT,	
15	CORRECT?	11:13AM
16	A YES.	
17	Q AND MR. GUNDLACH DIDN'T HAVE THAT, EITHER,	
18	CORRECT?	
19	A NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.	
20	MR.WEINGART: OBJECTION. ASSUMES FACTS NOT IN	11:13AM
21	EVIDENCE.	
22	THE COURT: I'LL OVERRULE IT.	
23	AND I'LL ALLOW THE ANSWER.	
24	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
25	Q YOUR ANSWER?	11:13AM
26	A IT WAS NOT, TO MY KNOWLEDGE.	
27	Q NOW, MR. GUNDLACH'S THE COMPENSATION TERMS	

THAT WERE REACHED BY THE PARTIES IN MAY, JUNE OF OH,

SEVEN, THAT GAVE MR. GUNDLACH AN OWNERSHIP MENTALITY IN 1 2 YOUR VIEW, CORRECT? MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. BEYOND THE SCOPE. 3 4 MR. SURPRENANT: TALKING ABOUT COMPENSATION 5 TERMS, YOUR HONOR. 11:14AM 6 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 7 THE WITNESS: YES, I BELIEVE IT DID. BY MR. SURPRENANT: 8 9 AND OWNERS HAVE TO PAY EMPLOYEES, CORRECT? 10 A I -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU MEAN BY HAVE TO PAY 11:14AM 11 EMPLOYEES. 12 BUT AN OWNER -- PART OF BEING AN OWNER 1.3 WOULD HAVE -- WOULD BE THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR 14 ATTRACTING, RETAINING, AND MOTIVATING YOUR OWN WORK 15 FORCE. 11:14AM 16 0 WELL, LET ME JUST PAUSE. 17 IT'S YOUR VIEW, AS AN INCENTIVE EXPERT, 18 THAT OWNERS HAVE TO PAY THEIR EMPLOYEES FAIRLY OR THEY 19 WOULD POTENTIALLY LOSE THEIR EMPLOYEES, CORRECT? 20 THAT'S AN IMPORTANT QUALIFICATION. THAT 11:14AM 21 THEY -- OWNERS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE -- FOR THE 22 ENTIRE ENTERPRISE, WHICH MEANS IF THEY WANT THE 23 EMPLOYEES, THEY HAVE TO PAY THEM. AND THEY NEED TO 24 ATTRACT, RETAIN, AND MOTIVATE. 25 AND IN A WORLD WHERE MR. GUNDLACH WOULD HAVE 11:14AM 26 STAYED AT TCW, IF HE WOULDN'T HAVE PAID THEM THE 2.7 MULTI-STRATEGY FIXED INCOME PROFIT SHARING BONUSES, 28 THAT WOULD HAVE TRIGGERED MASS DEFECTIONS, IN YOUR

1	VIEW, CORRECT?	
2	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. BEYOND THE SCOPE.	
3	THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW WHERE WE'RE GOING	
4	WITH THIS. IT DOES SEEM A LITTLE AFIELD WITH WHERE WE	
5	STARTED WITH MR. MURPHY.	11:15AM
6	MR. SURPRENANT: YOUR HONOR, I'LL MOVE ON.	
7	THE COURT: OKAY.	
8	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
9	Q IN YOUR VIEW, WITHOUT QUESTION, MR. GUNDLACH'S	
10	TEAM WAS IMPORTANT TO MR. GUNDLACH'S SUCCESS, CORRECT?	11:15AM
11	A YES, I BELIEVE THAT TO BE TRUE.	
12	Q BUT BUT YOU CAN'T SPEAK TO THE ROLE THAT	
13	TCW'S NURTURING AND SUPPORT OF MR. GUNDLACH FOR OVER 25	
14	YEARS PLAYED IN HIS SUCCESS, CAN YOU?	
15	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. BEYOND THE SCOPE.	11:15AM
16	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
17	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
18	Q EXHIBIT A TO TX 66. COULD WE HAVE THAT ON THE	
19	SCREEN.	
20	NOW, IT'S YOUR VIEW AS A COMPENSATION	11:16AM
21	EXPERT THAT EXHIBIT A TO TRIAL EXHIBIT 66 SPECIFIES	
22	WHAT CONDITIONS ARE NECESSARY FOR TCW TO HAVE TO PAY	
23	MONEY INTO THE PROFIT SHARING POOL, CORRECT?	
24	A I THINK EXHIBIT A IS THE BONUS FORMULA, IF	
25	YOU WILL.	11:16AM
26	EXHIBIT A TELLS WHEN, ON AN ONGOING	
27	BASIS, THE COMPANY WILL CONTRIBUTE MONEY TO THE POOL,	
0.0		

WHICH THEN CAN BE DISTRIBUTED TO THE PARTICIPANTS OF

1	THE POOL.	
2	Q SO LET ME RESTATE MY QUESTION. I THINK WE'RE	
3	CLOSE.	
4	IS IN YOUR VIEW, EXHIBIT A SPECIFIES	
5	WHAT CONDITIONS ARE NECESSARY FOR TCW TO HAVE TO PAY	11:17AM
6	MONEY INTO THE PROFIT SHARING POOL, CORRECT?	
7	A ON AN ONGOING BASIS FOR THE QUARTERLY PROFIT	
8	SHARING POOL, THAT WOULD BE CORRECT.	
9	Q SO TCW IS UNDER NO OBLIGATION TO PAY MONEY	
10	INTO THE PROFIT SHARING POOL UNTIL THE CONDITIONS SET	11:17AM
11	FORTH IN EXHIBIT A ARE MET, CORRECT?	
12	A THIS IS THIS IS TO THE EXCLUSION OF THE	
13	LANGUAGE ON COMPENSATION ACCRUED TO TERMINATION, I	
14	BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT.	
15	Q WHAT YOU BELIEVE HAD TO BE CORRECT IS THAT TCW	11:17AM
16	IS UNDER NO OBLIGATION TO PAY MONEY INTO THE PROFIT	
17	SHARING POOL UNTIL THE FEES ARE ACCRUED AND PAID,	
18	CORRECT?	
19	MR. WEINGART: MISSTATES THE TESTIMONY.	
20	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	11:18AM
21	MR. SURPRENANT: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE TO	
22	PLAY, THEN, I'D LIKE TO PLAY MR. MURPHY'S DEPOSITION,	
23	PAGE 202, LINES 6 TO 18.	
24	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	
25	MR. WEINGART: SORRY, YOUR HONOR. GIVE ME ONE	11:18AM
26	MOMENT.	
27		

(PAUSE) +

1	MR. WEINGART: YES, YOUR HONOR.	
2	MAY WE APPROACH?	
3	THE COURT: NO. I'LL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION.	
4	GO AHEAD.	
5	MR. SURPRENANT: YOUR HONOR SUSTAINED THE	11:18AM
6	OBJECTION?	
7	THE COURT: I'M SUSTAINING THE OBJECTION IN	
8	LIEU OF HAVING YOU COME UP TO TALK TO ME.	
9	AND YOU CAN ASK HIM ANOTHER QUESTION.	
10	MR. SURPRENANT: THOSE ENCOUNTERS ARE NOT SO	11:19AM
11	FUN, YOUR HONOR.	
12	THE COURT: OKAY.	
13	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
14	Q LET'S TRY TO TIE THIS UP, PROFESSOR MURPHY.	
15	TCW IS OBLIGATED TO PAY FUNDS INTO THE	11:19AM
16	PROFIT SHARING POOL WHEN THE CONDITIONS SET FORTH IN	
17	EXHIBIT A ARE MET, CORRECT?	
18	MR. WEINGART: INCOMPLETE HYPOTHETICAL.	
19	THE COURT: IT'S BEEN ASKED AND ANSWERED. HE	
20	JUST ANSWERED IT. AND HE CONDITIONED HIS ANSWER. THAT	11:19AM
21	WAS THE SAME QUESTION.	
22	MR. SURPRENANT: BUT, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S WHY I	
23	WANT TO IMPEACH HIM.	
24	MR. WEINGART: YOUR HONOR	
25	THE COURT: GO AHEAD. KEEP GOING,	11:19AM
26	MR. SURPRENANT.	
27	MR. SURPRENANT: GO AHEAD AND PLAY OR	
28	THE COURT: NO. GO AHEAD AND KEEP ASKING	

1 QUESTIONS. 2 MR. SURPRENANT: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I PUT 3 A POSITIVE SPIN ON IT. AS MY CHILDREN DO. 4 LET'S GO TO PARAGRAPH 6 OF TX 66. IF WE 5 CAN BLOW UP THE LAST PARAGRAPH, THAT'S THE PARAGRAPH 11:20AM MR. WEINGART SHOWED YOU. BLOW IT UP. 6 7 NOW, DO YOU SEE WHERE PROFIT SHARING IS CAPITALIZED, CAPITAL P, CAPITAL S? 8 9 A YES, I DO. AND YOU KNOW, BECAUSE YOU SPENT A LOT OF TIME 10 11:20AM IN COMPENSATION AGREEMENTS, THAT MEANS IT'S A DEFINED 11 12 TERM? 1.3 A YES, IT IS. O AND SO THAT MEANS THAT IF WE WANT TO FIGURE 14 15 OUT WHAT PROFIT SHARING IS, SO WHAT LAWYERS DO IS THEY 11:20AM 16 CAPITALIZE IT, SO YOU KNOW I HAVE TO LOOK SOMEWHERE 17 ELSE IN THIS CONTRACT FOR A DEFINITION, CORRECT? 18 A YES, BUT WITH PROVISIONAL -- THIS CONDITION 19 ALSO MAKES ME HAVE TO UNDERSTAND WHAT ACCRUED PROFIT 2.0 SHARING IS. 11:20AM 21 MR. SURPRENANT: MOVE TO STRIKE EVERYTHING 22 AFTER YES. MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. YOUR HONOR, IT'S 23 24 RESPONSIVE. 25 THE COURT: I'LL ALLOW THE ANSWER TO STAND. 11:21AM 26 GO AHEAD. 2.7 BY MR. SURPRENANT:

Q IF WE COULD GO TO TX 663. TOP PARAGRAPH.

THAT IS WHERE WE FIND THE DEFINITION FOR	
PROFIT SHARING, CORRECT?	
A YES.	
Q AND IT SAYS:	
DURING THE TERM YOU WILL RECEIVE	11:21AM
THE RESIDUAL AMOUNTS OF THE	
MULTI-SECTOR FIXED INCOME PROFIT	
SHARING POOL AS DEFINED IN	
EXHIBIT A TO THIS AGREEMENT.	
RIGHT?	11:21AM
A THAT'S CORRECT.	
Q SO NOW WE HAVE TO GO TO EXHIBIT A, CORRECT?	
A YES.	
Q NOW, TCW MADE CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE PROFIT	
SHARING POOL WHEN THOSE FEES WERE PAID TO TCW, CORRECT?	11:22AM
MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. ASKED AND ANSWERED.	
CUMULATIVE.	
THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
MR. SURPRENANT: IF WE COULD GO TO THE TX 11,	
SO WHEN WE GO TO THE LANGUAGE	11:22AM
THE COURT: WAIT, ARE WE LOOKING AT PAGE 11 OF	
EXHIBIT 66 OR A NEW EXHIBIT?	
MR. SURPRENANT: YES, YOUR HONOR, TX 66-11.	
THE COURT: OKAY.	
BY MR. SURPRENANT:	11:22AM
Q SO WHEN WE FOLLOW THE DEFINED TERM BACK TO	
EXHIBIT A AND WE BLOW UP FEES, WE SEE THAT THE	
OBLIGATION IS TO:	
	PROFIT SHARING, CORRECT? A YES. Q AND IT SAYS: DURING THE TERM YOU WILL RECEIVE THE RESIDUAL AMOUNTS OF THE MULTI-SECTOR FIXED INCOME PROFIT SHARING POOL AS DEFINED IN EXHIBIT A TO THIS AGREEMENT. RIGHT? A THAT'S CORRECT. Q SO NOW WE HAVE TO GO TO EXHIBIT A, CORRECT? A YES. Q NOW, TCW MADE CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE PROFIT SHARING POOL WHEN THOSE FEES WERE PAID TO TCW, CORRECT? MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. ASKED AND ANSWERED. CUMULATIVE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. MR. SURPRENANT: IF WE COULD GO TO THE TX 11, SO WHEN WE GO TO THE LANGUAGE THE COURT: WAIT, ARE WE LOOKING AT PAGE 11 OF EXHIBIT 66 OR A NEW EXHIBIT? MR. SURPRENANT: YES, YOUR HONOR, TX 66-11. THE COURT: OKAY. BY MR. SURPRENANT: Q SO WHEN WE FOLLOW THE DEFINED TERM BACK TO EXHIBIT A AND WE BLOW UP FEES, WE SEE THAT THE

1	FEES MEANS MANAGEMENT-RELATED	
2	FEES EARNED BY TCW FOR SUCH A	
3	QUARTER ON AN ACCRUAL BASIS WITH	
4	RESPECT TO AN ACCOUNT AND THAT ARE	
5	PAID TO TCW.	11:23AM
6	CORRECT?	
7	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. CUMULATIVE.	
8	THE COURT: GO AHEAD.	
9	YOU CAN ANSWER THE QUESTION.	
10	THE WITNESS: THIS IS THIS RELATES HERE TO	11:23AM
11	THE MANAGEMENT FEES, NOT TO THE CARRIED INTEREST OR	
12	PERFORMANCE FEES.	
13	AND IT DOES SAY IT WANTS THE FEES TO	
14	BE THAT ARE PAID TO TCW.	
15	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	11:23AM
16	Q THEN LET'S LOOK FURTHER DOWN THE PAGE.	
17	YOU SEE THE FEES THAT I JUST I JUST	
18	REMEMBERED.	
19	THE COURT: THAT'S PRETTY GOOD,	
20	MR. SURPRENANT. WITH AN ASSIST.	11:23AM
21	MR. BRIAN: I THINK HE GOT IMPEACHED.	
22	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
23	Q FEES MEANS IT INCLUDES INCENTIVE AND	
24	PERFORMANCE FEES, CORRECT, NOT JUST MANAGEMENT FEES?	
25	A THAT'S TRUE. BUT IN THE CONTEXT WE'RE TALKING	11:23AM
26	ABOUT, WE HAVE INCENTIVE FEES AND WE HAVE CARRIED	
27	INTEREST. AND THE LAST LINE, IT SAYS: FEES WILL NOT	
28	INCLUDE.	

1	IT GOES ON TO TALK ABOUT THE PERFORMANCE	
2	FEES AND THE SMCF	
3	Q RIGHT.	
4	THEN WE HAVE TO GO FURTHER DOWN THE PAGE	
5	TO FIGURE OUT HOW THE SPECIAL MORTGAGE CREDIT,	11:24AM
6	INCENTIVE CREDIT INTEREST FEES ARE TREATED, CORRECT?	
7	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
8	Q THEY HAVE TO BE PAYABLE TO TCW AND PAID TO	
9	TCW, CORRECT?	
10	A NO. I DON'T BELIEVE IT SAYS THAT. I THINK IT	11:24AM
11	JUST SAYS THEY HAVE TO BE PAID TO TCW.	
12	Q WELL, IF WE COULD GO, MIKE, TO THE SPECIAL	
13	CREDIT MORTGAGE PERFORMANCE FEE BULLET. IF YOU BLOW IT	
14	UP.	
15	IT SAYS WHAT I JUST SAID:	11:24AM
16	IT HAS TO BE PAYABLE WITH	
17	RESPECT TO A SPECIAL MORTGAGE	
18	CREDIT ACCOUNT. AND, THAT ARE PAID	
19	TO TCW.	
20	CORRECT?	11:24AM
21	A I JUST STAND CORRECTED. I WAS FOCUSING ON THE	
22	PAID TO TCW PHRASE.	
23	Q I'LL GIVE YOU AN A -	
24	A THANK YOU. AS LONG AS THERE'S NO MATH ON THE	
25	TEST.	11:25AM
26	Q NOW, ACCRUALS REQUIRED BY GAAP, GENERALLY	
27	ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES, CORRECT?	
28	A YES.	

1	Q AND THE CARRIED INTEREST, WHAT GAAP REQUIRES	
2	ARE THOSE TO BE BOOKED AND REBOOKED EACH MONTH,	
3	CORRECT?	
4	A YES, TO BE BOOKED ON A CONTINUAL BASIS BASED	
5	ON THE ONGOING WELL, THE ONGOING AMOUNTS.	11:25A
6	Q AND BECAUSE THEY'RE BOOKED ON A MONTH-TO-MONTH	
7	ACCOUNT, THEY CAN GO UP IF THE MARKET IMPROVES AND THEY	
8	CAN GO DOWN IN THE MARKET CRASHES, CORRECT?	
9	A YES, THAT WOULD BE TRUE.	
LO	Q AND CAN YOU NAME A SINGLE PERSON AT TCW THAT	11:25A
L1	WAS EVER PAID INCENTIVE FEES OR CARRIED FEES BEFORE TCW	
L2	RECEIVED THE FEES THEMSELVES IN THE ABSENCE OF A	
L3	VESTING AGREEMENT?	
L 4	A TCW IS VERY PRIVATE ABOUT ITS COMPENSATION	
L5	POLICIES.	11:26A
L 6	I HAVE THE ONLY INFORMATION I'VE	
L7	RECEIVED ON TCW'S COMPENSATION POLICIES IS WHAT I'VE	
L 8	SEEN IN THE CONTEXT OF THIS CASE, WHICH ARE FROM	
L 9	MR. GUNDLACH AND HIS TEAM AND THE ONE CONTRACT I WAS	
20	SHOWN AT DEPOSITION.	11:26A
21	Q WELL, CAN YOU NAME A SINGLE ASSET MANAGER IN	
22	CALIFORNIA WHO WAS EVER PAID CARRIED INTEREST OR	
23	INCENTIVE FEES BEFORE IT WAS PAID TO HIS OR HER	
24	EMPLOYER IN THE ABSENCE OF A VESTING AGREEMENT?	
25	A I I'M NOT SURE WHAT A VESTING AGREEMENT	11:26A
26	WOULD HAVE TO DO WITH WITH YOUR QUESTION.	
27	Q LET ME TAKE IT OUT OF THE QUESTION.	

THE COURT: LET HIM FINISH HIS ANSWER.

1	ARE YOU GOING TO BE ABLE TO ANSWER THE	
2	QUESTION, OR IS THAT QUESTION THE BEST YOU CAN DO IN	
3	RESPONSE TO WHAT HE ASKED YOU	
4	WHATEVER YOU'RE GOING TO DO, WE WANT TO	
5	GET IT DONE.	11:26AM
6	MR. SURPRENANT: THAT WAS MY FAULT, YOUR	
7	HONOR.	
8	THE WITNESS: I'VE SEEN THE DEPOSITION	
9	TESTIMONY REFERENCES TO BONUS PAID ON A PRO RATA BASIS	
10	UPON TERMINATION, WHICH IS A VERY CLOSE COUSIN TO	11:27AM
11	ACCRUED TO TERMINATION.	
12	BUT I DIDN'T KNOW IN THAT CONTEXT, THAT	
13	WAS ACTUALLY MR. BEYER'S I DIDN'T KNOW IN THAT	
14	CONTEXT WHAT HIS BONUS CONTRACT WAS BASED UPON.	
15	WHETHER IT WAS	11:27AM
16	MR. SURPRENANT: MOVE TO STRIKE.	
17	THE COURT: HE'S RESPONDING TO YOUR QUESTION.	
18	HE'S JUST RESPONDING TO YOUR QUESTION.	
19	I'LL STRIKE THE PART HE DIDN'T KNOW WHAT	
20	HIS CONTRACT WAS BASED ON.	11:27AM
21	BY MR. SURPRENANT:	
22	Q NOW, CAN YOU IT JUST NEVER HAPPENS THAT A	
23	PORTFOLIO MANAGER OR A CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER IS PAID	
24	ACCRUED COMPENSATION, ACCRUED INCENTIVE OR CARRIED	
25	INTEREST COMPENSATION BEFORE ITS PAID TO HIS OR HER	11:27AM
26	EMPLOYER, CORRECT?	
27	A NO, THAT'S NOT MY TESTIMONY.	

CAN YOU NAME ONE?

1	A NOT NOT AS I NOT AS I SIT HERE.	
2	Q NOW, YOU AGREE, AS AN INCENTIVE COMPENSATION	
3	EXPERT, THAT WHAT MR. GUNDLACH WOULD BE PAID, HOW MUCH	
4	AND WHEN HE WOULD BE PAID, IF HE TERMINATED UNDER THE	
5	CONTRACT HE CLAIMS HE HAD IN 2007, DO NOT EXIST IN THE	11:28AM
6	AGREEMENT, CORRECT?	
7	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION. FORM.	
8	THE COURT: SUSTAINED. I THINK YOU NEED TO	
9	REPHRASE.	
10	MR. SURPRENANT: IT WAS A D-MINUS QUESTION.	11:28AM
11	Q MR. GUNDLACH CLAIMS HE HAD A CONTRACT IN 2007,	
12	CORRECT?	
13	A I I ACTUALLY DON'T KNOW WHAT MR. GUNDLACH	
14	CLAIMS.	
15	Q UNDER YOUR VIEW OF THE DRAFT AGREEMENT, WHAT	11:28AM
16	MR. GUNDLACH WOULD BE PAID AT TERMINATION, AND HOW	
17	MUCH, ARE NOT IN THE DRAFT AGREEMENT AND SOMEBODY WOULD	
18	HAVE TO IMPLY IT, CORRECT?	
19	MR. WEINGART: OBJECTION.	
20	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	11:29AM
21	I'M NOT YOU NEED TO CLARIFY THE	
22	QUESTION. I THINK IT'S VAGUE.	
23	MR. SURPRENANT: LET ME TRY IT AGAIN.	
24	Q YOU'VE LOOKED AT TX 66, CORRECT?	
25	A YES.	11:29AM
26	Q THE LAST DRAFT CONTRACT, RIGHT?	
27	AND YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THAT DRAFT	
28	CONTRACT IS, IT DOES NOT HAVE A TERM FOR HOW MUCH	

MR. GUNDLACH WOULD BE PAID AT TERMINATION, AND WHEN HE	
WOULD BE PAID IT, CORRECT?	
A NO, THAT'S NOT MY TESTIMONY.	
MR. SURPRENANT: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ASK TO	
PLAY MR PROFESSOR MURPHY'S DEPOSITION FROM 146, 24	11:29AM
TO 147, 17.	
146, 24 TO 147, 17.	
MR. WEINGART: I OBJECT.	
THE COURT: LET ME TAKE A LOOK AT IT.	
MR. WEINGART: I'D LIKE TO APPROACH.	11:30AM
(PAUSE) +	
THE COURT: I'LL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION.	
MR. SURPRENANT: SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION, YOUR	11:31AM
HONOR?	
THE COURT: YES.	
MR. SURPRENANT: MAY WE APPROACH, JUST	
BRIEFLY?	
THE COURT: YES, YOU MAY.	11:31AM
(SIDE-BAR CONFERENCE HELD) +	
THE COURT: MY VIEW IS, WHAT YOU'RE ASKING FOR	
IN THE DEPOSITION, IT'S CALLING FOR A LEGAL CONCLUSION.	11:31AM
AND THE VAGUENESS, AND THE PROBLEM WITH YOUR QUESTION,	
IT WASN'T CLEAR.	
YOU'RE ASKING HIM IF IT PROVIDES FOR AN	
	WOULD BE PAID IT, CORRECT? A NO, THAT'S NOT MY TESTIMONY. MR. SURPRENANT: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ASK TO PLAY MR PROFESSOR MURPHY'S DEPOSITION FROM 146, 24 TO 147, 17. 146, 24 TO 147, 17. MR. WEINGART: I OBJECT. THE COURT: LET ME TAKE A LOOK AT IT. MR. WEINGART: I'D LIKE TO APPROACH. (PAUSE) + THE COURT: I'LL SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION. MR. SURPRENANT: SUSTAIN THE OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR? THE COURT: YES. MR. SURPRENANT: MAY WE APPROACH, JUST BRIEFLY? THE COURT: YES, YOU MAY. (SIDE-BAR CONFERENCE HELD) + THE COURT: MY VIEW IS, WHAT YOU'RE ASKING FOR IN THE DEPOSITION, IT'S CALLING FOR A LEGAL CONCLUSION. AND THE VAGUENESS, AND THE PROBLEM WITH YOUR QUESTION, IT WASN'T CLEAR.

1	AMOUNT THAT IS TO BE PAID ON TERMINATION OR IF IT	
2	PROVIDES FOR A METHOD OF CALCULATING THE AMOUNT TO BE	
3	PAID ON TERMINATION? I MEAN, IT WAS JUST KIND OF	
4	OPEN-ENDED.	
5	AND I'M NOT SURE I'VE HEARD HIS	11:31AM
6	TESTIMONY THAT, YOU KNOW, IT'S JUST AN IMPLIED TERM.	
7	AND THE DEPOSITION DIDN'T REALLY IMPEACH ON THE ISSUES	
8	YOU'VE BEEN PURSUING.	
9	BECAUSE IT SEEMS TO ME THAT JUST SAYS	
10	WHEN DOES IT GET PAID, NOT HOW MUCH.	11:32AM
11	MR. SURPRENANT: HE SAYS HOW MUCH. HOW	
12	MUCH	
13	THE COURT: THAT'S THE POINT. YOU'VE GOT TO	
14	FOCUS THAT QUESTION. I'LL LET YOU ASK THAT QUESTION.	
15	WHEN I SAID I THOUGHT IT WAS VAGUE AND	11:32AM
16	YOU NEEDED TO CLARIFY IT, I WASN'T SAYING YOU COULDN'T	
17	ASK IN THAT AREA AT ALL.	
18	YOU MAY HAVE A DIFFERENT VIEW.	
19	MR. WEINGART: MY CONCERN, YOUR HONOR MY	
20	CONCERN IS THAT TCW MOVED TO EXCLUDE VARIOUS THINGS,	11:32AM
21	AND HIS OBJECTION TO VARIOUS ASPECTS OF HIS TESTIMONY	
22	IS GOING TO A LEGAL CONCLUSION THE COURT SUSTAINED.	
23	MR. SURPRENANT IS NOW TRYING TO TO GO	
24	THROUGH AND DRAW A BUNCH OF LEGAL CONCLUSIONS.	
25	THE COURT: I'M NOT ALLOWING IT. THAT'S WHAT	11:32AM
26	THE DEPOSITION DID. I SAID I WOULDN'T LET HIM DO THAT.	
27	MR. WEINGART: THAT'S MY CONCERN AND MY	

MR. SURPRENANT: MY CONCERN IS THAT HE PUT	
BEFORE THE JURY A RIGHT UP TO THE EDGE OF THIS IS	
HOW TO CONSTRUE ACCRUED TO THE DATE OF TERMINATION.	
THE COURT: OKAY. YOU CAN CROSS-EXAMINE HIM	
ON THAT.	11:33AM
BUT YOU CAN'T YOUR OWN TESTIMONY	
DOESN'T WORK.	
MOST OF THE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE THAT'S	
REALLY HAD THE IMPACT HAS BEEN LAWYERS ASKING	
QUESTIONS, AND NOT WITNESSES TELLING WHAT THEY THINK.	11:33AM
ASK HIM ABOUT HIS INTERPRETATION. OR	
ASK HIM, UNDER THE TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT, THERE'S A	
WAY THAT CALCULATE THE AMOUNT PAYABLE UPON TERMINATION	
MUCH.	
DON'T JUST MAKE A GENERALIZED STATEMENT	11:33AM
AND THEN SUGGEST IN YOUR FOLLOW-UP QUESTION THAT YOU	
HAVE TO IMPLY THE TERM OR SOMETHING ELSE BECAUSE HE	
HASN'T SAID THAT.	
MR. SURPRENANT: BUT HE ANSWERED THE QUESTION.	
THAT IS NOT MY OPINION. AND I HAVE IMPEACHMENT	11:33AM
TESTIMONY ON	
THE COURT: I DON'T THINK THIS IS IMPEACHMENT	
TESTIMONY ON THAT.	
ASK HIM WHAT HIS OPINION IS. PUT IT OUT	
THERE AND YOU CAN GO FROM THERE.	11:33AM
MR. BRIAN: CAN I MAKE OTHER ONE CONCERN? MY	
BROKEN RECORD THING AGAIN. AT THE END OF THE DAY	
	BEFORE THE JURY A RIGHT UP TO THE EDGE OF THIS IS HOW TO CONSTRUE ACCRUED TO THE DATE OF TERMINATION. THE COURT: OKAY. YOU CAN CROSS-EXAMINE HIM ON THAT. BUT YOU CAN'T YOUR OWN TESTIMONY DOESN'T WORK. MOST OF THE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE THAT'S REALLY HAD THE IMPACT HAS BEEN LAWYERS ASKING QUESTIONS, AND NOT WITNESSES TELLING WHAT THEY THINK. ASK HIM ABOUT HIS INTERPRETATION. OR ASK HIM, UNDER THE TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT, THERE'S A WAY THAT CALCULATE THE AMOUNT PAYABLE UPON TERMINATION MUCH. DON'T JUST MAKE A GENERALIZED STATEMENT AND THEN SUGGEST IN YOUR FOLLOW-UP QUESTION THAT YOU HAVE TO IMPLY THE TERM OR SOMETHING ELSE BECAUSE HE HASN'T SAID THAT. MR. SURPRENANT: BUT HE ANSWERED THE QUESTION. THAT IS NOT MY OPINION. AND I HAVE IMPEACHMENT TESTIMONY ON THE COURT: I DON'T THINK THIS IS IMPEACHMENT TESTIMONY ON THAT. ASK HIM WHAT HIS OPINION IS. PUT IT OUT THERE AND YOU CAN GO FROM THERE. MR. BRIAN: CAN I MAKE OTHER ONE CONCERN? MY

YESTERDAY I RAISED THE CONCERN ABOUT TIME.

1	THE COURT: I'M WATCHING IT. THEY KEEP	
2	BEATING A DEAD HORSE. SOONER OR LATER YOU CAN'T KEEP	
3	DOING IT.	
4	MR. BRIAN: IT'S TYPICAL	
5	THE COURT: NO, YOU HAVEN'T BEEN. WE HAVE	11:34AM
6	HALF HOUR DIRECT AND WE GOT AN HOUR OF EVERYTHING YOU	
7	CAN THINK OF ON THE CROSS.	
8	LET'S FINISH HIM UP.	
9	MR. SURPRENANT: OKAY. OKAY.	
10		11:34AM
11	(SIDE-BAR CONFERENCE CONCLUDED) +	
12		
13	MR. SURPRENANT: PROFESSOR MURPHY, IN THE	
14	INTEREST OF GETTING EVERYONE TO THE FINISH LINE, I HAVE	
15	NO MORE QUESTIONS.	11:34AM
16	THE COURT: THANK YOU, MR. SURPRENANT.	
17	ANY REDIRECT?	
18	MR. WEINGART: NO, YOUR HONOR.	
19	THANK YOU.	
20	THE COURT: MR. MURPHY, THANK YOU FOR YOUR	11:34AM
21	TESTIMONY. YOU MAY STEP DOWN.	
22	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.	
23	THE COURT: MR. HELM, YOU'RE GOING TO CALL	
24	YOUR NEXT WITNESS?	
25	MR. HELM: YES. WE CALL JEFFREY GUNDLACH.	11:34AM
26		
27	JEFFREY GUNDLACH +	
28	CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE DEFENSE, HAVING BEEN	

1	PREVIOUSLY DULY SWORN TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:	
2		
3	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING MR. GUNDLACH.	
4	THE WITNESS: GOOD MORNING.	
5	THE COURT: PLEASE RECALL YOU HAVE BEEN	11:35AM
6	PREVIOUSLY SWORN IN THIS MATTER, AND YOU ARE STILL	
7	UNDER OATH.	
8	YOU MAY HAVE A SEAT.	
9	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.	
10	MR. HELM: IF I COULD HAVE A MOMENT TO	11:35AM
11	DISTRIBUTE THE BINDERS, YOUR HONOR.	
12	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
13	MR. QUINN: YOUR HONOR, I WONDER IF WE MIGHT	
14	STRETCH FOR FIVE MINUTES.	
15	MR. MADISON IS GOING TO BE DOING THIS	11:35AM
16	EXAM, AND I UNDERSTAND HE WENT DOWNSTAIRS TO GRAB A	
17	DRINK HERE HE IS.	
18	THE COURT: LESS THAN A FIVE-MINUTE STRETCH.	
19	MR. BRIAN: HOPEFULLY IT WAS A NONALCOHOLIC	
20	DRINK.	11:36AM
21	MR. HELM: MAY I?	
22	THE COURT: YES, MR. HELM.	
23	MR. HELM: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
24		
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION +	11:36AM
26		
27	BY MR. HELM:	
28	Q GOOD MORNING, MR. GUNDLACH.	

A GOOD MORNING.	
Q WHEN DID YOU FIRST ENTER INTO AN EMPLOYMENT	
AGREEMENT WITH TCW?	
A I BELIEVE IT WAS IN 1989.	
Q I'D LIKE TO SHOW YOU EXHIBIT 3, WHICH IS	11:36AM
ALREADY IN EVIDENCE.	
CAN YOU WHAT WAS THE TERM OF THIS	
AGREEMENT? DO YOU SEE	
A I THINK IT WAS THREE YEARS.	
Q ALL RIGHT.	11:36AM
AND CAN YOU DESCRIBE GENERALLY HOW YOU	
WERE COMPENSATED UNDER THIS AGREEMENT?	
A BASICALLY, I HAD A SALARY AND FEE SHARING,	
BASED UPON THE REVENUE STREAM THAT WAS CREATED BY THE	
BUSINESS.	11:37AM
Q COULD WE LOOK AT PAGE 3 OF EXHIBIT 3.	
IF YOU LOOK AT SUBSECTION G.	
COULD WE BLOW THAT UP, PLEASE, DENNIS.	
IT SAYS:	
YOUR COMPENSATION AND ADDITIONAL	11:37AM
BENEFITS WILL CEASE WHEN	
TERMINATION OCCURS, EXCEPT	
THEN WE GO TO:	
THE COMPANY WILL PAY	
COMPENSATION ACCRUED TO THE DATE OF	11:37AM
TERMINATION.	
SO WAS THIS CONTAINED IN THE VERY FIRST	
EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT THAT YOU ENTERED INTO?	
	Q WHEN DID YOU FIRST ENTER INTO AN EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT WITH TCW? A I BELIEVE IT WAS IN 1989. Q I'D LIKE TO SHOW YOU EXHIBIT 3, WHICH IS ALREADY IN EVIDENCE. CAN YOU WHAT WAS THE TERM OF THIS AGREEMENT? DO YOU SEE A I THINK IT WAS THREE YEARS. Q ALL RIGHT. AND CAN YOU DESCRIBE GENERALLY HOW YOU WERE COMPENSATED UNDER THIS AGREEMENT? A BASICALLY, I HAD A SALARY AND FEE SHARING, BASED UPON THE REVENUE STREAM THAT WAS CREATED BY THE BUSINESS. Q COULD WE LOOK AT PAGE 3 OF EXHIBIT 3. IF YOU LOOK AT SUBSECTION G. COULD WE BLOW THAT UP, PLEASE, DENNIS. IT SAYS: YOUR COMPENSATION AND ADDITIONAL BENEFITS WILL CEASE WHEN TERMINATION OCCURS, EXCEPT THEN WE GO TO: THE COMPANY WILL PAY COMPENSATION ACCRUED TO THE DATE OF TERMINATION. SO WAS THIS CONTAINED IN THE VERY FIRST

A YES, IT WAS. Q AND DID YOU HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THE TERM ACCRUED MEANT? A YES. Q WHAT DID YOU UNDERSTAND IT TO MEAN? A ACCRUED MEANT FEES THAT WERE EARNED, BUT HADN'T BEEN COLLECTED YET. Q DID THIS AGREEMENT, THE 1989 AGREEMENT WE'RE LOOKING AT, LIMIT THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH TCW COULD TERMINATE YOU? A I DON'T THINK SO.	11:37AM
TERM ACCRUED MEANT? A YES. Q WHAT DID YOU UNDERSTAND IT TO MEAN? A ACCRUED MEANT FEES THAT WERE EARNED, BUT HADN'T BEEN COLLECTED YET. Q DID THIS AGREEMENT, THE 1989 AGREEMENT WE'RE LOOKING AT, LIMIT THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH TCW COULD TERMINATE YOU?	
A YES. Q WHAT DID YOU UNDERSTAND IT TO MEAN? A ACCRUED MEANT FEES THAT WERE EARNED, BUT HADN'T BEEN COLLECTED YET. Q DID THIS AGREEMENT, THE 1989 AGREEMENT WE'RE LOOKING AT, LIMIT THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH TCW COULD TERMINATE YOU?	
Q WHAT DID YOU UNDERSTAND IT TO MEAN? A ACCRUED MEANT FEES THAT WERE EARNED, BUT HADN'T BEEN COLLECTED YET. Q DID THIS AGREEMENT, THE 1989 AGREEMENT WE'RE LOOKING AT, LIMIT THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH TCW COULD TERMINATE YOU?	
A ACCRUED MEANT FEES THAT WERE EARNED, BUT HADN'T BEEN COLLECTED YET. Q DID THIS AGREEMENT, THE 1989 AGREEMENT WE'RE LOOKING AT, LIMIT THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH TCW COULD TERMINATE YOU?	
HADN'T BEEN COLLECTED YET. Q DID THIS AGREEMENT, THE 1989 AGREEMENT WE'RE LOOKING AT, LIMIT THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH TCW COULD TERMINATE YOU?	11:37AM
Q DID THIS AGREEMENT, THE 1989 AGREEMENT WE'RE LOOKING AT, LIMIT THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH TCW COULD TERMINATE YOU?	11:37AM
LOOKING AT, LIMIT THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH TCW COULD TERMINATE YOU?	11:37AM
COULD TERMINATE YOU?	11:37AM
	11:37AM
A I DON'T THINK SO.	
Q SO IF WE COULD LOOK AT ACTUALLY, PUT UP FOR	
THE COURT AND COUNSEL, 6182.	
MAY I SHOW THIS TO THE JURY, YOUR HONOR?	
THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	11:38AM
MR. MADISON: IT'S ARGUMENT, YOUR HONOR. I	
DON'T THINK WE NEED IT, BUT	
THE COURT: IS THAT AN OBJECTION?	
MR. MADISON: ARGUMENTATIVE.	
THE COURT: I THINK WE CAN DO WITHOUT IT. YOU	11:38AM
CAN USE IT.	
MR. HELM: I CAN USE IT?	
THE COURT: YOU CAN USE IT IN YOUR CLOSING	
ARGUMENT.	
YOU CAN'T USE IT NOW.	11:38AM
MR. HELM: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
Q SO, IN 1989 THERE WAS AN ACCRUED COMPENSATION	
PROVISION, BUT NO TERMINATION FOR CAUSE PROVISION; IS	
	Q SO IF WE COULD LOOK AT ACTUALLY, PUT UP FOR THE COURT AND COUNSEL, 6182. MAY I SHOW THIS TO THE JURY, YOUR HONOR? THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION? MR. MADISON: IT'S ARGUMENT, YOUR HONOR. I DON'T THINK WE NEED IT, BUT THE COURT: IS THAT AN OBJECTION? MR. MADISON: ARGUMENTATIVE. THE COURT: I THINK WE CAN DO WITHOUT IT. YOU CAN USE IT. MR. HELM: I CAN USE IT? THE COURT: YOU CAN USE IT IN YOUR CLOSING ARGUMENT. YOU CAN'T USE IT NOW. MR. HELM: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. Q SO, IN 1989 THERE WAS AN ACCRUED COMPENSATION

1	THAT TRUE?	
2	A THAT'S WHAT I THINK, YEAH.	
3	Q COULD WE DID YOU ANSWER DID YOU ENTER	
4	INTO A SUBSEQUENT EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT AT TCW?	
5	A YES.	11:38AM
6	Q LET'S LOOK AT EXHIBIT 6, PLEASE, IF WE COULD.	
7	THAT'S IN EVIDENCE.	
8	IS THIS AN AGREEMENT YOU ENTERED INTO IN	
9	1992?	
10	A YES.	11:38AM
11	Q WHAT WAS THE TERM OF THE 1992 AGREEMENT?	
12	A IT WAS A LONG ONE. IT WAS SEVEN YEARS.	
13	Q AND, AGAIN, CAN YOU DESCRIBE GENERALLY HOW YOU	
14	WERE PAID UNDER THIS AGREEMENT?	
15	A SAME. SAME ARRANGEMENT AS BEFORE, A BASE	11:39AM
16	SALARY AND THEN MAJORITY OF THE COMPENSATION WAS FROM	
17	FEE SHARING.	
18	Q LET'S LOOK AT PAGE 4, IF WE COULD.	
19	SECTION 5-A.	
20	NOW IT SAYS:	11:39AM
21	YOUR EMPLOYMENT CAN BE	
22	TERMINATED PRIOR TO MARCH 31, 1999	
23	AS FOLLOWS:	
24	THEN IT SAYS:	
25	A, FOR CAUSE. YOUR EMPLOYMENT	11:39AM
26	MAY BE TERMINATED IMMEDIATELY BY	
27	THE COMPANY UPON THE OCCURRENCE OF:	
28	ONE, GROSS MISCONDUCT BY YOU;	
	,	

TWO, A MATERIAL BREACH BY YOU OF	
YOUR MATERIAL JOB RESPONSIBILITIES;	
THREE, YOUR CONVICTION OF A	
FELONY;	
OR FOUR, THE ENTRY OF AN ORDER	11:39AM
ISSUED BY ANY COURT OR REGULATORY	
AGENCY REMOVING YOU FROM OFFICE OR	
PROHIBITING YOU FROM PARTICIPATION	
IN THE CONDUCT OF THE AFFAIRS OF	
THE COMPANY OR ITS AFFILIATES,	11:40AM
PROVIDED, HOWEVER, THAT BEFORE THE	
COMPANY TERMINATES YOU UNDER TWO,	
THE MATERIAL BREACH CLAUSE, YOU MAY	
FIRST HAVE TEN CALENDAR DAYS IN	
WHICH TO CURE THE MATERIAL BREACH.	11:40AM
WAS THIS CONTAINED IN YOUR 1992	
EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT?	
A YES, IT WAS.	
Q THIS WAS A NEW PROVISION?	
A YES. THIS WAS SOMETHING THAT WAS IMPORTANT TO	11:40AM
ME THAT I NEGOTIATED INTO THE CONTRACT.	
Q COULD YOU DO YOU RECALL WHAT THE	
CIRCUMSTANCES WERE THAT LED TO THE INCLUSION OF THIS	
PROVISION IN YOUR 1992 AGREEMENT?	
A YES.	11:40AM
WE WERE WE HAD BUILT THE BUSINESS	
FROM ZERO TO ABOUT TEN BILLION OF A.U.M. BY 1992. AND	
THE FUTURE VALUE OF THAT REVENUE WAS QUITE BIG, AND I	
	YOUR MATERIAL JOB RESPONSIBILITIES; THREE, YOUR CONVICTION OF A FELONY; OR FOUR, THE ENTRY OF AN ORDER ISSUED BY ANY COURT OR REGULATORY AGENCY REMOVING YOU FROM OFFICE OR PROHIBITING YOU FROM PARTICIPATION IN THE CONDUCT OF THE AFFAIRS OF THE COMPANY OR ITS AFFILIATES, PROVIDED, HOWEVER, THAT BEFORE THE COMPANY TERMINATES YOU UNDER TWO, THE MATERIAL BREACH CLAUSE, YOU MAY FIRST HAVE TEN CALENDAR DAYS IN WHICH TO CURE THE MATERIAL BREACH. WAS THIS CONTAINED IN YOUR 1992 EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT? A YES, IT WAS. Q THIS WAS A NEW PROVISION? A YES. THIS WAS SOMETHING THAT WAS IMPORTANT TO ME THAT I NEGOTIATED INTO THE CONTRACT. Q COULD YOU DO YOU RECALL WHAT THE CIRCUMSTANCES WERE THAT LED TO THE INCLUSION OF THIS PROVISION IN YOUR 1992 AGREEMENT? A YES. WE WERE WE HAD BUILT THE BUSINESS FROM ZERO TO ABOUT TEN BILLION OF A.U.M. BY 1992. AND

1	WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT IF I WAS SIGNING ON THAT, I	
2	COULDN'T BE FIRED OUT OF THE BLUE.	
3	AND I WANTED ACTUALLY NOT TO BE ABLE	
4	TO BE FIRED AT ALL. WE ENDED UP NEGOTIATING WHAT I	
5	THOUGHT WAS GOOD PROTECTION WITH THIS CLAUSE.	11:41AM
6	Q AND IF WE COULD LOOK AT PAGE 5 OF THIS	
7	EXHIBIT, AT THE TOP, TOP PARAGRAPH.	
8	AGAIN, IT SAYS:	
9	YOUR COMPENSATION AND ADDITIONAL	
10	BENEFITS WILL CEASE WHEN	11:41AM
11	TERMINATION OCCURS, EXCEPT AND	
12	THEN TWO, THE COMPANY WILL PAY	
13	COMPENSATION ACCRUED TO THE DATE OF	
14	TERMINATION.	
15	SO THIS CARRIED OVER THIS WAS A	11:41AM
16	SIMILAR CLAUSE TO WHAT WAS IN THE 1989 AGREEMENT; IS	
17	THAT TRUE?	
18	A EVEN IDENTICAL, I THINK, YES.	
19	Q DID YOU ENTER INTO A LATER AGREEMENT AT TCW?	
20	A YES, I DID.	11:41AM
21	Q DO YOU RECALL WHEN THAT WAS?	
22	A I THINK IT WAS 1998.	
23	Q WE'LL LOOK AT EXHIBIT 12, PLEASE, WHICH IS IN	
24	EVIDENCE.	
25	IF WE COULD LOOK AT IS THIS YOUR 1998	11:42AM
26	AGREEMENT?	
27	A YES.	
28	Q AND WHAT WAS THE TERM OF THE AGREEMENT AS SET	

1	FORTH IN PARAGRAPH 1?	
2	A THROUGH DECEMBER 2004.	
3	Q AND, AGAIN, IN GENERAL TERMS, HOW WERE YOU TO	
4	BE PAID UNDER THIS AGREEMENT, UNDER THIS CONTRACT?	
5	A SAME THING. IT WAS SALARY AND FEE SHARING.	11:42AM
6	IT WAS ALWAYS THE SAME.	
7	Q AND HAD YOUR PART OF THE BUSINESS CHANGED IN	
8	ANY WAY BY THE TIME OF THIS AGREEMENT?	
9	A YES.	
10	Q HOW?	11:42AM
11	A BY 1998 I HAD TAKEN OVER GREATER DUTIES AND	
12	WAS IN CHARGE OF THE ENTIRE FIXED INCOME AREA, NOT JUST	
13	THE MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES AREA.	
14	Q WE TALKED ABOUT A TERMINATION FOR CAUSE	
15	PROVISION THAT YOU NEGOTIATED IN YOUR PRIOR AGREEMENT.	11:42AM
16	WERE THERE ANY TERMINATION FOR CAUSE	
17	PROTECTIONS IN THIS AGREEMENT?	
18	A SAME ONE.	
19	Q COULD WE LOOK AT PAGE 7 OF THE EXHIBIT,	
20	PLEASE. IF WE COULD LOOK AT PARAGRAPH 5-A. OKAY.	11:43AM
21	THAT TALKS ABOUT GROSS MISCONDUCT,	
22	MATERIAL BREACH, CONVICTION OF A FELONY, ENTRY OF AN	
23	ORDER.	
24	IS THERE ANY CHANGE BETWEEN THIS	
25	LANGUAGE AND THE LANGUAGE WE SAW IN THE PRIOR	11:43AM
26	AGREEMENT?	
27	A I THINK THE CURE PERIOD GOT A LITTLE LONGER.	

SO, AT THE BOTTOM WHERE IT SAYS:

1	PROVIDED, HOWEVER, THAT BEFORE	
2	THE COMPANY TERMINATES YOU UNDER	
3	TWO, YOU MUST FIRST HAVE 30	
4	CALENDAR DAYS IN WHICH TO CURE THE	
5	MATERIAL BREACH.	11:43AM
6	A YES, I THINK IT WAS TEN IN THE PRIOR VERSION.	
7	Q OTHER THAN CHANGING IT FROM TEN TO 30, WERE	
8	THERE ANY OTHER CHANGES IN THE LANGUAGE OF THE	
9	PROVISION RESTRICTING WHEN YOU COULD BE TERMINATED?	
10	A I DON'T THINK SO.	11:43AM
11	Q ALL RIGHT. LET'S LOOK AT THE BOTTOM OF	
12	PAGE 7.	
13	AND, AGAIN:	
14	YOUR COMPENSATION AND ADDITIONAL	
15	BENEFITS WILL CEASE WHEN	11:43AM
16	TERMINATION OCCURS, EXCEPT	
17	THEN WE GO TO TWO AND MAYBE TO THE NEXT	
18	PAGE, DENNIS. BLOW THAT UP.	
19	THE COMPANY WILL PAY	
20	COMPENSATION ACCRUED TO THE DATE OF	11:44AM
21	TERMINATION.	
22	AGAIN, THE SAME PROVISION THAT WE'D SEEN	
23	IN THE PRIOR CONTRACTS DATING BACK TO 1989.	
24	A RIGHT. THERE IT IS AGAIN.	
25	Q DID YOU ENTER INTO A SUBSEQUENT AGREEMENT WITH	11:44AM
26	THE COMPANY?	
27	A YES, I DID.	
28	Q DO YOU RECALL WHAT YEAR THAT WAS?	

A I THINK IT WAS 2003.	
Q IF WE COULD SHOW EXHIBIT 16, WHICH IS ALREADY	
IN EVIDENCE.	
IS THIS THE AGREEMENT YOU ENTERED INTO	
WITH TCW IN 2003?	11:44AM
A YES.	
Q NOW, WHO DID YOU NEGOTIATE THIS AGREEMENT WITH	
ON THE TCW SIDE?	
A BILL SONNEBORN.	
Q NOW, IT SAYS IN THE FIRST PARAGRAPH:	11:44AM
TO THE EXTENT NOT INCONSISTENT	
WITH THE PARAGRAPHS NUMBERED ONE,	
THREE ONE, TWO, THREE, FOUR, AND	
FIVE BELOW, ALL TERMS OF YOUR	
FORMER EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT DATED	11:45AM
AS OF JANUARY 1, 1998, THE	
EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT, ARE	
INCORPORATED HEREIN AS IF FULLY	
AS IS SET FORTH IN FULL AND APART	
FROM YOUR NEW EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT.	11:45AM
DO YOU SEE THAT?	
A YES.	
Q THERE ARE SOME PROVISIONS ADDRESSED IN THIS	
2003 DOCUMENT AND OTHERS INCORPORATED FROM A PRIOR	
AGREEMENT; IS THAT TRUE?	11:45AM
A YES.	
Q IF YOU COULD TAKE OUT IN THE BINDER AND FLIP	
THROUGH EXHIBIT 16, CAN YOU TELL US, IN GENERAL TERMS,	
	Q IF WE COULD SHOW EXHIBIT 16, WHICH IS ALREADY IN EVIDENCE. IS THIS THE AGREEMENT YOU ENTERED INTO WITH TCW IN 2003? A YES. Q NOW, WHO DID YOU NEGOTIATE THIS AGREEMENT WITH ON THE TCW SIDE? A BILL SONNEBORN. Q NOW, IT SAYS IN THE FIRST PARAGRAPH: TO THE EXTENT NOT INCONSISTENT WITH THE PARAGRAPHS NUMBERED ONE, THREE ONE, TWO, THREE, FOUR, AND FIVE BELOW, ALL TERMS OF YOUR FORMER EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT DATED AS OF JANUARY 1, 1998, THE EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT, ARE INCORPORATED HEREIN AS IF FULLY AS IS SET FORTH IN FULL AND APART FROM YOUR NEW EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT. DO YOU SEE THAT? A YES. Q THERE ARE SOME PROVISIONS ADDRESSED IN THIS 2003 DOCUMENT AND OTHERS INCORPORATED FROM A PRIOR AGREEMENT; IS THAT TRUE? A YES. Q IF YOU COULD TAKE OUT IN THE BINDER AND FLIP

1	WHAT ARE THE ITEMS THAT ARE DIRECTLY ADDRESSED IN THIS	
2	DOCUMENT, THE 2003 AGREEMENT THAT YOU NEGOTIATED WITH	
3	MR. SONNEBORN?	
4	A WELL, THERE'S THE TERM OF THE CONTRACT,	
5	WHICH	11:46AM
6	Q SHOW THAT TERM. OKAY. THAT'S NO. 1?	
7	A RIGHT.	
8	THERE WAS CHANGES IN TITLES.	
9	Q OKAY. TITLES. THAT'S TWO.	
10	A THERE WERE CHANGES IN THE COMPENSATION FORMULA	11:46AM
11	A LITTLE BIT.	
12	Q OKAY. THAT'S THREE.	
13	GO TO THE NEXT PAGE. AND THEN THERE	
14	WE GO.	
15	WHAT ELSE IS ADDRESSED?	11:46AM
16	A IT'S SET UP, AN OFFICE IN SANTA MONICA, THAT'S	
17	ADDRESSED.	
18	Q OKAY. COST ISSUES ADDRESSED WITH THAT?	
19	A YES.	
20	Q AND TURN TO THE NEXT PAGE.	11:46AM
21	WHAT OTHER ITEM IS ADDRESSED IN THIS	
22	AGREEMENT?	
23	A THE TREATMENT OF THE RESIDUAL STOCK I HAD FROM	
24	THE BUYOUT FROM SG.	
25	Q IF WE COULD GO BACK TO THE FIRST PAGE OF THE	11:46AM
26	DOCUMENT.	
27	WHAT WAS THE TERM OF THE 2003 EMPLOYMENT	
28	AGREEMENT?	

IT WENT TO THE END OF 2007. 1 Α 2 DID YOU DISCUSS WITH MR. SONNEBORN IN 2003, 3 WHEN YOU WERE NEGOTIATING THIS AGREEMENT, WHAT THE TERM 4 OF THE AGREEMENT WOULD BE? 5 Α YES. 11:47AM 6 DID YOU AGREE TO THAT TERM WITH HIM? 7 Α YES. HOW DID THE COMPEN- -- HOW, IF AT ALL, DID THE 8 9 COMPENSATION CHANGE IN THIS 2003 AGREEMENT? 10 THERE WERE SOME SORT OF A LIMIT PUT ON 11:47AM 11 COMPENSATION DURING, FOR THE CASE OF STRONG GROWTH. 12 THIS WAS NEGOTIATED AFTER THE STOCK MARKET FELL. FROM 1.3 2000 TO 2002. AND TCW WAS KIND OF HURTING FOR 14 15 PROFITABILITY. SO THEY CAME TO ME AND I AGREED THAT I 11:47AM 16 WOULD HELP OUT WITH THAT PROFITABILITY BY HAVING A 17 LIMITER ON COMPENSATION FOR BOTH CASES, IS WHAT I 18 REMEMBER. IT GOT PRETTY COMPLICATED. 19 IF WE COULD LOOK AT PAGE 2 OF THE DOCUMENT. 20 WE HAVE ALL SORTS OF CAPPED AMOUNTS AND FORMULA, THINGS 11:47AM 21 LIKE THAT INCLUDED IN THE DOCUMENT? 22 A RIGHT. 23 OTHER THAN THE CAPS YOU JUST DESCRIBED, WHAT -- TO WHAT EXTENT WAS IT, THE SAME OR DIFFERENT 24 25 FROM THE SORT OF KINDS OF AGREEMENTS YOU'D HAD BEFORE 11:48AM 26 IN TERMS OF WHAT YOUR COMPENSATION WAS? 2.7 IT WAS VERY SIMILAR TO THE ONES WE HAD BEFORE.

IT WAS GETTING MORE COMPLICATED, THOUGH. IT WAS NOW

1	CONTEMPLATING SOME OTHER BUSINESS LINES.	
2	THE DIVERSIFIED FIXED INCOME WAS GROWING	
3	AND THAT WAS ADDRESSED LITTLE BIT.	
4	AND THERE WAS THOUGHT OF DOING	
5	PERFORMANCE FEE, LIKE HEDGE FUNDS. SO WE ADDRESSED	11:48AM
6	THAT A LITTLE BIT, TOO.	
7	Q NOW, THE CHANGES THAT WERE MADE TO THE	
8	COMPENSATION THAT YOU RECEIVED THAT WERE DISCUSSED IN	
9	THIS DOCUMENT, WERE THOSE CHANGES ALSO DISCUSSED AND	
10	NEGOTIATED FACE TO FACE WITH MR. SONNEBORN IN 2003?	11:48AM
11	A YES.	
12	Q NOW, DID YOU DISCUSS AT THAT TIME WHO HAD	
13	AUTHORITY TO DETERMINE ALLOCATIONS OF THE POOL THAT YOU	
14	HAD TO OTHER MEMBERS OF YOUR GROUP?	
15	IN OTHER WORDS, WHO COULD DETERMINE THE	11:49AM
16	EXTENT TO WHICH OTHER MEMBERS OF YOUR GROUP SHARED IN	
17	ALLOCATIONS?	
18	A I UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION.	
19	I'M NOT SURE I REMEMBER EXACTLY IN 2003.	
20	I MEAN WE WERE TALKING ABOUT THAT OVER THE COURSE OF	11:49AM
21	MANY YEARS. IT MAY HAVE BEEN IN THIS DOCUMENT.	
22	Q NOW, WE TALKED ABOUT SOME ITEMS YOU DISCUSS	
23	WITH MR. SONNEBORN, THE TERM, AND COMPENSATION CHANGES;	
24	WHAT WERE SOME OF THE ITEMS THAT WERE SIMPLY	
25	INCORPORATED FROM THE PRIOR AGREEMENT?	11:49AM
26	A I THINK IT WAS THINGS LIKE THE TERMINATION	
27	CLAUSE AND THE ACCRUED COMPENSATION ASPECT OF IT ON	

TERMINATION. THOSE TYPES OF THINGS.

1	Q IN 2003 WHEN YOU HAD DISCUSSIONS WITH	
2	MR. SONNEBORN, DID YOU DISCUSS WITH HIM THESE OTHER	
3	TERMS, LIKE TERMINATION FOR CAUSE, PAYMENT OF ACCRUED	
4	COMPENSATION?	
5	A NOT REALLY. IT WAS SO EMBEDDED IN OUR WORKING	11:49AM
6	ARRANGEMENT BY THAT TIME, HAVING GONE ON FOR SO MANY	
7	YEARS, IT WAS JUST UNDERSTOOD. AND IT CARRIED ON	
8	WITHOUT NEEDING A DISCUSSION.	
9	Q SO, WERE THE TERMINATION FOR CAUSE AND ACCRUED	
10	COMPENSATION PROVISIONS EVEN SUBJECT TO NEGOTIATION IN	11:50AM
11	2003?	
12	A I DON'T THINK SO.	
13	Q ALL RIGHT.	
14	NOW, YOUR 2003 EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT WAS	
15	SET TO EXPIRE AT THE END OF 2007; IS THAT CORRECT?	11:50AM
16	A YES.	
17	Q DID YOU NEGOTIATE A NEW EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT	
18	BEFORE THE OLD ONE EXPIRED?	
19	A YES.	
20	Q WHEN DID YOU DO THAT?	11:50AM
21	A I THINK IT FIRST STARTED IN VERY LATE 2006	
22	AND GOT WRAPPED UP IN LATE MAY OF 2007.	
23	Q WERE THERE ANY NEW DEVELOPMENTS AHEAD ON THE	
24	HORIZON, AT THE TIME YOU WERE HAVING DISCUSSIONS ABOUT	
25	CHANGING YOUR EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT?	11:51AM
26	A YES.	
27	WE WERE PRETTY CERTAIN WE WANTED TO	
28	EXPAND THE BUSINESS INTO A COUPLE DIFFERENT AREAS. AND	

WE WANTED TO TALK ABOUT HOW THOSE WOULD BE TREATED. 1 2 WHAT WERE SOME OF THE AREAS YOU WERE 3 CONSIDERING MOVING INTO? WE WERE THINKING ABOUT STARTING A NEW COMPANY. 4 5 A MORTGAGE REIT IS WHAT THEY ARE CALLED, TRADE ON THE 11:51AM 6 NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE. WE ENDED UP NOT DOING THAT. 7 BUT THE OTHER PIECE OF BUSINESS WE DID A 8 LOT OF, WHICH WAS THE SPECIAL MORTGAGE CREDIT FUNDS, 9 THE DISTRESSED FUNDS. 10 HAD ANY OF THE DISTRESSED FUNDS BEEN CREATED 11:51AM 11 AS OF THE SPRING OF 2007? 12 NO. THEY HADN'T BEGUN YET. I WAS DEVELOPING 1.3 THE INVESTMENT THESIS, THOUGH, AT THAT TIME. JUST TO REMIND US, WHAT WAS THE INVESTMENT 14 0 15 THESIS OF THE SPECIAL MORTGAGE CREDIT FUNDS AS YOU 11:51AM 16 CONCEIVED IT IN OR AROUND THE SPRING OF 2007, JUST 17 BRIEFLY? 18 A I THOUGHT THAT A CERTAIN LARGE MARKET WAS VERY 19 BADLY OWNED. 20 AND PEOPLE WHO OWNED IT -- OWNED IT FOR 11:51AM 21 THE WRONG REASON, AND IT WAS VULNERABLE TO AN ECONOMIC 22 SHOCK. AND I FIGURED THAT THE ECONOMIC SHOCK HAD BEGUN 23 AND THE PRICES OF THOSE ASSETS, BASED ON MY EXPERIENCE, 24 WOULD DROP VERY, VERY SUBSTANTIALLY AND CREATE A 25 GENERATIONAL BUYING OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE A LOT OF MONEY 11:52AM 26 FOR CLIENTS.

ANTICIPATE YOU MIGHT BE ABLE TO BUY?

O SO A BUYING OPPORTUNITY OF WHAT? WHAT DID YOU

2.7

1	A MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES THAT HAD STARTED	
2	OUT LIFE WITH HIGH RATINGS FROM THE RATING AGENCIES	
3	THAT WERE THEN RECONSIDERED TO BE JUNKY INVESTMENTS.	
4	THEREFORE, THE PRICES WOULD DROP A LOT.	
5	AND THEY USUALLY DROP WAY TOO FAR	11:52AM
6	BECAUSE OF PANIC. AND WE WOULD BUY THEM AMIDST THE	
7	PANIC, HAVING CAPITAL SET ASIDE TO DO THAT, AND THE	
8	CLIENTS COULD MAKE A LOT OF MONEY OFF OF IT.	
9	Q NOW, YOU SAY THAT YOU NEGOTIATED A NEW	
10	EMPLOYMENT ARRANGEMENT IN 2007.	11:52AM
11	WHO DID YOU HAVE DISCUSSIONS WITH AT TCW	
12	IN 2007?	
13	A IT WAS PRIMARILY BILL SONNEBORN, WITH SUPPORT	
14	FROM BOB BEYER AND PETE SULLIVAN.	
15	Q SO HOW DID THE NEGOTIATIONS TAKE PLACE? WERE	11:53AM
16	THEY FACE TO FACE? BY E-MAIL? HOW DID IT WORK?	
17	A IT WAS MOSTLY FACE TO FACE IN BILL SONNEBORN'S	
18	OFFICE.	
19	Q WERE WAS THERE AN EXCHANGE OF ANY	
20	SPREADSHEETS THAT TOOK PLACE IN THAT REGARD?	11:53AM
21	A YES. THE THEY SAID THEY WANTED TO	
22	RENEGOTIATE THE DEAL, AND THEY BASICALLY LEFT IT ON MY	
23	SHOULDERS TO FIGURE OUT WHAT STRUCTURE TO DO. AND I	
24	PUT TOGETHER A BUNCH OF IDEAS THAT I THOUGHT WERE	
25	USEFUL.	11:53AM
26	AND I DID SPREADSHEETS TO FLESH OUT	
27	CASES FOR THE FUTURE. SO, UNDER CERTAIN ASSUMPTIONS OF	
28	BUSINESS GROWTH, THIS WOULD BE HOW THE COMPENSATION	
		i i

ARRANGEMENT WOULD WORK. AND I DID A VARIETY OF CASES.	
AND I GAVE THOSE SPREADSHEETS TO	
PETE SULLIVAN AND HE TURNED THEM INTO SOMETHING PRETTY.	
Q OKAY.	
LET ME ASK YOU TO LOOK AT EXHIBIT 6165.	11:54AM
THIS HAS NOT BEEN PUT INTO EVIDENCE.	
6165. CAN YOU IDENTIFY THIS?	
A THIS IS SOME OF THE WORK THAT I DID TO PUT	
THOSE IDEAS TOGETHER.	
MR. HELM: WE'D MOVE 6165	11:54AM
Q WAS THIS PROVIDED TO TCW AS PART OF THE	
NEGOTIATIONS?	
A THIS SPECIFICALLY, I DOUBT IT. THIS WOULD BE	
AN EARLY VERSION. SOMETHING VERY SIMILAR AND MORE	
FORMALIZED WOULD HAVE BEEN GIVEN TO PETE SULLIVAN AND	11:54AM
THE OTHERS.	
MR. HELM: MOVE ADMISSION OF 6165.	
MR. MADISON: NO OBJECTION.	
THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	
	11:54AM
(EXHIBIT 6165 ADMITTED.) +	
MR. HELM: JUST SO WE CAN GET AN IDEA WHAT IT	
LOOKED LIKE.	
THE WITNESS: IT'S KIND OF ROUGH, YEAH.	11:54AM
MR. HELM: OKAY.	
Q NOW, AFTER YOU FIRST PROPOSED THIS, OR	
SOMETHING LIKE THIS, WAS THERE ANY ADDITIONAL	
	AND I GAVE THOSE SPREADSHEETS TO PETE SULLIVAN AND HE TURNED THEM INTO SOMETHING PRETTY. Q OKAY. LET ME ASK YOU TO LOOK AT EXHIBIT 6165. THIS HAS NOT BEEN PUT INTO EVIDENCE. 6165. CAN YOU IDENTIFY THIS? A THIS IS SOME OF THE WORK THAT I DID TO PUT THOSE IDEAS TOGETHER. MR. HELM: WE'D MOVE 6165 Q WAS THIS PROVIDED TO TOW AS PART OF THE NEGOTIATIONS? A THIS SPECIFICALLY, I DOUBT IT. THIS WOULD BE AN EARLY VERSION. SOMETHING VERY SIMILAR AND MORE FORMALIZED WOULD HAVE BEEN GIVEN TO PETE SULLIVAN AND THE OTHERS. MR. HELM: MOVE ADMISSION OF 6165. MR. MADISON: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED. (EXHIBIT 6165 ADMITTED.) + MR. HELM: JUST SO WE CAN GET AN IDEA WHAT IT LOOKED LIKE. THE WITNESS: IT'S KIND OF ROUGH, YEAH. MR. HELM: OKAY. Q NOW, AFTER YOU FIRST PROPOSED THIS, OR

1	BACK-AND-FORTH SPREADSHEETS OR MODELS?	
2	A YES.	
3	Q AND JUST DESCRIBE IN GENERAL TERMS HOW THAT	
4	WORKED.	
5	A WELL, THE IDEA WAS TO TAKE ALL THE EXISTING	11:55AM
6	BUSINESS LINES AND POTENTIAL NEW BUSINESS LINES AND	
7	FIGURE OUT WHAT THE BREAKDOWN WOULD BE ON THE FEE	
8	SHARING BETWEEN MY GROUP AND TCW.	
9	AND I DID IT BY THESE VARIOUS BUSINESS	
10	LINES, AND I THIS ONE DIDN'T DO IT, BUT LATER ON I	11:55AM
11	DID A PERFORMANCE FEES OR CARRIED INTEREST DIFFERENT	
12	FROM MANAGEMENT FEES.	
13	AND I THIS WAS REALLY SHORTHAND FOR	
14	ME TO FIGURE OUT IN MY MIND WHAT THE SENSIBLE WAY OF	
15	COMPENSATING EVERYBODY.	11:55AM
16	Q YOU MENTIONED THERE WAS A FACE-TO-FACE MEETING	
17	THAT ALSO TOOK PLACE IN THIS.	
18	WHAT DO YOU RECALL ABOUT THAT MEETING?	
19	A WE WENT OVER THESE IDEAS OF HOW TO SET UP	
20	THE TO SIMPLIFY. THAT WAS ONE OF MY GOALS, WAS TO	11:55AM
21	SIMPLIFY THE ARRANGEMENT AND MAKE IT MORE	
22	UNDERSTANDABLE.	
23	AND WE TALKED ABOUT THE CASES, HOW THAT	
24	MIGHT WORK. AND MOSTLY IT WAS ABOUT THE FEE SHARING.	
25	Q NOW, HOW DID THE COMPENSATION IN WHAT	11:56AM
26	WAYS AGAIN, GENERAL TERMS, IN WHAT WAYS DID THE	
27	COMPENSATION ARRANGEMENT CHANGE AS A RESULT OF THESE	
28	NEGOTIATIONS?	

ONE OF THE THINGS YOU MENTIONED, YOU 1 2 WANTED TO SIMPLIFY IT. 3 DID THAT GET ACCOMPLISHED? LARGELY, YES. IT WAS DEFINITELY MOSTLY 4 5 SIMPLIFIED. AND, ALSO, I WANTED TO HAVE HIRING 11:56AM 6 AUTHORITY AND FULL DISCRETION OVER WHAT ALLOCATIONS I 7 WOULD MAKE TO THE STAFF FROM THE FEE SHARING POOL. 8 AND I WANTED TO -- TO GET RID OF THE 9 LIMITERS, SO IF THE GROWTH OF THE BUSINESS WAS STRONG, WE WOULD PARTICIPATE IN THAT DIRECTLY. AND I WAS 10 11:56AM WILLING TO ABSORB ALL OF THE EMPLOYEE COMPENSATION 11 12 COSTS IN EXCHANGE FOR THAT. 1.3 Q JUST TO BREAK THAT DOWN BRIEFLY. 14 YOU SAID ABSORB THE EMPLOYEE 15 COMPENSATION COST. 11:57AM 16 WAS THAT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WAS 17 DISCUSSED AND AGREED TO IN 2007? 18 YES. Α EXPLAIN JUST BRIEFLY WHAT THAT MEANS. 19 20 WELL, IT'S REAL SIMPLE. KIND OF THIS WHOLE 11:57AM DEAL IS PRETTY SIMPLE. SORT OF 50/50 DEAL, BROADLY 21 22 SPEAKING. REVENUE COMES IN; TCW GETS 50 PERCENT OF IT, 23 AND MY GROUP GETS 50 PERCENT. 24 BUT, OUT OF THE 50 PERCENT THAT WE GOT, 25 ROUGHLY I HAD TO PAY ALL OF THE SALARIES AND BONUSES 11:57AM 26 FOR ALL THE PEOPLE THAT WORKED IN THE GROUP. 2.7 WAS THAT A CHANGE OVER HOW THINGS PREVIOUSLY

28

HAD BEEN?

1	A YES, I WAS TAKING RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE RISK	
2	OF ALL THAT COMPENSATION SHOULD THE BUSINESS SHRINK OR	
3	NOT GROW AT EXPECTATIONS.	
4	Q NOW, YOU ALSO MENTIONED, I THINK, GOVERNORS OR	
5	LIMITERS.	11:57AM
6	WHAT WAS DISCUSSED AND AGREED TO IN THAT	
7	REGARD?	
8	A IT WAS AGREED WE WOULD GET RID OF THE	
9	COMPLICATED FORMULA, LIMITING COMPENSATION FOR THE	
10	STRONG SUCCESS CASES, AND THERE WOULD BE NO LIMITER.	11:57AM
11	IT WOULD STILL BE LIKE A 50/50-TYPE DEAL.	
12	Q AND WAS THERE ANY AGREEMENT RATE AGREED ON THE	
13	FEE SHARING PERCENTAGES FOR THESE UPCOMING SMCF FUNDS?	
14	A YES.	
15	Q WHAT AGREEMENT WAS REACHED?	11:58AM
16	A AGAIN, IT WAS ABOUT THE 50/50 DEAL. THERE	
17	WAS TWO FEE TYPES. THERE ARE THE MANAGEMENT FEES	
18	THAT ARE BILLED ON ONGOING BASIS. AND THAT WAS	
19	SOMETHING LIKE 50/50.	
20	AND THEN THERE'S THE PERFORMANCE FEES	11:58AM
21	WHICH, IF THE FUNDS ARE SUCCESSFUL, ARE VERY BIG. IT	
22	SEEMED TO ME THAT THE GROUP SHOULD HAVE AN INCENTIVE TO	
23	DO PARTICULARLY WELL AND DEVELOP A LOT OF INCENTIVE	
24	FEES.	
25	SO I MADE 60 PERCENT WOULD GO TO THE	11:58AM
26	GROUP OF THE CARRIED INTEREST. PROFIT POTENTIAL.	
27	Q WAS THAT AGREED TO?	

28

Α

YES.

1	Q YOU MENTIONED THAT DURING THE 2003	
2	NEGOTIATIONS THAT YOU HAD WITH MR. SONNEBORN, TWO OF	
3	THE ITEMS THAT YOU SAID YOU DISCUSSED WERE THE TERM OF	
4	THE DEAL AND THE CHANGES TO COMPENSATION, CORRECT?	
5	A YES.	11:58AM
6	Q NOW, WE JUST DISCUSSED DISCUSSIONS YOU HAD	
7	WITH MR. SONNEBORN ABOUT CHANGES TO COMPENSATION; IS	
8	THAT CORRECT?	
9	A RIGHT.	
10	Q AND IN 2007, DID YOU ALSO HAVE ANY DISCUSSIONS	11:59AM
11	WITH MR. SONNEBORN ABOUT THE TERM OF THE DEAL, FOR HOW	
12	LONG YOU WOULD BE EMPLOYED UNDER THIS NEW ARRANGEMENT?	
13	A YES, I DID.	
14	Q WHAT WERE YOUR DISCUSSIONS IN THAT REGARD?	
15	A HE BASICALLY SAID, YOU PICK.	11:59AM
16	YOU WANT TO MAKE IT A THREE-YEAR	
17	TERM, THAT'S FINE.	
18	YOU WANT TO LOCK IN THE ECONOMICS FOR	
19	LONGER, THAT'S FINE.	
20	AND I SAID, LET'S DO IT FOR FIVE YEARS.	11:59AM
21	AND HE AGREED TO IT.	
22	Q WHEN DID THAT DISCUSSION WITH MR. SONNEBORN	
23	TAKE PLACE?	
24	A IN MAY OF 2007.	
25	Q WHAT ROLE, IF ANY, DID OTHER ELEMENTS IN THE	11:59AM
26	DEAL THAT WERE INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE IN THE 2003	
27	AGREEMENT HAVE IN ANY DISCUSSIONS IN THE 2007 PERIOD?	
28	A NONE.	

1	Q SO, DID ANYBODY DID YOU HAVE ANY	
2	DISCUSSIONS WITH MR. SONNEBORN, SAYING THAT HE INTENDED	
3	TO CHANGE PROVISIONS THAT HAD BEEN IN YOUR AGREEMENT	
4	SINCE 1992 ABOUT THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH YOU	
5	COULD BE TERMINATED?	12:00PM
6	A NO.	
7	Q DID YOU EVER TELL HIM THAT YOU WANTED CHANGES	
8	IN THAT PROVISION?	
9	A NO.	
10	Q DID ANYONE FROM TCW EVER TELL YOU THAT THEY	12:00PM
11	INTENDED TO CHANGE THE PROVISION THAT HAD BEEN INCLUDED	
12	IN YOUR CONTRACT SINCE 1989, ABOUT, THAT YOU WOULD BE	
13	PAID UPON TERMINATION, YOUR ACCRUED COMPENSATION?	
14	MR. MADISON: OBJECTION. ASSUMES FACTS NOT IN	
15	EVIDENCE. AND MISSTATES THE UNSIGNED AGREEMENT.	12:00PM
16	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
17	THE WITNESS: THAT WASN'T DISCUSSED.	
18	BY MR. HELM:	
19	Q DID YOU EVER SAY THAT YOU INTENDED TO CHANGE	
20	THE PROVISION THAT HAD LONG BEEN IN YOUR AGREEMENTS	12:00PM
21	PROVIDING THAT YOU WOULD BE PAID ACCRUED COMPENSATION	
22	UPON TERMINATION?	
23	A NO.	
24	Q NOW, DID YOU EVER POINT OUT DURING THE	
25	NEGOTIATIONS WITH TCW THAT THIS DEAL COULD OPERATE TO	12:00PM
26	TCW'S BENEFIT IN CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES?	
27	A YES.	
28	Q LET'S LOOK BRIEFLY AT EXHIBIT 5031. THIS IS	

1	IN EVIDENCE.	
2	AT THE BOTTOM IT STARTS COULD YOU	
3	BLOW THAT UP PLEASE, DENNIS.	
4	THIS IS AN E-MAIL FROM YOU TO	
5	MR. SULLIVAN, MR. SONNEBORN, MR. BEYER, COPIES TO	12:01PM
6	OTHERS.	
7	COULD WE GO TO THE SECOND PAGE, PLEASE,	
8	DENNIS. AT THE TOP THERE.	
9	THE SECOND PARAGRAPH SAYS:	
10	GIVEN THAT THIS ANALYSIS FIGURES	12:01PM
11	IN THE HOPED-FOR BUSINESS, I'M	
12	IMPRESSED HOW FAVORABLE IT LOOKS	
13	FROM THE TCW CORPORATE PERSPECTIVE,	
14	REINFORCING MY ALREADY STRONG	
15	BELIEF THAT THIS IS A GOOD, EVEN	12:01PM
16	GENEROUS, DEAL I AM PROPOSING FOR	
17	THE FORM.	
18	IS THAT WHAT YOU WROTE AT THAT POINT?	
19	A YES, IT IS.	
20	Q AND YOU BELIEVED THAT AT THAT TIME?	12:01PM
21	A DEEPLY.	
22	Q COULD WE GO BACK TO PAGE 1.	
23	IF WE GO TO THE NEXT E-MAIL ABOVE FROM	
24	MR. SONNEBORN, BLOW THAT UP. THANK YOU.	
25	THAT'S FROM MR. SONNEBORN TO YOU, AND	12:02PM
26	OTHERS ON THE CHAIN. IT SAYS:	
27	YOU ARE RIGHT. AND WE HAVE A	
28	SPECTACULAR PARTNERSHIP YOU ARE	

1	RIGHT. WE HAVE A SPECTACULAR	
2	PARTNERSHIP AND THERE ARE ELEMENTS	
3	OF YOUR PROPOSAL WHICH ARE	
4	BRILLIANT.	
5	NOW, THE AMOUNTS THAT WE'VE BEEN	12:02PM
6	DISCUSSING, THE 50 PERCENT THAT WENT INTO THE POOL,	
7	YOUR PART OF THE POOL, WAS THAT JUST FOR YOU TO KEEP	
8	ALL FOR YOURSELF?	
9	A NO. I HAD TO PAY EVERYONE ON THE STAFF.	
10	Q DID YOU DISCUSS WITH TCW WHO GOT TO DECIDE TO	12:02PM
11	WHAT EXTENT FEES IN THE POOL, YOUR PART OF THE POOL	
12	WERE ALLOCATED TO WHOM AND TO WHAT AMOUNTS?	
13	A YES.	
14	Q WHAT DID YOU DISCUSS IN THAT REGARD IN 2007?	
15	A I WANTED TO HAVE SOLE DISCRETION OVER HOW THE	12:03PM
16	50 PERCENT FEE SHARING POOL THAT MY TEAM WAS TO GET,	
17	HOW THAT WAS TO BE ALLOCATED AMONG THE GROUP.	
18	Q DID YOU DISCUSS THAT WITH MR. SONNEBORN?	
19	A YES.	
20	Q WHAT DID HE SAY?	12:03PM
21	A HE SEEMED TO KIND OF AGREE WITH IT, BUT KIND	
22	OF RELUCTANTLY.	
23	Q NOW, IN THEORY, AS YOU UNDERSTOOD IT BY, AFTER	
24	YOU REACHED AGREEMENT, DID YOU HAVE ABILITY TO KEEP THE	
25	WHOLE POOL FOR YOURSELF AND GIVE NOTHING TO ANYBODY	12:03PM
26	ELSE, IN THEORY?	
27	A IN THEORY, IT WOULD BE HIGHLY IMPRACTICAL, BUT	

IT WOULD BE HYPOTHETICALLY DOABLE.

DID ANYBODY AT TCW EVER QUESTION YOUR RIGHT TO 1 Q 2 DECIDE HOW THE POOL WAS ALLOCATED? 3 Α NO. WHEN YOU RECEIVED MONEY IN THE -- FROM THE 4 0 5 POOL AND ALLOCATED IT TO SOMEONE ELSE IN YOUR GROUP, A 12:03PM 6 PORTION OF IT, TO WHAT EXTENT, IF AT ALL, DID YOU 7 CONSIDER THAT TO BE A BENEFIT TO YOU, EVEN THOUGH YOU WERE GIVING MONEY TO SOMEONE ELSE AND NOT KEEPING IT? 8 9 A I THOUGHT IT WAS A BENEFIT TO ME TO BE ABLE TO 10 DO THAT. 12:04PM WHY IS THAT? 11 0 12 I WANTED TO MAKE SURE EVERYBODY WAS 1.3 COMPENSATED APPROPRIATELY, WHICH WOULD BE A RETENTION MECHANISM TO KEEP THEM WORKING IN THE GROUP. 14 15 I WANTED THEM TO BE POSITIVE IN ATTITUDE 12:04PM 16 AND FEEL GOOD ABOUT THE TEAM. I WANTED THEM TO ALL 17 WORK TOGETHER LOYALLY, AND NOT FEEL LIKE THERE WOULD BE 18 GREENER GRASS ON ANOTHER HILL. 19 SO, TO WHAT EXTENT DID INCENTIVIZING AND 2.0 REWARDING PEOPLE AND MAKING THEM FEEL HAPPY AND 12:04PM 21 PRODUCTIVE, TO WHAT EXTENT WAS THAT A PERSONAL BENEFIT 22 TO YOU IN YOUR BUSINESS ENDEAVORS? A I THINK IT WAS -- I THINK IT WAS A MEANINGFUL 23 24 BENEFIT. MAYBE NOT THE PRIMARY BENEFIT OF THINGS. BUT 25 A MEANINGFUL BENEFIT. 12:04PM 26 WHY WAS IT A BENEFIT TO YOU TO HAVE HAPPY, 2.7 PRODUCTIVE EMPLOYEES?

A IT MAKES LIFE BETTER TO HAVE HAPPY EMPLOYEES

AND MAKES CLIENTS HAPPY WHEN THEY COME IN AND THEY CAN 1 2 FEEL THE VIBE OF THE EMPLOYEES ARE ENGAGED AND 3 POSITIVE. 4 Q ALL RIGHT. 5 TO WHAT EXTENT DID YOU VIEW THE RIGHT TO 12:05PM 6 ALLOCATE THE POOL AS YOU SAW FIT, SOMETHING THAT YOU 7 SAW AS A CONTRACTUAL BENEFIT THAT YOU'D BEEN PROMISED? DID YOU THINK IT WAS A CONTRACTUAL BENEFIT TO YOU TO BE 8 9 ABLE TO ALLOCATE MONEY TO OTHER PEOPLE? 10 YES. Α 12:05PM 11 WHY WAS IT A BENEFIT TO YOU? SIMILAR TO WHAT 0 12 WE JUST DISCUSSED? 1.3 A RIGHT. IT'S -- IT'S VERY GOOD TO HAVE CONTENT 14 15 EMPLOYEES, AS OPPOSED TO HAVING EMPLOYEES THAT ARE 12:05PM 16 DISGRUNTLED. AND HAVING PEOPLE FEEL PART OF A TEAM AND 17 LOYAL MAKES EVERY DAY A BETTER QUALITY OF LIFE. 18 DID YOU EVER OBSERVE AN INSTANCE WHERE THE 19 LOYALTY OF PEOPLE IN THE TEAM THAT YOU CULTIVATED 2.0 HELPED YOU IN YOUR PROFESSIONAL LIFE? 12:05PM 21 Α SORT OF. 22 HOW'S THAT? 0 23 WHEN THEY FIRED ME FROM TCW, ALL THESE PEOPLE 24 QUIT. AND IF THEY HADN'T, I -- I DON'T KNOW -- I'D 25 HAVE HAD TO GO DOWN A DIFFERENT PATH, I GUESS. 12:06PM 26 NOW, DID THE FEE SHARING POOL ALSO BENEFIT 2.7 OTHERS ON YOUR TEAM?

A YES. ALL OF THEM.

1	Q WHEN YOU NEGOTIATED THE DEAL WITH TCW, TO WHAT	
2	EXTENT AT ALL DID TCW INDICATE THAT IT UNDERSTOOD YOU	
3	WOULD BE PAYING YOU WOULD BE PAYING PARTS OF THE	
4	POOL TO OTHER PEOPLE IN YOUR GROUP?	
5	A OH, THEY FULLY UNDERSTOOD. THAT WAS IT WAS	12:06PM
6	FUNDAMENTAL.	
7	Q HOW?	
8	MR. MADISON: MOVE TO STRIKE. HE DIDN'T	
9	RESPOND TO THE QUESTION. IT WAS ABOUT WHAT WAS SAID.	
10	THE COURT: I THINK IT WAS RESPONSIVE.	12:06PM
11	BUT YOU CAN FOLLOW UP ON IT, MR. HELM.	
12	MR. HELM: I'LL LAY FURTHER FOUNDATION.	
13	Q WHAT DID TCW SAY OR DO THAT GAVE YOU THE VIEW	
14	THAT THEY UNDERSTOOD THAT YOU WOULD BE PAYING PARTS OF	
15	THE POOL TO OTHER PEOPLE?	12:06PM
16	A WELL, IT WAS FUNDAMENTAL TO THE ARRANGEMENT	
17	FOR YEARS AND YEARS.	
18	THEY WOULD ALWAYS TALK ABOUT WE WANTED	
19	TO MAKE SURE THAT YOUR TEAM IS TAKEN CARE OF, TOO. AND	
20	MAKING SURE WE'RE PAYING PART OF THIS POOL TO THE	12:07PM
21	EMPLOYEES TO KEEP THEM HAPPY.	
22	Q ALL RIGHT.	
23	SO DID TCW, BASED ON YOUR DIRECT	
24	CONVERSATIONS WITH TCW, DID TCW KNOW, DURING YOUR	
25	DISCUSSIONS IN 2007, THAT PEOPLE LIKE MR. SANTA ANA,	12:07PM
26	MS. VANEVERY, AND MR. MAYBERRY WERE THIRD PARTIES WHO	
27	WOULD BENEFIT FROM THE FEE SHARING POOL?	
28	A OF COURSE.	
		ii

1	Q AND TO WHAT EXTENT, IF AT ALL, DID TCW EXPRESS	
2	TO YOU THAT IT INTENDED THE POOL TO BENEFIT PEOPLE LIKE	
3	THAT?	
4	A I'M SORRY?	
5	Q TO WHAT EXTENT DID TCW EXPRESS TO YOU THAT IT	12:07PM
6	UNDERSTOOD THAT IT INTENDED THE POOL TO BENEFIT PEOPLE	
7	LIKE MR. SANTA ANA, MR. MAYBERRY, MS. VANEVERY?	
8	A FULLY.	
9	Q NOW, DID MR. SANTA ANA, MS. VANEVERY, AND	
10	MR. MAYBERRY RECEIVE FEE SHARING PAYMENTS 2008?	12:08PM
11	A YES.	
12	Q TO WHAT EXTENT AT ALL, IF AT ALL, WAS IT	
13	GENERAL KNOWLEDGE IN YOUR GROUP THAT MEMBERS OF THE	
14	GROUP WERE ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE FEE SHARING ALLOCATIONS,	
15	AS PART OF THEIR BONUSES?	12:08PM
16	MR. MADISON: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION.	
17	SPECULATION.	
18	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
19	YOU CAN LAY THE FOUNDATION.	
20	BY MR. HELM:	12:08PM
21	Q WELL, WAS IT DID YOU COMMUNICATE TO OTHERS	
22	IN THE GROUP THAT THEY WERE ELIGIBLE FOR BONUSES AND	
23	THAT THE BONUSES WOULD COME FROM A PORTION OF THE FEE	
24	SHARING POOL THAT YOU ALLOCATED TO?	
25	A YES, VERY SPECIFICALLY.	12:08PM
26	Q WAS THAT CLEAR, A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING IN THE	
27	GROUP, AS FAR AS YOU UNDERSTOOD?	
28	A I THINK IT WAS CRYSTAL CLEAR.	

NOW, DID YOU MAKE IT CLEAR TO PEOPLE IN YOUR 1 2 GROUP THAT YOU HAD THE SOLE AUTHORITY TO DECIDE WHETHER 3 OR TO WHAT EXTENT THEY GOT A FEE SHARING ALLOCATION? 4 THAT'S THE MESSAGE I GAVE, YES. 5 DID YOU EVER INDICATE TO PEOPLE IN YOUR GROUP, 12:08PM 6 THAT, EVEN IF YOU THOUGHT THEY SHOULD GET A FEE SHARING 7 ALLOCATION, THAT TCW HAD DISCRETION TO DENY THEM ONE? 8 NO. I TOLD THEM THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE 9 DISCRETION TO DENY THEM ONE. 10 OF COURSE, IF TCW WANTED TO GIVE THEM 12:09PM 11 MORE MONEY OUT OF THEIR PIECE OF THE PIE, THEY WERE 12 WELCOME TO DO THAT, BUT THAT NEVER HAPPENED. 1.3 BUT, DID YOU EVER INDICATE TO THEM THAT IF YOU WANTED THEM TO RECEIVE A PORTION OF THE FEE SHARING 14 15 POOL, THAT SOMEHOW TCW COULD VETO THAT AND SAY NO, EVEN 12:09PM 16 THOUGH JEFFREY WANTS YOU TO GET SOMETHING FROM THE FEE 17 SHARING POOL, TCW OVERRIDES THAT? DID YOU EVER SAY 18 THAT? 19 NO. I TOLD THEM THE OPPOSITE. 20 DID ANYONE, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, FROM TCW EVER 12:09PM 21 TELL PEOPLE IN YOUR GROUP THAT, EVEN IF YOU WANTED TO 22 ALLOCATE THEM A PORTION OF FEE SHARING, THAT SOMEHOW 23 TCW HAD THE AUTHORITY TO SAY NO? 24 NO. THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO SAY NO. A 25 NOW, THIS NEW ARRANGEMENT THAT WE'VE BEEN 12:09PM 26 DISCUSSING, DID IT HAVE ANY EFFECT ON PHIL BARACH'S 2.7 COMPENSATION?

28

A YES.

1	Q WHAT WAS THE EFFECT?	
2	A I THINK THE EFFECT WAS TO REDUCE IT.	
3	Q WHAT WAS THE IMPEDANCE FOR DECREASING	
4	MR. BARACH'S COMPENSATION?	
5	A BILL SONNEBORN CAME TO ME AND HE SAID THAT,	12:10PM
6	JEFFREY, I WOULD NEVER GO AFTER YOUR COMPENSATION, BUT	
7	PHIL SHOULDN'T BE COMPENSATED AS CLOSELY TO YOU AS HE	
8	IS.	
9	AND IT WOULD HELP OUT THE FIRM TO GET	
10	MORE PROFITABILITY IF PHIL HAD COMPENSATION THAT WASN'T	12:10PM
11	SO SIMILAR TO YOURS.	
12	AND I MENTIONED THAT TO PHIL. AND PHIL	
13	SORT OF SAW THE LOGIC OF IT. AND THEN HE AND SONNEBORN	
14	HAD SOME CONVERSATIONS ABOUT IT.	
15	Q WHEN DID THIS CONVERSATION TAKE PLACE, THE	12:10PM
16	FIRST ONE YOU MENTIONED WITH MR. SONNEBORN?	
17	A IT WAS VERY EARLY. IT MAY HAVE BEEN EARLY	
18	'07. MAYBE EVEN LATE '06. IT WAS SORT OF THE RE	
19	REASON ONE OF THE REASONS WHY HE WANTED TO START THE	
20	NEGOTIATION WAS THIS WAS A PART OF IT. IT MATTERED TO	12:11PM
21	HIM.	
22	Q TO HIM, WHO?	
23	A BILL SONNEBORN.	
24	Q LET'S LOOK AT EXHIBIT 38 ALREADY IN EVIDENCE.	
25	MAY I APPROACH, YOUR HONOR?	12:11PM
26	THE COURT: YES, YOU MAY.	
27	MR. HELM: ACTUALLY, I THINK IT MAY BE IN YOUR	
28	BOOK.	

DO YOU HAVE THAT, STEVE, 38 IN THE BOOK? MR. MADISON: THANK YOU. MR. HELM: I MAY APPROACH. WE'LL IT HAVE ON THE SCREEN IF THE COURT	
MR. HELM: I MAY APPROACH.	
WE'LL IT HAVE ON THE SCREEN IF THE COURT	
LIKES. I HAVE IT.	12:11PM
THE COURT: JUST PUT IT UP.	
BY MR. HELM:	
Q THIS IS IN EVIDENCE.	
NOW, THIS IS AN E-MAIL FROM	
MR. SONNEBORN, TO MR. SULLIVAN, CC-ING MR. DEVITO,	12:11PM
MR. CAHILL, MR. BEYER; SUBJECT, PHIL BARACH.	
FIRST, LET ME ASK.	
ARE YOU COPIED ON THIS E-MAIL?	
A NO, I'M NOT.	
Q DID YOU EVER SEE THIS E-MAIL BEFORE THIS	12:11PM
LITIGATION?	
A NO.	
Q NOW, IT SAYS:	
BOB AND I MET WITH JEFFREY TO	
DISCUSS PHIL BARACH, GIVEN HIS	12:12PM
CONTRACT ENDS AT THE END OF THE	
MONTH.	
IT THEN SAYS:	
JEFFREY WAS SUPPORTIVE OF THE	
FOLLOWING FOR PHIL GOING FORWARD.	12:12PM
DO YOU SEE THAT?	
A I DO.	
Q THEN IT SAYS:	
	BY MR. HELM: Q THIS IS IN EVIDENCE. NOW, THIS IS AN E-MAIL FROM MR. SONNEBORN, TO MR. SULLIVAN, CC-ING MR. DEVITO, MR. CAHILL, MR. BEYER; SUBJECT, PHIL BARACH. FIRST, LET ME ASK. ARE YOU COPIED ON THIS E-MAIL? A NO, I'M NOT. Q DID YOU EVER SEE THIS E-MAIL BEFORE THIS LITIGATION? A NO. Q NOW, IT SAYS: BOB AND I MET WITH JEFFREY TO DISCUSS PHIL BARACH, GIVEN HIS CONTRACT ENDS AT THE END OF THE MONTH. IT THEN SAYS: JEFFREY WAS SUPPORTIVE OF THE FOLLOWING FOR PHIL GOING FORWARD. DO YOU SEE THAT? A I DO.

1	ONE, NO NEW CONTRACT, AT-WILL	
2	EMPLOYEE WHERE COMPENSATION AND	
3	STATUS COULD CHANGE AT ANY TIME.	
4	WAS THAT SOMETHING THAT MR. BEYER OR	
5	MR. SONNEBORN DISCUSSED WITH YOU IN THOSE TERMS WHEN	12:12PM
6	THEY TALKED TO YOU?	
7	A NO.	
8	Q WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DO YOU REMEMBER DISCUSSING	
9	WITH THEM ON THE SUBJECT OF WHETHER MR. BARACH WOULD	
10	GET A NEW CONTRACT?	12:12PM
11	A NOTHING.	
12	Q WHAT IF WERE YOU GENERALLY INVOLVED IN THE	
13	NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN TCW AND MR. BARACH CONCERNING THE	
14	TERMS OF HIS COMPENSATION OR HIS EMPLOYMENT	
15	ARRANGEMENTS?	12:12PM
16	A IT CHANGED OVER THE YEARS, BUT ANYWHERE CLOSE	
17	TO THIS DATE, NO.	
18	Q NOW, YOU MENTIONED THAT YOU RAISED WITH	
19	MR. BARACH THE POSSIBILITY OF HIS COMPENSATION GOING	
20	DOWN, AND THEN YOU SAID HE WENT AND TALKED TO	12:13PM
21	MR. SONNEBORN.	
22	DID I HEAR THAT RIGHT?	
23	A THAT'S WHAT I REMEMBER.	
24	Q SO, AFTER THAT INITIAL OVERTURE YOU MADE TO	
25	MR. BARACH, WERE YOU INVOLVED IN THE DISCUSSIONS OR	12:13PM
26	NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN TCW AND MR. BARACH ABOUT WHETHER	
27	HE WOULD HAVE A NEW CONTRACTOR, WHAT HIS STATUS WOULD	
28	BE?	

A I DON'T THINK SO.	
Q NOW, IT THEN SAYS, AFTER IT SAYS, JEFFREY WAS	
SUPPORTIVE OF THE FOLLOWING NO. 2, IT SAYS:	
BRINGING HIS COMPENSATION DOWN	
ON A RUN RATE BASIS INCLUDING THE	12:13PM
DEALS WHICH HAVE RECENTLY OR WILL	
SHORTLY CLOSE TO SOMETHING IN THE	
RANGE OF 7 MILLION TO \$8 MILLION	
PER YEAR FROM ITS CURRENT LEVEL.	
THEN IT GOES ON.	12:13PM
WHOSE IDEA WAS IT TO BRING MR. BARACH'S	
COMPENSATION DOWN TO THAT LEVEL?	
A THAT WAS BILL SONNEBORN'S GOAL. I REMEMBER	
HIM USING THOSE NUMBERS WHEN HE HAD THE CONVERSATION	
ABOUT REDUCING PHIL'S COMPENSATION. I REMEMBER SORT	12:14PM
OF REMEMBER HIM SAYING 6- TO \$8 MILLION.	
Q WHAT WAS YOUR REACTION TO THAT WHEN HE SAID IT	
TO YOU?	
A I THINK I SAID, GOOD LUCK; I DON'T THINK HE'LL	
AGREE TO IT.	12:14PM
Q DID YOU THINK IT WAS REALISTIC TO THINK THAT	
WOULD BE AGREED TO?	
A I THOUGHT IT WAS AGGRESSIVE TO BE THINKING YOU	
WERE GOING TO GET HIM TO AGREE TO A 50 PERCENT	
COMPENSATION CUT WHEN THE BUSINESS WAS BOOMING.	12:14PM
Q NOW, IT SAYS HERE YOU WEREN'T COPIED ON	
THIS:	
JEFFREY WAS SUPPORTIVE OF THE	
	Q NOW, IT THEN SAYS, AFTER IT SAYS, JEFFREY WAS SUPPORTIVE OF THE FOLLOWING NO. 2, IT SAYS: BRINGING HIS COMPENSATION DOWN ON A RUN RATE BASIS INCLUDING THE DEALS WHICH HAVE RECENTLY OR WILL SHORTLY CLOSE TO SOMETHING IN THE RANGE OF 7 MILLION TO \$8 MILLION PER YEAR FROM ITS CURRENT LEVEL. THEN IT GOES ON. WHOSE IDEA WAS IT TO BRING MR. BARACH'S COMPENSATION DOWN TO THAT LEVEL? A THAT WAS BILL SONNEBORN'S GOAL. I REMEMBER HIM USING THOSE NUMBERS WHEN HE HAD THE CONVERSATION ABOUT REDUCING PHIL'S COMPENSATION. I REMEMBER SORT OF REMEMBER HIM SAYING 6- TO \$8 MILLION. Q WHAT WAS YOUR REACTION TO THAT WHEN HE SAID IT TO YOU? A I THINK I SAID, GOOD LUCK; I DON'T THINK HE'LL AGREE TO IT. Q DID YOU THINK IT WAS REALISTIC TO THINK THAT WOULD BE AGREED TO? A I THOUGHT IT WAS AGGRESSIVE TO BE THINKING YOU WERE GOING TO GET HIM TO AGREE TO A 50 PERCENT COMPENSATION CUT WHEN THE BUSINESS WAS BOOMING. Q NOW, IT SAYS HERE YOU WEREN'T COPIED ON THIS:

1	FOLLOWING:	
2	WERE YOU THE INITIATOR OF THIS IDEA?	
3	A NO.	
4	Q ALL RIGHT.	
5	WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THE 2007	12:14PM
6	DISCUSSIONS YOU HAD EXCHANGED OF SPREADSHEETS AND SO	
7	FORTH.	
8	DID YOU ULTIMATELY REACH AN AGREEMENT ON	
9	THE SUBJECT YOU WERE DISCUSSING?	
10	A YES.	12:14PM
11	Q AND DID TCW EXPRESS TO YOU ANY VIEWS ON THE	
12	NEW DEAL THAT YOU MADE?	
13	A YES, THEY DID.	
14	Q WHAT DID THEY SAY?	
15	A THEY WERE EXTREMELY PLEASED WITH THE	12:15PM
16	COMPENSATION ARRANGEMENT.	
17	Q LET'S LOOK AT EXHIBIT 5036 BRIEFLY. IT'S IN	
18	EVIDENCE. BRIEFLY.	
19	THIS IS AN E-MAIL MR. BEYER SENT YOU ON	
20	MAY THE 1ST.	12:15PM
21	A YES.	
22	Q IT SAYS:	
23	DEAR JEFFREY:	
24	I JUST WANT YOU TO KNOW HOW GOOD	
25	I FEEL ABOUT THE NEW DEAL,	12:15PM
26	ESPECIALLY YOUR APPROACH TO ITS	
27	PRESENTATION. AND YOUR REALISTIC	
28	AND CONSIDERATE WAY OF TRYING TO	

1	MAKE IT A WIN/WIN.	
2	IS THAT CONSISTENT WITH OTHER COMMENTS	
3	THAT YOU HEARD FROM TCW REGARDING THE NEW DEAL THAT WAS	
4	MADE IN EARLY MAY?	
5	A YES, IT IS.	12:15PM
6	Q NOW, WAS A DOCUMENT CIRCULATED TO MEMORIALIZE	
7	THE AGREEMENT THAT YOU HAD MADE?	
8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET'S TAKE OUR SECOND	
9	RECESS.	
10	20 MINUTES, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN.	12:15PM
11		
12	(PROCEEDINGS HELD OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.) +	
13		
14	THE COURT: WE'RE OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE	
15	JURY.	12:16PM
16	ANY MATTERS ANYBODY WISHES TO TAKE UP?	
17	MR. QUINN: NO.	
18	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.	
19		
20	(RECESS.)	12:16PM
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	CASE NUMBER:	BC429385	
2	CASE NAME:	TRUST COMPANY OF THE WEST VS.	
3		JEFFREY GUNDLACH, ET AL	
4	LOS ANGELES,	WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 7, 2011	
5	CALIFORNIA		
6	DEPARTMENT 322	HON. CARL J. WEST, JUDGE	
7	APPEARANCES:	(AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)	
8	REPORTER:	WENDY OILLATAGUERRE, CSR #10978	
9	TIME:	12:39 P.M.	
10			
11			
12	(THE)	FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS	
13	WERE	HELD IN OPEN COURT IN	
14	THE 1	PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)	
15			12:40PM
16	THE COURT:	OKAY. IN THE TCW VERSUS GUNDLACH	
17	MATTER ALL COUNSEL AN	RE PRESENT AS ARE ALL MEMBERS OF	
18	OUR JURY.		
19	MR. GU	JNDLACH IS ON THE STAND.	
20	AND MI	R. HELM, YOU MAY CONTINUE WITH YOUR	12:40PM
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION.		
22	MR. HELM:	THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
23			
24			
25	DIREC	CT EXAMINATION	12:40PM
26			
27	BY MR. HELM:		
28	Q. JUST TO CLAI	RIFY SOMETHING BEFORE WE GO ON WITH	

RESPECT TO THE PHIL BARACH DISCUSSIONS. 1 2 NOW, AFTER THE CONVERSATIONS THAT YOU 3 HAVE DESCRIBED, DID YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSIONS 4 WITH MR. BARACH ABOUT ALLOCATING HIM A PORTION FROM THE 5 FEE SHARING POOL GOING FORWARD AFTER 2007? 12:40PM A. YES, I DID. 6 7 NOW, WITH RESPECT TO THE ISSUE THOUGH OF 8 WHETHER HE HAD A CONTRACT WITH TCW OR WHETHER HE WAS AN 9 AT-WILL EMPLOYEE, IS THAT SOMETHING THAT YOU WERE INVOLVED IN DISCUSSIONS WITH HIM ABOUT? 10 12:40PM 11 A. NO. 12 NOW, IS THAT SOMETHING THAT YOU KNOW HE Q. 13 DISCUSSED WITH MR. SONNEBORN OR OTHERS AT TCW, OR DO YOU ASSUME THAT THERE WERE DISCUSSIONS AND THAT THEY 14 15 TOOK PLACE? 12:41PM 16 I WAS ASSUMING THAT THEY TOOK PLACE. 17 SO YOU DON'T -- SINCE YOU WEREN'T PART OF THE Q. DISCUSSIONS YOU DON'T KNOW WHO, IF ANYONE, HE DISCUSSED 18 19 THAT TOPIC WITH AT TCW? 20 Α. NO, I DON'T. 12:41PM 21 Ο. NOW, IF WE COULD -- WE TALKED ABOUT 22 CIRCULATING A DOCUMENT TO MEMORIALIZE YOUR AGREEMENT. 23 IF WE COULD LOOK AT EXHIBIT 2150 WHICH 24 IS IN EVIDENCE.

12:41PM

28 A. YES.

RIGHT?

25

26

27

NOW, THIS WAS A DRAFT THAT WAS

CIRCULATED TO YOU BY MR. CAHILL ON MAY THE 3RD; IS THAT

IF WE COULD LOOK AT -- WE'VE BEEN LOOKING AT 1 Q. 2 2150B. 3 DENNIS, IF YOU COULD PUT THAT UP, WHICH 4 IS A BETTER VERSION OF THE ATTACHMENT TO THAT. 5 IF YOU WOULD FIRST LOOK AT PAGE 5 OF 12:41PM THAT EXHIBIT, IS THAT THE BEGINNING OF THE DRAFT THAT 6 7 WAS CIRCULATED TO YOU? YES, IT IS. 8 Α. 9 Q. NOW, IT SAYS UNDER "TERM", (READING): 10 THE TERM WILL GO TO THE CLOSE 12:42PM 11 OF BUSINESS DECEMBER 31, 2011. 12 IS THAT CONSISTENT WITH THE AGREEMENT 13 THAT YOU HAD MADE WITH MR. SONNEBORN? YES. 14 Α. 15 Q. AND IF YOU GO TO 2150B-7, PAGE SEVEN OF THIS, 12:42PM 16 SECTION 6A WOULD YOU LOOK AT THAT? 17 NOW, THAT IS -- IS THAT THE SAME 18 LANGUAGE THAT WE'VE SEEN FROM YOUR PRIOR EMPLOYMENT 19 AGREEMENTS CONCERNING WHEN YOU MAY BE TERMINATED; GROSS 20 MISCONDUCT, MATERIAL BREACH, AND SO FORTH? 12:42PM 21 Α. YES, IT'S THE SAME. 22 BUT THIS IS SOMETHING THAT YOU DIDN'T DISCUSS Ο. 23 WITH MR. SONNEBORN, SPECIFICALLY? 24 A. NO. AGAIN, THIS HAD BEEN THE BASIS OF WORKING 25 TOGETHER FOR TWO DECADES. WE DIDN'T NEED TO TALK ABOUT 12:43PM 26 IT ANYMORE.

Q. NOW, IF YOU GO TO THE BOTTOM OF SECTION SIX,

WE AGAIN HAVE THAT PARAGRAPH, AGAIN, IT SAYS (READING):

YOUR COMPENSATION INCLUDING 1 2 ANY BASE DRAW, ANY AMOUNT OF PROFIT 3 SHARING, AND ADDITIONAL BENEFITS 4 WILL CEASE WHEN TERMINATION OCCURS 5 EXCEPT -- AND THEN IT SAYS TWO --12:43PM THE COMPANY WILL PAY YOU YOUR BASE 6 7 SALARY AND ANY AMOUNT OF PROFIT 8 SHARING, PLUS ACCRUED VACATION, ACCRUED TO THE DATE OF TERMINATION 9 10 IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE COMPANY'S 12:43PM 11 POLICIES. 12 DO YOU SEE THAT? 13 Α. YES. 14 NOW, IT USED TO SAY IT WILL PAY YOU ANY 15 COMPENSATION ACCRUED. NOW, IT SAYS BASE SALARY AND ANY 12:43PM 16 AMOUNT OF PROFIT SHARING, DO YOU SEE THAT? 17 Α. YES. 18 NOW, FIRST, JUST TO CLARIFY, DID YOU GET A Q. 19 SALARY IN THE NORMAL SENSE AT THIS POINT? 20 Α. NO, I DIDN'T. 12:44PM 21 IN WHAT WAY WAS -- WHAT WOULD YOU CHARACTERIZE Ο. 22 THE THING THAT YOU GOT SORT OF MONTHLY? 23 WELL, I GOT A BI-WEEKLY CHECK THAT WAS WHAT WE Α. 24 CALL A DRAW. AND IT'S JUST A LOAN, REALLY, AGAINST 25 WHAT WOULD COME MY WAY FROM THE FEE SHARING. 12:44PM 26 SO MY COMPENSATION WAS REALLY NOTHING 27 BUT FEE SHARING. 28 Q. SO WHEN IT SAYS THAT WE'LL PAY YOU YOUR BASE

THANK

SALARY AND ANY AMOUNT OF PROFIT SHARING, WAS THAT THE	
SAME AS, OR DIFFERENT FROM, YOUR COMPENSATION AS YOU	
UNDERSTOOD IT?	
A. IT'S A GOOD EXPLANATION, GENERALLY	
SPEAKING, COMPENSATION.	12:44PM
Q. DID YOU GET ANY COMPENSATION OTHER THAN PROFIT	
SHARING OR BASE SALARY AT THAT POINT?	
A. I DIDN'T GET A BASE SALARY. SO I DIDN'T GET	
ANY COMPENSATION OTHER THAN FEE SHARING.	
Q. OKAY.	12:44PM
NOW, PROFIT SHARING IS DEFINED ON PAGE	
SIX OF THE DOCUMENT, IF WE COULD GO TO THAT. TOP IN B,	
IT SAYS (READING):	
DURING THE TERM, YOU ARE	
ENTITLED TO RECEIVE THE RESIDUAL	12:45PM
AMOUNT OF THE MULTI-SECTOR FIXED	
INCOME PROFIT SHARING POOL AS	
DEFINED IN EXHIBIT A TO THIS	
AGREEMENT, YOUR PROFIT SHARING. DO	
YOU SEE THAT?	12:45PM
A. YES.	
Q. AND SO THAT WAS WHERE THEY DEFINED PROFIT	
SHARING; IS THAT RIGHT?	
MR. MADISON: OBJECTION. VAGUE.	
THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	12:45PM
Q. BY MR. HELM: WELL, LET'S GO BACK TO PAGE SIX,	
IF WE COULD. EXCUSE ME, PAGE SEVEN, I MEANT. MY	
	SAME AS, OR DIFFERENT FROM, YOUR COMPENSATION AS YOU UNDERSTOOD IT? A. IT'S A GOOD EXPLANATION, GENERALLY SPEAKING, COMPENSATION. Q. DID YOU GET ANY COMPENSATION OTHER THAN PROFIT SHARING OR BASE SALARY AT THAT POINT? A. I DIDN'T GET A BASE SALARY. SO I DIDN'T GET ANY COMPENSATION OTHER THAN FEE SHARING. Q. OKAY. NOW, PROFIT SHARING IS DEFINED ON PAGE SIX OF THE DOCUMENT, IF WE COULD GO TO THAT. TOP IN B, IT SAYS (READING): DURING THE TERM, YOU ARE ENTITLED TO RECEIVE THE RESIDUAL AMOUNT OF THE MULTI-SECTOR FIXED INCOME PROFIT SHARING POOL AS DEFINED IN EXHIBIT A TO THIS AGREEMENT, YOUR PROFIT SHARING. DO YOU SEE THAT? A. YES. Q. AND SO THAT WAS WHERE THEY DEFINED PROFIT SHARING; IS THAT RIGHT? MR. MADISON: OBJECTION. VAGUE. THE COURT: SUSTAINED. Q. BY MR. HELM: WELL, LET'S GO BACK TO PAGE SIX,

THE HIGHLIGHTED VERSION. RIGHT THERE.

1	YOU.	
2	SO WHEN IT SAYS THE COMPANY WILL PAY YOU	
3	YOUR BASE SALARY AND ANY AMOUNT OF PROFIT SHARING PLUS	
4	ACCRUED VACATION, ACCRUED TO THE DATE OF TERMINATION IN	
5	ACCORDANCE WITH THE COMPANY'S POLICIES, WAS THERE EVER	12:45PM
6	ANY DISCUSSION THAT TCW INTENDED THIS TO HAVE ANY	
7	DIFFERENT MEANING FROM THE PROVISION THAT HAD BEEN	
8	CONTAINED IN YOUR AGREEMENTS SINCE 1989?	
9	A. NO.	
10	Q. NOW, LET'S GO TO PAGE SIX, IF WE COULD. IT	12:46PM
11	SAYS	
12	IF YOU COULD BLOW UP C, PLEASE.	
13	AT THE TOP IT SAYS, (READING):	
14	YOU WILL BE PRIMARILY	
15	RESPONSIBLE FOR DETERMINING AND	12:46PM
16	ALLOCATING COMPENSATION OF	
17	EMPLOYEES.	
18	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
19	A. YES.	
20	Q. AND THEN DOWN BELOW IT SAYS, YOU AGREE THAT NO	12:46PM
21	ALLOCATIONS DO YOU SEE THAT?	
22	A. YES.	
23	Q. (READING):	
24	YOU AGREE THAT NO ALLOCATIONS	
25	OF COMPENSATION	12:47PM
26	RIGHT THERE IN THE MIDDLE, DENNIS.	
27	THERE YOU ARE.	
28	THAT NO ALLOCATIONS OF	

1	COMPENSATION TO EMPLOYEES IN THE	
2	MULTI-SECTOR FIXED INCOME GROUP	
3	WILL BE VESTED SO AS TO CONFER UPON	
4	ANY PERSON THE RIGHT TO RECEIVE ANY	
5	AMOUNT AFTER SUCH PERSON'S	12:47PM
6	TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT.	
7	WELL, FIRST OF ALL, DID YOU UNDERSTAND	
8	THIS TO BE REFERRING TO ALLOCATIONS THAT YOU MADE TO	
9	EMPLOYEES?	
10	A. YES.	12:47PM
11	Q. DID YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THIS APPLIED TO WHAT	
12	YOUR RIGHTS WERE IN THE PROFIT SHARING POOL?	
13	A. NO.	
14	Q. NOW, IT SPEAKS OF THE RIGHT TO RECEIVE ANY	
15	AMOUNT AFTER SUCH PERSON'S TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT	12:47PM
16	WITH THE COMPANY.	
17	DID YOU HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING WHETHER	
18	THIS HAD ANY APPLICATION TO AMOUNTS THAT WERE EARNED BY	
19	AN EMPLOYEE BEFORE THE EMPLOYEE WAS TERMINATED?	
20	A. NO.	12:48PM
21	Q. NOW, IF WE COULD LOOK AT SECTION D DOWN THERE,	
22	THANK YOU.	
23	IT SAYS, STARTING IN THE MIDDLE, THIRD	
24	LINE DOWN AT THE END. (READING):	
25	THE MULTI-SECTOR FIXED INCOME	12:48PM
26	PROFIT SHARING POOL IS SOLELY AN	
27	ACCOUNTING MECHANISM FOR	
28	DETERMINING COMPENSATION PAYABLE TO	

YOU AND OTHER PERSONS, AND WILL NOT 1 2 GIVE YOU OR ANY OTHER PERSON ANY 3 RIGHT, TITLE, OR INTEREST IN ANY 4 FUND OR ANY SPECIFIC ASSETS OF TCW 5 BY REASON OF PARTICIPATING IN OR 12:48PM BEING ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE PAYMENTS 6 7 COMPUTED WITH REFERENCE TO SUCH 8 PROFIT SHARING POOL. 9 DO YOU SEE THAT? 10 A. YES. 12:48PM 11 ARE YOU MAKING ANY CLAIM IN THIS LITIGATION 12 FOR ANY RIGHT, TITLE OR INTEREST IN ANY FUND OR ANY 13 SPECIFIC ASSETS OF TCW? 14 Α. NO. 15 Q. WHAT ARE YOU CLAIMING? 12:49PM 16 Α. I'M CLAIMING COMPENSATION THAT WAS NOT PAID TO 17 ME. 18 NOW, IT SAYS THE POOL IS SOLELY AN ACCOUNTING Q. 19 MECHANISM FOR DETERMINING COMPENSATION PAYABLE TO YOU 20 AND OTHER PERSONS. 12:49PM 21 ARE YOU CLAIMING THAT COMPENSATION IS 22 PAYABLE TO YOU AS PART OF YOUR CLAIM IN THIS MATTER? 23 A. YES. 24 Q. ALL RIGHT. IF WE COULD LOOK AT EXHIBIT 60. 25 IT'S IN EVIDENCE. 12:49PM 26 THIS IS -- AT THE TOP THIS IS WHEN 27 MR. CAHILL RESENDS THE DRAFT TO YOU ON ABOUT MAY THE

28

21ST.

1	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
2	A. YES.	
3	Q. HAD YOU SIGNED THE AGREEMENT IN THE INTERIM?	
4	A. NO.	
5	Q. NOW, YOU DISCUSSED WITH TCW, I BELIEVE YOU	12:49PM
6	SAID THAT THIS WOULD BE FOR A FIVE-YEAR TERM STARTING	
7	JANUARY 1, 2007; IS THAT RIGHT?	
8	A. YES.	
9	Q. SO STARTING IN JANUARY, BUT BY NOW IT'S	
10	ALREADY APRIL OR MAY OF 2007; IS THAT RIGHT?	12:50PM
11	A. IT'S MAY 21ST.	
12	Q. SO DID YOU DISCUSS WELL, LET ME PUT IT THIS	
13	WAY: QUARTERLY FEE SHARING PAYMENTS CAME OUT WHEN?	
14	A. THEY CAME OUT	
15	Q. FOR THE FIRST QUARTER?	12:50PM
16	A. THE FIRST QUARTER FEE SHARING WAS PAID THE	
17	LAST BUSINESS DAY OF MAY.	
18	Q. SO AS OF THE END OF MAY YOU HAD NOT SIGNED THE	
19	DOCUMENT THAT HAD BEEN CIRCULATED, HAD YOU?	
20	A. NO, I HADN'T.	12:50PM
21	Q. DID YOU HAVE ANY DISCUSSIONS WITH TCW ABOUT	
22	WHETHER YOU SHOULD PROCEED UNDER THE NEW AGREEMENT EVEN	
23	WITHOUT A SIGNED DOCUMENT?	
24	A. YES.	
25	Q. LET'S LOOK AT EXHIBIT 61, WHICH IS IN	12:50PM
26	EVIDENCE.	
27	AND WE'VE SEEN THIS. I WON'T DWELL ON	

IT, THE MIDDLE E-MAIL FROM GUNDLACH.

THIS IS WHERE YOU SAY, YES, WE SHOULD GO 1 2 UNDER THE NEW ARRANGEMENT. EVERYONE HAS AGREED TO 3 EVERYTHING IN GOOD FAITH. 4 AND SO, WHAT WERE YOU EXPRESSING AT THAT 5 TIME? 12:51PM 6 A. THAT WE HAD AN ARRANGEMENT. 7 Ο. AND THAT THE PAYMENTS SHOULD PROCEED UNDER THE 8 NEW ARRANGEMENT? 9 Α. YES. Q. NOW, MR. QUINN ASKED YOU, I JUST WANT TO NOTE 10 12:51PM 11 THE DATE OF THIS. THIS IS MAY THE 25TH AT 4:20 P.M.; 12 IS THAT RIGHT? 13 Α. YES. 14 NOW, MR. QUINN ASKED MR. SULLIVAN ABOUT AN 15 EXHIBIT WHICH IS IN EVIDENCE, IT'S EXHIBIT 58. 12:51PM 16 WOULD YOU PLEASE SHOW THAT. 17 NOW, THAT IS THE -- THE TOP ONE IS FROM 18 YOU TO PETE SULLIVAN, CORRECT? 19 Α. YES. 12:51PM 20 Ο. AND THAT'S MAY THE 17TH; IS THAT RIGHT? 21 Α. YES. 22 SO THAT'S BEFORE THE E-MAIL YOU SENT SAYING 0. 23 EVERYONE HAS AGREED TO EVERYTHING IN GOOD FAITH; IS 24 THAT CORRECT? 25 YES, IT IS. Α. 12:52PM 26 NOW, MR. QUINN POINTED YOU TO THE FIRST --

POINTED MR. SULLIVAN TO THE FIRST SENTENCE THAT SAYS,

THE NEW CONTRACT DEAL HAS NOT BEEN FINALIZED, BUT I

27

1	THINK IT WILL BE BY MAY THE 31ST.	
2	DID YOU WRITE THAT AT THAT TIME?	
3	A. YES.	
4	Q. WAS THE DEAL, IN FACT, FINALIZED BEFORE MAY	
5	31ST AS YOU SAID YOU THOUGHT IT WOULD BE?	12:52PM
6	A. I THINK IT WAS.	
7	Q. AND DID YOU COMMUNICATE THAT TO ANYONE AT TCW?	
8	A. I THINK I DID.	
9	Q. LET'S LOOK AT EXHIBIT 5039, WHICH IS NOT IN	
10	EVIDENCE YET.	12:52PM
11	IS THIS AN E-MAIL THAT YOU SENT TO	
12	MR. BARACH WITH A COPY TO MR. SONNEBORN ON MAY THE	
13	25TH, AT 8:41 P.M.?	
14	A. YES.	
15	MR. HELM: MOVE IT INTO EVIDENCE, YOUR HONOR?	12:53PM
16	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	
17	MR. MADISON: HEARSAY, YOUR HONOR.	
18	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
19		
20	(EXHIBIT 5039 ADMITTED.)	12:53PM
21		
22	Q. BY MR. HELM: SHOW IT TO THE JURY, PLEASE.	
23	ALL RIGHT. THIS IS FROM YOU TO	
24	MR. BARACH; COPY TO MR. SONNEBORN. MAY 25TH, AT 8:00	
25	P.M. THAT'S BEEN FOUR HOURS AFTER THE E-MAIL WE SAW	12:53PM
26	EARLIER EVERYONE HAS AGREED TO EVERYTHING IN GOOD	
27	FAITH; IS THAT RIGHT?	
28	A. THAT'S RIGHT.	

1	Q. NOW, WHAT DOES THE SUBJECT LINE SAY?	
2	A. FINAL ARRANGEMENTS.	
3	Q. ALL RIGHT. IT SAYS, (READING):	
4	I MET WITH BILL THIS	
5	AFTERNOON, AND WAS ABLE TO	12:53PM
6	NEGOTIATE THE \$1 MILLION INCREASE	
7	IN YOUR 2007 COMPENSATION FIGURE	
8	RELATIVE TO OUR EARLIER DISCUSSION	
9	WHICH WE AGREED TO TODAY AS THE WAY	
10	TO PROTECT YOUR INTERESTS IN LIGHT	12:53PM
11	OF THE BIZARRE REVENUE PROJECTION	
12	ERROR.	
13	WOULD YOU THEN READ THE SECOND SENTENCE	
14	OF THAT E-MAIL?	
15	A. IT SAYS, "SO I CAN REPORT THAT THE DEAL IS NOW	12:53PM
16	FINALIZED."	
17	Q. NOW, DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU SHOOK	
18	HANDS WITH ANYBODY OVER A DEAL IN 2007?	
19	A. YES, I DID.	
20	Q. WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THE CIRCUMSTANCES ABOUT OF	12:54PM
21	THAT?	
22	A. WE WERE IN BILL SONNEBORN'S OFFICE. BILL	
23	SONNEBORN, BOB BEYER, AND I. I BELIEVE IT WAS THIS	
24	VERY DAY, MAY 25TH, AND WE WENT OVER THE CASES AGAIN.	
25	AND SOME ITEMS ON SMALL ITEMS ON COMPENSATION, LOOSE	12:54PM
26	ENDS. AND BOB BEYER STOOD UP AND I STOOD UP AND BILL	
27	SONNEBORN STOOD UP, AND WE ALL SHOOK HANDS ON THE DEAL.	
0.0		

AT THIS TIME WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT A

28

Q.

DREAM CASE OR AN ULTRA DREAM CASE? 1 2 Α. THAT WAS MY LABELS -- SOME OF THE LABELED FOR 3 THE CASES I HAD MADE FOR FUTURE BUSINESS GROWTH. Q. IF WE COULD LOOK AT EXHIBIT 5034. 4 5 I BELIEVE IT'S IN EVIDENCE. WELL, 12:55PM ACTUALLY, LET'S TAKE IT OFF. COULD WE CHECK THAT? 6 7 WOULD JUST SHOW IT. 8 THE CLERK: IT'S IN EVIDENCE, COUNSEL. 9 MR. HELM: IT IS? THANK YOU. 10 COULD WE SHOW IT THEN? 12:55PM 11 IT SAYS, IF YOU GO TO PAGE -- THIS IS JUST AN 12 EXAMPLE OF SPREADSHEETS, BUT PAGE SIX OF THE DOCUMENT. 13 AND DO YOU SEE --14 I DON'T KNOW IF YOU CAN BLOW UP THE TEXT 15 THERE. THERE IT IS. THANK YOU. 12:55PM NOW, IT SAYS, DO YOU SEE ON THE --16 17 THERE'S SOME BOXES AT THE TOP, BASE CASE WITH FEES AT 18 ONE NUMBER; BASE CASE WITH FEES AT ANOTHER NUMBER. 19 THEN IT SAYS DREAM CASE WITH FEES AT 262 MILLION; ULTRA 20 DREAM CASE NEXT TO THAT. 12:55PM 21 DO YOU SEE THAT? 22 Α. YES. O. WHETHER IT WAS THIS DOCUMENT OR SOME OTHER 23 24 DOCUMENT, DO YOU RECALL HAVING A DISCUSSION WITH 25 MR. BEYER OR MR. SONNEBORN AT THE TIME WITH RESPECT TO 12:56PM 26 PROJECTED REVENUES UNDER A DREAM CASE OR ULTRA DREAM

A. I DO. I BELIEVE IT WAS THIS DOCUMENT.

27

28

CASE?

1	Q. AND WHAT WAS DISCUSSED IN THAT REGARD?	
2	A. WELL, THIS WAS RIGHT THERE ON THE MAY 25TH	
3	MEETING. AND I REMEMBER BOB BEYER POINTING TO THE	
4	ULTRA DREAM CASE WITH FEES OF 329 MILLION, AND POINTING	
5	TO THE FACT THAT I, UNDER THAT SCENARIO, WOULD MAKE \$64	12:56PM
6	MILLION IN A CALENDAR YEAR, AND BOB SAID THIS COULD BE	
7	A PROBLEM WITH PARIS.	
8	AND I SAID, BUT LOOK AT HOW MUCH MONEY	
9	TCW IS MAKING. AND HE JUST KIND OF SHRUGGED HIS	
10	SHOULDERS AND LAUGHED, AND SAID, "YEAH, I KNOW".	12:56PM
11	Q. NOW, WE SAW THE E-MAIL WHERE YOU SAID EVERYONE	
12	HAS AGREED TO EVERYTHING IN GOOD FAITH.	
13	AFTER THAT, WERE YOU, IN FACT, PAID IN	
14	LATE MAY FOR THE JANUARY TO MARCH PERIOD UNDER THE NEW	
15	DEAL?	12:57PM
16	A. YES, PRECISELY.	
17	Q. IF YOU COULD LOOK AT WE HAVE ANOTHER	
18	BINDER. IF YOU COULD LOOK AT EXHIBIT 1456. THIS IS	
18 19	BINDER. IF YOU COULD LOOK AT EXHIBIT 1456. THIS IS NOT YET IN EVIDENCE.	
		12:57PM
19	NOT YET IN EVIDENCE.	12:57PM
19 20	NOT YET IN EVIDENCE. DO YOU SEE THAT?	12:57PM
19 20 21	NOT YET IN EVIDENCE. DO YOU SEE THAT? A. YES.	12:57PM
19 20 21 22	NOT YET IN EVIDENCE. DO YOU SEE THAT? A. YES. Q. CAN YOU IDENTIFY THAT DOCUMENT?	12:57PM
19 20 21 22 23	NOT YET IN EVIDENCE. DO YOU SEE THAT? A. YES. Q. CAN YOU IDENTIFY THAT DOCUMENT? A. THIS WAS THE ACCOUNTING REPORT FOR THE FEE	12:57PM 12:57PM
19 20 21 22 23 24	NOT YET IN EVIDENCE. DO YOU SEE THAT? A. YES. Q. CAN YOU IDENTIFY THAT DOCUMENT? A. THIS WAS THE ACCOUNTING REPORT FOR THE FEE SHARING POOL FOR THE FIRST QUARTER OF 2008.	

CAN YOU IDENTIFY THAT? IS THAT THE SAME

```
FEE SHARING STATEMENT FOR THE FIRST QUARTER ENDED MARCH
 1
 2
     31, 2007?
 3
        A. THAT'S RIGHT. THEY ARE ALWAYS LARGELY
 4
     SIMILAR, JUST DIFFERENT DATES.
 5
             MR. HELM: I'D MOVE IT INTO EVIDENCE, YOUR
                                                               12:58PM
 6
    HONOR.
 7
              MR. MADISON: NO OBJECTION.
 8
              THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.
 9
10
                    (EXHIBIT 5030 ADMITTED.)
                                                                12:58PM
11
12
              THE COURT: WAS 1456 OFFERED?
13
             MR. HELM: NOT YET, YOUR HONOR, BUT I'LL COME
    BACK TO THAT.
14
15
              THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
                                                                12:58PM
16
              MR. HELM: I HAD THE WRONG QUARTER. THIS IS
17
    THE RIGHT ONE.
18
        Q. AND SO, IF YOU COULD JUST FLIP THROUGH --
19
                    IF YOU COULD SHOW US SOME OTHER PAGES,
20
    DENNIS, TO GIVE US AN IDEA OF WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE.
                                                                12:58PM
21
                    THIS IS THE STATEMENT YOU GOT EACH
22
     QUARTER THAT DETAILED THE KINDS OF -- HOW THEY WERE
23
    CALCULATING THE FEE SHARING FOR YOU?
24
       A. YES. THIS WAS THE ONE FROM THE FIRST QUARTER
25
    OF 2007.
                                                                12:59PM
26
        Q. OKAY.
27
                   AND WAS THIS THE FIRST ONE YOU RECEIVED
```

28

UNDER THE NEW DEAL?

1 A. YES. 2 O. NOW, DID ANYONE FROM TCW EVER TELL YOU, WHILE 3 THEY WERE PROCEEDING TO PAY YOU UNDER THIS NEW 4 ARRANGEMENT, THAT THEY WERE NOT AGREEING TO ANY OF THE 5 OTHER TERMS THAT HAD BEEN DISCUSSED, SUCH AS THE 12:59PM FIVE-YEAR TERM. 6 7 THEY NEVER SAID THAT. Α. 8 DID ANYONE FROM TCW EVER TELL YOU AFTER THEY Ο. 9 BEGAN TO PAY YOU UNDER THIS NEW DEAL, "WE'RE SIMPLY 10 AGREEING TO THIS ONE SUBSET OF WHAT WE'VE BEEN TALKING 12:59PM 11 ABOUT, THE COMPENSATION PART, BUT THERE'S NO AGREEMENT 12 ON ANY OTHER ISSUES UNTIL YOU SIGN THAT DOCUMENT? 13 MR. MADISON: OBJECT TO THE CHARACTERIZATION, 14 YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: OVERRULED. 15 12:59PM 16 THE WITNESS: NO ONE EVER SAID ANYTHING LIKE 17 THAT TO ME. 18 BY MR. HELM: DID ANYONE EVER SAY, UNLESS YOU Ο. 19 SIGN A FINAL VERSION OF THE DOCUMENT THAT WAS BEING 20 CIRCULATED, THAT THEY COULD FIRE YOU AFTER YOUR OLD 12:59PM 21 CONTRACT EXPIRED, EVEN IF YOU DID NOT ENGAGE IN GROSS 22 MISCONDUCT OR OTHER CONDUCT THAT HAD BEEN SPECIFIED 23 OVER THE YEARS IN YOUR AGREEMENT? 24 A. NO ONE EVER SAID ANYTHING LIKE THAT TO ME. 25 ONCE YOU STARTED -- TCW STARTED PAYING YOU Ο. 01:00PM

COPYING NOT PERMITTED PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 69954(D)

UNDER THE NEW DEAL, DID YOU THINK A FINAL DOCUMENT

NEEDED TO BE SIGNED TO FORM AN AGREEMENT?

26

27

28

A. NO.

- Q. WELL, THEY WERE STILL CIRCULATING AN AGREEMENT
 DURING THAT TIME PERIOD; IS THAT TRUE?
- 3 A. THEY WERE -- DURING MAY, JUNE 2007, THAT'S 4 TRUE.
- Q. WELL, WHAT PURPOSE DID YOU THINK THE DOCUMENT
 BEING CIRCULATED HAD ONCE YOU'D ALREADY, AS YOU SAID,
 REACHED AN AGREEMENT?
- 8 A. I THOUGHT IT WAS TO MEMORIALIZE THE DEAL, AND
 9 TO TAKE CARE OF SORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE ISSUES AROUND
 10 IT.
- 11 Q. WELL, WHAT DID YOU BELIEVE THE DEAL WAS THAT
 12 REQUIRED NO FURTHER DOCUMENTATION?
- A. WHAT I WAS GOING TO BE PAID; HOW LONG I WAS

 GOING TO BE PAID IT FOR; AND UNDER WHAT CIRCUMSTANCES

 THEY COULD STOP PAYING ME.
 - Q. NOW, WERE THERE ELEMENTS IN THE WRITTEN

 DOCUMENT THAT WAS CIRCULATED THAT YOU THOUGHT HAD NOT

 YET BEEN AGREED TO?
- 19 A. YES.

16

17

18

- 20 Q. CAN YOU GIVE ME AN EXAMPLE OF THAT?
- A. SOMETHING OF AUTHORITY OVER HIRING DECISIONS
 AND ALLOCATIONS OF THE POOL SEEMED UNCLEAR; ARBITRATION
 CLAUSE, SOME REMEDY CLAUSES. WE SAW ONE OF THEM THAT
 WE READ A MINUTE AGO, ON THE INTEREST IN THE FUND AND
 ALL THAT.

I DIDN'T QUITE UNDERSTAND WHAT SOME OF
THOSE THINGS MEANT, AND I WANTED TO GET IT APPROVED BY
A LAWYER, AND DIDN'T HAVE ONE PERSONALLY.

01:00PM

01:00PM

01:00PM

01:01PM

01:01PM

1	Q. BUT FOR THE CENTRAL QUESTION OF WHAT YOU WOULD	
2	BE PAID, FOR HOW LONG, AND WHEN THEY COULD STOP PAYING	
3	YOU, DID YOU THINK YOU HAD A DEAL EVEN THOUGH THE	
4	DOCUMENT HADN'T BEEN SIGNED?	
5	A. ABSOLUTELY.	01:01PM
6	Q. ALL RIGHT. LET'S LOOK AT EXHIBIT 66, WHICH IS	
7	IN EVIDENCE.	
8	THIS IS THE MARKUP THAT WAS SENT ON JUNE	
9	THE 7TH. WE'VE LOOKED AT THAT WITH SOME PEOPLE. DO	
10	YOU SEE THAT?	01:02PM
11	A. YES.	
12	Q. NOW, DID YOU DISCUSS WITH MR. CAHILL MAKING	
13	SOME CHANGES IN THIS DOCUMENT?	
14	A. I DID.	
15	Q. DID YOU ULTIMATELY EVER SIGN A FINAL DOCUMENT	01:02PM
16	ALONG THESE LINES?	
17	A. NO.	
18	Q. WHY NOT?	
19	A. IT WAS THERE WERE SOME PARTS OF IT THAT I	
20	WANTED TO RUN BY A LAWYER. I DIDN'T HAVE ONE.	01:02PM
21	I WAS VERY BUSY RAISING MONEY, MANAGING	
22	MONEY, AND EVERYONE STARTED TO PERFORM UNDER THE DEAL.	
23	THEY WERE PAYING ME, AND I WAS PERFORMING ON MY PART OF	

01:02PM

Q. CAN YOU GIVE ME AN EXAMPLE OF SOME OF THE
CLAUSES THAT YOU THOUGHT YOU WANTED FURTHER DISCUSSION

AND THAT WAS ALWAYS SOMETHING THAT I WAS GOING TO

AND THEN NOBODY TALKED ABOUT IT ANY

24

25

26

DO NEXT WEEK.

MORE.

- 1 ABOUT.
- A. ARBITRATION, NON-COMPETE, NON-SOLICITATION.
- AND OTHERS PARAGRAPHS I JUST, QUITE SIMPLY, NOT BEING A LAWYER, I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND WHAT THEY SAID.
- Q. SO, DID ANY OF THE CLAUSES OR ISSUES THAT YOU

 THOUGHT NEEDED FURTHER DISCUSSION, OR YOU WANTED TO

 CONSULT ABOUT, DEAL WITH THE TERM OF THE AGREEMENT, THE

 AMOUNT YOU WOULD BE PAID, OR WHEN YOU COULD BE
- 9 TERMINATED?
- 10 A. NO.

01:03PM

01:03PM

01:03PM

- Q. LET'S LOOK AT EXHIBIT -- ON EXHIBIT 66, IF WE
 COULD LOOK AT PAGE 2 OF THE DOCUMENT. UNDER TERM.
- 13 WHEN WE LOOK AT -- WE'RE LOOKING AT WHAT THE TERM WAS.

WERE THERE ANY MARKUPS OR REVISIONS TO

THE SECTION IN THIS DOCUMENT THAT CONCERNED HOW LONG

THE SECTION IN THIS DOCUMENT THAT CONCERNED HOW LONG
THE AGREEMENT WOULD RUN FOR?

17 A. NO.

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q. IF WE COULD LOOK AT PAGE FOUR OF THE AGREEMENT, AND SECTION SIX.

ALL OF SECTION SIX, PLEASE, DENNIS.

DURING ANY OF THE DISCUSSIONS WHERE

THERE WERE MARKUPS AND CHANGES IN THE DOCUMENT, WERE

THERE ANY MARKUPS OR CHANGES TO SUBSECTION A DEFINING

WHAT THE CAUSES WERE THAT WOULD JUSTIFY TERMINATION?

A. NO.

01:04PM

01:04PM

Q. WERE THERE ANY CHANGES MARKED UP OR DISCUSSED

ON THE LAST PARAGRAPH OF THAT, WHICH TALKS ABOUT PAYING

YOU BASE SALARY OR PROFIT SHARING ACCRUED TO THE DATE

OF TERMINATION? 1

- 2 Α. NO.
- 3 NOW, WE SEE WITH THIS DOCUMENT THAT A DRAFT Q. 4 WAS EXCHANGED IN EARLY JUNE, 2007.

5 DID TCW MAKE ANY EFFORTS, AFTER THE

SUMMER OF 2007, TO GET YOU TO SIGN THIS DOCUMENT? 6

Α. NO.

7

23

25

8 WHEN THE END OF 2007 ROLLED AROUND, DECEMBER Ο. 9 31ST, 2007, DID ANYONE FROM TCW INDICATE TO YOU THAT 10 YOUR STATUS HAD CHANGED SOMEHOW?

11 Α. NO.

12 DID ANYONE SAY TO YOU AFTER DECEMBER 31ST, Ο. 13 2007, "HEY, SINCE YOU NEVER SIGNED A NEW EMPLOYMENT 14 AGREEMENT, YOU HAVE NOW SUDDENLY BECOME AN EMPLOYEE AT

15 WILL BECAUSE YOUR 2003 AGREEMENT HAD EXPIRED"?

- 16 Α. NO ONE EVER SAID ANYTHING LIKE THAT TO ME.
- 17 NOW, WE SAW THAT YOU WERE PAID IN THE FIRST Q. 18 QUARTER -- FOR THE FIRST QUARTER OF 2007 UNDER THE NEW 19 SCHEME.

20 WERE YOU PAID FEE SHARING FOR LATER 21 QUARTERS AFTER THAT, UNDER THE NEW DEAL YOU HAD 22 NEGOTIATED IN 2007?

- A. YES, ALL OF THEM.
- Q. ALL RIGHT. IF I COULD LEAVE --24

I'D LIKE TO DO THIS SORT OF EN MASSE, 26 YOUR HONOR. BUT IF WE COULD LOOK AT -- PUT THROUGH THE 27 BINDER, IF YOU WILL, EXHIBIT 1456, 1457, 1460, 1463,

28 1465, 5030, 5042, 5053, 5059, 5071, AND 5078. 01:04PM

01:05PM

01:05PM

01:05PM

01:05PM

1	ARE THESE COPIES OF THE FEE SHARING	
2	STATEMENTS THAT YOU RECEIVED AFTER THE NEW DEAL WAS	
3	NEGOTIATED, REFLECTING PAYMENTS UNDER THE NEW DEAL?	
4	A. YES.	
5	MR. HELM: MOVE ADMISSION OF THOSE DOCUMENTS,	01:06PM
6	YOUR HONOR.	
7	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?	
8	MR. MADISON: I JUST NEED TIME TO LOOK AT	
9	THEM, YOUR HONOR, PERHAPS YOU COULD ADMIT THEM	
10	PROVISIONALLY	01:06PM
11	THE COURT: THEY'LL BE ADMITTED SUBJECT TO	
12	SOME FURTHER DISCUSSION.	
13	MR. MADISON: THANK YOU.	
14		
15	(EXHIBITS 1456, 1457, 1460, 1463, 1465,	01:07PM
16	5030, 5042, 5053, 5059, 5079, 5071 AND	
17	5078 ADMITTED.)	
18		
19	Q. BY MR. HELM: NOW, DID YOU RECEIVE ANY FEE	
20	SHARING PAYMENTS FOR THE FOURTH QUARTER OF 2009?	01:07PM
21	A. NO.	
22	Q. ALL RIGHT.	
23	NOW, BY 2009, WHAT WAS YOUR STATE OF	
24	MIND ABOUT WHETHER YOU HAD A CONTRACT?	
25	A. I THOUGHT THAT I HAD A CORE AGREEMENT ON	01:07PM
26	COMPENSATION TERMS THAT EVERYONE WAS PERFORMING UNDER,	
27	AND I THOUGHT THAT SOME OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE CLAUSES	
28	WERE NOW FINALIZED.	

1	Q. IF WE COULD LOOK AT DID THERE COME A TIME	
2	WHEN AN INVESTOR OR A CONSULTANT ASKED SOUGHT	
3	INFORMATION ABOUT CERTAIN INFORMATION REGARDING	
4	WHETHER YOU HAD NON-COMPETE, EQUITY, WHETHER THERE WERE	
5	SUCCESSION PROVISIONS IN PLACE, THINGS OF THAT NATURE?	01:07PM
6	A. WE WERE ASK THOSE THINGS FROM TIME BY	
7	PROSPECTIVE INVESTORS.	
8	Q. I'D LIKE TO LOOK AT EXHIBIT 248, IF WE COULD.	
9	IS THIS AN E-MAIL EXCHANGE THAT YOU HAD	
10	WITH MARK CARLSON AT TCW AROUND AUGUST 25TH, 2009?	01:08PM
11	A. PART OF IT IS, YES.	
12	MR. HELM: MOVE ADMISSION OF THIS DOCUMENT?	
13	MR. QUINN: NO OBJECTION.	
14	THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	
15		01:08PM
16	(EXHIBIT 248 ADMITTED.)	
17		
18	Q. BY MR. HELM: ALL RIGHT.	
19	IF WE LOOK AT THE BOTTOM OF THAT, TO	
20	MARC CARLSON.	01:08PM
21	COULD WE BLOW THAT UP? IT SAYS THIS	
22	IS FROM MARC CARLSON. IT SAYS, RE: ANGELES/SF EE'S.	
23	WHAT DOES THAT REFER TO?	
24	A. I BELIEVE ANGELES IS THE NAME OF A CONSULTING	
25	FIRM. AND SF EE PROBABLY STANDS FOR THE SAN FRANCISCO	01:08PM
26	EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM.	
27	Q. NOW, THE SECOND PARAGRAPH OF THAT SAYS,	

28

(READING):

1	IMMEDIATELY BELOW YOU'LL SEE	
2	ANGELES HAS NOW SENT THE FOLLOWING	
3	QUESTION: 'CAN YOU SHARE THE TERMS	
4	OF JEFFREY GUNDLACH'S CONTRACT WITH	
5	TCW IN TERMS OF NON-COMPETE	01:09PM
6	AGREEMENTS, EQUITY STAKE,	
7	SUCCESSION, ET CETERA, SHOULD HE	
8	CHOOSE TO LEAVE THE FIRM?'	
9	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
10	A. YES.	01:09PM
11	Q. NOW, AT THAT TIME, HAD YOU ENTERED INTO ANY	
12	AGREEMENT WITH TCW THAT RESTRICTED YOUR ABILITY TO	
13	COMPETE, AFTER YOU LEFT THE COMPANY?	
14	A. I HAD, OVER THE YEARS, YES.	
15	Q. BUT HAD YOU SIGNED ANY AGREEMENT IN 2007 WITH	01:09PM
16	RESPECT TO THAT ISSUE?	
17	MR. MADISON: OBJECTION. VAGUE AS TO TIME.	
18	THE COURT: OKAY.	
19	DO YOU WANT TO NARROW IT DOWN? IN THE	
20	CALENDAR YEAR 2007?	01:09PM
21	Q. BY MR. HELM: WELL, IN THE CALENDAR YEAR 2007,	
22	DID YOU SIGN ANY NEW AGREEMENTS RELATING TO	
23	NON-COMPETES?	
24	A. NO.	
25	Q. DID YOU HAVE IN PLACE ANY CONTRACTS REGARDING	01:10PM
26	THE EQUITY STAKE IN THE COMPANY?	
27	A. NO.	
28	Q. WAS ANY CONTRACTUAL PROVISION IN EFFECT AT	

01:11PM

THAT TIME DEALING WITH SUCCESSION? 1 2 Α. NO. 3 WHAT DOES SUCCESSION AS YOU UNDERSTOOD IT? Q. IF I WERE TO RETIRE OR GET HIT BY A BUS OR 4 Α. 5 SOMETHING, WHAT WOULD BE THE PLAN FOR THE REMAINING 01:10PM 6 TEAM TO GO FORWARD WITH MANAGING THE MONEY? 7 Ο. AND WAS ANY CONTRACT IN PLACE THAT DEALT WITH 8 THAT THAT YOU WERE AWARE OF? 9 NO. WE DIDN'T HAVE A DISCUSSION ABOUT THAT. Α. 10 Q. ALL RIGHT. IF WE COULD GO BACK TO THE E-MAIL 01:10PM 11 ABOVE. 12 YOU RESPOND, YOU SAY, IT IS -- HERE'S 13 THE TRUTHFUL ANSWER. JEFFREY GUNDLACH IS NOT UNDER 14 CONTRACT WITH TCW. 15 WHAT DID YOU MEAN BY THAT? 01:10PM 16 Α. I MEANT THAT, RELATING TO THE ISSUES THEY WERE 17 ASKING ABOUT, THAT I DIDN'T HAVE THE THINGS THAT THEY 18 WERE TALKING ABOUT. 19 THIS IS A CONSULTING FIRM THAT IS DOING 20 DUE DILIGENCE. AND THEY ARE SAYING FOR OUR CLIENTS TO 01:10PM 21 INVEST WITH YOU WE WANT TO KNOW YOU HAVE EQUITY IN THE 22 FIRM; THAT YOU HAVE A SUCCESSOR PLAN AND WHAT YOUR 23 NONCOMPETE IS.

I DIDN'T HAVE THOSE THINGS. AND I

DIDN'T WANT TO TALK ABOUT IT, EITHER. I WAS TRYING TO

GET RID OF THEIR QUESTIONS, BECAUSE I DIDN'T WANT THEM

TO INVEST IN OUR FUNDS, ACTUALLY, THE ANGELES

CONSULTING FIRM. I HAD A BAD EXPERIENCE WITH THEM IN

- 1 THE PAST.
- 2 Q. DID YOU BELIEVE YOU HAD A BASIC AGREEMENT
- 3 REGARDING WHAT YOU WOULD BE PAID, FOR HOW LONG, AND
- 4 WHEN THEY COULD TERMINATE YOU, EVEN THOUGH YOU DIDN'T
- 5 HAVE A SIGNED CONTRACT THAT DEALT WITH TERMS LIKE
- 6 NONCOMPETES, EQUITY STAKE AND SUCCESSION?
- 7 MR. MADISON: OBJECTION, LEADING.
- 8 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
- 9 Q. BY MR. HELM: WELL, TO WHAT EXTENT, IF AT ALL,
- 10 DID YOU BELIEVE YOU HAD AN AGREEMENT RELATING TO THE
- 11 AMOUNT THAT YOU COULD BE PAID, FOR HOW LONG AND WHEN IT
- 12 WOULD STOP?
- 13 A. I HAD AN AGREEMENT ON THAT.
- 14 Q. DID YOU BELIEVE THAT TCW COULD FIRE YOU FOR NO
- 15 REASON, AND STOP PAYING YOU AT THIS TIME?
- 16 A. ABSOLUTELY NOT.
- 17 | O. NOW, IF YOU HAD -- CAN WE TAKE THAT DOWN?
- 18 IF YOU HAD AN AGREEMENT THAT LASTED TILL
- 19 THE END OF 2011, WHY DID YOU THINK YOU MIGHT BE ABLE TO
- 20 MOVE TO A COMPANY LIKE WAMCO?
- 21 A. BECAUSE THE IDEA OF MOVING TO ANOTHER COMPANY,
- 22 TAKES ON A WHOLE DIFFERENT LAYER OF MEANING BEYOND
- 23 CONTRACTS.
- 24 FOR ME TO GO ANYWHERE AWAY FROM TCW HAD
- 25 TO BE ON A NEGOTIATED BASIS. CONTRACT OR NO CONTRACT,
- 26 IT HAD TO BE ON NEGOTIATED BASIS.
- Q. WHY DO YOU SAY THAT?
- 28 A. BECAUSE A GREAT MAJORITY OF THE REVENUE WOULD

01:11PM

01:11PM

01:12PM

01:12PM

01:12PM

NEED TCW'S COOPERATION AND AGREEMENT TO MOVE ANYWHERE, 1 2 WHETHER I HAD A CONTRACT OR NOT. 3 THE SPECIALTY MORTGAGE CREDIT FUNDS, 4 WERE MORE THAN HALF OF THEIR PROSPECTIVE REVENUE. 5 THERE'S NO WAY TO MOVE THEM ANYWHERE, UNLESS TCW 01:12PM 6 AGREES. 7 THE SAME IS TRUE FOR THE SECOND MOST IMPORTANT PIECE OF BUSINESS. THE TOTAL RETURN BOND 8 9 FUND, WHICH IS COVERED BY A BOARD OF DIRECTORS. THEY 10 WOULD HAVE TO VOTE IT TO GO SOMEWHERE. CONTRACT OR NO 01:13PM 11 CONTRACT. I COULDN'T TAKE IT ANYWHERE WITHOUT THE 12 COOPERATION OF TCW AND ITS AGENTS. 13 SAME FOR THE HIGH FEE LIMITED 14 PARTNERSHIPS. 15 THE IDEA THAT I WOULD GO AND TALK TO 01:13PM 16 WAMCO WAS THAT IF THERE WAS A GOOD DEAL FOR ALL PARTIES INVOLVED, THEN WE WOULD HAVE ECONOMIC ARRANGEMENTS THAT 17 18 WOULD FACILITATE THE MOVEMENT, WHICH MEANT MAKING SURE 19 THAT TCW GOT ALL OF THE ECONOMIC BENEFIT. AND IN MY 20 VIEW, EVEN MORE, SHOULD I LEAVE AND NEGOTIATE A 01:13PM 21 DEPARTURE. 22 WELL, LET ME ASK YOU THAT: HOW DID THE Ο. 23 ECONOMIC BENEFITS YOU WERE CONSIDERING OFFERING TO TCW, 24 IN THE EVENT YOU COULD WORK OUT A DEAL WITH WAMCO, HOW 25 DID THOSE COMPARE TO THE ECONOMIC BENEFITS THAT TCW 01:14PM

MR. MADISON: OBJECTION. FOUNDATION.

WE HAVEN'T HEARD ABOUT THE ONES THAT

WOULD GET UNDER YOUR CONTRACT?

26

27

WERE BEING CONSIDERED. 1 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 2 3 I THINK WE HAVE AMPLE EVIDENCE THAT'S BEEN PUT ON BY BOTH SIDES. 4 5 BUT GO AHEAD. JUST ASK THE QUESTION, 01:14PM 6 AND YOU CAN CROSS-EXAMINE HIM ON IT. 7 THE WITNESS: THE ECONOMIC ARRANGEMENTS THAT I 8 WAS CONTEMPLATING, PRELIMINARILY WITH WAMCO, AND WHAT 9 TCW WOULD RECEIVE, THE ECONOMIC BENEFIT, THE NET 10 BENEFIT TO TCW, WOULD HAVE BEEN TREMENDOUSLY POSITIVE. 01:14PM 11 THEY WOULD HAVE GOTTEN A GREATER PROFIT MARGIN THAN 12 THEY CLAIM THEY WERE GETTING ON THE EXISTING BUSINESS. 13 AND I WAS CONTEMPLATING GIVING IT TO 14 THEM FOR FIVE YEARS, NOT JUST A COUPLE. AND, I WAS 15 GOING TO GIVE THEM A STREAM ON WHATEVER WAMCO WOULD 01:14PM RAISE, USING THEIR MONSTROUS DISTRIBUTION OPERATION, 16 17 WHICH WAS VERY, VERY SUCCESSFUL. 18 THE IDEA WAS THAT THE LACK OF SUCCESS IN 19 DISTRIBUTING TCW WOULD SUDDENLY BE BROKEN THROUGH. 20 WESTERN ASSET HAD \$600 BILLION OF DISTRIBUTION SUCCESS 01:15PM 21 IN FIXED INCOME ALONE; THAT'S ALL THEY DID. 22 MY IDEA WAS THAT THEY COULD TAKE MY STRATEGIES, WHICH RANKED VERY, VERY HIGH, IN TERMS OF 23 24 THE RESULTS IN THE INDUSTRY, AND THEY COULD DISTRIBUTE 25 THEM TENFOLD. AND TCW WOULD HAVE GOTTEN A SHARE OF ALL 01:15PM 26 OF THAT EXTRA DISTRIBUTION FOR FIVE YEARS.

ABOUT. I THOUGHT TCW WOULD BE THRILLED FOR THAT TYPE

THESE ARE THE THINGS I WAS THINKING

27

- 1 OF A DEAL. AND SG SHOULD BE THRILLED BECAUSE THEY WERE
- 2 TRYING TO MODIFY THEIR INVESTMENTS, AS YOU'VE SEEN, AND
- 3 THIS WOULD HELP THEM.
- 4 Q. IF YOU COULD OTHERWISE REACH ECONOMIC TERMS,
- 5 ACCEPTABLE TO TCW, AS PART OF GOING TO WAMCO, DID YOU
- 6 BELIEVE THERE WOULD BE ANY PROBLEM IN GETTING TCW'S
- 7 AGREEMENT TO RELEASE YOU FROM ANY CONTRACTUAL
- 8 EMPLOYMENT OBLIGATIONS YOU HAD?
- 9 A. NO, OF COURSE NOT. IT'S JUST CONSENSUAL.
- 10 O. WERE THERE ANY OTHER FUND MANAGERS AT TCW WHO
- 11 WERE UNDER CONTRACT WHO TOLD YOU THAT THEY WERE LOOKING
- 12 AT POSSIBILITIES OF LEAVING TCW?
- 13 A. YES.
- 14 Q. WHO?
- 15 A. WELL, BLAIR THOMAS.
- 16 O. WHAT DID HE TELL YOU?
- 17 A. HE TOLD ME THAT HE WAS NEGOTIATING OUT OF TCW.
- 18 HE TOLD ME IN MAY OF 2007, AND HE DID.
- 19 O. AND DID YOU UNDERSTAND THAT HE WAS UNDER AN
- 20 EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT AT THAT TIME?
- 21 A. YES. HE TOLD ME.
- 22 Q. NOW, HOW LONG AFTER YOU SHOOK HANDS WITH
- 23 MR. SONNEBORN AND MR. BEYER, DID YOU ESTABLISH THE
- 24 FIRST SMCF FUND?
- 25 A. SIX WEEKS.
- MR. HELM: IF I COULD SHOW THE COURT AND
- 27 COUNSEL EXHIBIT 6121.
- 28 Q. WHAT DOES THAT INDICATE, MR. GUNDLACH?

01:15PM

01:16PM

01:16PM

01:16PM

01:16PM

1	A. THIS SHOWS THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE SERIES OF	
2	SPECIAL MORTGAGE CREDIT FUNDS, MOST OF THEM ANYWAY, FOR	
3	THE YEARS '07 THROUGH '09.	
4	MR. HELM: MOVE ADMISSION OF THE EXHIBIT.	
5	MR. MADISON: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.	01:17PM
6	I DON'T KNOW IF WE'VE BEEN PRODUCED WITH	
7	THE UNDERLYING DOCUMENTS THAT MUST SUPPORT THIS.	
8	MR. HELM: IT'S LISTED THERE.	
9	MR. MADISON: THIS IS THE FIRST TIME WE'VE	
10	SEEN THIS, YOUR HONOR.	01:17PM
11	MR. HELM: ACTUALLY, NO. THIS WAS EXCHANGED	
12	AS PART OF THE TRIAL.	
13	MR. MADISON: THEN NO FOUNDATION.	
14	THE COURT: GO AHEAD, MR. HELM. YOU CAN LAY	
15	THE FOUNDATION.	01:17PM
16	WE'RE TRYING TO MOVE ALONG, IF WE CAN,	
17	MR. MADISON, BUT THAT'S OKAY.	
18	Q. BY MR. HELM: DOES THIS ACCURATELY PORTRAY	
19	CAPITAL COMMITMENTS THAT WERE MADE TO THE SPECIAL	
20	MORTGAGE CREDIT FUNDS THROUGHOUT THEIR LIFE HISTORY?	01:17PM
21	A. IT LOOKS BASICALLY RIGHT.	
22	Q. AND DID WE GO OVER THIS AT SOME POINT BEFORE	
23	YOUR TESTIMONY THE FIRST TIME?	
24	A. YES.	
25	MR. HELM: MOVE ADMISSION OF THE DOCUMENT,	01:18PM
26	YOUR HONOR.	

VOIR DIRE, IT MIGHT BE HELPFUL.

MR. MADISON: IF I COULD TAKE THE WITNESS ON

27

1	THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. YOU'VE GOT ALL THE	
2	TIME YOU WANT.	
3	MR. MADISON: NO, I DON'T WANT TO SPEND TIME,	
4	BUT THERE'S NO FOUNDATION.	
5	THE COURT: GO AHEAD.	01:18PM
6		
7		
8	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION	
9		
10	BY MR. MADISON:	
11	Q. MR. GUNDLACH, DID YOU PREPARE THIS EXHIBIT?	
12	A. NO.	
13	Q. WHO PREPARED IT?	
14	A. I DON'T KNOW. SOMEBODY THAT WORKS FOR MARK	
15	HELM AND HIS GROUP, I SUPPOSE.	01:18PM
16	Q. WHAT MATERIALS WERE USED TO PREPARE THE	
17	EXHIBIT?	
18	A. I THINK THEIR SOURCE DOCUMENT'S SHOWN AT THE	
19	BOTTOM OF THE EXHIBIT THAT THE BATES NUMBER IS ON.	
20	Q. HAVE YOU LOOKED AT THOSE, SO YOU CAN TELL THE	01:18PM
21	JURY IF THIS IS ACCURATELY SUMMARIZED?	
22	A. I DON'T NEED TO. I DEVELOPED THESE FUNDS AND	
23	I REMEMBER VERY CLEARLY WHAT THEIR SIZES WERE AND WHAT	
24	TIME FRAMES THEY WERE CREATED IN.	
25	IT WILL BE IT WAS AN ACCURATE	01:18PM
26	DEPICTION.	
27	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GO AHEAD.	

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)	
2		
3	BY MR. HELM:	
4	Q. ALL RIGHT. SO WHEN DID YOU GET COMMITMENTS	
5	FOR THE FIRST FUND?	01:19PM
6	A. WE SPENT THE SECOND QUARTER OF 2007 ARRANGING	
7	THE INVESTORS' INTERESTS. AND THEN THE FIRST CLOSE, AS	
8	WE CALL IT, WAS EARLY JULY. I THINK IT WAS JULY 8TH,	
9	2007.	
10	Q. AND THEN DURING THE SUMMER OF 2008, DID YOU	01:19PM
11	GET COMMITMENTS FOR THE SECOND SMCF FUNDS?	
12	A. YES, ALMOST EXACTLY A YEAR AFTER THE FIRST ONE	
13	STARTED.	
14	Q. AND THEN IT SAYS YELLOW IS SOUTH DAKOTA.	
15	WHEN DID YOU START RAISING MONEY FOR THE	01:19PM
16	SOUTH DAKOTA SMCF?	
17	A. PROBABLY IN SEPTEMBER, BUT THEY ENDED UP	
18	AGREEING TO IT IN DECEMBER OF 2008.	
19	Q. AND WHEN DID YOU GET COMMITMENTS FOR THE PPIP	
20	OR THE SMCF III?	01:19PM
21	A. IT WAS IN THE SUMMER OF 2009.	
22	Q. NOW, DOES THE MONEY GET INVESTED AS SOON AS	
23	IT'S COMMITTED?	
24	A. NOT NECESSARILY.	
25	Q. SO WHEN WERE THE INVESTMENTS MADE IN SMCF I?	01:20PM
26	A. NOT REALLY UNTIL 2008, BECAUSE IT WAS RAISED	
27	IN ANTICIPATION OF THE MARKET COLLAPSING. IT HADN'T	
28	COLLAPSED YET, BUT IT DID ABOUT SIX OR SEVEN TIMES AS	

OF 2008. 1

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

27

28

IMPORTANT.

- 2 Q. NOW, WHAT IS THE WORK THAT'S DONE THAT CREATES 3 VALUE IN FUNDS LIKE SMCF?
- 4 WELL, THE MOST IMPORTANT THING IS THE Α. 5 INVESTMENT THESIS, THAT YOU SEE AN OPPORTUNITY IN ADVANCE OF BEING ABLE TO ACT ON IT. BECAUSE YOU HAVE 6 7 TO RAISE THE MONEY OVER A MULTIPLE MONTH PERIOD, SO YOU HAVE TO BE READY.

THE MARKET MOVES AROUND A LOT. WE CAN'T WAIT TO RAISE THE MONEY AFTER THE CRASH. YOU HAVE TO DO IT BEFORE. SO THE INVESTMENT THESIS IS REALLY

- O. ANYTHING ELSE?
- A. WELL, THEN YOU HAVE TO ARTICULATE THE 14 15 INVESTMENT THESIS IN A COMPELLING WAY TO ALL OF THE 16 PROSPECTIVE INVESTORS.

AND IT WAS MYSELF AND MY GROUP THAT WAS CENTRAL TO THAT EFFORT AT TCW. WE SPENT COUNTLESS HOURS ARTICULATING THE INVESTMENT THESIS TO PROSPECTIVE INVESTORS, OVER HUNDREDS OF MEETINGS AND PHONE CALLS WITH MYSELF, PERSONALLY, AND MY STAFF, WORKING ON IT.

- AND WHAT ELSE CREATES VALUE IN FUNDS LIKE Ο. THIS?
- 24 A. WELL, THEN YOU HAVE TO BE RIGHT ON THE THESIS. 25 AND THE MARKET HAS TO MOVE IN THE DIRECTION.

AND THE MOST -- THE HARDEST THING BY FAR, IN ONE OF THESE DISTRESSED IDEAS, IS NOT BUYING TOO SOON. THE PRICES GO FROM A HUNDRED TO 20. A LOT 01:20PM

01:20PM

01:21PM

01:21PM

01:21PM

OF PEOPLE SAY IT'S CHEAP AT 80, BECAUSE IT USED TO BE 1 2 AT A HUNDRED. AND THEN THEY SAY IT'S CHEAP AT 60, AND

BUT IT GOES TO 20. SO YOU HAVE TO MAKE 4 5 SURE YOU DON'T BUY IT AT 80. IT'S NOT EASY TO DO. YOU 6 GENERALLY DON'T GET IT DONE AT 20, EITHER. IT DOESN'T

STAY.

8

THEN 50.

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

YOU CAN'T INVEST BILLIONS OF DOLLARS ON A DAY WHEN YOU DON'T KNOW WHEN THE LOW IS GOING TO BE. NOBODY RINGS A BELL, BUT YOU HAVE TO BUY IT AT THE RIGHT MOMENT, AND YOU HAVE TO BUY THE RIGHT THINGS.

O. SO THIS IS -- WHAT YOU HAVE BEEN DESCRIBING IS, THOSE ARE INVESTMENT DECISIONS THAT ARE MADE EARLY IN THE LIFE OF THE FUND, PICKING THE TIME TO INVEST AND WHICH ASSETS TO INVEST IN?

A. RIGHT.

THERE'S A LOT OF EXPERIENCE THAT GOES INTO THIS, KNOWING HOW DISTRESSED MARKETS WORK. AND THEN YOU HAVE TO BUY THE RIGHT ONES. AND YOU HAVE TO ASSUME THAT YOU ARE GOING TO BE STUCK IN THEM.

THE IDEA OF A DISTRESSED MARKET IS THAT NOBODY WANTS TO BUY. IT'S CHOPPY. IT'S NOT GOING TO GET BETTER TOMORROW. IT TAKES SOME TIME FOR THE MARKET TO HEAL AND FOR THE INVESTMENT THESIS TO BE PROVEN OUT. SO YOU BASICALLY BUY THEM -- IT'S A BUY THEM LOW, BY THEM RIGHT. AND THEN YOU ARE IN GOOD SHAPE IF YOU MAKE THE RIGHT DECISIONS TO BE EARNED OUT OVER A NUMBER OF YEARS.

01:21PM

01:22PM

01:22PM

01:22PM

01:22PM

TO WHAT EXTENT WERE THE SMCF FUNDS CREATED AS 1 Q. 2 A BUY SOMETHING AND HOLD IT FOR A LONG TIME, OR CONCEDE 3 INSTEAD AS HIGH TRADING THROUGHOUT THE LIFE OF THE 4 FUND? 5 NOTHING THAT I DO INVOLVES HIGH FREQUENCY 01:23PM TRADING OR VERY ACTIVE TRADING. I'M KNOWN IN THE 6 7 INDUSTRY AS BEING VERY LOW TURNOVER IN PORTFOLIOS, A BUY AND HOLD INVESTOR. 8 9 SO WAS THAT TRUE FOR YOUR CONCEPTION OF THE Ο. 10 SMCF FUNDS, AS WELL? 01:23PM 11 A. ABSOLUTELY. IT'S CENTRAL TO THE THEME. BUY 12 THEM LOW, BUY THEM RIGHT. AND OVER A COURSE OF A FEW 13 YEARS, YOU WILL PROBABLY HAVE A DOUBLE OR TRIPLE 14 RETURN. 15 Q. AND SO YOU HAVE JUST TALKED ABOUT MAKING 01:23PM 16 INVESTMENT DECISIONS. 17 YOU WERE EARLIER TALKING ABOUT 18 ARTICULATING, IN A COMPELLING WAY, WHAT THE FUND IS 19 ABOUT. 20 TO WHAT EXTENT WERE YOU AND YOUR GROUP 01:23PM 21 INVOLVED IN RAISING MONEY FOR THESE FUNDS; THAT IS, 22 CONVINCING INVESTORS TO MAKE COMMITMENTS TO THE FUNDS? 23 A. WE DID -- THE LION'S SHARE OF THE WORK, THE 24 GREAT MAJORITY OF THE WORK IN RAISING THE MONEY 25 REOUIRED MY PERSONAL INVOLVEMENT AND THE INVOLVEMENT OF 01:24PM 26 GREAT -- A SIGNIFICANT FRACTION OF THE TEAM. BECAUSE

ALL OF THESE INVESTORS WANT ONE-ON-ONE MEETINGS. AND

THEY WANT TO HEAR WHAT THE THESIS IS. AND THE ONLY WAY

27

- 1 TO GET THAT IS TO HEAR IT FROM THE HORSE'S MOUTH.
- 2 Q. TO WHAT EXTENT WAS THE TCW MARKETING
- 3 DEPARTMENT OR THE GENERIC DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM OF TCW
- 5 A. IT PLAYED A SECONDARY ROLE.

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

01:24PM

- O. WHO WAS INVOLVED, HOWEVER?
- A. YES, THEY KEPT UP CONTACTS AND THE LIKE.

RESPONSIBLE FOR THE RAISING OF MONEY OF THESE FUNDS?

BUT A GREAT MANY OF THE INQUIRIES CAME
IN BECAUSE OF NOTORIETY OF THE FUNDS.

9 IN BECAUSE OF NOTORIETY OF THE FUNDS.

10 MY FIRST FUND WAS FEATURED IN THE WALL

STREET JOURNAL, ON THE FRONT PAGE OF THE INVESTMENTS SECTION, AS BEING THE FIRST FUND OF ITS TYPE TO ENTER INTO THE MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES ARENA IN 2007.

 $\hbox{ \begin{tabular}{llll} IT WAS COPIED BY A NUMBER OF FIRMS THAT \\ \hline \\ \hbox{IMITATED, BUT WE WERE THE FIRST.} \\ \end{tabular}$

Q. SO NOW, YOU HAVE DESCRIBED DIFFERENT

ACTIVITIES OF DESIGNING THE FUND, ARTICULATING IT TO

THE INVESTORS, AND RAISING MONEY, MAKING THE INITIAL

INVESTMENTS THAT YOU ARE GOING TO HOLD ONTO.

WHEN IN THE LIFE CYCLE OF THESE FUNDS
DID THOSE VALUE-CREATING ACTIVITIES TAKE PLACE?

A. PROBABLY BEGAN ABOUT FOUR MONTHS BEFORE THE FIRST CLOSE, AND WENT ON FOR ABOUT A YEAR AFTER THE FIRST CLOSE.

SO IT'S REALLY ABOUT A 16 MONTH, YEAR

AND A HALF TIME FRAME, WHEN ALL OF THE DEALS ARE DONE,

AND THE PORTFOLIO IS CONCEIVED, PUT IN PLACE, AND MOST

OF THE WORK IS ACCOMPLISHED, IN THAT ONE-YEAR PERIOD

01:24PM

01:25PM

01:25PM

01:25PM

- AFTER THE LAUNCH OF THE FUNDS. 1 2 Q. AND SMCF, WHEN WERE THE MANAGEMENT FEES 3 COLLECTED? 4 A. THERE WERE TWO TYPES OF FEES. 5 THE MANAGEMENT FEES WERE COLLECTED THE 6 SAME AS THEY WOULD BE ON ANY OTHER TYPE OF ACCOUNT, 7 OUARTERLY. O. AND WHEN DO INCENTIVE FEES ACTUALLY GET PAID 8
- 9 ON THE SMCF FUNDS?

 10 A. BASICALLY, IT'S WHEN THE INVESTMENTS ARE
- 11 LIQUIDATED.
- 12 Q. WHEN WERE YOU PLANNING ON LIQUIDATING SMCF I?
- 14 INVESTMENTS, WHILE THE DOCUMENTS SAID THE LIFE COULD BE

I TOLD INVESTORS THAT I THOUGHT THE

15 | EIGHT YEARS, AND EVEN IF THE MARKET STILL HADN'T

16 IMPROVED BY THEN, EXTENDED A YEAR OR TWO, I TOLD ALL OF

- 17 THE PROSPECTIVE INVESTORS THAT THEY WOULD PROBABLY BE
- 18 IN IT FOR ABOUT FOUR YEARS.
- 19 Q. SO WHEN DID YOU THINK YOU WOULD START
- 20 LIQUIDATING SMCF I?

Α.

21 A. 2010.

13

- Q. WHAT ABOUT SMCF II? WHEN DID YOU EXPECT TO LIOUIDATE SMCF II?
- 24 A. 2011.
- Q. NOW, WOULD YOU HAVE BEEN COMFORTABLE

 PROCEEDING TO CREATE AND INVEST THE SMCF FUNDS IF YOU

 HADN'T BELIEVED YOU WERE PROTECTED AGAINST BEING

 TERMINATED OTHER THAN FOR GROSS MISCONDUCT?

COPYING NOT PERMITTED PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 69954(D)

01:25PM

01:26PM

01:26PM

01:26PM

01:26PM

I WOULDN'T HAVE DONE IT. 1 Α. 2 O. WHY NOT? 3 BECAUSE IT WAS SORT OF LIKE WHEN I NEGOTIATED 4 THAT LANGUAGE BACK IN 1992. YOU DON'T WANT TO CREATE A 5 LOT OF FORWARD VALUE, AND NOT BE THERE TO EARN IT. 01:27PM IT'S -- PARTICULARLY IF SOMEONE COULD HAVE THE OPTION 6 7 OF DOING AN AMBUSH, FIRING YOU, AND OPPORTUNISTICALLY 8 TERMINATING YOU AND GETTING ALL THE MONEY. 9 NOW, I TAKE IT THERE CAME A TIME WHEN YOU NO Ο. 10 LONGER WORKED AT TCW? 01:27PM 11 DECEMBER 4TH, 2009. Α. 12 AND IF I COULD LOOK AT -- WELL, WE'VE LOOKED Ο. 13 AT WHAT THE GROUNDS WERE, HISTORICALLY, IN YOUR 14 CONTRACT, FOR TERMINATION. 15 AS OF DECEMBER 4TH OF 2009, HAD ANY 01:27PM 16 ORDER BEEN ISSUED REMOVING YOU FROM OFFICE, OR 17 PROHIBITING YOU FROM PARTICIPATING IN THE CONDUCT OF 18 THE AFFAIRS OF THE COMPANY? 19 A. NO. 20 Ο. HAD YOU BEEN CONVICTED OF A FELONY? 01:28PM 21 Α. NO.

HAD YOU COMMITTED A MATERIAL BREACH OF YOUR

MATERIAL JOB RESPONSIBILITIES, FOR WHICH YOU WERE GIVEN

01:28PM

26 DID TCW OFFER YOU ANY PERIOD TO CURE ANY Ο. 27 SUPPOSED BREACHES, BEFORE THEY RELIEVED YOU OF YOUR 28 DUTIES?

22

23

24

25

0.

Α.

30 DAYS TO CURE?

NO.

1	A. NO.	
2	AND THEY NEVER ONCE SAID I WAS IN BREACH	
3	OF ANYTHING, EVER, EVEN A MINOR THING.	
4	Q. DO YOU BELIEVE YOU HAD DONE ANYTHING THAT	
5	WOULD QUALIFY AS GROSS MISCONDUCT, AS OF DECEMBER THE	01:28PM
6	4TH, 2009?	
7	A. NO.	
8	Q. NOW, DID YOU GET ANY PAYMENT FOR THE FEES	
9	GENERATED ON THE TOTAL RETURN BOND FUND, AFTER YOU WERE	
10	FIRED?	01:28PM
11	A. NO.	
12	Q. LET'S TALK ABOUT THE WORK YOU DID BEFORE YOU	
13	WERE TERMINATED.	
14	HOW MUCH DID YOU WORK DURING THE FOURTH	
15	QUARTER?	01:28PM
16	A. WELL, I WORKED THE WHOLE TIME, UNTIL I WAS	
17	FIRED.	
18	Q. UP TO DECEMBER 4TH?	
19	A. YES.	
20	Q. HOW LONG AFTER THE END OF THAT QUARTER ARE	01:29PM
21	MANAGEMENT FEES PAID TO TCW, IN THE NORMAL COURSE?	
22	A. MOST OF THEM WOULD BE PAID IN THE WEEKS AFTER	
23	THE QUARTER ENDS.	
24	Q. AND FOR THE MUTUAL FUNDS, DO THE CLIENTS	
25	ACTUALLY HAVE TO SEND IN A CHECK TO PAY THEIR	01:29PM
26	MANAGEMENT FEES?	
27	A. NO. THEY ARE DEDUCTED FROM THE FUND, SAME AS	

WITH THE OTHER -- DISTRESSED FUNDS, SAME THING.

SO TCW IS ACTUALLY HOLDING THE MONEY. THEY 1 Q. 2 SIMPLY WIRE IT FROM ONE PLACE TO ANOTHER? WITH -- A 3 CUSTODIAN IS HOLDING IT? 4 A. SOMETHING LIKE THAT. 5 BUT THEY DEDUCT IT FROM THE FUNDS. THEY 01:29PM DON'T GET THE CLIENT TO DO ANYTHING. 6 7 O. SO FOR THE MANAGEMENT FEES THAT WERE GENERATED 8 FOR THE FOURTH QUARTER OF 2009, WAS THIS A SITUATION 9 WHERE TCW MIGHT NEVER COLLECT THOSE FEES, OR ONLY 10 COLLECT THEM AT SOME DISTANT POINT IN THE FUTURE? 01:29PM 11 MR. MADISON: OBJECTION. SPECULATION. 12 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 13 THE WITNESS: WELL, THEY'D CERTAINLY COLLECT THEM. IT WOULDN'T BE IN THE DISTANT FUTURE. 14 15 I KNOW SOME OF THEM WILL BE COLLECTED 01:30PM 16 MONTHLY. I DON'T KNOW THIS FOR SURE AT TCW. 17 I KNOW AT DOUBLELINE, WE BILL OUR MUTUAL 18 FUNDS MONTHLY, AS I THINK MOST FIRMS DO. 19 O. SO DID YOU GET ANY PORTION OF FEE SHARING FOR 20 THE MANAGEMENT FEES THAT WERE GENERATED FOR WORK YOU 01:30PM 21 DID, MANAGING TCW FUNDS IN THE FOURTH QUARTER OF 2009 22 UP TO DECEMBER THE 4TH? 23 A. NO, I DIDN'T. Q. DID MR. SANTA ANA? 24 25 Α. NO. 01:30PM 26 DID MS. VANEVERY?

Q.

A. NO.

Q. DID MR. MAYBERRY?

27

1 Α. NO. 2 O. ALL RIGHT. LET'S TALK ABOUT THE SMCF FUNDS. 3 AT THE TIME THAT YOU WERE TERMINATED, HOW MUCH MONEY HAD BEEN INVESTED IN SMCF I? 4 5 Α. ABOUT A BILLION AND THREE QUARTERS. 01:30PM O. AND HOW WAS IT PERFORMING? 6 A. IT WAS IN A PROFIT POSITION AT THAT TIME. 7 8 THE FUND ONE HAD STARTED EARLY, IN 9 ANTICIPATION OF THE CRISIS. THE MARKET GOT A LOT WORSE 10 THAN EVEN I THOUGHT IT WOULD. 01:30PM 11 MOST OF THE IMITATORS WENT BANKRUPT, BUT 12 WE HAD A PROFIT POSITION, COME DECEMBER 2009, IN FUND 13 ONE. O. HAD FUND ONE STARTED TO ACCRUE INCENTIVE FEES? 14 15 WAS IT IN THE MONEY? 01:31PM 16 A. I DON'T THINK SO. TO START ACCRUING INCENTIVE 17 FEES, YOU HAVE TO BE NOT ONLY IN A PROFIT POSITION; BUT 18 BEYOND THAT. IT WAS ALMOST THERE. 19 Q. WHAT ABOUT SMCF II? HOW WAS IT PERFORMING AS 20 OF THE TIME YOU WERE TERMINATED? 01:31PM 21 A. IT WAS DOING GREAT. IT WAS LAUNCHED, AND HAD 22 NOT BEEN AN ADVANTAGEOUS TIME. AND IT WAS SERIOUSLY IN 23 THE MONEY, AS FAR AS ACCRUING PERFORMANCE FEES. 24 O. AND FOR HOW LONG HAD IT BEEN ACCRUING THE 25 INCENTIVE FEES? 01:31PM

Q. AND HOW ABOUT THE MONEY IN PPIP, SMCF III?

COULD YOU TELL WHETHER YOU WERE MAKING GOOD INVESTMENTS

ONLY A FEW MONTHS.

26

27

28

Α.

IN THAT FUND? 1 2 A. WE WERE VERY BULLISH ON PROSPECTS FOR THE 3 MARKET, FOR ALL OF THESE FUNDS, INCLUDING THE PPIP, IN 4 THE FOURTH QUARTER OF 2009. 5 IT TURNED OUT TO BE THE CORRECT 01:32PM 6 JUDGMENT. 7 NOW, IN THE NORMAL COURSE OF YOUR BUSINESS, 8 DID YOU KEEP CLOSE TABS ON HOW THE FUNDS WERE 9 PERFORMING, AND MAKE INTERNAL ESTIMATES ABOUT WHAT KIND 10 OF FEES MIGHT BE GENERATED? 01:32PM 11 A. YES, I DID. 12 WHAT WAS YOUR PROGNOSIS IN DECEMBER OF 2009 Ο. 13 FOR HOW THE THREE SMCF FUNDS WERE GOING TO PERFORM? 14 A. I FIGURED THAT ON BALANCE, THE THREE FUNDS WOULD ESSENTIALLY DOUBLE IN VALUE. SOME MORE, SOME 15 01:32PM 16 LESS; BUT ON AVERAGE, ABOUT A DOUBLE. 17 SO THERE WAS ABOUT \$4 BILLION. I 18 THOUGHT IT WOULD GROW TO EIGHT OR NINE BILLION. 19 O. AND SO HOW MUCH CARRIED INTEREST WOULD BE 20 GENERATED FOR TCW, BASED ON PROJECTIONS LIKE THAT? 01:32PM 21 Α. WELL, IT'S A 20 PERCENT CARRY; SO YOU WOULD 22 HAVE SOMETHING LIKE, IT'S CLOSE TO A BILLION DOLLARS OF 23 CARRIED INTEREST, PARTICULARLY WHEN YOU INCLUDE THE

01:32PM

COPYING NOT PERMITTED PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 69954(D)

FEES AND 60 PERCENT OF THE INCENTIVE FEES; SO IT WAS A

MANAGEMENT FEES AND 40 PERCENT OF THE INCENTIVE FEES.

AND MY GROUP WAS GOING TO GET HALF OF THE MANAGEMENT

TCW WAS GOING TO GET HALF OF THE

24

25

26

27

28

MANAGEMENT FEES.

LOT OF MONEY. 1 2 O. IF WE COULD LOOK AT WHAT'S BEEN MARKED FOR 3 IDENTIFICATION AS EXHIBIT 2220. 4 THAT WAS -- WE'VE HAD A PICTURE -- WE 5 LOST OUR CHART, WE HAVE A PICTURE OF IT, BUT THIS WAS A 01:33PM CHART THAT WAS DRAWN DURING MR. VILLA'S EXAMINATION. 6 7 AND IT SAYS THAT THE TOTAL GROSS 8 REVENUES THAT WERE GENERATED BY YOUR GROUPS DURING THE 9 WHOLE TIME YOU WERE AT TCW UP TO 2009, WERE \$1.2 10 BILLION. 01:33PM 11 DO YOU SEE THAT? 12 A. YES. 13 SO HOW DID THE FEES THAT YOU EXPECTED TO BE Ο. 14 GENERATED BY THE SMCF FUNDS COMPARE TO THE TOTAL 15 REVENUES YOU HAD GENERATED DURING YOUR WHOLE CAREER FOR 01:33PM 16 TCW? 17 MR. MADISON: OBJECTION. SPECULATION AND 18 ARGUMENTATIVE. 19 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 20 THE WITNESS: WELL, THE FEES ON THE SPECIAL 01:33PM 21 MORTGAGE CREDIT FUNDS ALONE WERE VERY SIMILAR, WOULD BE 22 VERY SIMILAR TO ALL THE FEES OF EVERYTHING THAT I DID 23 OVER THE 25 YEARS PRIOR. 24 Q. BY MR. HELM: YOU THOUGHT ABOUT A BILLION

DOLLARS?

A. A LITTLE OVER A BILLION, YES.

25

26

Q. NOW, YOU SAID THAT SMCF II WAS STARTING TO
ACCRUE INCENTIVE FEES; IS THAT RIGHT?

1	A. YES.	
2	Q. DID YOU RECEIVE ANY PAYMENTS FOR ACCRUED	
3	CARRIED INTEREST AS OF THE TIME THAT YOU WERE	
4	TERMINATED?	
5	A. NO.	01:34PM
6	Q. DID MR. SANTA ANA?	
7	A. NO.	
8	Q. DID MS. VANEVERY?	
9	A. NO.	
10	Q. DID MR. MAYBERRY?	01:34PM
11	A. NO, NONE OF US GOT ANYTHING.	
12	Q. NOW, WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THE FACT THAT	
13	INVESTORS IN LOCKUP FUNDS LIKE SMCF CAN'T PULL THEIR	
14	MONEY OUT UNTIL AFTER SOME PERIOD OF YEARS.	
15	DO YOU RECALL THAT?	01:34PM
16	A. WELL, IN THESE FUNDS THAT WAS THE CASE, YES.	
17	Q. WELL, WHAT ABOUT INVESTMENTS THEMSELVES?	
18	DOES THE MANAGER OF AN SMCF FUND HAVE	
19	THE ABILITY TO SELL INVESTMENTS BEFORE THE END OF THE	
20	FUND'S LIFE?	01:34PM
21	A. YES, AT ANY TIME. THE WAY IT WORKED WAS,	
22	THERE WAS A THREE-YEAR INVESTMENT PERIOD, WHICH MEANT	
23	THAT YOU COULD BUY OR SELL ON AN UNLIMITED BASIS FOR	
24	THREE YEARS. AFTER THAT THREE YEARS, YOU COULDN'T	
25	REINVEST. SO ANYTHING YOU SOLD, THAT WAS IT.	01:35PM
26	YOU DIDN'T YOU COULDN'T BUY ANYTHING	
27	MORE AFTER THREE YEARS.	

SO IF YOU HAD ACCRUED CARRIED INTEREST ON

LET'S SAY, SMCF II, AS OF THE TIME THAT YOU WERE 1 2 TERMINATED, BUT TCW WAS CONCERNED THAT MARKET 3 CONDITIONS MIGHT CHANGE AND IT MIGHT DROP IN VALUE, 4 COULD THE MANAGER SELL THE FUNDS IF IT WANTED TO? 5 Α. YES. 01:35PM 6 Q. AND JUST TO HAVE A REALITY CHECK, WHAT WERE 7 YOUR EXPECTATIONS, AS OF THE TIME YOU WERE FIRED, ABOUT 8 WHETHER THE SMCF FUNDS WERE GOING TO GO UP OR DOWN IN 9 VALUE? 10 A. I THOUGHT THEY WERE GOING TO DOUBLE. 01:35PM 11 O. SO DOES THE -- DID TCW EVER TELL YOU THAT IT 12 THOUGHT THE PROVISION IN YOUR CONTRACT REQUIRING 13 PAYMENT OF COMPENSATION ACCRUED TO THE DATE OF TERMINATION WAS UNFAIR IN ANY WAY? 14 15 A. NEVER. 01:36PM 16 Ο. IF A NEW MANAGER WERE TO COME IN AFTER YOU 17 WERE TERMINATED, AND HOLD ON TO THE ASSETS ALL THE WAY 18 DOWN TO WHEN THEY WENT TO ZERO, WOULD YOU ATTRIBUTE 19 THAT TO THE NEW MANAGER, OR TO SOMETHING YOU DID? 20 A. WELL, THE NEW MANAGER. 01:36PM 21 IN THE INVESTMENT BUSINESS, EVERY DAY 22 THAT YOU GO INTO THE OFFICE, YOU OWN THE PERFORMANCE OF 23 THAT COMING DAY. YOU NEVER GET A DAY OFF.

01:36PM

JUST BECAUSE YOU BOUGHT SOMETHING A YEAR AGO, DOESN'T MEAN YOU ARE SUPPOSED TO OWN IT TOMORROW.

AWAY. THE WAY PEOPLE LIKE ME THINK ABOUT IT IS YOU ARE

YOU ARE BASICALLY BUYING YOUR PORTFOLIO

BUYING YOUR PORTFOLIO NEW EVERY DAY.

24

25

26

27

01:37PM

YOU HAVE TO THINK ABOUT WHETHER YOU SHOULD CONTINUE TO 1 2 OWN THINGS ALL THE TIME. 3 SO DOES THE POSSIBILITY THAT A NEW MANAGER 4 MIGHT COME IN AND LOSE PROFITS THAT YOU HAD MADE BASED 5 ON YOUR INVESTMENTS, MAKE YOU FEEL ANY LESS ENTITLED TO 01:37PM 6 BE PAID YOUR SHARE OF FEES ACCRUED TO THE DATE OF 7 TERMINATION? 8 MR. MADISON: OBJECTION. LEADING AND 9 ARGUMENTATIVE. 10 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 01:37PM 11 BY MR. HELM: WELL, DID TCW EVER TELL YOU THEY Ο. 12 THOUGHT IT WAS UNFAIR TO PAY YOU YOUR ACCRUED FEES UP 13 TO THE TIME OF TERMINATION, BEFORE YOU WERE RELIEVED OF 14 YOUR DUTIES? 15 A. NO. 01:37PM 16 Ο. NOW, YOU HAVE MADE A CLAIM FOR COMPENSATION IN 17 THIS CASE? 18 I HAVE. Α. 19 Q. WHEN DID YOU FILE IT? 20 I THINK IT WAS IN FEBRUARY OF 2010. Α. 01:37PM

21 Q. AND WHEN WAS THAT, IN RELATION TO THE

- 22 COMPLAINT THAT WAS FILED AGAINST YOU?
- 23 A. IT WAS AFTER.
- 24 Q. WHY DID YOU WAIT UNTIL THEN TO FILE YOUR
- 25 CLAIM?

26 A. I WAS HOPEFUL THAT WE COULD MOVE FORWARD

- 27 WITHOUT ANY LITIGATION AT ALL.
- 28 Q. AND ONCE TCW FILED ITS LAWSUIT, DID YOU REACH

1	ANY CONCLUSIONS ABOUT WHETHER LITIGATION COULD BE	
2	AVOIDED?	
3	A. IT SEEMED LIKE THE LITIGATION WAS HERE.	
4	Q. SO WHAT DID YOU DO AT THAT POINT?	
5	A. FILED A COUNTERCLAIM.	01:38PM
6	MR. HELM: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.	
7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION, MR. MADISON?	
9	MR. MADISON: YES.	
10	WE'RE GOING TO HAND OUT SOME BINDERS, IF	01:38PM
11	WE MAY.	
12	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
13		
14		
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
16		
17	BY MR. MADISON:	
18	Q. SO MR. GUNDLACH, THE FIRST CLAIM YOU EVER MADE	
19	ON THIS CONTRACT THAT YOU SAY YOU HAD, WAS IN FEBRUARY	
20	2010, CORRECT?	01:39PM
21	A. YES.	
22	Q. AND I BELIEVE YOU JUST TOLD US THAT UP UNTIL	
23	THE TIME THE LITIGATION WAS FILED, YOU HOPED LITIGATION	
24	COULD BE AVOIDED; IS THAT RIGHT?	
25	A. YES.	01:39PM
26	Q. SO CAN YOU POINT US TO ONE STATEMENT OF YOURS	
27	THAT WE CAN READ IN THIS COURTROOM, BEFORE TCW SUED	
28	YOU, IN WHICH YOU SAY, I HAVE A CONTRACT WITH TCW?	

A. I KNOW OF E-MAILS THAT SAY THAT IF THEY FIRED	
ME, I WOULD HAVE A BILLION DOLLAR LEGAL CLAIM AGAINST	
THEM.	
Q. I'M ASKING, SIR, ABOUT A STATEMENT BY YOU.	
IN FACT, NOT EVEN THE DATE OF THE	01:39PM
LAWSUIT, JANUARY	
LET'S GO TO THE DATE OF THE CLAIM THAT	
YOU FILED, IN FEBRUARY.	
I BELIEVE IT WAS FEBRUARY 10TH, WASN'T	
IT, 2010?	01:40PM
A. IF YOU SAY SO.	
Q. UP UNTIL THAT POINT, CAN YOU POINT US TO ONE	
STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE, THAT WE CAN READ, IN WHICH YOU	
SAID, I HAD A FIVE-YEAR CONTRACT WITH TCW?	
A. I CAN'T THINK OF ANY.	01:40PM
Q. IN FACT, THE ONLY STATEMENTS YOU ARE AWARE OF	
ARE LIKE THE ONE WE LOOKED AT, IN WHICH YOU SAID, IN	
WRITING, I DON'T HAVE A CONTRACT; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?	
A. NO.	
Q. WELL, LET'S LOOK AT 248.	01:40PM
I MEAN, HERE YOU HAVE, IN AUGUST OF	
2009	
AND BY THAT TIME, I BELIEVE YOU'VE TOLD	
US YOU ALREADY ARE SUSPICIOUS OF THE FRENCH, RIGHT?	
A. NO, I DON'T THINK SO.	01:40PM
Q. WELL, YOU ARE ALREADY YOU'VE BEEN TALKING	
TO WAMCO BY THIS TIME ABOUT MOVING OVER THERE, RIGHT?	
	ME, I WOULD HAVE A BILLION DOLLAR LEGAL CLAIM AGAINST THEM. Q. I'M ASKING, SIR, ABOUT A STATEMENT BY YOU. IN FACT, NOT EVEN THE DATE OF THE LAWSUIT, JANUARY LET'S GO TO THE DATE OF THE CLAIM THAT YOU FILED, IN FEBRUARY. I BELIEVE IT WAS FEBRUARY 10TH, WASN'T IT, 2010? A. IF YOU SAY SO. Q. UP UNTIL THAT POINT, CAN YOU POINT US TO ONE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE, THAT WE CAN READ, IN WHICH YOU SAID, I HAD A FIVE-YEAR CONTRACT WITH TCW? A. I CAN'T THINK OF ANY. Q. IN FACT, THE ONLY STATEMENTS YOU ARE AWARE OF ARE LIKE THE ONE WE LOOKED AT, IN WHICH YOU SAID, IN WRITING, I DON'T HAVE A CONTRACT; ISN'T THAT RIGHT? A. NO. Q. WELL, LET'S LOOK AT 248. I MEAN, HERE YOU HAVE, IN AUGUST OF 2009 AND BY THAT TIME, I BELIEVE YOU'VE TOLD US YOU ALREADY ARE SUSPICIOUS OF THE FRENCH, RIGHT? A. NO, I DON'T THINK SO. Q. WELL, YOU ARE ALREADY YOU'VE BEEN TALKING

I WAS FINISHED TALKING WITH WAMCO ABOUT ANY

- 1 | SERIOUS EMPLOYMENT MOVEMENT BY THIS TIME.
- Q. AND BY THIS TIME, MARC STERN HAS COME BACK AS
- 3 CEO, AND YOU HAVE SAID THE WAR IS ON, RIGHT?
- 4 A. THAT'S MISCHARACTERIZING WHAT I SAID.
- Q. YOU DIDN'T USE THOSE WORDS, SIR, "THE WAR IS ON"?

7 A. MARK ATTANASIO THAT I'VE SEEN, I POINTED OUT

- 8 THAT TCW SEEMED TO BE ATTACKING HIM AND I.
- 9 Q. AND SO IN THIS E-MAIL EXCHANGE, IT ACTUALLY IS
 10 TWO OR THREE PAGES, OR MORE.

BUT IF WE JUST LOOK AT THE BOTTOM OF THE

- 12 FIRST PAGE, THIS IS AN INQUIRY FROM A CONSULTANT WHO
- 13 | WANTS INFORMATION FOR A CLIENT, CORRECT?
- 14 A. THEY WANT INFORMATION.
- Q. WELL, AND YOU KNEW THE CONSULTANTS WORK WITH
 CLIENTS, DIDN'T YOU?
- 17 A. OFTEN, THEY DO.
- 18 Q. AND YOU KNEW THAT CONSULTANTS WOULD PASS ALONG
 19 INFORMATION THAT YOU WOULD SHARE TO THE CLIENTS, DIDN'T

20 YOU?

- 21 A. NOT ALWAYS. NOT NECESSARILY.
- Q. DIDN'T YOU, SIR?
- A. THEY COULD.
- Q. AND YOU WOULD NOT WANT TO GIVE FALSE
- 25 INFORMATION TO A CLIENT, WOULD YOU?
- 26 A. I WOULDN'T WANT TO GIVE FALSE INFORMATION TO 27 CLIENTS, NO.
- 28 Q. AND IN FACT, THIS CLIENT WAS A PUBLIC PENSION,

01:41PM

01:41PM

01:41PM

01:42PM

01:42PM

1	THE SAN FRANCISCO EMPLOYEES, I BELIEVE YOU JUST TOLD	
2	US; IS THAT RIGHT?	
3	A. I DIDN'T FOCUS ON I DIDN'T KNOW THIS WAS	
4	ABOUT SAN FRANCISCO EMPLOYEES UNTIL YESTERDAY.	
5	Q. SO YOU NEVER NOTICED THE RE: LINE ON THE	01:42PM
6	E-MAIL THERE, THE SUBJECT LINE THAT YOU TOLD US ABOUT A	
7	FEW MINUTES AGO, BEFORE YESTERDAY?	
8	A. I GUESS NOT.	
9	Q. AND SO THE QUESTION THAT IS ASKED IS, IN THE	
10	MIDDLE OF THE PAGE THERE, BY MR. CARLSON	01:42PM
11	AND HE'S WITH TCW, CORRECT?	
12	A. MIGHT BE STILL.	
13	HE WAS THEN.	
14	Q. AND HE SAYS: AT THE THIRD LINE THERE OF THE	
15	THIRD PARAGRAPH, (READING):	01:43PM
16	CAN YOU SHARE THE TERMS OF	
17	JEFFREY GUNDLACH'S CONTRACT WITH	
18	TCW IN TERMS OF NON-COMPETE	
19	AGREEMENTS, EQUITY STAKE,	
20	SUCCESSION, ET CETERA, SHOULD HE	01:43PM
21	CHOOSE TO LEAVE THE FIRM.	
22	SO YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT WAS THE QUESTION	
23	THAT THIS CONSULTANT WAS ASKING, DIDN'T YOU?	
24	A. YES.	
25	Q. AND YOU CHOSE TO WRITE IN YOUR REPLY:	01:43PM
26	(READING):	
27	HERE IS THE TRUTHFUL ANSWER.	

JEFFREY GUNDLACH IS NOT UNDER

CONTRACT WITH TCW. 1 2 THOSE WERE THE WORDS YOU CHOSE TO WRITE 3 AT THAT POINT IN TIME, ISN'T THAT RIGHT, SIR? 4 Α. YES. 5 Ο. AND YOU WOULD AGREE, SIR, WOULDN'T YOU, THAT 01:43PM THAT STATEMENT, THOSE TWO LINES, RIGHT THERE, ARE 6 7 COMPLETELY INCONSISTENT WITH THE CLAIM, THE COUNTERCLAIM THAT YOU HAVE BROUGHT IN THIS LITIGATION, 8 9 AREN'T THEY, SIR? 10 I DON'T THINK THEY ARE. Α. 01:44PM SO IN THIS LITIGATION, YOU CLAIM THAT YOU HAD 11 0. 12 A BINDING FIVE-YEAR EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT WITH TCW, 13 DIDN'T YOU, SIR? 14 Α. I HAD AN AGREEMENT WITH TCW ON COMPENSATION 15 TERMS. YES, I DID. 01:44PM 16 Ο. WELL, WE'LL TALK ABOUT THE COMPENSATION. 17 BUT YOU WERE PAID COMPENSATION UNDER 18 THAT AGREEMENT IN 2007, 2008, AND 2009, WHILE YOU WERE 19 THERE, WEREN'T YOU, SIR? 20 Α. YES. 01:44PM 21 Ο. BUT IN THIS LITIGATION, YOU CLAIM THAT YOU HAD 22 A FIVE-YEAR CONTRACT, AND THAT YOU COULD ONLY BE 23 TERMINATED UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES; AND THAT IF YOU 24 WERE TERMINATED, YOU WOULD BE OWED CERTAIN MONIES. 25 ISN'T THAT YOUR CLAIM IN THIS CASE, SIR? 01:44PM 26 Α. THAT'S WHAT TCW AND I AGREED TO, YES.

Q. AND THE STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE, WHICH YOU

CHOSE TO CALL OUT AS THE TRUTHFUL ANSWER, WAS THAT YOU

27

ARE NOT UNDER CONTRACT WITH TCW IN AUGUST OF 2009; 1 2 ISN'T THAT RIGHT? 3 ON THE ITEMS THEY ARE ASKING ABOUT, THAT'S Α. 4 RIGHT. Q. SHOW US WHERE YOU SAID THAT? 5 01:45PM A. I'M CONTEXTUALIZING IT (SIC) FOR YOU. 6 7 O. THE E-MAIL CHAIN TALKS ABOUT THOSE ITEMS. 8 AND THE CONTEXT IS, YOU MAKE A BLANKET STATEMENT IN RESPONSE, DON'T YOU, SIR? 9 10 A. I KNOW WHAT YOU ARE SAYING. YOU ARE 01:45PM 11 MISCHARACTERIZING THE CONTENT OF THIS E-MAIL CHAIN, IN 12 MY OPINION. 13 O. TELL ME WHAT PART OF YOUR WORDS I'M 14 MISCHARACTERIZING, SIR. 15 YOUR WORDS SAY, JEFFREY GUNDLACH IS NOT 01:45PM 16 UNDER CONTRACT WITH TCW, AND THAT IS THE TRUTHFUL 17 ANSWER. 18 A. I'M PROVIDING CONTEXT IN MY TESTIMONY TODAY. 19 Q. AND YOU KNOW THAT IF THOSE WORDS WERE TRUE, 20 THAT MEANS YOUR COUNTERCLAIM IS COMPLETELY FALSE, DON'T 01:45PM 21 YOU, SIR? 22 I DON'T THINK THAT'S RIGHT. Α. 23 Q. WELL, IF YOU LOOK UP ABOVE, MR. CARLSON 24 RESPONDED.

AND HE SAID, "THANKS. THE FACTUAL 01:45PM

26 | SIMPLICITY OF THIS ANSWER MAY SUFFICE."

27 AND HE GOES ON TO SAY, "DEFUSE THEIR

28 PROBING, " ET CETERA, ET CETERA.

YOU READ HIS REPLY, DIDN'T YOU? 1 2 A. I MIGHT HAVE. 3 AND IT WAS A FACTUALLY SIMPLE ANSWER THAT RULED OUT THAT YOU HAD ANY CONTRACT WITH TCW AT THAT 4 5 TIME; ISN'T THAT RIGHT? 01:46PM 6 A. THE ANSWER WAS DESIGNED TO MAKE THEM GO AWAY: 7 AND I THINK IT SERVED THE PURPOSE. 8 O. SO YOU TOLD THEM YOU DID NOT HAVE A CONTRACT, 9 BECAUSE YOU WANTED THE CLIENT OR THE CONSULTANT TO GO 10 AWAY? 01:46PM 11 A. I WANTED THE CONSULTANT TO GO AWAY. 12 SO YOU DID NOT WANT THE CONSULTANT TO INVEST Q. 13 ITS CLIENT'S MONIES WITH TCW; IS THAT YOUR TESTIMONY, 14 SIR? 15 A. THAT'S CORRECT, BECAUSE THEY WERE AN 01:46PM UNDESIRABLE CLIENT. I HAD EXPERIENCED THEM IN THE 16 PAST. 17 18 Q. SO IN THIS CASE, EVEN THOUGH YOU WERE TRYING 19 TO RAISE MONEY FOR THE SPECIAL MORTGAGE CREDIT FUND 20 II -- AND THAT'S WHAT THIS WAS ABOUT, WASN'T IT? 01:46PM 21 A. NO. THIS WAS ABOUT THE PPIP. 22 MR. MADISON: DOES IT SAY II OR III THERE, AT 23 THE TOP? 24 THE COURT: III. 25 BY MR. MADISON: SO THAT WOULD BE THE NEW FUND Q. 01:47PM 26 THAT YOU WERE TRYING TO RAISE MONEY FOR, CORRECT? 27 A. THE PPIP, YES.

28

Q. YOU ARE TELLING US YOU DID NOT WANT TO RAISE

MONEY THROUGH THIS CONSULTANT, FROM CLIENTS LIKE THE 1 2 SAN FRANCISCO EMPLOYEES PUBLIC PENSION, SIR? 3 A. NO. IT WAS NOTHING TO DO WITH SAN FRANCISCO 4 EMPLOYEES. 5 IT HAD TO DO WITH ANGELES CONSULTING. 01:47PM THE PPIP -- WE KNEW AT THIS TIME THAT THE PPIP WAS 6 7 GOING TO SELL OUT. WE HAD PLENTY OF MOMENTUM. WE KNEW 8 THEY WERE GOING TO HIT THE CAP ON THE MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE INVESTMENT SIZE. SO WE WERE TRYING TO PICK AND CHOOSE 9 10 THE BEST CLIENTS TO HAVE, AND THIS WASN'T ONE OF THEM. 01:47PM 11 O. SO YOU ARE TELLING US THAT YOU MADE THIS 12 STATEMENT BECAUSE YOU WANTED THIS CLIENT -- THIS 13 CONSULTANT, RATHER, TO GO AWAY? A. YES. 14 Q. AND YOU THOUGHT IT WOULD SERVE YOUR END TO 15 01:47PM 16 MAKE A STATEMENT TO THE CLIENT -- TO THE CONSULTANT, TO 17 GET THEM TO GO AWAY, RIGHT? 18 YES. Α. 19 O. AND SO THAT WAS THE REASON THAT YOU TOLD THEM, 20 HERE IS THE TRUTHFUL ANSWER, JEFFREY GUNDLACH IS NOT 01:48PM 21 UNDER CONTRACT WITH TCW; IS THAT RIGHT? 22 A. SORT OF. 23 I DIDN'T WANT TO GO INTO EQUITY 24 OWNERSHIP, WHICH I DIDN'T HAVE, AND WAS EMBARRASSED 25 ABOUT.

01:48PM

DIDN'T WANT TO INVEST WITH US.

26

27

28

DIDN'T FEEL LIKE GOING INTO IT WITH A CONSULTANT THAT

I DIDN'T HAVE A SUCCESSION PLAN, AND I

1	AND THE NON-COMPETE THING WAS NEVER	
2	REALLY DETERMINED BY ME, WHETHER IT WAS EVEN LEGAL. SO	
3	I WAS ANSWERING THEIR ASPECTS WITH AN ANSWER THAT WAS	
4	DESIGNED TO REPEL THEM.	
5	Q. WELL, IF WE COULD PUT UP EXHIBIT 238.	01:48PM
6	THIS IS ALREADY IN EVIDENCE.	
7	AND IT'S AN E-MAIL EXCHANGE FROM YOU,	
8	EARLIER THAT MONTH, IN AUGUST 2009.	
9	AND DO YOU REMEMBER	
10	YES, IN THE MIDDLE THERE, MIKE.	01:48PM
11	THERE'S AN E-MAIL FROM YOU,	
12	MR. GUNDLACH, TO MR. SANTA ANA.	
13	AND SO THIS IS AUGUST 4TH, 2009, ABOUT	
14	THREE WEEKS BEFORE EXHIBIT 248, THE TRUTHFUL ANSWER IS.	
15	AND YOU SAY HERE, (READING):	01:49PM
16	THE GUIDING PRINCIPLE THAT WE	
17	NEED TO FOLLOW IS REALLY SIMPLE.	
18	THE TRUTH. WE NEED TO TELL THE	
19	TRUTH ALL THE TIME, EVERY TIME,	
20	INDIFFERENT TO THE DAMAGE THAT	01:49PM
21	MIGHT BE DONE TO EGOS OR	
22	ORGANIZATIONAL CONSTRUCTS, WHETHER	
23	THEY BE THOSE OF OUR ENEMIES OR	
24	THOSE MOST DEAR TO US PERSONALLY.	
25	LET'S START WITH THE REGENTS CALL.	01:49PM
26	AND THAT WAS ACTUALLY ANOTHER THAT WAS	
27	A CLIENT CALL, WASN'T IT?	
0.0	A TIC DECENSE CLAC A CLIENTE OF OTDO CINCE 1000	

A. UC REGENTS WAS A CLIENT OF OURS SINCE 1989.

SO THE REFERENCE TO THE REGENTS CALL WAS A 1 Q. 2 CLIENT CALL, WASN'T IT, SIR? 3 YES. Α. AND WHAT YOU WERE SAYING HERE IS, WE HAVE TO 4 Q. 5 TELL THE TRUTH, NO MATTER WHETHER IT HURTS PEOPLE'S 01:49PM 6 FEELINGS, OR -- LET THE CHIPS FALL WHERE THEY MAY. 7 TELL THE TRUTH, IN CAPITAL LETTERS, 8 RIGHT? 9 ONE OF MY BIG ISSUES WITH TCW IS, THEY Α. 10 WOULDN'T TELL PEOPLE THE TRUTH. AND THIS WAS ONE OF 01:49PM 11 THE THINGS THAT BECAME VERY IMPORTANT TO ME, YES. 12 AND THEN THREE WEEKS AFTER WRITING THIS Ο. 13 E-MAIL, YOU TOLD THE ANGELES CONSULTANT, THE TRUTHFUL 14 ANSWER IS, JEFFREY GUNDLACH IS NOT UNDER CONTRACT WITH 15 TCW? 01:50PM 16 MR. HELM: ASKED AND ANSWERED, YOUR HONOR. 17 THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 18 THE WITNESS: RELATIVE TO --THE COURT: SIR, YOU HAVE ANSWERED IT FIVE 19 20 TIMES. 01:50PM 21 Q. BY MR. MADISON: WELL, ANGELES CONSULTANTS WAS 22 NOT THE ONLY GROUP OR PERSON THAT YOU SAID YOU DID NOT 23 HAVE AN EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT TO, AFTER 2007, WERE THEY, 24 SIR? 25 I DON'T KNOW ABOUT THAT. Α. 01:50PM 26 WELL, DO YOU RECALL, FOR EXAMPLE, IN ONE OF 27 YOUR MEETINGS WITH MR. OWENS FROM GOLDMAN SACHS, THE

SUBJECT OF AN EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT CAME UP?

1	A. NO. I DON'T REMEMBER THAT.	
2	Q. AND YOU TOLD MR. OWENS, I DO NOT HAVE AN	
3	EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT WITH TCW?	
4	A. NO, I DIDN'T.	
5	Q. SO IF MR. OWENS GIVES TESTIMONY TO THAT	01:50PM
6	EFFECT, THAT IT WOULD NOT BE TRUE?	
7	A. THAT'S CORRECT.	
8	Q. AND DO YOU RECALL A REPORTER NAMED DOUG APEL	
9	FROM PENSION AND INVESTMENTS?	
10	A. YES. I TALKED TO HIM AFTER DECEMBER 4TH,	01:51PM
11	2009.	
12	Q. WELL, ACTUALLY, YOU SPOKE TO HIM BEFORE	
13	DECEMBER 4TH, 2009, ALSO, DIDN'T YOU, SIR?	
14	A. I MIGHT HAVE.	
15	Q. I MEAN, YOU WOULD SPEAK TO PENSION INVESTMENTS	01:51PM
16	REGULARLY, BECAUSE THEY ARE A MAJOR PERIODICAL IN THE	
17	FINANCIAL AND ASSET MANAGEMENT WORLD, AREN'T THEY?	
18	A. SORT OF.	
19	I WOULD TALK TO THEM FROM TIME TO TIME	
20	BECAUSE THEY ARE A TERTIARY FINANCIAL INFORMATION	01:51PM
21	SOURCE.	
22	Q. WELL, THEY COVERED YOUR BUSINESS, DON'T THEY,	
23	SIR?	
24	A. NOT EXACTLY.	
25	THEY ARE A FINANCIAL PUBLICATION FOR	01:51PM
26	PENSION PLANS.	
27	O CO TE ME LOOK NE EVILIDIE 252	

SO IF WE LOOK AT EXHIBIT 353 --

WHICH I BELIEVE IS IN EVIDENCE, YOUR

27

28

Q.

1	HONOR	
2	LET ME JUST HOLD OFF, YOUR HONOR. MY	
3	NOTES SAY IT'S IN.	
4	THE COURT: IT DIDN'T RING A BELL WITH ME.	
5	MR. MADISON: LET ME JUST DO A QUICK	01:52PM
6	FOUNDATION. IT WILL BE FASTER, YOUR HONOR.	
7	Q. YOU HAVE 353 THERE IN YOUR BINDER,	
8	MR. GUNDLACH, OR CAN YOU LOOK ON THE SCREEN?	
9	A. IT'S ON THE SCREEN.	
10	Q. SO THAT'S AN E-MAIL THAT YOU SENT TO YOUR	01:52PM
11	GROUP AT TCW ON OCTOBER 19, 2009, ISN'T IT?	
12	A. YES.	
13	MR. MADISON: I WOULD MOVE 353, IF IT'S NOT	
14	IN.	
15	MR. HELM: IT CONTAINS HEARSAY, YOUR HONOR.	01:52PM
16	THE COURT: I'LL HAVE TO LOOK AT IT.	
17	IS IT IN THIS BOOK?	
18	MR. MADISON: IT SHOULD BE IN THE BINDER WE	
19	HANDED UP, YOUR HONOR. 353.	
20	THE COURT: I'VE GOT IT.	01:52PM
21	THE OBJECTION WILL BE SUSTAINED.	
22	Q. BY MR. MADISON: WELL, LET ME ASK YOU THIS,	
23	MR. GUNDLACH: DO YOU RECALL GIVING AN INTERVIEW IN	
24	OCTOBER OF 2009 TO MR. APEL IN CONNECTION WITH AN	
25	ARTICLE THAT HE WAS WRITING AT THAT TIME?	01:53PM
26	A. NOT PARTICULARLY.	
27	I DID A LOT OF INTERVIEWS WITH PEOPLE.	

WELL, IF YOU NEED TO REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION

BY LOOKING AT EXHIBIT 353, PLEASE FEEL FREE TO DO SO, 1 2 PARTICULARLY TOWARD THE BOTTOM OF THE FIRST PAGE THERE. 3 THERE'S A STATEMENT IN QUOTATIONS MARKS. 4 MR. HELM: OBJECT TO FORM, YOUR HONOR. 5 THE COURT: YOU MAY READ IT. JUST TAKE A LOOK 01:53PM 6 AT IT. 7 IF IT REFRESHES YOUR RECOLLECTION, YOU 8 MAY TESTIFY ABOUT IT. 9 AND IN THE MEANTIME, MR. MADISON, YOU 10 MAY FOLLOW UP WITH APPROPRIATE QUESTIONS. 01:53PM MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR. 11 12 IF THE WITNESS IS READING THAT, I'D LIKE 13 TO GIVE HIM THE OPPORTUNITY. 14 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 15 THE WITNESS: IT DOESN'T LOOK LIKE IT'S THE 01:53PM 16 WHOLE ARTICLE. 17 BY MR. MADISON: WELL, DO YOU RECALL MAKING A Q. 18 STATEMENT TO DOUG APEL OF PENSION AND INVESTMENTS ABOUT 19 THE RUMORS THAT YOU HAD BEEN TALKING TO COMPETING ASSET 20 MANAGEMENT FIRMS ABOUT GOING TO WORK FOR THEM? 01:54PM 21 Α. NO. 22 DO YOU RECALL TELLING MR. APEL THAT YOU HAD 23 NOT BEEN IN DISCUSSIONS WITH WAMCO, PIMCO, AND 24 BLACKROCK? 25 A. I DO REMEMBER THAT, YES. 01:54PM 26 AND IN FACT, BY THAT TIME, YOU HAD CERTAINLY Q.

A. YES, BUT NOT BLACKROCK, PIMCO AND WESTERN,

BEEN IN DISCUSSIONS WITH WAMCO, HADN'T YOU?

27

WHICH IS WHAT HE ASKED ME; SO I SAID NO. 1 2 O. SO WHEN HE SAID, THERE ARE RUMORS THAT YOU 3 HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT --4 MR. HELM: OBJECT TO READING FROM THE 5 DOCUMENT. 01:54PM THE COURT: SUSTAINED. 6 7 IT'S INAPPROPRIATE, MR. MADISON. 8 BY MR. MADISON: SO WHEN HE ASKED YOU THE Q. 9 OUESTION, YOU FELT THAT BECAUSE YOU HAD ONLY BEEN 10 TALKING TO WAMCO, IT WAS APPROPRIATE TO TELL HIM THAT 01:54PM 11 IT WAS UNTRUE THAT YOU HAVE BEEN TALKING TO THOSE THREE 12 COMPETING FIRMS? 13 THAT'S RIGHT. Α. 14 O. NOW, HAD YOU BEEN TALKING TO PIMCO? 15 A. NOT DIRECTLY. 01:55PM 16 Ο. HAD YOU BEEN DOING SO INDIRECTLY? 17 THEY SENT SOME AMBASSADORS TO ME, WALL STREET Α. 18 GUYS. 19 O. SO DID YOU CONSIDER THAT TO BE IN DISCUSSIONS 20 WITH PIMCO AT THAT TIME? 01:55PM 21 Α. NO. 22 NOW, AFTER DECEMBER 4TH, MR. APEL REACHED OUT 23 FOR YOU, OR YOU REACHED OUT FOR HIM, RIGHT? 24 A. HE CALLED ME.

01:55PM

A. I DON'T KNOW.

Q.

CORRECT?

25

26

27

AND 6TH, RIGHT AFTER YOU HAD BEEN PUT ON LEAVE AT TCW,

AND THAT WAS OVER THE WEEKEND OF DECEMBER 5TH

01:56PM

Q. WELL, DO YOU KNOW HOW MR. APEL WAS ABLE TO 1 2 REACH YOU OVER THAT WEEKEND? 3 Α. NO. 4 Q. CAN YOU LOOK AT EXHIBIT 552, PLEASE. 5 AND THAT'S AN E-MAIL THAT YOU RECEIVED 01:55PM 6 FROM MR. APEL ON DECEMBER 6TH -- SUNDAY, DECEMBER 6TH, AT 8:34 P.M., ISN'T IT? 7 8 Α. THAT'S WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE. 9 I DIDN'T OPEN THIS FOR MONTHS, THIS 10 E-MAIL. 01:56PM 11 WELL, DO YOU RECALL SPEAKING TO MR. APEL ON Ο. 12 DECEMBER 6TH, 2009, ABOUT YOUR SITUATION? 13 Α. NO. 14 Q. AND DO YOU RECALL TELLING MR. APEL THAT YOU 15 HAD NO CONTRACT WITH TCW? 01:56PM 16 Α. I DIDN'T TELL HIM THAT. 17 AND WHAT MR. APEL DID IN THIS E-MAIL IS, HE Q. 18 SENT YOU HIS NOTES FROM THE INTERVIEW, CORRECT? 19 A. THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS. 20 AND YOU UNDERSTOOD THAT HE WAS GIVING YOU AN 0. 01:56PM 21 OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THE NOTES BEFORE HIS ARTICLE WAS 22 PUBLISHED, DIDN'T YOU? 23 A. I GUESS SO; BUT I DIDN'T OPEN THE E-MAIL FOR 24 MONTHS.

WELL, WHEN YOU PRODUCED E-MAILS IN THIS

26 LITIGATION, YOU HAD THIS E-MAIL SAVED ON YOUR PERSONAL

27 E-MAIL, DIDN'T YOU, SIR?

Q.

25

28

A. I -- THERE WERE A LOT OF E-MAILS ON MY

- 1 PERSONAL E-MAIL. AND A LOT OF THEM WEREN'T OPEN, AND 2 THIS WAS ONE OF THEM.
 - Q. AND IN FACT, THIS E-MAIL FROM DECEMBER 6TH WAS SENT TO YOUR NEW E-MAIL ACCOUNT, THE PERSONAL E-MAIL ACCOUNT YOU HAD OPENED THAT VERY DAY; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?

A. THAT'S WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE.

- Q. SO YOU GAVE MR. APEL YOUR NEW E-MAIL ADDRESS
 SO HE COULD COMMUNICATE WITH YOU BY E-MAIL, DIDN'T YOU?
 - A. THAT'S PLAUSIBLE.
- Q. AND YOU READ THE ARTICLE THAT HE PUBLISHED IN PENSION AND INVESTMENTS THE NEXT DAY, DIDN'T YOU, SIR?
 - A. I DOUBT IT.
- Q. YOU DON'T RECALL READING ABOUT THE INTERVIEW

 14 THAT MR. APEL HAD WITH YOU ON SUNDAY THE 6TH?
- 15 A. NO, I DON'T.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

I WAS PRETTY BUSY THAT NEXT DAY.

Q. SO NOBODY MENTIONED TO YOU THAT YOU WERE IN
PENSION AND INVESTMENTS, GIVING AN INTERVIEW ABOUT YOUR
SITUATION, JUST TWO DAYS AFTER YOU HAD BEEN EXITED FROM
TCW?

A. THAT'S RIGHT.

Q. NOW, THERE WERE OTHER INSTANCES IN WHICH YOU
WERE TALKING ABOUT YOUR SITUATION AT TCW, AND YOU
DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING ABOUT A FIVE-YEAR CONTRACT; ISN'T
THAT RIGHT?

MR. HELM: VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS.

- 27 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
 - Q. BY MR. MADISON: WELL, DO YOU RECALL, FOR

01:57PM

01:57PM

01:57PM

01:57PM

01:58PM

- EXAMPLE, THAT IN JULY OF 2009, YOU HAD AN INTERVIEW
 WITH MR. SHEDLIN, THAT MR. CONN SAT IN ON?

 A. YES.
- Q. AND DO YOU RECALL TELLING MR. SHEDLIN THAT YOU

 COULD UP AND LEAVE WITH YOUR ENTIRE GROUP, AND TCW

6 WOULD FALL APART?

7

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- A. THAT'S NOT WHAT I SAID.
- Q. DO YOU RECALL TELLING HIM THAT YOU COULD LEAVE
 ANY TIME YOU WANTED TO?
- 10 A. THAT'S NOT WHAT I SAID.

Q. AND YOU DIDN'T SAY A WORD TO HIM ABOUT HAVING
ANY FIVE-YEAR CONTRACT OF YOURS, DID YOU, SIR?

13 A. WELL, NO. BUT THAT WAS NOT AT ALL THE TOPIC
14 OF THE MEETING.

15 THE PART THAT YOU ARE REFERRING TO, YOU

16 ARE MISCHARACTERIZING IT BY DELETING THE CONTEXT.

Q. WELL, IF MR. SHEDLIN WERE TO SAY THAT YOU SAID YOU COULD UP AND LEAVE WITH YOUR ENTIRE GROUP TO THE DETRIMENT OF TCW, THAT WOULD BE UNTRUE?

MR. HELM: OBJECTION TO THE FORM.

21 THE COURT: OVERRULED.

THE WITNESS: THE CONTEXT IS INCREDIBLY

IMPORTANT, AND THE WAY YOU HAVE JUST PHRASED IT, IS

UNTRUE. YOU ARE EMBELLISHING IT.

- Q. BY MR. MADISON: AND IF MR. CONN, WHO SAT IN
 ON THE INTERVIEW, WERE TO DESCRIBE THAT SAME STATEMENT,
 THAT WOULD BE UNTRUE, TOO, SIR?
- A. I THINK MR. CONN'S CREDIBILITY HAS BEEN SHOT.

01:58PM

01:58PM

01:58PM

01:58PM

01:59PM

1	Q. WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, THAT'S NOT FOR YOU TO	
2	JUDGE, SIR.	
3	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'RE GOING TO RECESS	
4	ON THAT.	
5	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE'LL SEE YOU	01:59PM
6	TOMORROW MORNING. WE ARE GOING TO BE IN SESSION, 8:30	
7	TILL NOON ON FRIDAY. THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING, THAT ONE	
8	OR MORE OF YOU HAD AFTERNOON COMMITMENTS, AND SO WE'LL	
9	JUST GO TO NOON ON FRIDAY. BUT I APPRECIATE YOUR	
10	WILLINGNESS TO COME IN AND DO THAT.	01:59PM
11	DON'T DISCUSS THE MATTER AMONG	
12	YOURSELVES OR WITH ANYONE ELSE OR FORM ANY OPINIONS OR	
13	CONCLUSIONS CONCERNING THE CASE UNTIL YOU HAVE HEARD	
14	ALL OF THE EVIDENCE, AND IT'S BEEN SUBMITTED TO YOU.	
15	WE'LL SEE YOU TOMORROW MORNING AT 8:30.	01:59PM
16	THANK YOU VERY MUCH.	
17		
18	(AT 2:00 P.M. THE JURY WAS	
19	EXCUSED, AND THE FOLLOWING	
20	PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:)	01:59PM
21		
22	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'RE OUT OF THE	
23	PRESENCE OF THE JURY.	
24	WE'LL RECONVENE TOMORROW MORNING AT	
25	8:30.	02:00PM
26	MR. MADISON, IF A DOCUMENT DOESN'T	
27	REFRESH THE RECOLLECTION OF THE WITNESS, YOU MAY NOT	
0.0		

START READING IT, AND YOU MAY NOT CONTINUE TO TESTIFY.

1	AND YOU WILL HAVE ONE HALF-HOUR TOMORROW MORNING, FROM	
2	8:30 TO 9:00, TO FINISH YOUR CROSS-EXAMINATION OF	
3	MR. GUNDLACH. SO WHATEVER YOU WISH TO DO, YOU GOVERN	
4	YOURSELF ACCORDINGLY.	
5	MR. MADISON: I WILL, YOUR HONOR.	02:00PM
6	AND FOR THE RECORD, I WASN'T READING	
7	THE COURT: WELL, YOU WERE. AND IT'S AT THE	
8	END OF THE ROPE WITNESSES CAN TESTIFY, NOT THE	
9	LAWYERS.	
10	MR. MADISON: OF COURSE.	02:01PM
11	THE COURT: ARE THERE ANY OTHER MATTERS?	
12	WE'RE GOING TO TAKE UP SOME JURY	
13	INSTRUCTIONS THIS AFTERNOON?	
14	MR. HELM: I BELIEVE SO, YOUR HONOR.	
15	MR. MADISON: YOUR HONOR, COULD I JUST AND	02:01PM
16	I APOLOGIZE FOR ON 353, THAT IS AN ARTICLE THAT	
17	MR. GUNDLACH CONTRIBUTED IN AN INTERVIEW.	
18	IN HIS DEPOSITION, HE ADMITTED MAKING	
19	THE STATEMENTS THAT ARE QUOTED IN THE INTERVIEW.	
20	AND ON THE EXHIBIT ITSELF, HE CHOSE,	02:01PM
21	AFTER THE ARTICLE CAME OUT, TO FORWARD IT TO HIS ENTIRE	
22	GROUP. SO IT'S OUR POSITION THAT THAT'S AN ADOPTED	
23	ADMISSION BY HIM OF THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR THEREIN.	
24	THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU JUST READ FROM HIS	
25	DEPOSITION? IF YOU SAY THAT HE HAS ADMITTED IT ALL,	02:01PM
26	AND YOU CAN GO THAT WAY.	
27	HE SAID ON THE STAND, HE DIDN'T READ IT.	

28

HE DOESN'T KNOW.

1	OTHERWISE, WE HAVE TO GO THROUGH, AND WE	
2	HAVE WHAT IS A THREE-PAGE DOCUMENT, BASICALLY REDACT	
3	THE MAJORITY OF IT AS RANK HEARSAY BY A PUBLICATION;	
4	AND I DON'T THINK THAT DOES IT. SO IF YOU HAVE GOT	
5	THIS IN THE DEPOSITION, TAKE PART OF YOUR HALF HOUR AND	02:02PM
6	READ PORTIONS OF THE DEPOSITION.	
7	MR. MADISON: YES, YOUR HONOR.	
8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
9	MR. MADISON: AND THEN ON 552, I DID NOT MOVE	
10	552, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO DO THAT AT THIS TIME.	02:02PM
11	THE COURT: WHAT IS THIS?	
12	MR. MADISON: THIS IS AN E-MAIL MR. APEL SENDS	
13	TO MR. GUNDLACH AND SAYS, "HERE ARE THE NOTES FROM OUR	
14	TALK. IF THERE'S A PROBLEM, PLEASE LET ME KNOW."	
15	MR. GUNDLACH NEVER TELLS THEM THERE'S A	02:02PM
16	PROBLEM. THE ARTICLE IS PUBLISHED AS IT IS.	
17	THE COURT: AND HE ACTUALLY TESTIFIES THAT HE	
18	NEVER OPENED THE E-MAIL.	
19	DO YOU HAVE HIS DEPOSITION TESTIMONY ON	
20	THIS SUBJECT?	02:02PM
21	MR. MADISON: IT WAS CONSISTENT WITH HIS	
22	TESTIMONY; BUT WE THINK THAT GOES TO WEIGHT, NOT	
23	ADMISSIBILITY.	
24	MR. QUINN: THAT'S HIS ACCOUNT, YOUR HONOR.	
25	WE DON'T HAVE TO ACCEPT THAT, THAT HE DIDN'T OPEN IT.	02:02PM
26	MR. BRIAN: BUT IT'S HEARSAY.	
27	THE COURT: IT'S STILL HEARSAY.	

HOW DO I GET AROUND THE BLANK HEARSAY?

IF SOMEBODY SENDS YOU AN E-MAIL AND SAYS, I WANT TO 1 2 CONFIRM THAT YOU TOLD ME A, B, C, D AND E; AND I NEVER 3 DO ANYTHING TO ACKNOWLEDGE IT OR REJECT IT, IS IT AN ADOPTIVE ADMISSION, OR IS THERE SOME THEORY THAT BY 4 5 FAILURE TO RESPOND, IT CONSTITUTES AN ACCEPTANCE? 02:03PM 6 MR. OUINN: I THINK SO. 7 THE COURT: WELL, I'M NOT SURE, THOUGH. 8 MR. QUINN: YOU ALL THE TIME HAVE SITUATIONS 9 WHERE ARTICLES ARE PUBLISHED, AND A WITNESS IS 10 CONFRONTED WITH THE FACT THAT YOU NEVER WROTE TO THE 02:03PM 11 NEWSPAPER TO ASK FOR A RETRACTION, OR THINGS LIKE THAT. 12 THAT'S -- THAT'S A FAIRLY COMMON --13 MR. BRIAN: I TOTALLY DISAGREE WITH THAT. THE COURT: I'M NOT SURE. 14 15 AND IF YOU WANT TO ADDRESS THIS ISSUE 02:03PM WITH A LITTLE MORE DETAIL, HAVE ME LOOK AT SOMETHING. 16 17 I'M MORE THAN WILLING TO GO THROUGH THE HOOPS AND TO 18 LOOK AT WHATEVER AUTHORITY YOU HAVE. 19 I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH A PUBLICATION THAT 20 HAS A LOT OF HEARSAY STATEMENTS IN IT, IN AN INDUSTRY 02:03PM 21 THAT ALL YOU HAVE TO DO IS GOOGLE THIS TRIAL AND YOU 22 WILL GET ARTICLES FROM ONE END TO THE OTHER, HALF OF WHICH ARE NOT EVEN CLOSE TO WHAT'S HAPPENING IN THIS 23 24 COURTROOM. 25 MR. QUINN: TWO SEPARATE ISSUES. 02:03PM 26 THE ONE IS, HE FORWARDS A NEWSPAPER 27 ARTICLE --

THE COURT: AND MY APOLOGIES TO THOSE OF YOU

IN THE PRESS THAT ARE BACK THERE. 1 2 I'M SORRY. IT WASN'T DIRECTED TO ANY OF 3 THE PEOPLE THAT ARE ACTUALLY HERE. MR. MADISON: NOT TO WORRY, YOUR HONOR, THEY 4 5 ARE ALL TERTIARY PUBLICATIONS. 02:04PM MR. QUINN: YOUR HONOR, I THINK THERE'S A 6 7 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE FIRST ARTICLE, WHICH QUOTES A 8 LOT OF DIFFERENT PEOPLE AND A LOT OF DIFFERENT 9 STATEMENTS. AND THE SECOND ONE, WHICH PURPORTS TO BE IN INTERVIEW WHICH GETS FORWARDED -- THE NOTES ARE 10 02:04PM 11 FORWARDED TO HIM AND SAY, DID I GET IT RIGHT? 12 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. I'M LOOKING AT THE 13 E-MAIL AS WE SPEAK. 14 MR. BRIAN: MAY I RESPOND TO THAT, YOUR HONOR? 15 BECAUSE OFTENTIMES PEOPLE WILL BE QUOTED IN THE PRESS, 02:04PM 16 AND THERE'S NO DOUBT THAT THAT IS A HEARSAY STATEMENT. 17 I THINK WHAT MR. QUINN IS NOW ARGUING 18 THE FACT THAT IT'S FORWARDED TO SOMEBODY, IT BECOMES AN 19 ADOPTIVE ADMISSION. UNLESS THERE'S A BETTER FOUNDATION 20 THAT SUGGESTS THAT THE SUPPOSED SPEAKER ADOPTS IT, IT 02:04PM 21 DOESN'T QUALIFY AS AN ADOPTED ADMISSION. SUPPOSE, IF 22 IT WASN'T RECEIVED OR IT WASN'T READ, WHICH IS THE 23 RECORD RIGHT NOW. 24 IF THEY HAVE OTHER EVIDENCE, OR HAVE 25 LEGAL AUTHORITY, IT SHOULD BE PUT FORWARD. BUT ON THE 02:05PM 26 RECORD PRESENTED TO THE COURT NOW, THERE'S NO EVIDENCE 27 OF AN ADOPTED ADMISSION.

MR. QUINN: WE'LL RESPOND TO IT TOMORROW

MORNING, YOUR HONOR. 1 2 WE KNOW IT WAS RECEIVED. 3 THE ONLY ISSUE IS, WAS IT READ. I SUPPOSE, THAT'S WHAT MR. BRIAN --4 5 THE COURT: WELL, I THINK IN ORDER TO GET --02:05PM TO HAVE A -- AN EXCEPTION OF THE HEARSAY RULE OR AN 6 7 ADOPTIVE ADMISSION OR SOME OTHER CLEVER EXCEPTION THAT 8 THERE IS, THERE HAS TO BE EVIDENCE THAT THE STATEMENT 9 WAS MADE IN THE PRESENCE OF THE PERSON WHO ACOUIESCED 10 OR ACKNOWLEDGED, AND DIDN'T DENY IT. 02:05PM 11 AND I THINK YOU NEED MORE THAN JUST TO 12 SAY, HERE'S AN E-MAIL THAT SOMEBODY SENT TO SOMEBODY. 13 MR. QUINN: NO, NO. SENT IT TO HIM, SAYING, THIS IS OUR --14 15 THE COURT: SENT IT TO HIM, BUT I THINK YOU 02:05PM 16 NEED MORE THAN IF YOU HAVE GOT -- I NEVER OPENED IT, 17 I'VE NEVER SEEN IT. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT DOES. 18 AND YOU CAN GIVE ME SOMETHING ON IT. 19 I'LL TAKE A LOOK AT IT. 20 MR. QUINN: BUT, YOUR HONOR, ON THEIR 02:05PM 21 MULTI-HUNDRED MILLION DOLLAR CLAIM, TO LIMIT US TO, WE HAD 20 MINUTES TODAY AND A HALF HOUR TOMORROW, WITH THE 22 23 GUY, THE WITNESS --24 THE COURT: AND YOU HAVE HAD -- YOU HAD TWO 25 DAYS WITH HIM EARLIER. 02:06PM 26 MR. OUINN: BUT WE COULDN'T TALK TO HIM ABOUT 27 THIS. YOU SHUT US DOWN ON THIS.

THE COURT: YOU GET A HALF HOUR TOMORROW

MORNING. MR. MADISON CAN DO IT. I KNOW YOU CAN DO IT 1 2 ON THE ISSUES, IF WE DON'T HAVE ALL THE TESTIMONY ABOUT 3 RE-READING EVERYTHING WE'VE HAD IN THIS TRIAL AD 4 NAUSEAM. AND SO -- DO YOUR BEST. 5 MR. QUINN: WE WILL, YOUR HONOR, OBVIOUSLY. 02:06PM BUT I THINK THERE'S A DISTINCTION 6 7 BETWEEN READING SOMETHING TO SOMEONE WHO'S COPIED ON IT 8 AND READING SOMETHING AND ASKING FOR SOMEBODY'S 9 UNDERSTANDING WHO IS THE AUTHOR OR WHO IS THE PARTY TO 10 THE CONTRACT. 02:06PM 11 THE COURT: YOU ARE FREE TO ASK MR. GUNDLACH 12 ANY QUESTIONS YOU WANT. I'M JUST SAYING WE'RE TAKING A 13 LOT OF TIME, MORE TIME WITH THE QUESTIONS THAN WITH THE 14 ANSWERS. AND I THINK THE ANSWERS ARE WHAT WE REALLY 15 WANT TO GET THE JURY. AND THAT'S MY POINT. 02:06PM 16 MR. OUINN: I APOLOGIZE ON BEHALF OF ALL OUR 17 TEAM FOR OUR UNARTFUL OUESTIONING. 18 THE COURT: IT'S NOT INARTFUL. VERY CLEVER. 19 VERY GOOD AND WONDERFUL ADVOCATE -- I'M NOT CRITICAL OF 20 IT, BUT I'M SAYING NOW IS THE TIME TO PICK UP THE PACE. 02:07PM 21 MR. QUINN: I UNDERSTAND, BUT THIS IS THE GUY 22 ON THE MULTI-HUNDRED --23 THE COURT: MR. MADISON KNOWS THE ISSUES. HE

02:07PM

BUT I AGREE WITH MR. QUINN, IT'S A

VERY GOOD AT WHAT HE DOES.

24

25

26

27

DO IT.

SAYS HE CAN DO IT. HE KNOWS HE CAN DO IT, BECAUSE HE'S

MR. MADISON: IF YOU ORDER ME TO DO IT, I CAN

1	CONSTRAINT THAT	
2	THE COURT: WELL, ASSUME YOU HAVE GOT LIMITS,	
3	AND SEE HOW WELL YOU CAN DO.	
4	MR. BRIAN: I DO RECALL	
5	MR. QUINN: JUST ONE OTHER THING.	02:07PM
6	YOUR HONOR, HE SAID HE OPENED THE	
7	DOOR, MR. GUNDLACH HERE.	
8	HE SAID, NO ONE EVER TOLD ME I WAS IN	
9	BREACH OF ANYTHING.	
10	I'M SORRY HE SAID THAT, BUT HE WENT OUT	02:07PM
11	OF HIS WAY TO SAY, NOBODY EVER TOLD ME I WAS IN BREACH	
12	OF ANYTHING.	
13	I CAN TELL YOU, ON DECEMBER 4, HE WAS	
14	CLEARLY TOLD HE WAS IN BREACH. AND IT WAS SPELLED OUT,	
15	SPECIFICALLY. AND WE'VE NOW GOT TO GO INTO THAT.	02:07PM
16	HE SHOULDN'T BE ABLE TO LEAVE THAT WITH	
17	THE JURY, THAT NOBODY EVER TOLD HIM HE WAS IN BREACH OF	
18	ANYTHING. HE WAS TOLD.	
19	THE COURT: WELL, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE ANOTHER	
20	ISSUE ON DECEMBER 4TH.	02:07PM
21	IS IT THE EXIT INTERVIEWS THAT WE'VE	
22	BEEN FIGHTING OVER?	
23	MR. QUINN: WELL, THIS ISN'T ONE WHERE THERE'S	
24	AN INVESTIGATOR; THERE'S NO ISSUE ABOUT INVESTIGATOR'S	
25	NOTES. CAHILL'S THERE. I'M THERE.	02:08PM
26	YOUR HONOR, HE JUST TOLD AN UNTRUTH TO	
27	THE JURY.	
0.0		

HE WAS TOLD VERY SPECIFICALLY WHAT HE

```
HAD BREACHED, CHAPTER AND VERSE.
 1
 2
                    AND HE MAY BE HIDING BEHIND PREVIOUS
 3
     RULINGS THE COURT HAS MADE THAT WE'RE NOT GOING TO GO
     INTO DECEMBER 4, BUT NOW HE'S PUT THAT OUT THERE.
 4
 5
     THAT WAS ON DIRECT EXAM; THAT WASN'T IN RESPONSE TO US.
                                                                 02:08PM
 6
              MR. BRIAN: YOUR HONOR, CAN I -- I THINK WE
 7
     SHOULD LOOK AT THE RECORD, BECAUSE I DON'T RECALL
 8
     EXACTLY THE TESTIMONY OR THE OUESTION, BUT IT WAS --
 9
              THE COURT: I THINK IT WAS AN OPEN-ENDED
     QUESTION. AND THAT WAS -- IT WASN'T IN A TIME FRAME.
10
                                                                 02:08PM
11
    AND THAT'S WHERE IT CAUSES A POTENTIAL PROBLEM, BECAUSE
12
     IT WASN'T FRAMED IN THE CONTEXT OF -- IT MIGHT HAVE
13
    BEEN PRIOR TO DECEMBER 4TH.
14
                    AND IF IT WAS PRIOR TO DECEMBER 4TH,
15
     THEN WE DON'T HAVE THE ISSUE --
                                                                 02:08PM
16
              MR. OUINN: THEN WE DON'T HAVE THE ISSUE.
17
              THE COURT: BUT WE'LL HAVE TO LOOK AT IT.
18
                    AND I DO RECALL SOMETHING -- I CAN'T
19
    REMEMBER IF IT WAS OPEN-ENDED OR IF IT WAS WITH A TIME
20
    FRAME, AND WE'LL HAVE TO LOOK AT IT.
                                                                 02:09PM
21
              MR. BRIAN: WHEN HE SAID IT --
22
              THE COURT: I'M SURE YOU ALL WILL SCOUR THE
23
    RECORD --
              MR. BRIAN: I ACTUALLY INTERPRETED WHAT HE
24
25
     SAID UP TO THE TIME OF TERMINATION, BUT HE MAY NOT --
                                                                 02:09PM
26
     THAT MAY NOT BE WHAT HE SAID.
27
              THE COURT: WELL, I THINK THAT MAY BE A
```

CRUCIAL ISSUE. AND IF WE HAVE TO OPEN THAT DOOR, IT'S

GOING TO BE A CRACK, AND IT'S GOING TO BE ON QUICK AND 1 2 CLEAN, AND WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE AN EXTENDED 3 DISCUSSION ABOUT IT. 4 MR. BRIAN: BUT, YOUR HONOR, I THINK THERE'S A 5 COUPLE OF WAYS -- IF THAT'S WHAT HE SAYS, THERE'S A 02:09PM COUPLE OF WAYS OF HANDLING IT. ONE IS TO ESSENTIALLY 6 7 AMEND IT TO SAY PRIOR TO DECEMBER 4. 8 THE OTHER --9 THE COURT: THAT MIGHT BE -- MR. OUINN MAY NOT 10 GO ALONG WITH THAT. 02:09PM 11 MR. BRIAN: THE OTHER IS JUST TO SAY, WERE YOU 12 GIVEN A COMPLAINT ON DECEMBER 4TH WITH THE ALLEGATIONS. 13 THAT MAY BE OKAY. WHICH IS WHAT HAPPENED. I DON'T 14 THINK WE HAVE TO GO INTO THE BACK AND FORTH. 15 THE COURT: DID THEY ACTUALLY DELIVER THE 02:09PM 16 DRAFT? 17 MR. QUINN: HE WOULDN'T TAKE IT. 18 WE HAD ONE, AND HE REFUSED TO TAKE IT. 19 BUT HE WAS TOLD IN VERY SPECIFIC TERMS, 20 ORALLY --02:09PM 21 MR. MADISON: AND, YOUR HONOR, IF I COULD. 22 DRAFTS --23 THE COURT: LET ME JUST TELL YOU, YOU ARE 24 GOING TO HAVE SIX WITNESSES. THEY ARE GOING TO BE 25 SHORT WITNESSES, I KNOW. BUT MR. CAHILL CAN COME IN, 02:10PM 26 AND HE CAN SAY WHAT HE HAS TO SAY, IF IT'S APPROPRIATE. 27 AND I'M NOT PASSING ON IT TODAY. I

DON'T WANT YOU TO COME BACK TOMORROW AND SAY I SAID IT

1	WAS OKAY. I WANT TO SEE WHAT HE SAID, BECAUSE THERE	
2	WAS SOME QUESTION AS TO WHETHER IT WAS PRIOR TO	
3	DECEMBER 4TH.	
4	I'LL LOOK AT MY NOTES.	
5	MR. BRIAN: I DON'T THINK THIS CASE IS GOING	02:10PM
6	TO BE DECIDED, ONE WAY OR THE OTHER, ON WHETHER HE WAS	
7	TOLD ON DECEMBER 4TH OF CERTAIN ACCUSATIONS. I REALLY	
8	DON'T.	
9	THE COURT: THAT'S ALL RIGHT.	
10	MR. BRIAN: IF THAT'S WHAT HE SAID, I SUSPECT	02:10PM
11	WE CAN WORK THAT OUT. I DON'T THINK THIS CASE WILL BE	
12	DECIDED BY THE JURY ON THAT ISSUE.	
13	THE COURT: LET'S TAKE A SHORT BREAK. WE'RE	
14	GOING TO SWITCH REPORTERS, AND THEN WE'LL COME BACK.	
15	I'LL GET MY NEW NOTEBOOK WITH JURY	02:10PM
16	INSTRUCTIONS, AND WE CAN TAKE A LOOK AT THOSE.	
17	AND THE ONE I HAVE NOW IS THE ONE WE	
18	SHOULD BE WORKING FROM.	
19	MS. STEIN: AND THE ONE I HAVE NOW, IS ONE	
20	THAT WE SHOULD BE WORKING ON. YOU ALSO RECEIVED ONE,	02:10PM
21	YOUR HONOR, ON THE 21ST OF AUGUST.	
22	THE COURT: I HAVE TO GET THAT ONE.	
23	MS. STEIN: OKAY. THANK YOU.	
24		
25	(RECESS TAKEN.)	
26		
27		
28		